# Construction of a proper prior for a Bayesian Envelope Model

# Costruzione di una prior propria per un modello Envelope bayesiano

Andrea Mascaretti and Antonio Canale

**Abstract** The abstract should be written in English and in Italian, each paper should be preceded by an abstract (no more than 10 lines long) that summarizes the content. Please insert your abstract here.

Abstract Abstract in Italian

**Key words:** key word 1, key word 2, ...

### 1 Response Envelopes

Envelopes [2, 1], are a class of models aimed at increasing the efficiency of multivariate regression by exploiting the relations between response and predictors that affect the accuracy of the results and are not taken into account by standard methods. Within the usual multivariate regression setting, the expected value of a random variable  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^r$  is given a functional form such that we get

$$Y_i = \mu + \beta X_i + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \tag{1}$$

where  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a sequence of non-stochastic vectors, with  $X_i \in R^p$  for  $i=1,\ldots,n$ , the errors are independent and identically distributed multivariate normal vectors with zero mean and covariance  $\Sigma$ ,  $\alpha \in R^r$  is an unknown vector of intercepts and  $\beta \in M_{(r,p)}$  (where  $M_{(a,b)}$  denotes the space of real matrices of dimensions (a,b)) is the unknown vector of regression coefficients. For simplicity (and without loss of

Andrea Mascaretti

University of Padova, Via Cesare Battisti, 241, 35121, Padova (PD), Italy, e-mail: mas-caretti@stat.unipd.it

Antonio Canale

University of Padova, Via Cesare Battisti, 241, 35121, Padova (PD), Italy, e-mail: antonio.canale@unipd.it

generality), we assume that the predictors are centred,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = 0$ . Moreover, let Y be the  $(n \times r)$  matrix of rows  $(Y_i - \bar{Y})^T$ , where  $\bar{Y}$  is the sample mean, and  $Y_0$  be the non-centred matrix. In a similar fashion, let  $X = \{X_i^T\}$  be the matrix of the predictors,  $S_{Y,X} = n^{-1}Y^TX$  and  $S_X = n^{-1}X^TX$ . The maximum likelihood estimator is

$$B = S_{Y,X}S_X^{-1},\tag{2}$$

incidentally equal the ordinary least squares estimator. From Eq. 2, we notice that this is akin to performing r separate univariate regressions: one for every element of Y on X. Inference on  $\beta_{j,k}$ , the (j,k)th element of  $\beta$  is the same we would obtain by constructing a univariate model. The model in Eq. 1 becomes operational when inference is conducted simultaneously on different rows of  $\beta$  or various elements of Y jointly.

The intuition behind envelope models is that there might be linear combinations of the response vectors whose distribution is invariant with respect to the non-stochastic predictors. Explicitly modelling for this property allows to obtain estimator whose variance is reduced. We call such linear combinations of Y X-invariant. Notice that for a linear transformation  $G \in M_{(r,q)}$ , with  $q \le r$ , if  $G^T Y$  is invariant, then also  $A^T G^T Y$  has the same property for any non-stochastic matrix  $A \in M_{(q,q)}$ . In other words, only span (G) is identifiable.

From a mathematical point of view, this is equivalent to assuming the existence of two matrices  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_0$  such that  $O = [\Gamma \Gamma_0]$  is orthogonal. We obtain

1. 
$$\Gamma_0^T Y | X \sim \Gamma_0^T Y$$
  
2.  $\Gamma^T Y \perp \Gamma_0^T Y | X$ 

The conditions entail that  $\operatorname{span}(\beta) \subseteq \operatorname{span}(\Gamma)$  and  $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 = P_\Gamma \Sigma P_\Gamma + Q_\Gamma \Sigma Q_\Gamma$ , where  $P_{(\cdot)}$  is the orthogonal projector operation on a space and  $Q_{(\cdot)} = I - P_{(\cdot)}$  is the projection on the orthogonal space. In this scenario,  $\operatorname{span}(\Gamma)$  is a reducing subspace of  $\Sigma$  ([2]). The  $\Sigma$ -envelope of  $\mathscr{B} = \operatorname{span}(\beta)$ ,  $\mathscr{E}_{\Sigma}(\mathscr{B})$ , is the smallest reducing subspace of  $\Sigma$  that contains  $\mathscr{B}$ .

Model in Eq. 1 can be rewritten as

$$Y_i = \mu + \Gamma \eta X_i + \varepsilon, \tag{3}$$

where  $\beta = \Gamma \eta$ ,  $\Gamma \in M_{(r,u)}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $\mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}(\mathscr{B})$  and u is the dimension of the envelope  $\mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}(\mathscr{B})$ . Moreover, the variance is  $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 = \Gamma \Omega \Gamma^T + \Gamma_0 \Omega_0 \Gamma_0^T$ , where  $\Omega \in M_{(u,u)}$  and  $\Omega_0 \in M_{(r-u,r-u)}$  are two diagonal matrices carrying the coordinate information with respect to the basis  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_0$ .

#### 1.1 Bayesian Envelopes

The only contribution, to the best of our knowledge, on Bayesian envelopes models is [3]. The rationale behind Bayesian envelopes is that it allows to quantify the un-

certainty of the predictions by computing the posterior distribution (as opposed to bootstrap or asymptotic considerations), as well as extending the model to the cases where n < r. Moreover, prior information can be incorporated into the model, be it on the values of the parameters or to induce sparsity or other desirable properties. As for the selection of u, the dimension of the envelope, [3] adopt a Deviance Information Criterion to obtain the best value, in lieu of the Likelihood Ratio Tests used within the frequentist framework. The interest in obtaining a proper prior distribution for a Bayesian envelope stems from the fact that this is a prerequisite to extend it to more complex scenarios, such as mixtures or nonparametric formulations.

The prior distribution is defined on the parameters  $(\mu, \eta, (\Gamma, \Gamma_0), \Omega, \Omega_0)$ . Notice that, for identifiability, we constrain  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_0$  to be diagonal matrices with entries disposed in decreasing order. This is equivalent to post-multiplying  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_0$  by the matrices of eigenvectors of the original  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_0$ . From a mathematical point of view, this is equivalent to fix  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_0$  to be bases of the envelope and, thus, as elements of a subset of a Stiefel manifold restricted to have that the maximum element for each column as positive sign, denoted by  $S_{(\cdot,\cdot)}^+$ . In this respect, we notice that the Stiefel manifold of arbitrary finite dimensions (a,a) is a compact unimodular group with a unique Haar measure, which induces a measure on  $S_{(a,b)}$  and  $S_{(a,b)}^+$ .

The parameter space is then given by  $M_{(r,1)} \times M_{(u,p)} \times S_{(r,r)}^+ \times O_u \times O_{r-u}$ , where  $O_a$  is the set of diagonal matrices of dimension a with entries disposed in decreasing order.

We define the prior on the parameters as follows:

- 1.  $\mu$  is set to be independent from the other parameters. We endow it with a multi-variate normal distribution, so that  $\pi(\mu) = \mathcal{N}_r(\mu_0, \Sigma_0)$ , defined with respect to Lebesgue measure on  $M_{(r,1)}$ .
- 2. The conditional prior on  $\eta$  is a matrix normal:

$$\pi\left(\eta | (\Gamma, \Gamma_0, \Omega, \Omega_0)\right) = \mathscr{N}_{(u,p)}\left(\Gamma^T, \Omega, C^{-1}\right),$$

where  $C^{-1}$  is a positive definite matrix in  $M_{(p,p)}$ .

- 3. The prior on  $O=(\Gamma,\Gamma_0)$  is a matrix Bingham distribution with parameters G and D, where G is a positive semi-definite matrix in  $M_{(r,r)}$  and D is in  $O_r$  with positive entries. Thus,  $\pi(O)=\mathcal{B}_{(r,r)}\left(G,D^{-1}\right)$ . The density is proportional to  $\exp\left\{\left(-1/2\right)\operatorname{tr}\left(D^{-1}O^TGO\right)\right\}$
- 4. Denoting by  $\omega$  and  $\omega_0$  the diagonal vectors of, respectively,  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_0$ , we assume that, a priori, they are distributed as order statistics of u and r-u independent and identically distributed observations from Inverse-Gamma distributions of shape and rate parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\psi$  and  $\alpha_0$ ,  $\psi_0$ .

Notice that the main difference between our work and [3] is the prior on  $\mu$ . From a computational point of view, this means that the structure of the Gibbs sampler is similar, the only difference being the structure of the full-conditional for  $\mu$ , which can be easily computed to be of the form

$$\pi(\mu|\eta,(\Gamma,\Gamma_0),\omega,\omega_0,Y) = \mathscr{N}_r(\mu_c,\Sigma_c), \tag{4}$$

where

$$\Sigma_c = \left(\Sigma_0^{-1} + \left(\frac{\Sigma}{n}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1}$$

and

$$\mu_c = \Sigma_c \left( \Sigma_0^{-1} \mu_0 + \left( \frac{\Sigma}{n} \right)^{-1} \bar{Y} \right).$$

Notice that the Harris ergodicity of the chain is also a straightforward extension of [3].

# 2 Simulation and Data Analysis

## References

- R. D. Cook. An Introduction to Envelopes: Dimension Reduction for Efficient Estimation in Multivariate Statistics. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, 1st edition edition, 2018.
- R. D. Cook, B. Li, and F. Chiaromonte. ENVELOPE MODELS FOR PARSIMONIOUS AND EFFICIENT MULTIVARIATE LINEAR REGRESSION. Statistica Sinica, 20(3):927–960, 2010.
- 3. K. Khare, S. Pal, and Z. Su. A Bayesian approach for envelope models. *The Annals of Statistics*, 45(1):196–222, Feb. 2017.