



UNIVERSITÄT  
DUISBURG  
ESSEN

*Open-Minded*

# ***A Comprehensive End-to-End Lag Model for Online and Cloud Video Gaming***

Florian Metzger, Albert Rafetseder, Christian Schwartz ■ 2016/08/29

*Modeling of Adaptive Systems*

<https://www.mas.wiwi.uni-due.de/en>

CS:GO gameplay at 30fps (Competitively played at 120+)



clip extracted from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0215vVx1JhU>

same clip at 6fps

clip extracted from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0215vVx1JhU>

- Increasing research interest for (networked) video game QoS and QoE
- Increasing focus on and demands of **competitive games**
- But: many past endeavors treated video games similar to video streaming and faced issues:

- Increasing research interest for (networked) video game QoS and QoE
- Increasing focus on and demands of **competitive games**
- But: many past endeavors treated video games similar to video streaming and faced issues:
  - Insufficient framerates (e.g.  $\leq 15$  Hz)
  - Wrong choice of metrics to detect influence of lag (e.g. time-scale wise)
  - Focus just on network delay, not full E2E lag
  - Observation periods too short
  - No understanding of core gameplay mechanics
  - Inability to generalize results from individual games to whole “genres”

- Increasing research interest for (networked) video game QoS and QoE
- Increasing focus on and demands of **competitive games**
- But: many past endeavors treated video games similar to video streaming and faced issues:
  - Insufficient framerates (e.g.  $\leq 15$  Hz)
  - Wrong choice of metrics to detect influence of lag (e.g. time-scale wise)
  - Focus just on network delay, not full E2E lag
  - Observation periods too short
  - No understanding of core gameplay mechanics
  - Inability to generalize results from individual games to whole “genres”
- Many interlocked mechanics in play, we need to understand their effects!
  - ⇒ Set up a small simulation to get some rough numbers

## Framerate and Frametime

Rate at which the game renders distinct images. Frametime is the time between two such images.

### Framerate and Frametime

Rate at which the game renders distinct images. Frametime is the time between two such images.

### Tickrate


Rate at which the server in a client/server-game updates its game simulation state.

## Framerate and Frametime

Rate at which the game renders distinct images. Frametime is the time between two such images.

## Tickrate

Rate at which the server in a client/server-game updates its game simulation state.




## Framerate and Frametime

Rate at which the game renders distinct images. Frametime is the time between two such images.

## Tickrate

Rate at which the server in a client/server-game updates its game simulation state.





### Framerate constraints:

- Motion perception in video: Based on principle of apparent motion according to [Wer12], starting at a min. frame rate of 16 Hz
- But framerate and tickrate are also governing factors for input latency
- Common game frame rates:  
30 Hz, 60 Hz, 120 Hz, 144 Hz

- Perceived delay and delay variation between input action and visible reaction
- Caused by various latency sources, e.g. network QoS, I/O devices, game engine, game mechanics
- But also through the interplay of framerate and tickrate
- Examples of tickrates in c/s-games: CS:GO 64 Hz to 128 Hz; Dota 2 30 Hz; Overwatch 60 Hz

- Perceived delay and delay variation between input action and visible reaction
- Caused by various latency sources, e.g. network QoS, I/O devices, game engine, game mechanics
- But also through the interplay of framerate and tickrate
- Examples of tickrates in c/s-games: CS:GO 64 Hz to 128 Hz; Dota 2 30 Hz; Overwatch 60 Hz






<http://blog.logicalincrements.com/2015/04/does-fps-matter-decide-for-yourself/>


- Lag affects reaction and timings, gameplay, player performance
- ⇒ Potentially largest **QoE** influencer
- Every game, every gameplay action, can behave differently under lag
- Different viewpoints to observe lag, but full E2E lag can only be captured externally

- Lag affects reaction and timings, gameplay, player performance
- ⇒ Potentially largest **QoE** influencer
- Every game, every gameplay action, can behave differently under lag
- Different viewpoints to observe lag, but full E2E lag can only be captured externally



- Lag sources modeled in a queuing system
- Goal: investigate lag sources not typically attributed to lag
- Especially: frame- and tickrate; but also: message rates, input and display devices
- Frame- and tickrate modeled as independently clocked processes

- Lag sources modeled in a queuing system
- Goal: investigate lag sources not typically attributed to lag
- Especially: frame- and tickrate; but also: message rates, input and display devices
- Frame- and tickrate modeled as independently clocked processes




- Implemented as R simulation<sup>1</sup>
- Evaluated for several scenarios and parameter combinations

<sup>1</sup><https://github.com/mas-ude/onlinegame-lag-sim>


Examples of features that can reduce lag impact in games, but are not considered in the model and sim:

- Immediate visualization and output of object actions through client-side **prediction** (e.g. player movement) without waiting for authoritative answer
  - Roll back action if prediction wrong
- **Interpolate** motion between consecutive game simulation snapshots from the server, or extrapolate from last two snapshots
- Lag **compensation** by doing hit detection on object positions slightly in the past




[developer.valvesoftware.com/wiki/Lag\\_compensation](http://developer.valvesoftware.com/wiki/Lag_compensation)

Locally running C/S-game, no network interactions involved, average of 1000 runs.



(Note 16.67 ms frame duration  $\hat{=} 60$  Hz framerate)


Locally running C/S-game, no network interactions involved, average of 1000 runs.



Linear decrease of E2E lag


50 ms less when going from 30 to 60.

Locally running C/S-game, no network interactions involved, average of 1000 runs.




Bigger impact of framerate than tickrate!

Median lag of a networked game at 10 Hz to 200 Hz frame- and tickrates



**Network is not the main source of lag at low frame-/tickrates!**

Similar to networked C/S but with added video en-/decoding delay and frame transmission times.



Large E2E lag and wide spread of lag values

⇒ **Gameplay actions appear to be “stuttering”!**

Recap:

- Examining framerates and tickrates as a large QoE factor
- Simplified simulation of typical gaming scenarios
- Complex scenario due to interactivity and diversity of video games
- Larger influence of framerates than generally thought in academia

Recap:

- Examining framerates and tickrates as a large QoE factor
- Simplified simulation of typical gaming scenarios
- Complex scenario due to interactivity and diversity of video games
- Larger influence of framerates than generally thought in academia

In the future:

- More extensive simulation setup (more influence factors, variable framerates, ...)
- Focus on frametimes and resulting stuttering
- Cross-check with E2E lag measurements
- Derive guidelines for future user studies


# Questions?


<https://github.com/mas-ude/onlinegame-lag-sim>

Contact: [florian.metzger@uni-due.de](mailto:florian.metzger@uni-due.de)


Key fingerprint: C98A 32B7 554F C5CC 4E5A 60FB 1CE5 B541 7B20 99C7







<http://hugelol.com/lol/364250>



(a) local game, (b) networked game, (c) cloud game

Command message rates and client update rates can differ from server tickrates

Video Game	Tickrate
CS: GO	Configurable 64 Hz/128 Hz
Battlefield 4	Configurable 60 Hz/120 Hz; previously 30 Hz with 10 Hz for state outside of close proximity
Minecraft	max. 20 Hz
League of Legends	30 Hz
Dota 2	30 Hz
StarCraft II	supposedly either 16 Hz or 32 Hz
Eve Online	1 Hz
Overwatch	60 (client update rate previously was 20)

Note: Values are considered to be unofficial and may be unreliable



G. Armitage. "An experimental estimation of latency sensitivity in multiplayer Quake 3." In: *Networks, 2003. ICON2003. The 11th IEEE International Conference on.* Sept. 2003, pp. 137–141.



Michael Bredel and Markus Fidler. "A Measurement Study Regarding Quality of Service and Its Impact on Multiplayer Online Games."

In: *Proceedings of the 9th Annual Workshop on Network and Systems Support for Games.* NetGames '10. Taipei, Taiwan: IEEE Press, 2010, 1:1–1:6. ISBN: 978-1-4244-8355-6.



Mark Claypool and Kajal Claypool. "Latency and Player Actions in Online Games." In: *Commun. ACM* 49.11 (Nov. 2006), pp. 40–45. ISSN: 0001-0782.



Kajal T. Claypool and Mark Claypool.

"On frame rate and player performance in first person shooter games." English.  
In: *Multimedia Systems* 13.1 (2007), pp. 3–17. ISSN: 0942-4962.



Kuan-Ta Chen, Polly Huang, and Chin-Laung Lei.

“Effect of Network Quality on Player Departure Behavior in Online Games.”

In: *Parallel and Distributed Systems, IEEE Transactions on* 20.5 (May 2009), pp. 593–606.

ISSN: 1045-9219.



V. Clincy and B. Wilgor.

“Subjective Evaluation of Latency and Packet Loss in a Cloud-Based Game.”

In: *Information Technology: New Generations (ITNG), 2013 Tenth International Conference on.*

Apr. 2013, pp. 473–476.



Zenja Ivkovic, Ian Stavness, Carl Gutwin, and Steven Sutcliffe. “Quantifying and Mitigating the Negative Effects of Local Latencies on Aiming in 3D Shooter Games.”

In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems.*

CHI ’15. Seoul, Republic of Korea: ACM, 2015, pp. 135–144. ISBN: 978-1-4503-3145-6.



M. Jarschel, D. Schlosser, S. Scheuring, and T. Hossfeld.

“An Evaluation of QoE in Cloud Gaming Based on Subjective Tests.” In: *Innovative Mobile and Internet Services in Ubiquitous Computing (IMIS), 2011 Fifth International Conference on*. June 2011, pp. 330–335.



Florian Metzger, Albert Rafetseder, Christian Schwartz, and Tobias Hoßfeld.

“Games and Frames: A Strange Tale of QoE Studies.”

In: *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Quality of Multimedia Experience*. QoMEX 2016. June 2016.



Sebastian Möller et al.

“Towards a New ITU-T Recommendation for Subjective Methods Evaluating Gaming QoE.”

In: (2015).



M. Ries, P. Svoboda, and M. Rupp.

“Empirical study of subjective quality for Massive Multiplayer Games.”

In: *Systems, Signals and Image Processing, 2008. IWSSIP 2008. 15th International Conference on.* June 2008, pp. 181–184.



Colin Ware and Ravin Balakrishnan.

“Reaching for Objects in VR Displays: Lag and Frame Rate.”

In: *ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact.* 1.4 (Dec. 1994), pp. 331–356. ISSN: 1073-0516.



Max Wertheimer. “Experimentelle Studien über das Sehen von Bewegung.” PhD thesis. 1912.