

Nordbotten, J., Celia, M., Bachu, S., Dahle, H., 2005. Semianalytical solution for CO<sub>2</sub> leakage through an abandoned well. *Environmental Science & Technology* 39 (2), 602–611.

Nowak, W., 2009. Best unbiased ensemble linearization and the quasi-linear Kalman ensemble generator. *Water Resources Research* 45, W04431, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008WR007328>.

Oladyshkin, S., Nowak, W., 2012. Data-driven uncertainty quantification using the arbitrary polynomial chaos expansion. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* 106, 179–190.

Oladyshkin, S., Class, H., Helmig, R., Nowak, W., 2011. A concept for data-driven uncertainty quantification and its application to carbon dioxide storage in geological formations. *Advances in Water Resources* 34, 1508–1518, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2011.08.005.

Oladyshkin, S., Class, H., Helmig, R., Nowak, W., 2011. An integrative approach to robust design and probabilistic risk assessment for CO<sub>2</sub> storage in geological formations. *Computational Geosciences* 15 (3), 565–577, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10596-011-9224-8>.

Oladyshkin, S., de Barros, F.P.J., Nowak, W., 2012. Global sensitivity analysis: a flexible and efficient framework with an example from stochastic hydrogeology. *Advances in Water Resources* 37, 10–22.

Reuter, U., Liebscher, M., 2008. Global sensitivity analysis in view of nonlinear structural behavior. In: *Proceedings of the 7th LS-Dyna Forum, Bamberg*.

Riddiford, F., Wright, I., Bishop, C., Espie, T., Tourqui, A., 2004. Monitoring geological storage the in Salah gas CO<sub>2</sub> storage project. In: *Proceedings of the 7th International Greenhouse Gas Technologies Conference*, Vancouver, BC.

Rohmer, J., Seyedi, D., 2010. Coupled large scale hydromechanical modelling for caprock failure risk assessment of CO<sub>2</sub> storage in deep saline aquifers. *Oil & Gas Science and Technology-Revue de l'Institut Français du Pétrole* 65 (3), 503–517.

Saltelli, A., 2008. *Global Sensitivity Analysis: The Primer*. Wiley, UK, Chichester.

Saltelli, A., 2010. Global sensitivity analysis: an introduction. In: *Proc. 4th International Conference on Sensitivity Analysis of Model Output*, pp. 27–43.

Sobol, I., 2001. Global sensitivity indices for nonlinear mathematical models and their Monte Carlo estimates. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation* 55 (1–3), 271–280.

Soize, C., Ghanem, R., 2004. Physical systems with random uncertainties: chaos representations with arbitrary probability measure. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing* 26 (2), 395–410.

Sudret, B., 2008. Global sensitivity analysis using polynomial chaos expansions. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* 93 (7), 964–979.

Thomas, D., 2005. *Carbon Dioxide Capture for Storage in Deep Geologic Formations – Results from the CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Project*, vol. 1. Elsevier Science Ltd.

Viebahn, P., Nitsch, J., Fischeidick, M., Esken, A., Schüwer, D., Supersberger, N., Zuberbühler, U., Edenhofer, O., 2007. Comparison of carbon capture and storage with renewable energy technologies regarding structural, economic, and ecological aspects in Germany. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* 1 (1), 121–133.

Villadsen, J., Michelsen, M.L., 1978. *Solution of Differential Equation Models by Polynomial Approximation*. Prentice-Hall, US, Mountain.

Walton, F., Tait, J., LeNeveu, D., Sheppard, M., 2004. Geological storage of CO<sub>2</sub>: a statistical approach to assessing performance and risk. In: *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies (GHGT-7)*.

Wan, X., Karniadakis, G., 2007. Multi-element generalized polynomial chaos for arbitrary probability measures. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing* 28 (3), 901–928.

Wiener, N., 1938. The homogeneous chaos. *American Journal of Mathematics* 60, 897–936.

Wilson, E., Johnson, T., Keith, D., 2003. Regulating the ultimate sink: Managing the risks of geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage. *Environmental Science and Technology* 37 (16), 3476–3483.

Witteveen, J., Bijl, H., 2006. Modeling arbitrary uncertainties using Gram–Schmidt polynomial chaos. In: *Proceedings of the 44th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit*, number AIAA-2006-0896, vol. 117, Reno, NV.

Witteveen, J., Sarkar, S., Bijl, H., 2007. Modeling physical uncertainties in dynamic stall induced fluid-structure interaction of turbine blades using arbitrary polynomial chaos. *Computers & Structures* 85 (11), 866–878.

Xiu, D., Hesthaven, J., 2005. High-order collocation methods for differential equations with random inputs. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing* 27 (3), 1118–1139.

Xiu, D., Karniadakis, G., 2002. The Wiener–Askey polynomial chaos for stochastic differential equations. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing* 24 (2), 619–644.

Xiu, D., Karniadakis, G.E., 2003. Modeling uncertainty in flow simulations via generalized polynomial chaos. *Journal of Computational Physics* 187, 137–167.

Zhang, D., Lu, Z., 2004. An efficient, high-order perturbation approach for flow in random media via Karhunen–Loeve and polynomial expansions. *Journal of Computational Physics* 194, 773–794.

P. Zweigel, L. Heill, Studies on the likelihood for caprock fracturing in the sleipner CO<sub>2</sub> injection case, Tech. rep., Sintef Petroleum Research Report, 2003.