

Chapter 1

A cruel lasso thesis

We want to relate a response vector $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and an input matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ with a linear parameter $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and some noise $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$Y = X\beta_0 + \epsilon$$

1.1 Ordinary Least square method

We look for solutions of

$$\min_{\beta} \|Y - X\beta\|_2^2, \|\cdot\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \cdot_k^2$$

We consider $\hat{\beta}_{OLS} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \|Y - X\beta\|_2^2$ an estimator of β , and we can show that

$$\hat{\beta}_{OLS} = (X^T X)^{\dagger} X^T Y$$

Then, when the ϵ_i are uncorrelated random variables with mean 0 and variance σ_0^2

$$\mathbb{E} \|X(\hat{\beta}_{OLS} - \beta_0)\|_2^2 = \sigma_0^2 \times \sum_i \mathbf{1}_{\lambda_i \neq 0}$$

1.1.1 For Hawkes process

The intensity of a Hawkes process is $\lambda(t) = \mu + \sum_{k.s.t. T_k < t} h(t - T_k) = \mu + \int_{-\infty}^{t^-} h(t - u) \, dN_u$

We want to find the constant μ and the h functions, but the space is too large, so we parametrize by

$$f_a = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} a_k \phi_k \tag{1.1}$$

$$\phi_{00} = (1, 0, \dots), \phi_k = (0, \dots, 0, \mathbf{1}_{(k\delta, (k+1)\delta]}) \tag{1.2}$$

$$a = (a_{00} = \mu, a_0, \dots, a_{K-1})^T \tag{1.3}$$

Now we look at the linear predictable function ψ transforming $f = (\mu, h)$ into (a candidate intensity) $\psi_t(f_a) = \mu + \int_{-\infty}^{t^-} h(t - u) \, dN_u$

Applied to the restriction f_a

$$\psi_t(f_a) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} a_k \psi_t(\phi_k) \tag{1.4}$$

$$\psi_t(\phi_{00}) = 1, \psi_t(\phi_k) = \sum_{T < t} \mathbf{1}_{(k\delta, (k+1)\delta]}(t - T) \tag{1.5}$$

So $\psi_t(\phi_k)$ is the number of spikes in the interval $(k\delta, (k+1)\delta]$ weighted by the time elapsed since the spike (integral of a piecewise constant function)

We pose $G_{kl} = \int_0^{T_{\max}} \psi_t(\phi_k) \psi_t(\phi_l) \, dt$ and $b_k = \int_0^{T_{\max}} \psi_t(\phi_k) \, dN_t$ and look for

$$\text{minimize } \{a^T G a - 2b^T a\}$$

The least square estimator is then $\hat{a} \in \operatorname{argmin}_a \{a^T G a - 2b^T a\}$, and assuming $G \geq c \times I_d$ then $\hat{a} = G^{-1}b$. How good is the estimator?

$$\mathbb{E} \|\psi_t(f_a) - \lambda\|_{\text{proc}}^2 \leq \frac{1}{c} \sum_k \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^{T_{\max}} \psi_t^2(\phi_k) \lambda_t \, dt \right)$$

1.2 Lasso method

The lasso estimator is $\hat{\beta} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \{\|Y - X\beta\|_2^2 + 2\lambda\|\beta\|_1\}$ which is equivalent of solving a 2-polynom in β .

1.3 Lasso shooting

We still want to minimize $\hat{a} \in \operatorname{argmin}_a \{a^T G a - 2b^T a + 2\|d^T a\|_1\}$. The shooting algorithms simplifies the resolution by considering n independent one-dimension optimization problem (one for each of a 's coordinate), and the solution is given by

$$a_i^* = \begin{cases} \frac{b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} a_j G_{ij} - d_i}{G_{ii}}, & \text{if } b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} a_j G_{ij} > d_i \\ \frac{b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} a_j G_{ij} - d_i}{G_{ii}}, & \text{if } b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} a_j G_{ij} < -d_i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence the following algorithm

Algorithm 1 Lasso shooting algorithm

- 1: Initialize $m = 1$ and a^0
 - 2: **repeat**
 - 3: **for** $i \leftarrow 00$ **to** $K-1$ **do**
 - 4: Update a_i following rule given above
 - 5: **until** $|F(a_m) - F(a_{m-1})| < \epsilon$
-

The computation of a depends on the values of G , b and d , but thankfully one can compute them by simply counting the number of pair of events the difference of which are in the time bins $(k\delta, (k+1)\delta]$. They are stored in a matrix A :

Algorithm 2 Counting the pairs

Require: T ; array of spike trains

```

 $\delta$ ; delay
 $k$ ; number of partitions
count  $\leftarrow 0$ ,  $N \leftarrow \text{length}(T)$ 
 $j_{\text{start}} \leftarrow$  first index s.t.  $T_j > 0$ 
for  $j \leftarrow j_{\text{start}}$  to  $N$  do
   $i \leftarrow j - 1$ 
   $T_{\text{low}} \leftarrow T[j] - (k+1)\delta$ ,  $T_{\text{up}} \leftarrow T[j] - k\delta$ 
  while  $i > 0$  &  $i < N$  do
    if  $T_{\text{low}} > \text{spike}(i)$  then
      break
    else if  $\text{spike}(i) < T_{\text{up}}$  then
      count+ = 1
       $A_{ij} = k$ 
     $i \leftarrow i - 1$ 

```

Knowing the matrix of A we can now compute the remaining bits. First the matrix G :
 Then the matrix d of errors:
 Now, having b, G and d we can implement the Lasso shooting algorithm:

Algorithm 3 Compute G_{kl} given k and l

```

for  $l \leftarrow 0$  to  $K-1$  do
  for  $k \leftarrow l+1$  to  $K-1$  do
    rescase1 = rescase2 = 0
    Case 1
    for all  $(i, j) | A_{ij} = k - l$  do
      length =  $\min(T_i + (k + 1)\delta, T_{\max}) - \max(T_j + l\delta, 0)$ 
      if length > 0 then
        rescase1 += length
    Case 2
    for all  $(i, j) | A_{ij} = k - l - 1$  do
      length =  $\min(T_j + (l + 1)\delta, T_{\max}) - \max(T_i + k\delta, 0)$ 
      if length > 0 then
        rescase2 += length
     $G_{(k+1)(l+1)} = \text{rescase1} + \text{rescase2}$ 
for  $k \leftarrow 0$  to  $K-1$  do
  rescase1 = 0
  for all  $(i, j) | A_{ij} = 0$  do
    length =  $\min(T_i + (k + 1)\delta, T_{\max}) - \max(T_i + k\delta, 0)$ 
    if length > 0 then
      rescase1 += length
  for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $N$  do
    length =  $\min(T_i + (k + 1)\delta, T_{\max}) - \max(T_i + k\delta, 0)$ 
    if length > 0 then
      rescase3 += length
   $G_{(k+2)(k+2)2\times} = \text{rescase1} + \text{rescase3}$ 
 $G_{11} = T_{\max}$ 
for  $k \leftarrow 0$  to  $K - 1$  do
  rescase = 0
  for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to length(T) do
    length =  $\min(T_i + (k + 1)\delta, T_{\max}) - \max(T_i + k\delta, 0)$ 
    if length > 0 then
      rescase += length
   $G_{(k+2)(1)} = \text{rescase}$ 

```

Algorithm 4 Computing d

```
d=zeros(K+1,1)
d(1)= $\sqrt{2 * \gamma * \log(T_{\max}) * N} + \frac{\gamma * \log(T_{\max})}{3}$ 
for k  $\leftarrow$  0 to K-1 do
    d(k+2)=COMPUTED
function COMPUTED(A, del, spike,  $T_{\max}$ , k,  $\gamma$ )
    for i  $\leftarrow$  1 to lengthspike do
        if spike(i) + (k + 1) * del  $\leq T_{\max}$  & spike(i) + (k + 1) * del  $\geq 0$  then
            z(i) = COUNTSPIKE(spike, del, k, spike(i) + (k + 1) * del)
        if spike(i) + k * del  $< T_{\max}$  & spike(i) + k * del  $\geq 0$  then
            z(i) = max(z(i), 1)
     $I_{\text{col}} = \{i | A_{ij} = k\}$ 
    count = 0, conse = 1
    if isempty( $I_{\text{col}}$ ) then
        res = 0
    else
        compare = x(1)
         $x_{n+1} = -1$ 
        while i  $\leq n$  do
            if  $x_{i+1}$  = compare then
                conse += 1
            else
                count+ = conse * (conse - 1)
                conse = 1
                compare =  $x_{i+1}$ 
            i += 1
        res = n + count
     $d_k = \frac{\gamma * \log(T_{\max})}{3} * \max(z) + \sqrt{2 * \gamma * \log(T_{\max}) * \text{res}}$ 
```

Algorithm 5 countspike

```
1: resuk  $\leftarrow$  0; N  $\leftarrow$  length(spike);  $\varepsilon \leftarrow 1\text{e-}12$ 
2: for i  $\leftarrow$  1 to N do
3:     if (spike[i]  $\geq (t - (k + 1) * \text{del})$ ) & (spike[i]  $< (t - k * \text{del} - \varepsilon)$ ) then
4:         resuk += 1
5: return resuk
```

\triangleright N should be $\geq 1!$
 $\triangleright \varepsilon$ for numerical errors

Algorithm 6 The Lasso algorithm

```
a = (0, ..., 0)
repeat
     $a_{\text{old}} = a$ 
    for i  $\leftarrow$  1 to K + 1 do
         $J = \{j \neq i \& j \leq K + 1\}$ 
         $z = b_i - G_{ii} * a_i$ 
        if  $|z| \leq d_i$  then
             $a^* = 0$ 
        else if  $z > d_i$  then
             $a^* = (z - d_i) / G_{ii}$ 
        else
             $a^* = (z + d_i) / G_{ii}$ 
    las =  $a^T * G * a - 2 * b^T * a + 2 * d^T * |a|$ 
    lasold =  $a_{\text{old}}^T * G * a_{\text{old}} - 2 * b^T * a_{\text{old}} + 2 * d^T * |a_{\text{old}}|$ 
until  $|\text{las} - \text{lasold}| < \epsilon$ 
```

Chapter 2

Active sets and optimisations

2.1 Model

$y \in \mathbb{R}^{dn}$ a signal recorded by d sensors

Generated by k (fixed) neurons

n : number of recordings (time length) (=number of samples) H is the convolution between the shape (=action potential) of the neurons

$$x = x$$

2.2 Lasso

We assume some sparsity on a .

$$\text{LASSO: } \min_{a \in \mathbb{R}^{kn}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \|y - Ha\|_2^2}_{:=F(a)} + \lambda \|a\|_1, \text{ with } \lambda > 0$$

F is strictly convex but not differentiable \Rightarrow need a generalisation of differentiable to sub-differentiable

2.2.1 Subdifferentiability

Def: for $g : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ convex and a vector ω on \mathbb{R}^p

$$\partial g(\omega) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^p \mid \underbrace{g(\omega) + z^T(\omega' - \omega)}_{\text{tangent: } \forall \omega' \in \mathbb{R}^p} \leq g(\omega')\}$$

Ex: $\partial g(\omega) = \{\nabla g(\omega)\}$ if g is convex and differentiable For the absolute value $\partial H(0) = [-1, 1]$ Prop: $\omega^* \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is a global minimum of g iff $0 \in \partial g(\omega^*)$

2.3 Improving the Lasso with an active set dynamic constraint

F is strictly convex but not differentiable

$$\partial F(a) = H^T(Ha - y) + \lambda \partial \|\cdot\|_1(a), \text{ with } \partial \|\cdot\|_1(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{kn} \mid \begin{cases} x_j = \text{sign}(a_j), & \text{if } a_j \neq 0 \\ x_j \in [-1, 1], & \text{else} \end{cases}\}$$

$$a^* \text{ is a minimum of } F \text{ iff } \forall j \in \{1, \dots, kn\}, \begin{cases} H_j^T(y - Ha) = \lambda \text{sign}(a_j^*), & \text{if } a_j^* \neq 0 \\ |H_j^T(y - Ha^*)| \leq \lambda & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

In particular: $|H_j^T(Ha^* - y)| < \lambda \Rightarrow a_j^* = 0$ (ST)

Instead of solving LASSO in \mathbb{R}^{kn} , we will start from $a = 0$ and activate the meaningful variables, and stop when the (ST) condition is met $\forall j$

Algorithm 7 Active set 1

```

 $a \leftarrow 0, g \leftarrow |H^T(y - Ha)|, j \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_l g_l$ 
Active set:  $J = \{j\}$ 
while An arbitrary condition is not met (eg:  $m < kn - 1$ ) do
   $a \leftarrow$  Lasso solution on  $J$ 
   $g \leftarrow |H^T(y - Ha)|$ 
   $j \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{l \notin J} g_l$ 
  if  $g_j > \lambda + \epsilon$  then
     $J+ = \{j\}$ 
  else
    break

```

2.4 Speeding up some matrix multiplications

$R := y - \underbrace{Ha}_{\text{too expensive}}$ ($H \in \mathbb{R}^{dn \times kn}$, typically $d = 5, k = 5, n = 10^5$)

$$\begin{aligned}
(Ha)_i &= \sum_{j=1}^{kn} H_{ij} a_j \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} H_{ij} a_j \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\operatorname{card}(J)} \tilde{H}_{ij} \tilde{a}_j = \tilde{H} \tilde{a} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \tilde{H} = (H_j)_{j \in J} \\ \tilde{a} = a_J \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

We end up only having to compute the elements from the active set reducing a lot the overall complexity.

2.5 Some more matrix multiplication speeding up

We can compute R faster, but can we speed up $H^T R$?

$H^T R$: "correlation between the shapes of the spikes and R " Current a set J

New variable j in the active set

How to update the information?

$|\operatorname{mod}(J, n) - \operatorname{mod}(j, n)| \geq t$, solve LASSO on $1 - D$: $\text{LASSO}(y, \underbrace{H_j}_{\in \mathbb{R}^{dn}}, 0)$ $J = \{1, 2, 10, 50\} = \{1, 2\} \cup \{10\} \cup \{50\}$

Chapter 3

Some improvements about the algorithms

Appendices

If A is a matrix

- A^T is the transpose of the matrix $(\forall(i, j), (A^T)_{ij} = A_{ji})$
- A^* is the conjugate transpose $(\forall(i, j), (A^*)_{ij} = \overline{A_{ji}})$
- A^\dagger is the pseudo-inverse of the matrix A , which is a generalisation of the inverse of a matrix. The pseudo-inverse verify 4 properties:

$$AA^\dagger A = A \tag{1}$$

$$A^\dagger AA^\dagger = A^\dagger \tag{2}$$

$$(AA^\dagger)^* = AA^\dagger \tag{3}$$

$$(A^\dagger A)^* = A^\dagger A \tag{4}$$