the context of geological uncertainty. In particular, the insight of how different geological parameters impact the pressure buildup (and the migration of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume) can be used

to predict optimal injection locations for this type of shallow-marine systems. The workflow can also be used for other depositional systems. However, since the study only involved two specific injection strategies, it is possible that one may obtain different outcomes than

The workflow of the pressure study demonstrated here can be used in specific studies in

from the injection point. Closed faults can significantly reduce the injectivity quality.

reported herein if significantly different values are used for the operational limits.

in shallow-marine depositional systems are examined by using a large number of parametrized

The studied responses are most sensitive to aggradation, progradation direction, and faulting. Low aggradation angles inhibit the upward movement of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume and keep the flow restricted to the geological layers in which the  $CO_2$  is injected. In cases with low rock quality in the injection layers, pressure will build up in the well-bore and large volumes may be forced down-dip and out through the lower boundary, as observed in [2]. In the down-dip progradation, the majority of the region around injection point is made of low quality rock and injecting in down-dip progradation normally ends up in a higher pressure buildup and a lower injectivity. Faults change the geometrical structure of the medium and they put different layers in contact. Pressure disturbances can leak through faults to larger distances

realizations representing a spectrum of sedimentological and structural scenarios.

References

geological heterogeneity on early-stage CO<sub>2</sub> plume migration. In CMWR, 2010.

[2] M. Ashraf, K. A. Lie, H. M. Nilsen, and A. Skorstad. Impact of geological heterogeneity on early-stage CO<sub>2</sub> plume migration: Sensitivity analysis. In ready for submission, 2012.

[3] J. Birkholzer, Q. Zhou, J. Rutqvist, P. Jordan, K. Zhang, and C.-F. Tsang. Research project on co2 geological storage and groundwater resources: Large-scale hydrological evaluation and modeling of impact on groundwater systems. Technical report, Lawrence

[4] B. Cailly, P. Le Thiez, P. Egermann, A. Audibert, S. Vidal-Gilbert, and X. Longaygue. Geological storage of CO<sub>2</sub>: A state-of-the-art of injection processes and technologies.

[1] M. Ashraf, K.-A. Lie, H. M. Nilsen, J. M. Nordbotten, and A. Skorstad. Impact of

1(1):2405-2412, 2009.[7] J. A. Howell, A. Skorstad, A. MacDonald, A. Fordham, S. Flint, B. Fjellvoll, and T. Man-

Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA, 2008.

Oil & Gas Science and Technology, 60(3):517-525, 2005. [5] A. Cavanagh and N. Wildgust. Pressurization and brine displacement issues for deep saline formation CO<sub>2</sub> storage. Energy Procedia, 4:4814–4821, 2011. 6 E. R. Chabora and S. M. Benson. Brine displacement and leakage detection using pressure measurements in aquifers overlying co<sub>2</sub> storage reservoirs. Energy Procedia,

zocchi. Sedimentological parameterization of shallow-marine reservoirs. Petroleum Geo-

science, 14(1):17-34, 2008.