Stochastic Volatility and Local Volatility

Masaru Okada

October 10, 2025

1 Stochastic Volatility

1.1 Derivation of the Equation

Following the arguments of Wilmott (2000), let's proceed.

Assume that the stock price S_t and its variance ν_t at time t following equations:

$$dS_t = \mu_t S_t dt + \sqrt{\nu_t} S_t dZ_1$$

$$d\nu_t = \alpha(S_t, \nu_t, t) dt + \eta \beta(S_t, \nu_t, t) \sqrt{\nu_t} dZ_2$$

$$\langle dZ_1 dZ_2 \rangle = \rho dt$$

Here, μ_t is a deterministic function, representing the instantaneous drift of the stock's return. η represents the volatility of volatility.

 dZ_1 and dZ_2 are Wiener processes, and ρ is the correlation between the stock's return and the change in ν_t .

The first equation was assumed by Black and Scholes (1973).

In fact, Wilmott(2000) shows in Section 8.3 that this system of equations becomes the Black-Scholes model if we take the limit $\eta \to 0$ in the second equation.

Assuming the variance ν_t follows this second equation allows for a very general discussion.

For now, the functional forms of α and β are not determined.

Furthermore, we haven't specified the process that $\sqrt{\nu_t}$ follows (e.g., assuming it's a Wiener process).

When constructing a risk-free portfolio, the source of randomness in the Black-Scholes framework was solely the stock price, so only the stock itself was needed for hedging.

In this case, Wilmott(2000)'s equations show that we must also hedge the volatility.

Consider a portfolio Π that includes an asset with value $V(S, \nu, t)$. Let the holdings of stock S be $(-\Delta)$ and the holdings of a volatility-dependent asset V_1 be $(-\Delta_1)$.

$$\Pi = V - \Delta S - \Delta_1 V_1$$

We want to find the change in the portfolio's value, $d\Pi$, over a small time interval dt. Using Itô's lemma, we get:

$$d\Pi = dV - d(\Delta S) - d(\Delta_1 V_1)$$

= $dV - \Delta dS - \Delta_1 dV_1$

Let's expand each term.

First, expanding dS^2 , $d\nu^2$, and $dSd\nu$:

$$dS^{2} = (\sqrt{\nu}SdZ_{1} + \mu Sdt)^{2}$$

$$= \nu S^{2}dZ_{1}^{2} + 2\mu S\sqrt{\nu}SdtdZ_{1} + \mu^{2}S^{2}dt^{2}$$

$$= \nu S^{2}dt$$

We use $dZ_1^2 = dt$, as higher-order infinitesimals like dZ_1dt and dt^2 are of order o(dt) and therefore vanish. Similarly,

$$d\nu^{2} = (\alpha dt + \eta \beta \sqrt{\nu} dZ_{2})^{2}$$

$$= \eta^{2} \nu \beta^{2} dt$$

$$dS d\nu = (\sqrt{\nu} S dZ_{1} + \mu S dt)(\alpha dt + \eta \beta \sqrt{\nu} dZ_{2})$$

$$= \rho \eta \nu \beta dt$$

From these, we can express dV as:

$$\begin{split} dV &= \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} dS + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} d\nu \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} dS^2 + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S \partial \nu} dS d\nu + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \nu^2} d\nu^2 \\ &= \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} dS + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} d\nu \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \nu S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} dt + \rho \eta \nu \beta \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S \partial \nu} dt + \frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \nu \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \nu^2} dt \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \nu S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} \right. \\ &+ \rho \eta \nu \beta \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S \partial \nu} + \frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \nu \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \nu^2} \right) dt \\ &+ \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} dS + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} d\nu \end{split}$$

Similarly, for $V_1 = V_1(S, \nu, t)$, we get:

$$dV_{1} = \left(\frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\nu S^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} V_{1}}{\partial S^{2}} + \rho \eta \nu \beta \frac{\partial^{2} V_{1}}{\partial S \partial \nu} + \frac{1}{2} \eta^{2} \nu \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} V_{1}}{\partial \nu^{2}}\right) dt$$
$$+ \frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial S} dS + \frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial \nu} d\nu$$

Substituting these into the portfolio's stochastic differential, we have:

$$dV - \Delta dS - \Delta_1 dV_1$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\nu S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + \rho \eta \nu \beta \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S \partial \nu} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \nu \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \nu^2}\right) dt$$

$$+ \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} dS + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} d\nu$$

$$- \Delta dS$$

$$- \Delta_1 \left(\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\nu S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V_1}{\partial S^2} + \rho \eta \nu \beta \frac{\partial^2 V_1}{\partial S \partial \nu} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \nu \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 V_1}{\partial \nu^2}\right) dt$$

$$- \Delta_1 \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial S} dS - \Delta_1 \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial \nu} d\nu$$

Combining the terms:

$$d\Pi = \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\nu S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + \rho \eta \nu \beta \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S \partial \nu} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \nu \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \nu^2}\right) dt$$

$$- \Delta_1 \left(\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\nu S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V_1}{\partial S^2} + \rho \eta \nu \beta \frac{\partial^2 V_1}{\partial S \partial \nu} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \nu \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 V_1}{\partial \nu^2}\right) dt$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - \Delta - \Delta_1 \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial S}\right) dS$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} - \Delta_1 \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial \nu}\right) d\nu$$

We now hedge this portfolio to make it instantaneously risk-free. To eliminate the dS and $d\nu$ terms, we choose the following constraints:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - \Delta - \Delta_1 \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial S} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} - \Delta_1 \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial \nu} = 0$$

Solving these yields the hedge quantities we need:

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - \frac{\frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial S}}{\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial \nu}}$$
$$\Delta_1 = \frac{\frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu}}{\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial \nu}}$$

Under these conditions, the portfolio can be expressed using the risk-free rate r:

$$d\Pi = r\Pi dt$$

$$= r(V - \Delta S - \Delta_1 V_1) dt$$

$$= r \left\{ V - \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - \frac{\frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial S}}{\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial \nu}} \right) S - \left(\frac{\frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu}}{\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial \nu}} \right) V_1 \right\} dt$$

At the same time, we have:

$$\begin{split} d\Pi \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\nu S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + \rho \eta \nu \beta \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S \partial \nu} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \nu \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \nu^2}\right) dt \\ &- \Delta_1 \left(\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\nu S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V_1}{\partial S^2} + \rho \eta \nu \beta \frac{\partial^2 V_1}{\partial S \partial \nu} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \nu \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 V_1}{\partial \nu^2}\right) dt \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\nu S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + \rho \eta \nu \beta \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S \partial \nu} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \nu \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \nu^2}\right) dt \\ &- \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu}\right) \\ &\times \left(\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\nu S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V_1}{\partial S^2} + \rho \eta \nu \beta \frac{\partial^2 V_1}{\partial S \partial \nu} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \nu \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 V_1}{\partial \nu^2}\right) dt \end{split}$$

Since these expressions for $d\Pi$ are equal, we can rearrange the terms by moving the terms related to V to the left side and those related to V_1 to the right side:

$$=\frac{\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\nu S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + \rho \eta \nu \beta \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S \partial \nu}}{\frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \nu \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \nu^2} + rS \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - rV}{\frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu}}$$

$$=\frac{\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\nu S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V_1}{\partial S^2} + \rho \eta \nu \beta \frac{\partial^2 V_1}{\partial S \partial \nu}}{\frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \nu \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 V_1}{\partial \nu^2} + rS \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial S} - rV_1}{\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial \nu}}$$

$$=\frac{\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial \nu} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \nu \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 V_1}{\partial \nu^2} + rS \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial S} - rV_1}{\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial \nu}}$$

The left side of the equation depends only on V, and the right side only on V_1 . For this equation to hold identically, both sides must equal an arbitrary function that is independent of S, ν , and t. Without loss of generality, we can set this function equal to $-(\alpha - \phi \beta \sqrt{\nu})$. Thus, we have:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\nu S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + \rho \eta \nu \beta \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S \partial \nu}
+ \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \nu \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \nu^2} + rS \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - rV = -(\alpha - \phi \beta \sqrt{\nu}) \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu}$$

Here, $\phi = \phi(S, \nu, t)$ is called the **market price of volatility risk**.

1.2 Market Price of Volatility Risk

Let's examine why Wilmott's discussion gives ϕ the name 'market price of volatility risk'. Consider a portfolio Π_1 composed of V, which is delta-hedged but not vega-hedged:

$$\Pi_1 = V - \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}S$$

Applying Itô's lemma just as before, we find the change in the portfolio's value, $d\Pi_1$:

$$d\Pi_{1}$$

$$= dV - Sd\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right) - \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}dS$$

$$= \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\nu S^{2}\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial S^{2}} + \rho\eta\nu\beta\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial S\partial\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{2}\nu\beta^{2}\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial\nu^{2}}\right)dt + \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}dS + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\nu}d\nu \right\}$$

$$- \left(\Delta dS - \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}dS\right) - \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}dS$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\nu S^{2}\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial S^{2}} + \rho\eta\nu\beta\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial S\partial\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{2}\nu\beta^{2}\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial\nu^{2}}\right)dt$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - \Delta\right)dS + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\nu}d\nu$$

*1

Since this portfolio is delta-hedged, the dS term should vanish, meaning $\Delta dS = \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} dS$. This simplifies the expression to:

$$d\Pi_{1}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\nu S^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial S^{2}} + \rho \eta \nu \beta \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial S \partial \nu} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{2} \nu \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial \nu^{2}}\right) dt$$

$$+ \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} d\nu$$

Now, let's consider the difference in price between this portfolio, which is only delta-hedged (and therefore not vega-hedged), and a fully hedged risk-free portfolio, whose price change is $r\Pi_1 dt$:

^{*1} A point of confusion. The textbook seems to handle the product rule for $Sd\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right)$ in a non-standard way. I can't figure out the exact reasoning behind the sudden appearance of the ΔdS term. To follow the text's flow for now, it's assumed that $Sd\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right) = \Delta dS - \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}dS$.

$$d\Pi_{1} - r\Pi_{1}dt$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\nu S^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial S^{2}} + \rho\eta\nu\beta \frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial S\partial\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{2}\nu\beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial\nu^{2}}\right)dt$$

$$+ \frac{\partial V}{\partial\nu}d\nu$$

$$- \left(rV - r\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}S\right)dt$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\nu S^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial S^{2}} + \rho\eta\nu\beta \frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial S\partial\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{2}\nu\beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial\nu^{2}} - rV + r\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}S\right)dt$$

$$+ \frac{\partial V}{\partial\nu}d\nu$$

$$= -(\alpha - \phi\beta\sqrt{\nu})\frac{\partial V}{\partial\nu}dt + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\nu}d\nu$$

*2

Using the second equation from the fundamental set, $d\nu = \alpha dt + \eta \beta \sqrt{\nu} dZ_2$, we can substitute it into the expression:

$$d\Pi_{1} - r\Pi_{1}dt$$

$$= -(\alpha - \phi\beta\sqrt{\nu})\frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu}dt + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu}(\alpha dt + \eta\beta\sqrt{\nu}dZ_{2})$$

$$= \beta\sqrt{\nu}\frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu}(\phi dt + \eta dZ_{2})$$

Recalling the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM):

Expected Return = Risk-Free Rate + Risk Premium

This leads to the following equation:

$$d\Pi_1 = r\Pi_1 dt + \beta \sqrt{\nu} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} (\phi dt + \eta dZ_2)$$

By analogy with CAPM, $\phi(S, \nu, t)$ is called the **market price of volatility risk**.

The risk-neutral drift is defined as:

$$\alpha' = \alpha - \beta \sqrt{\nu} \phi$$

(Work in progress).

References

[1] Jim Gatheral (2006) The Volatility Surface: A Practitioner's Guide (Wiley Finance)

^{*2} The textbook has an incorrect sign for the $rS\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}$ term in the equation between the second and third equality signs in my notes.