

Foreign Exchange and Numeraire

Masaru Okada

October 16, 2025

Abstract

These are my self-study notes for Chapter 3 of 'Financial Calculus: An Introduction to Derivative Pricing' by Martin Baxter and Andrew Rennie. Written on June 3, 2020.

The fundamental assets in the foreign exchange market are currencies.

Holding currency, just like holding a stock, involves risk.

For example, the exchange rate for one Japanese yen to US dollars, like a stock price, fluctuates from moment to moment.

This inherent risk gives rise to the demand for derivatives.

1 The Black-Scholes Currency Model

Let's denote the US dollar bond as B_t , the Japanese yen bond as D_t , and the exchange rate as C_t (where $1 \text{ JPY} = C_t \text{ USD}$).

The Black-Scholes currency model then gives us the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} B_t &= e^{rt} \\ D_t &= e^{ut} \\ C_t &= C_0 \exp(\sigma W_t + \mu t) \end{aligned}$$

Here, W_t is a \mathbb{P} -Brownian motion, and r, u, σ, μ are constants.

1.1 For the US Dollar-Based Investor

A US dollar-based investor can trade two types of assets: the US dollar bond B_t and the yen bond converted to dollars, $C_t D_t$. Just as in the standard Black-Scholes model for stocks and bonds, a replicating portfolio can be constructed.

While C_t represents the dollar price of one yen, the dollar-based investor cannot trade the yen in its raw cash form. If this were possible, it would create an arbitrage opportunity against holding yen bonds. Cash has a zero interest rate, while the yen bond yields an interest rate of u . As a result, market participants could make infinite profits by going long on an arbitrary amount of yen bonds and shorting the cash itself.

The asset $C_t D_t$ is tradable in dollars. It represents the dollar-denominated price of the yen bond, D_t .

With these two stochastic processes, B_t and $C_t D_t$, we can construct a replicating portfolio.

1.1.1 Constructing the Replicating Portfolio

Using the tradable assets B_t and C_tD_t , we can construct a replicating portfolio for a contract X and determine its price using the no-arbitrage principle. This is done in three main steps:

1. Find a measure $\mathbb{Q}^\$$ under which the process for the yen bond discounted by the dollar bond, $Z_t = B_t^{-1}C_tD_t$, becomes a martingale.
2. Transform the contract X into a process $E_t = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^\$}(B_T^{-1}X|\mathcal{F}_t)$.
3. Find a predictable process ϕ_t such that $dE_t = \phi_t dZ_t$.

The process for the yen bond discounted by the dollar bond, Z_t , is:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t &= B_t^{-1}C_tD_t \\ &= e^{-rt}e^{ut}C_0 \exp(\sigma W_t + \mu t) \\ &= C_0 \exp[\sigma W_t + (\mu + u - r)t] \end{aligned}$$

Its stochastic differential is:

$$\begin{aligned} dZ_t &= \left(\frac{\partial Z_t}{\partial t} \right) dt + \left(\frac{\partial Z_t}{\partial x} \right) dW_t + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{\partial^2 Z_t}{\partial x^2} \right) (dW_t)^2 \\ \frac{dZ_t}{Z_t} &= \left(\mu + u - r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \right) dt + \sigma dW_t \end{aligned}$$

Now, we apply Girsanov's theorem.

$$\gamma = \frac{\mu + u - r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2}{\sigma}$$

By using this γ , the stochastic differential for the Brownian motion $W_t^\$$ under the measure $\mathbb{Q}^\$$ that makes Z_t a martingale should be:

$$dW_t^\$ = dW_t + \gamma dt$$

Furthermore, according to the Radon-Nikodym theorem, such a measure $\mathbb{Q}^\$$ is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbb{Q}^\$}{d\mathbb{P}} &= \exp \left(- \int_0^T \gamma dW_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \gamma^2 dt \right) \\ &= \exp \left(-\gamma W_T - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 T \right) \end{aligned}$$

Under this measure $\mathbb{Q}^\$$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dZ_t}{Z_t} &= \sigma dW_t^\$ \\ Z_t &= Z_0 \exp \left(\int_0^t \sigma dW_s^\$ - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \sigma^2 ds \right) \\ &= C_0 \exp \left(\sigma W_t^\$ - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t \right) \\ C_t &= B_t Z_t D_t^{-1} \\ &= e^{rt} C_0 \exp \left(\sigma W_t^\$ - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t \right) e^{-ut} \\ &= C_0 \exp \left[\sigma W_t^\$ + \left(r - u - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \right) t \right] \end{aligned}$$

This holds.

We define the conditional expectation under the measure $\mathbb{Q}^{\$}$ and the filtration \mathcal{F}_t as:

$$E_t = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}}(B_T^{-1}X|\mathcal{F}_t)$$

For $s(< t)$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}}(E_t|\mathcal{F}_s) &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}}(B_T^{-1}X|\mathcal{F}_t)\middle|\mathcal{F}_s\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}}(B_T^{-1}X|\mathcal{F}_s) \\ &= E_s\end{aligned}$$

So, E_t is a $\mathbb{Q}^{\$}$ -martingale. By the martingale representation theorem, a predictable process exists such that:

$$dE_t = \phi_t dZ_t$$

We want to find the holdings of dollar-denominated currency, $S_t = C_t D_t$, and the holdings of the dollar bond, B_t , needed to construct the replicating portfolio at time t . Let these be ϕ_t and ψ_t , respectively.

The value of the replicating portfolio, V_t , is:

$$V_t = \phi_t S_t + \psi_t B_t$$

At maturity, the portfolio is identical to the contract, so:

$$X = \phi_T S_T + \psi_T B_T$$

The $\mathbb{Q}^{\$}$ -martingale E_t we constructed earlier becomes at $t = T$:

$$\begin{aligned}E_T &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}}(B_T^{-1}X|\mathcal{F}_T) \\ &= B_T^{-1}X\end{aligned}$$

Which means:

$$B_T E_T = X = \phi_T S_T + \psi_T B_T$$

If this equality, $B_t E_t = V_t = \phi_t S_t + \psi_t B_t$, holds for all t , not just at T , then the dollar bond holdings ψ_t of the replicating portfolio can be found by rearranging the equation:

$$\psi_t = E_t - \phi_t Z_t$$

Let's check if this assumption is correct.

Taking the stochastic differential of $V_t = B_t E_t$, and using the facts that $dE_t = \phi_t dZ_t$ and $E_t = \phi_t Z_t + \psi_t$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}dV_t &= B_t dE_t + E_t dB_t \\ &= B_t(\phi_t dZ_t) + (\phi_t Z_t + \psi_t)dB_t \\ &= \phi_t(B_t dZ_t + Z_t dB_t) + \psi_t dB_t \\ &= \phi_t dS_t + \psi_t dB_t\end{aligned}$$

The portfolio is indeed self-financing. This confirms that we can construct a replicating portfolio by assuming dollar bond holdings are $\psi_t = E_t - \phi_t Z_t$.

The value of the portfolio that replicates contract X is V_t . We've found that it can be expressed using the measure $\mathbb{Q}^{\$}$ that makes the dollar-denominated currency price Z_t a martingale, as follows:

$$V_t = B_t E_t = B_t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}}(B_T^{-1}X|\mathcal{F}_t)$$

1.2 The Forward Contract

Let's consider a contract to buy one yen for k dollars at a future time $T(> t)$.

The payoff at time T is:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= C_T - k \\ &= C_0 \exp(\sigma W_T + \mu T) - k \\ &= C_0 \exp \left[\sigma W_T^{\$} + \left(r - u - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) T \right] - k \end{aligned}$$

The value at any time t is:

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= B_t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}}(B_T^{-1} X | \mathcal{F}_t) \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}}(C_T - k | \mathcal{F}_t) \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}} \left(C_0 \exp \left[\sigma W_T^{\$} + \left(r - u - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) T \right] - k | \mathcal{F}_t \right) \end{aligned}$$

The value of the contract at time zero (the present) should be zero under the no-arbitrage condition.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= V_0 \\ &= e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}} C_0 \exp \left[\sigma W_T^{\$} + \left(r - u - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) T \right] - e^{-rT} k \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the no-arbitrage delivery price F (the value of k for which $V_0 = 0$ at $t = 0$) is:

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}} C_0 \exp \left[\sigma W_T^{\$} + \left(r - u - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) T \right] \\ &= C_0 \exp \left[\left(r - u - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) T \right] \times \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}} \exp \sigma W_T^{\$} \\ &= C_0 \exp \left[\left(r - u - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) T \right] \times \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 T \right) \\ &= C_0 e^{(r-u)T} \end{aligned}$$

This value is equal to the yen-dollar exchange rate discounted by the interest rate difference between the two currencies.

Using F , we can also find the value of the forward contract at time t , V_t .

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}}(C_T - F | \mathcal{F}_t) \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}}(C_T | \mathcal{F}_t) - e^{-r(T-t)} F \\ &= B_t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}}(B_T^{-1} C_T | \mathcal{F}_t) - e^{-r(T-t)} C_0 e^{(r-u)T} \\ &= C_t - e^{-uT} e^{rt} C_0 \\ &= e^{-uT} (e^{uT} C_t - e^{rt} C_0) \end{aligned}$$

The discounted value of the portfolio is:

$$\begin{aligned} E_t &= B_t^{-1} V_t \\ &= e^{-rt} e^{-uT} (e^{uT} C_t - e^{rt} C_0) \\ &= e^{-rt} C_t - e^{-uT} C_0 \\ &= e^{uT} Z_t - e^{-uT} C_0 \end{aligned}$$

*1 The stochastic differential is $dE_t = e^{-uT} dZ_t$. The holdings of stock ϕ_t and bonds ψ_t needed to construct the replicating portfolio are constant with respect to t :

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_t &= e^{-uT} = D_T^{-1} \\ \psi_t &= E_t - \phi_t Z_t \\ &= (e^{uT} Z_t - e^{-uT} C_0) - e^{-uT} Z_t \\ &= -e^{-uT} C_0 \\ &= -D_T^{-1} C_0\end{aligned}$$

*2

1.3 For the Japanese Yen-Based Investor

Unlike the US dollar-based investor, the Japanese yen-based investor is interested in the yen-denominated prices of tradable assets.

First, the yen bond $D_t = e^{ut}$ is tradable.

Furthermore, the dollar bond denominated in yen, $C_t^{-1} B_t$, is also tradable.

If we consider the exchange rate of one dollar to yen, C_t^{-1} , we have:

$$C_t^{-1} = C_0^{-1} \exp(-\sigma W_t - \mu t)$$

With these two assets, the yen bond D_t and the yen-denominated dollar bond $C_t^{-1} B_t$, we can replicate a risk-free portfolio.

The price of the dollar bond discounted by the yen bond is:

$$\begin{aligned}Y_t &= D_t^{-1} C_t^{-1} B_t \\ &= e^{-ut} C_0^{-1} \exp(-\sigma W_t - \mu t) e^{rt} \\ &= C_0^{-1} \exp(-\sigma W_t - (\mu + u - r)t)\end{aligned}$$

The stochastic differential is:

$$\begin{aligned}dY_t &= \frac{\partial Y_t}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial Y_t}{\partial x} dW_t + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{\partial^2 Y_t}{\partial x^2} (dW_t)^2 \\ &= -(\mu + u - r) Y_t dt - \sigma Y_t dW_t + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 Y_t dt \\ \frac{dY_t}{Y_t} &= -\sigma dW_t - \left(\mu + u - r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) dt\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $W_t^{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}$ to be a $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ -Brownian motion, we need to introduce a new measure $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that the discounted price Y_t becomes a martingale.

$$\begin{aligned}dW_t^{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}} &= dW_t + \frac{\mu + u - r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2}{\sigma} dt \\ W_t^{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}} &= W_t + \frac{\mu + u - r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2}{\sigma} t\end{aligned}$$

*1 The final equation isn't right. Working backward, we see $e^{uT} Z_t = e^{uT} (B_t^{-1} C_t D_t) = e^{uT} e^{-rt} C_t e^{ut}$. Some calculation must be incorrect.

*2 The value for ψ_t doesn't match the textbook. The exponent sign for the coefficient of Z_t is different.

Option Pricing in the Yen World

A yen-denominated payoff X at time T has a value at time t of:

$$U_t = D_t \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}(D_T^{-1} X | \mathcal{F}_t)$$

Here, $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the martingale measure for Y_t , the asset value discounted by the yen bond.

1.4 Changing the Numeraire

A concern arises: will the US dollar-based investor and the Japanese yen-based investor value the same security differently?

In the dollar world, the value of a payoff X at time t is:

$$V_t = B_t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}}(B_T^{-1} X | \mathcal{F}_t)$$

The unit is dollars.

In the yen world, the same contract is a payment of $C_T^{-1} X$ yen, not X dollars. Therefore, its value at time t is:

$$U_t = D_t \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}(D_T^{-1} (C_T^{-1} X) | \mathcal{F}_t)$$

The unit is yen.

Do these two values actually coincide?

Is the dollar-equivalent value of the price determined in the yen world, $C_t U_t$, equal to the original V_t ?

The $\mathbb{Q}^{\$}$ -Brownian motion $W_t^{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}}$ and the $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ -Brownian motion $W_t^{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}$ are expressed using the \mathbb{P} -Brownian motion W_t as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} W_t^{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}} &= W_t + \frac{\mu + u - r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2}{\sigma} t \\ W_t^{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}} &= W_t + \frac{\mu + u - r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2}{\sigma} t \end{aligned}$$

This means:

$$\begin{aligned} W_t^{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}} &= W_t^{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}} - \sigma t \\ dW_t^{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}} &= dW_t^{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}} - \sigma dt \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the reverse of Girsanov's theorem, the Radon-Nikodym derivative must be:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}{d\mathbb{Q}^{\$}} &= \exp \left(- \int_0^T (-\sigma) dW_t^{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (-\sigma)^2 dt \right) \\ &= \exp \left(\sigma W_T^{\mathbb{Q}^{\$}} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 T \right) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the conditional expectation of this Radon-Nikodym derivative under the measure $\mathbb{Q}^{\$}$ and the

filtration \mathcal{F}_t , we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_t &= \mathbb{E}_{Q^\$} \left(\frac{d\tilde{Q}}{dQ^\$} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right) \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{Q^\$} \left[\exp \left(\sigma W_T^{Q^\$} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 T \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\
&= \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 T \right) \\
&\times \mathbb{E}_{Q^\$} \left[\exp \left(\sigma W_t^{Q^\$} \right) \exp \left\{ \sigma \left(W_T^{Q^\$} - W_t^{Q^\$} \right) \right\} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]
\end{aligned}$$

The factor inside the expectation is:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exp \left\{ \sigma \left(W_T^{Q^\$} - W_t^{Q^\$} \right) \right\} \\
&= \exp \left\{ \sigma \sqrt{T-t} \frac{W_T^{Q^\$} - W_t^{Q^\$}}{\sqrt{T-t}} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

This factor,

$$\frac{W_T^{Q^\$} - W_t^{Q^\$}}{\sqrt{T-t}}$$

is a standard normal random variable following an $N(0, 1)$ distribution under the measure $Q^\$$. Let's call this variable Z .

The expectation can then be broken down into a product of a \mathcal{F}_t -measurable factor,

$$\exp \left(\sigma W_t^{Q^\$} \right)$$

and an \mathcal{F}_t -independent random variable,

$$\exp \left(Z \sigma \sqrt{T-t} \right)$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_{Q^\$} \left[\exp \left(\sigma W_t^{Q^\$} \right) \exp \left(\sigma \sqrt{T-t} \frac{W_T^{Q^\$} - W_t^{Q^\$}}{\sqrt{T-t}} \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\sigma W_t^{Q^\$} \right) \exp \left(Z \sigma \sqrt{T-t} \right) \right] \\
&= \exp \left(\sigma W_t^{Q^\$} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left(z \sigma \sqrt{T-t} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} z^2} dz \\
&= \exp \left(\sigma W_t^{Q^\$} \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma \sqrt{T-t} \right)^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

From the above, we can derive:

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_t &= \mathbb{E}_{Q^\$} \left(\frac{d\tilde{Q}}{dQ^\$} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right) \\
&= \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 T \right) \\
&\times \mathbb{E}_{Q^\$} \left[\exp \left(\sigma W_t^{Q^\$} \right) \exp \left\{ \sigma \left(W_T^{Q^\$} - W_t^{Q^\$} \right) \right\} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\
&= \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 T \right) \\
&\times \exp \left(\sigma W_t^{Q^\$} \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma \sqrt{T-t} \right)^2 \right) \\
&= \exp \left(\sigma W_t^{Q^\$} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 t \right)
\end{aligned}$$

We have already shown that the price of the yen bond discounted by the dollar bond is:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t &= B_t^{-1} C_t D_t \\ &= C_0 \exp \left(\sigma W_t^{\mathbb{Q}^s} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 t \right) \\ &= C_0 \xi_t \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the price of the yen bond discounted by the dollar bond, Z_t , is proportional to the Radon-Nikodym process ξ_t .

Using this process, the dollar-converted value of the price set in the yen world, $C_t U_t$ (where U_t is the price for the payoff $C_T^{-1} X$ described earlier), is:

$$\begin{aligned} C_t U_t &= C_t D_t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(D_T^{-1}(C_T^{-1} X) | \mathcal{F}_t) \\ &= C_t D_t \xi_t^{-1} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^s}(\xi_T^{-1} D_T^{-1} C_T^{-1} X | \mathcal{F}_t) \\ &= B_t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^s}(B_T^{-1} X | \mathcal{F}_t) \\ &= V_t \end{aligned}$$

This shows that a dollar-denominated payoff X at time T has the same value at any time $t(< T)$, regardless of whether it is priced from the dollar world or the yen world.

References

- [1] Financial Calculus - An Introduction to Derivative Pricing - Martin Baxter, Andrew Rennie