# Querying Semantic Web Data with SPARQL (and SPARQL 1.1)

Marcelo Arenas

PUC Chile

# Semantic Web

"The Semantic Web is an extension of the current web in which information is given well-defined meaning, better enabling computers and people to work in cooperation."

[Tim Berners-Lee et al. 2001.]

Specific goals:

- Build a description language with standard semantics
  - Make semantics machine-processable and understandable
- Incorporate logical infrastructure to reason about resources
- W3C proposals: Resource Description Framework (RDF) and SPARQL

# An example of an RDF graph: DBLP

```
      : <http://dblp.l3s.de/d2r/resource/authors/>
   conf: <http://dblp.l3s.de/d2r/resource/conferences/>
inPods: <http://dblp.l3s.de/d2r/resource/publications/conf/pods/>
   swrc: <http://swrc.ontoware.org/ontology#>
     dc: <http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/>
    dct: <http://purl.org/dc/terms/>
```



# Querying RDF: SPARQL

- SPARQL is the W3C recommendation query language for RDF (January 2008).
  - SPARQL is a recursive acronym that stands for *SPARQL Protocol and RDF Query Language*
- SPARQL is a graph-matching query language.
- A SPARQL query consists of three parts:
  - Pattern matching: optional, union, filtering, ...
  - Solution modifiers: projection, distinct, order, limit, offset, ...
  - Output part: construction of new triples, ....

# SPARQL in a nutshell

# SPARQL in a nutshell

```
SELECT ?Author
```

# SPARQL in a nutshell

```
SELECT ?Author
WHERE
{



}
```

# SPARQL in a nutshell

```
SELECT ?Author
WHERE
{
  ?Paper      dc:creator     ?Author .
  ?Paper      dct:PartOf     ?Conf .
  ?Conf       swrc:series    conf:pods .
}
```

# Outline of the talk

- RDF and SPARQL
- New features in SPARQL 1.1
  - Entailment regimes for RDFS and OWL
  - Navigational capabilities: Property paths
  - An operator to distribute the execution of a query
- Take-home message

# Outline of the talk

- RDF and SPARQL
- New features in SPARQL 1.1
  - Entailment regimes for RDFS and OWL
  - Navigational capabilities: Property paths
  - An operator to distribute the execution of a query
- Take-home message

# RDF formal model




**I** : set of IRIs
**B** : set of blank nodes
**L** : set of literals

# RDF formal model




**I** : set of IRIs
**B** : set of blank nodes
**L** : set of literals

$(s, p, o) \in (\mathbf{I} \cup \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{I} \times (\mathbf{I} \cup \mathbf{B} \cup \mathbf{L})$ is called an RDF triple

# RDF formal model




**I** : set of IRIs
**B** : set of blank nodes
**L** : set of literals

$(s, p, o) \in (\mathbf{I} \cup \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{I} \times (\mathbf{I} \cup \mathbf{B} \cup \mathbf{L})$ is called an RDF triple

A finite set of RDF triples is called an RDF graph

# RDF formal model

## Proviso

- We do not consider blank nodes in RDF graphs
  - $(s, p, o) \in \mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{I} \times (\mathbf{I} \cup \mathbf{L})$ is called an RDF triple
- We consider blank nodes in queries
  - Each blank node is assumed to start with _:, for example _:$b$ and _:$b_1$

# SPARQL: An algebraic syntax

**V**: set of variables

- Each variable is assumed to start with ?

Triple pattern: $t \in (\mathbf{I} \cup \mathbf{B} \cup \mathbf{V}) \times (\mathbf{I} \cup \mathbf{V}) \times (\mathbf{I} \cup \mathbf{B} \cup \mathbf{L} \cup \mathbf{V})$

- Examples: $(?X, \text{name}, \text{john})$, $(?X, \text{name}, ?Y)$, $(?X, \text{name}, \_:b)$

Basic graph pattern (bgp): Finite set of triple patterns

- Examples: $\{(?X, \text{knows}, ?Y), (?Y, \text{name}, \text{john})\}$, $\{(?X, \text{knows}, \_:b), (\_:b, \text{name}, \text{john})\}$

# SPARQL: An algebraic syntax (cont'd)

Recursive definition of SPARQL graph patterns:

- Every basic graph pattern is a graph pattern
- If $P_1$, $P_2$ are graph patterns, then $(P_1$ AND $P_2)$, $(P_1$ OPT $P_2)$, $(P_1$ UNION $P_2)$ are graph pattern
- If $P$ is a graph pattern and $R$ is a *built-in condition*, then $(P$ FILTER $R)$ is a graph pattern

SPARQL query:

- If $P$ is a graph pattern and $W$ is a finite set of variables, then (SELECT $W$ $P$) is a SPARQL query

# Mappings: building block for the semantics

**Definition**

A mapping is a partial function:

$$\mu \quad : \quad \mathbf{V} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{I} \cup \mathbf{L})$$

The evaluation of a graph pattern results in a set of mappings.

# Mappings: building block for the semantics

**Definition**

A mapping is a partial function:

$$\mu \quad : \quad \mathbf{V} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{I} \cup \mathbf{L})$$

The evaluation of a graph pattern results in a set of mappings.

# Semantics of SPARQL: Basic graph patterns

Additional notation: $\sigma : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow (\mathbf{I} \cup \mathbf{L})$ is an instance mapping.

# Semantics of SPARQL: Basic graph patterns

Additional notation: $\sigma : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow (\mathbf{I} \cup \mathbf{L})$ is an instance mapping.

Let $P$ be a basic graph pattern

- var($P$): set of variables mentioned in $P$

## Definition

The evaluation of $P$ over an RDF graph $G$, denoted by $[\![P]\!]_G$, is the set of mappings $\mu$:

- $\text{dom}(\mu) = \text{var}(P)$
- there exists an instance mapping $\sigma$ such that $\mu(\sigma(P)) \subseteq G$

# Semantics of basic graph patterns: Some examples

Notation: $t$ is used to represent $\{t\}$

# Semantics of basic graph patterns: Some examples

Notation: $t$ is used to represent $\{t\}$

**graph**

$(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$
$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$
$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$

**bgp**

$(?X, \text{name}, ?Y)$

**evaluation**

| | $?X$ | $?Y$ |
|---|---|---|
| $\mu_1$: | $R_1$ | john |
| $\mu_2$: | $R_2$ | paul |

# Semantics of basic graph patterns: Some examples

Notation: $t$ is used to represent $\{t\}$

graph

$(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$
$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$
$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$

bgp

$(?X, \text{name}, ?Y)$

evaluation

| | $?X$ | $?Y$ |
|---|---|---|
| $\mu_1$: | $R_1$ | john |
| $\mu_2$: | $R_2$ | paul |

# Semantics of basic graph patterns: Some examples

Notation: $t$ is used to represent $\{t\}$

**graph**

$(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$
$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$
$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$

**bgp**

$(?X, \text{name}, ?Y)$

**evaluation**

| | $?X$ | $?Y$ |
|---|---|---|
| $\mu_1$: | $R_1$ | john |
| $\mu_2$: | $R_2$ | paul |

# Semantics of basic graph patterns: Some examples

Notation: $t$ is used to represent $\{t\}$

| graph | bgp | evaluation |
|---|---|---|
| $(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$<br>$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$<br>$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$ | $(?X, \text{name}, ?Y)$ | $\mu_1$: $?X \to R_1$, $?Y \to \text{john}$<br>$\mu_2$: $?X \to R_2$, $?Y \to \text{paul}$ |
| $(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$<br>$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$<br>$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$ | $(?X, \text{name}, \_{:}b)$ | $\mu_3$: $?X \to R_1$<br>$\mu_4$: $?X \to R_2$ |

# Compatible mappings

## Definition

Mappings $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ are compatible if they agree in their common variables:

$$\text{If } ?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \text{dom}(\mu_2), \text{ then } \mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X).$$

## Example

| | $?X$ | $?Y$ | $?Z$ | $?V$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\mu_1$ : | $R_1$ | john | | |
| $\mu_2$ : | $R_1$ | | J@edu.ex | |
| $\mu_3$ : | | | P@edu.ex | $R_2$ |
| | | | | |

# Compatible mappings

## Definition

Mappings $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ are compatible if they agree in their common variables:

$$\text{If } ?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \text{dom}(\mu_2), \text{ then } \mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X).$$

## Example

| | $?X$ | $?Y$ | $?Z$ | $?V$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\mu_1$ : | $R_1$ | john | | |
| $\mu_2$ : | $R_1$ | | J@edu.ex | |
| $\mu_3$ : | | | P@edu.ex | $R_2$ |
| | | | | |

# Compatible mappings

## Definition

Mappings $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ are compatible if they agree in their common variables:

$$\text{If } ?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \text{dom}(\mu_2), \text{ then } \mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X).$$

## Example

| | ?X | ?Y | ?Z | ?V |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\mu_1$ : | $R_1$ | john | | |
| $\mu_2$ : | $R_1$ | | J@edu.ex | |
| $\mu_3$ : | | | P@edu.ex | $R_2$ |
| $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$ : | $R_1$ | john | J@edu.ex | |

# Compatible mappings

## Definition

Mappings $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ are compatible if they agree in their common variables:

$$\text{If } ?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \text{dom}(\mu_2), \text{ then } \mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X).$$

## Example

| | $?X$ | $?Y$ | $?Z$ | $?V$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\mu_1$ : | $R_1$ | john | | |
| $\mu_2$ : | $R_1$ | | J@edu.ex | |
| $\mu_3$ : | | | P@edu.ex | $R_2$ |
| $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$ : | $R_1$ | john | J@edu.ex | |

# Compatible mappings

## Definition

Mappings $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ are compatible if they agree in their common variables:

$$\text{If } ?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \text{dom}(\mu_2), \text{ then } \mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X).$$

## Example

| | ?X | ?Y | ?Z | ?V |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\mu_1$ : | $R_1$ | john | | |
| $\mu_2$ : | $R_1$ | | J@edu.ex | |
| $\mu_3$ : | | | P@edu.ex | $R_2$ |
| $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$ : | $R_1$ | john | J@edu.ex | |
| $\mu_1 \cup \mu_3$ : | $R_1$ | john | P@edu.ex | $R_2$ |

# Compatible mappings

## Definition

Mappings $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ are compatible if they agree in their common variables:

$$\text{If } ?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \text{dom}(\mu_2), \text{ then } \mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X).$$

## Example

| | $?X$ | $?Y$ | $?Z$ | $?V$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\mu_1$ : | $R_1$ | john | | |
| $\mu_2$ : | $R_1$ | | J@edu.ex | |
| $\mu_3$ : | | | P@edu.ex | $R_2$ |
| $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$ : | $R_1$ | john | J@edu.ex | |
| $\mu_1 \cup \mu_3$ : | $R_1$ | john | P@edu.ex | $R_2$ |

- $\mu_2$ and $\mu_3$ are not compatible

# Sets of mappings and operations

Let $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$ be sets of mappings.

## Definition

Join: extends mappings in $\Omega_1$ with compatible mappings in $\Omega_2$

- $\Omega_1 \bowtie \Omega_2 = \{\mu_1 \cup \mu_2 \mid \mu_1 \in \Omega_1,\ \mu_2 \in \Omega_2$ and $\mu_1,\ \mu_2$ are compatible$\}$

Difference: selects mappings in $\Omega_1$ that cannot be extended with mappings in $\Omega_2$

- $\Omega_1 \setminus \Omega_2 = \{\mu_1 \in \Omega_1 \mid$ there is no mapping in $\Omega_2$ compatible with $\mu_1\}$

# Sets of mappings and operations

## Definition

Union: includes mappings in $\Omega_1$ and in $\Omega_2$

- $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 = \{\mu \mid \mu \in \Omega_1 \text{ or } \mu \in \Omega_2\}$

Left Outer Join: extends mappings in $\Omega_1$ with compatible mappings in $\Omega_2$ **if possible**

- $\Omega_1 \mathbin{⟕} \Omega_2 = (\Omega_1 \bowtie \Omega_2) \cup (\Omega_1 \setminus \Omega_2)$

# Semantics of SPARQL: AND, UNION, OPT and SELECT

Given an RDF graph $G$

**Definition**

$$[\![(P_1 \text{ AND } P_2)]\!]_G =$$

$$[\![(P_1 \text{ UNION } P_2)]\!]_G =$$

$$[\![(P_1 \text{ OPT } P_2)]\!]_G =$$

$$[\![(\text{SELECT } W\ P)]\!]_G =$$

# Semantics of SPARQL: AND, UNION, OPT and SELECT

Given an RDF graph $G$

## Definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\![(P_1 \text{ AND } P_2)]\!]_G &= [\![P_1]\!]_G \bowtie [\![P_2]\!]_G \\
[\![(P_1 \text{ UNION } P_2)]\!]_G &= [\![P_1]\!]_G \cup [\![P_2]\!]_G \\
[\![(P_1 \text{ OPT } P_2)]\!]_G &= [\![P_1]\!]_G \mathbin{⟕} [\![P_2]\!]_G \\
[\![(\text{SELECT } W\ P)]\!]_G &= \{\mu_{|_W} \mid \mu \in [\![P]\!]_G\}
\end{aligned}
$$

# Semantics of SPARQL: AND, UNION, OPT and SELECT

Given an RDF graph $G$

## Definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\![(P_1 \text{ AND } P_2)]\!]_G &= [\![P_1]\!]_G \bowtie [\![P_2]\!]_G \\
[\![(P_1 \text{ UNION } P_2)]\!]_G &= [\![P_1]\!]_G \cup [\![P_2]\!]_G \\
[\![(P_1 \text{ OPT } P_2)]\!]_G &= [\![P_1]\!]_G \mathbin{⟕} [\![P_2]\!]_G \\
[\![(\text{SELECT } W\ P)]\!]_G &= \{\mu_{|_W} \mid \mu \in [\![P]\!]_G\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\text{dom}(\mu_{|_W}) = \text{dom}(\mu) \cap W$ and

$\mu_{|_W}(?X) = \mu(?X)$ for every $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_{|_W})$

# Semantics of SPARQL: An example

## Example

$(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$
$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$
$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$

$$((?X, \text{ name}, ?Y) \text{ OPT } (?X, \text{ email}, ?E))$$

# Semantics of SPARQL: An example

## Example

$(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$
$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$
$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$

$$((?X, \text{ name}, ?Y) \text{ OPT } (?X, \text{ email}, ?E))$$

# Semantics of SPARQL: An example

## Example

$(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$
$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$
$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$

$$((?X, \text{ name}, ?Y) \text{ OPT } (?X, \text{ email}, ?E))$$

| $?X$ | $?Y$ |
|---|---|
| $R_1$ | john |
| $R_2$ | paul |

# Semantics of SPARQL: An example

## Example

$(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$
$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$
$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$

$$((?X, \text{ name}, ?Y) \text{ OPT } (?X, \text{ email}, ?E))$$

| $?X$ | $?Y$ |
|---|---|
| $R_1$ | john |
| $R_2$ | paul |

# Semantics of SPARQL: An example

## Example

$(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$
$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$
$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$

$$((?X, \text{ name}, ?Y) \text{ OPT } (?X, \text{ email}, ?E))$$

| $?X$ | $?Y$ |
|---|---|
| $R_1$ | john |
| $R_2$ | paul |

| $?X$ | $?E$ |
|---|---|
| $R_1$ | J@ed.ex |

# Semantics of SPARQL: An example

## Example

$(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$
$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$
$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$

$$((?X, \text{ name}, ?Y) \text{ OPT } (?X, \text{ email}, ?E))$$

| $?X$ | $?Y$ |
|---|---|
| $R_1$ | john |
| $R_2$ | paul |

| $?X$ | $?E$ |
|---|---|
| $R_1$ | J@ed.ex |

# Semantics of SPARQL: An example

## Example

$(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$
$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$
$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$

$((?X, \text{name}, ?Y) \text{ OPT } (?X, \text{email}, ?E))$

| $?X$ | $?Y$ |
|---|---|
| $R_1$ | john |
| $R_2$ | paul |

| $?X$ | $?Y$ | $?E$ |
|---|---|---|
| | | |

| $?X$ | $?E$ |
|---|---|
| $R_1$ | J@ed.ex |

# Semantics of SPARQL: An example

## Example

$(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$
$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$
$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$

$((?X, \text{name}, ?Y) \text{ OPT } (?X, \text{email}, ?E))$

| $?X$ | $?Y$ |
|---|---|
| $R_1$ | john |
| $R_2$ | paul |

| $?X$ | $?Y$ | $?E$ |
|---|---|---|
| $R_1$ | john | J@ed.ex |

| $?X$ | $?E$ |
|---|---|
| $R_1$ | J@ed.ex |

- from the Join

# Semantics of SPARQL: An example

## Example

$(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$
$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$
$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$

$((?X, \text{name}, ?Y) \text{ OPT } (?X, \text{email}, ?E))$

| $?X$ | $?Y$ |
|---|---|
| $R_1$ | john |
| $R_2$ | paul |

| $?X$ | $?Y$ | $?E$ |
|---|---|---|
| $R_2$ | paul | |

| $?X$ | $?E$ |
|---|---|
| $R_1$ | J@ed.ex |

- from the Difference

# Semantics of SPARQL: An example

## Example

$(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$
$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$
$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$

$((?X, \text{name}, ?Y) \text{ OPT } (?X, \text{email}, ?E))$

| $?X$ | $?Y$ |
|---|---|
| $R_1$ | john |
| $R_2$ | paul |

| $?X$ | $?Y$ | $?E$ |
|---|---|---|
| $R_1$ | john | J@ed.ex |
| $R_2$ | paul | |

| $?X$ | $?E$ |
|---|---|
| $R_1$ | J@ed.ex |

- from the Union

# Filter expressions (value constraints)

Filter expression: $(P \text{ FILTER } R)$

- $P$ is a graph pattern
- $R$ is a built-in condition

We consider in $R$:

- equality $=$ among variables and RDF terms
- unary predicate bound
- boolean combinations ($\wedge$, $\vee$, $\neg$)

# Satisfaction of value constraints

A mapping $\mu$ satisfies a condition $R$ ($\mu \models R$) if:

# Satisfaction of value constraints

A mapping $\mu$ satisfies a condition $R$ ($\mu \models R$) if:

- $R$ is $?X = c$, $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu)$ and $\mu(?X) = c$
- $R$ is $?X = ?Y$, $?X, ?Y \in \text{dom}(\mu)$ and $\mu(?X) = \mu(?Y)$
- $R$ is bound$(?X)$ and $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu)$

# Satisfaction of value constraints

A mapping $\mu$ satisfies a condition $R$ ($\mu \models R$) if:

- $R$ is $?X = c$, $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu)$ and $\mu(?X) = c$
- $R$ is $?X = ?Y$, $?X, ?Y \in \text{dom}(\mu)$ and $\mu(?X) = \mu(?Y)$
- $R$ is bound$(?X)$ and $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu)$
- usual rules for Boolean connectives

# Satisfaction of value constraints

A mapping $\mu$ satisfies a condition $R$ ($\mu \models R$) if:

- $R$ is $?X = c$, $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu)$ and $\mu(?X) = c$
- $R$ is $?X = ?Y$, $?X, ?Y \in \text{dom}(\mu)$ and $\mu(?X) = \mu(?Y)$
- $R$ is bound$(?X)$ and $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu)$
- usual rules for Boolean connectives

## Definition

FILTER : selects mappings that satisfy a condition

$$[\![(P \text{ FILTER } R)]\!]_G = \{\mu \in [\![P]\!]_G \mid \mu \models R\}$$

# Outline of the talk

- RDF and SPARQL
- New features in SPARQL 1.1
  - Entailment regimes for RDFS and OWL
  - Navigational capabilities: Property paths
  - An operator to distribute the execution of a query
- Take-home message

# SPARQL 1.1

A new version of SPARQL was released in March 2013:
SPARQL 1.1

Some new features in SPARQL 1.1:

- Entailment regimes for RDFS and OWL
- Navigational capabilities: Property paths
- An operator (SERVICE) to distribute the execution of a query

Also in this version: Nesting of SELECT expressions, aggregates and some forms of negation (NOT EXISTS, MINUS)

# Outline of the talk

- RDF and SPARQL
- New features in SPARQL 1.1
  - Entailment regimes for RDFS and OWL
  - Navigational capabilities: Property paths
  - An operator to distribute the execution of a query
- Take-home message

# Syntax of RDFS

RDFS extends RDF with a schema vocabulary: subPropertyOf (rdfs:sp), subClassOf (rdfs:sc), domain (rdfs:dom), range (rdfs:range), type (rdfs:type).

# Syntax of RDFS

RDFS extends RDF with a schema vocabulary: subPropertyOf (rdfs:sp), subClassOf (rdfs:sc), domain (rdfs:dom), range (rdfs:range), type (rdfs:type).

How do we evaluate a query over RDFS data?

# A simple SPARQL query: (Messi, rdfs:type, person)



# Semantics of RDFS

Checking whether a triple $t$ is in a graph $G$ is the basic step when answering queries over RDF.

- For the case of RDFS, we need to check whether $t$ is implied by $G$

The notion of entailment in RDFS can be defined as for first-order logic.

This notion can also be characterized by a set of inference rules.

# An inference system for RDFS

Sub-property :

$$\frac{(\mathcal{A},\ \text{rdfs:sp},\ \mathcal{B})\ (\mathcal{B},\ \text{rdfs:sp},\ \mathcal{C})}{(\mathcal{A},\ \text{rdfs:sp},\ \mathcal{C})}$$

$$\frac{(\mathcal{A},\ \text{rdfs:sp},\ \mathcal{B})\ (\mathcal{X},\ \mathcal{A},\ \mathcal{Y})}{(\mathcal{X},\ \mathcal{B},\ \mathcal{Y})}$$

Subclass :

$$\frac{(\mathcal{A},\ \text{rdfs:sc},\ \mathcal{B})\ (\mathcal{B},\ \text{rdfs:sc},\ \mathcal{C})}{(\mathcal{A},\ \text{rdfs:sc},\ \mathcal{C})}$$

$$\frac{(\mathcal{A},\ \text{rdfs:sc},\ \mathcal{B})\ (\mathcal{X},\ \text{rdfs:type},\ \mathcal{A})}{(\mathcal{X},\ \text{rdfs:type},\ \mathcal{B})}$$

Typing :

$$\frac{(\mathcal{A},\ \text{rdfs:dom},\ \mathcal{B})\ (\mathcal{X},\ \mathcal{A},\ \mathcal{Y})}{(\mathcal{X},\ \text{rdfs:type},\ \mathcal{B})}$$

$$\frac{(\mathcal{A},\ \text{rdfs:range},\ \mathcal{B})\ (\mathcal{X},\ \mathcal{A},\ \mathcal{Y})}{(\mathcal{Y},\ \text{rdfs:type},\ \mathcal{B})}$$

# Entailment in RDFS

**Theorem (H03,MPG09,GHM11)**

*The previous system of inference rules characterize the notion of entailment in RDFS (without blank nodes).*

Thus, a triple $t$ can be deduced from an RDF graph $G$ ($G \models t$) iff $t$ can be deduced from $G$ by applying the inference rules a finite number of times.

# An entailment regime for RDFS in SPARQL 1.1

Basic graph patterns are evaluated by considering RDFS entailment.

## Definition

The evaluation of a bgp $P$ over an RDF graph $G$, denoted by $[\![P]\!]_G$, is the set of mappings $\mu$:

- $\text{dom}(\mu) = \text{var}(P)$
- there exists an instance mapping $\sigma$ such that for every $t \in P$: $G \models \mu(\sigma(t))$

# An entailment regime for RDFS in SPARQL 1.1

Basic graph patterns are evaluated by considering RDFS entailment.

## Definition

The evaluation of a bgp $P$ over an RDF graph $G$, denoted by $[\![P]\!]_G$, is the set of mappings $\mu$:

- $\text{dom}(\mu) = \text{var}(P)$
- there exists an instance mapping $\sigma$ such that for every $t \in P$: $G \models \mu(\sigma(t))$

The semantics of AND, UNION, OPT, FILTER and SELECT are defined as before.

- RDFS entailment is only used at the level of bgps

# Entailment regimes in SPARQL 1.1: Some observations

- SPARQL 1.1 can be used to query not only data but also schema information
  - For example: $(?X, \text{rdfs:sc}, \text{person})$

# Entailment regimes in SPARQL 1.1: Some observations

- SPARQL 1.1 can be used to query not only data but also schema information
  - For example: $(?X, \text{rdfs:sc}, \text{person})$
- Basic graph patterns can also be evaluated by considering OWL entailment.
  - $G \models \mu(\sigma(t))$ has to be defined according to the semantics of OWL

# Entailment regimes in SPARQL 1.1: Some observations (cont'd)

- What are the consequences of considering entailment only at the level bgps?

## Example

Let $G$ be a graph consisting of (john, rdfs:type, student) together with:

$$\left.\begin{array}{l}(\text{student}, \text{rdfs:sc}, u)\\ (u, \text{owl:union}, l)\\ (l, \text{rdf:first}, \text{undergrad})\\ (l, \text{rdf:rest}, r)\\ (r, \text{rdf:first}, \text{grad})\\ (r, \text{rdf:rest}, \text{rdf:nil})\end{array}\right\} \text{axiom } \text{student} \sqsubseteq (\text{undergrad} \sqcup \text{grad})$$

What should be the answer to
$P = ((?X, \text{rdfs:type}, \text{undergrad}) \text{ UNION } (?X, \text{rdfs:type}, \text{grad}))$?

- Under the current semantics: $[\![P]\!]_G = \emptyset$

# Entailment regimes in SPARQL 1.1: Some observations (cont'd)

- It is possible to define a certain-answers semantics for SPARQL 1.1.
  - Previous example shows that this semantics does not coincide with the official semantics of SPARQL 1.1

# Entailment regimes in SPARQL 1.1: Some observations (cont'd)

- It is possible to define a certain-answers semantics for SPARQL 1.1.
  - Previous example shows that this semantics does not coincide with the official semantics of SPARQL 1.1

But what happens if we focus on the case of RDFS?

- The semantics do not coincide as the following operator can be expressed in the language:

$$[\![(P_1 \text{ MINUS } P_2)]\!]_G \quad = \quad [\![P_1]\!]_G \setminus [\![P_2]\!]_G$$

# Entailment regimes in SPARQL 1.1: Some observations (cont'd)

- It is possible to define a certain-answers semantics for SPARQL 1.1.
  - Previous example shows that this semantics does not coincide with the official semantics of SPARQL 1.1

But what happens if we focus on the case of RDFS?

- The semantics do not coincide as the following operator can be expressed in the language:

$$[\![(P_1 \text{ MINUS } P_2)]\!]_G \quad = \quad [\![P_1]\!]_G \setminus [\![P_2]\!]_G$$

## Open issues

- How natural is the semantics of SPARQL 1.1? Is it a good semantics? Why?
- Under which (natural) restrictions these two semantics coincide?

# Outline of the talk

- RDF and SPARQL
- New features in SPARQL 1.1
  - Entailment regimes for RDFS and OWL
  - Navigational capabilities: Property paths
  - An operator to distribute the execution of a query
- Take-home message

# SPARQL provides limited navigational capabilities



# SPARQL provides limited navigational capabilities




(SELECT ?$X$ ((?$X$, friendOf, ?$Y$) AND (?$Y$, name, George)))

# SPARQL provides limited navigational capabilities




(SELECT ?$X$ ((?$X$, friendOf, ?$Y$) AND (?$Y$, name, George)))

# SPARQL provides limited navigational capabilities




(SELECT ?$X$ ((?$X$, friendOf, ?$Y$) AND (?$Y$, name, George)))

# A possible solution: Property paths



# A possible solution: Property paths




(SELECT ?$X$ ((?$X$, (friendOf)*, ?$Y$) AND (?$Y$, name, George)))

# Navigational capabilities in SPARQL 1.1: Property paths

Syntax of property paths:

$$exp \quad := \quad a \;\mid\; exp/exp \;\mid\; exp|exp \;\mid\; exp^*$$

where $a \in \mathbf{I}$

# Navigational capabilities in SPARQL 1.1: Property paths

Syntax of property paths:

$$exp \quad := \quad a \;\mid\; exp/exp \;\mid\; exp|exp \;\mid\; exp^*$$

where $a \in \mathbf{I}$

Other expressions are allowed:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| $\hat{}exp$ | : | inverse path |
| $!(a_1\|\ldots\|a_n)$ | : | an IRI which is not one of $a_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ |

# Evaluating property paths

The evaluation of a property path over an RDF graph $G$ is defined as follows:

# Evaluating property paths

The evaluation of a property path over an RDF graph $G$ is defined as follows:

$$[\![a]\!]_G \quad = \quad \{(x, y) \mid (x, a, y) \in G\}$$

# Evaluating property paths

The evaluation of a property path over an RDF graph $G$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [\![a]\!]_G &= \{(x,y) \mid (x,a,y) \in G\} \\ [\![exp_1/exp_2]\!]_G &= \{(x,y) \mid \exists z\ (x,z) \in [\![exp_1]\!]_G \text{ and } (z,y) \in [\![exp_2]\!]_G\} \end{aligned}$$

# Evaluating property paths

The evaluation of a property path over an RDF graph $G$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\![a]\!]_G &= \{(x,y) \mid (x,a,y) \in G\} \\
[\![exp_1/exp_2]\!]_G &= \{(x,y) \mid \exists z\ (x,z) \in [\![exp_1]\!]_G \text{ and } (z,y) \in [\![exp_2]\!]_G\} \\
[\![exp_1|exp_2]\!]_G &= [\![exp_1]\!]_G \cup [\![exp_2]\!]_G
\end{aligned}$$

# Evaluating property paths

The evaluation of a property path over an RDF graph $G$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\![a]\!]_G &= \{(x,y) \mid (x,a,y) \in G\} \\
[\![exp_1/exp_2]\!]_G &= \{(x,y) \mid \exists z\ (x,z) \in [\![exp_1]\!]_G \text{ and } (z,y) \in [\![exp_2]\!]_G\} \\
[\![exp_1|exp_2]\!]_G &= [\![exp_1]\!]_G \cup [\![exp_2]\!]_G \\
[\![exp^*]\!]_G &= \{(a,a) \mid a \text{ is an IRI in } G\} \cup [\![exp]\!]_G \cup [\![exp/exp]\!]_G \cup [\![exp/exp/exp]\!]_G \cup \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

# Property paths in SPARQL 1.1

New element in SPARQL 1.1: A triple of the form $(x, exp, y)$

- $exp$ is a property path
- $x$ (resp. $y$) is either an element from $\mathbf{I}$ or a variable

# Property paths in SPARQL 1.1

New element in SPARQL 1.1: A triple of the form $(x, exp, y)$

- $exp$ is a property path
- $x$ (resp. $y$) is either an element from **I** or a variable

## Example

- $(?X, (\text{rdfs:sc})^*, \text{person})$: Verifies whether the value stored in $?X$ is a subclass of person
- $(?X, (\text{rdfs:sp})^*, ?Y)$: Verifies whether the value stored in $?X$ is a subproperty of the value stored in $?Y$

# Semantics of property paths

Evaluation of $t = (?X, exp, ?Y)$ over an RDF graph $G$ is the set of mappings $\mu$ such that:

# Semantics of property paths

Evaluation of $t = (?X, exp, ?Y)$ over an RDF graph $G$ is the set of mappings $\mu$ such that:

- The domain of $\mu$ is $\{?X, ?Y\}$, and
- $(\mu(?X), \mu(?Y)) \in [\![exp]\!]_G$

# Semantics of property paths

Evaluation of $t = (?X, exp, ?Y)$ over an RDF graph $G$ is the set of mappings $\mu$ such that:

- The domain of $\mu$ is $\{?X, ?Y\}$, and
- $(\mu(?X), \mu(?Y)) \in [\![exp]\!]_G$

Other cases are defined analogously.

# Semantics of property paths

Evaluation of $t = (?X, exp, ?Y)$ over an RDF graph $G$ is the set of mappings $\mu$ such that:

- The domain of $\mu$ is $\{?X, ?Y\}$, and
- $(\mu(?X), \mu(?Y)) \in [\![exp]\!]_G$

Other cases are defined analogously.

## Example

- $((?X, \text{KLM}/(\text{KLM})^*, ?Y)$ FILTER $\neg(?X = ?Y)$): It is possible to go from $?X$ to $?Y$ by using the airline KLM, where $?X$, $?Y$ are different cities

# SPARQL 1.1: Entailment regimes and property paths

List the pairs $a, b$ of cities such that there is a way to travel from $a$ to $b$.



# SPARQL 1.1: Entailment regimes and property paths

List the pairs $a, b$ of cities such that there is a way to travel from $a$ to $b$.




In SPARQL 1.1: $(?X, \text{transportation\_service}^*, ?Y)$

# Navigational capabilities in SPARQL 1.1: Some observations

- Previous query can be expressed in SPARQL 1.1 as the intermediate form of navigation involves RDFS vocabulary.

Not expressible: List pairs $a$, $b$ of persons that are connected through a path of nodes certified by certifying_agency [RK13]:



# Navigational capabilities in SPARQL 1.1: Some observations (cont'd)

- Some proposals solve the aforementioned issues: nSPARQL [PAG10], nested monadically defined queries [RK13], triple algebra [LRV13]
  - RDFS entailment can be handled in these proposals by using navigational capabilities

# Navigational capabilities in SPARQL 1.1: Some observations (cont'd)

- Some proposals solve the aforementioned issues: nSPARQL [PAG10], nested monadically defined queries [RK13], triple algebra [LRV13]
  - RDFS entailment can be handled in these proposals by using navigational capabilities

## Open issues

- How can OWL entailment be handled in these proposals?
- What navigational capabilities should be added to SPARQL 1.1?
- There is a need for query languages that can return paths

# Outline of the talk

- RDF and SPARQL
- New features in SPARQL 1.1
  - Entailment regimes for RDFS and OWL
  - Navigational capabilities: Property paths
  - An operator to distribute the execution of a query
- Take-home message

# RFD graphs can be interconnected

```
       : <http://dblp.l3s.de/d2r/resource/authors/>
dbpedia: <http://dbpedia.org/resource/>
    rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
   rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#>
    owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#>
   yago: <http://dbpedia.org/class/yago>
    dbo: <http://dbpedia.org/ontology/>
```



# Querying interconnected RDF graphs

Retrieve the authors that have published in PODS and were born in Oklahoma:

```
SELECT ?Author
WHERE
{
  ?Paper      dc:creator     ?Author .
  ?Paper      dct:PartOf     ?Conf .
  ?Conf       swrc:series    conf:pods .
  SERVICE <http://dbpedia.org/sparql> {
    ?Person     owl:sameAs     ?Author .
    ?Person     dbo:birthPlace dbpedia:Oklahoma . }
}
```

# Federation in SPARQL 1.1

New rule to generate graph patterns:

- If $P$ is a graph pattern and $c \in (\mathbf{I} \cup \mathbf{V})$, then (SERVICE $c$ $P$) is a graph pattern.

# Federation in SPARQL 1.1

New rule to generate graph patterns:

- If $P$ is a graph pattern and $c \in (\mathbf{I} \cup \mathbf{V})$, then (SERVICE $c$ $P$) is a graph pattern.

We will define the semantics of this new operator.

- This corresponds with the official semantics for (SERVICE $c$ $P$) with $c \in \mathbf{I}$
- (SERVICE ?$X$ $P$) is allowed in the official specification of SPARQL 1.1, but its semantics is not defined

# Semantics of SERVICE

ep($\cdot$): Partial function from $\mathbf{I}$ to the set of all RDF graphs

- If $c \in \text{dom}(\text{ep})$, then $\text{ep}(c)$ is the RDF graph associated with the endpoint accessible via $c$

# Semantics of SERVICE

ep(·): Partial function from **I** to the set of all RDF graphs

- If $c \in \text{dom(ep)}$, then $\text{ep}(c)$ is the RDF graph associated with the endpoint accessible via $c$

## Definition (BACP13)

The evaluation of $P = (\text{SERVICE } c\ P_1)$ over an RDF graph $G$ is defined as:

- if $c \in \text{dom(ep)}$, then $[\![P]\!]_G = [\![P_1]\!]_{\text{ep}(c)}$
- if $c \in \mathbf{I} \setminus \text{dom(ep)}$, then $[\![P]\!]_G = \{\mu_\emptyset\}$ (where $\mu_\emptyset$ is the mapping with empty domain)
- if $c \in \mathbf{V}$, then

$$[\![P]\!]_G = \bigcup_{a \in \text{dom(ep)}} \left( [\![P_1]\!]_{\text{ep}(a)} \bowtie \{\mu_{c \to a}\} \right),$$

where $\mu_{c \to a}$ is a mapping such that $\text{dom}(\mu_{c \to a}) = \{c\}$ and $\mu_{c \to a}(c) = a$

# Are variables useful in SERVICE queries?

Consider the query:

$$(?X, \text{service\_address}, ?Y) \text{ AND } (\text{SERVICE } ?Y \ (?N, \text{email}, ?E))$$

# Are variables useful in SERVICE queries?

Consider the query:

$$(?X, \text{service\_address}, ?Y) \text{ AND } (\text{SERVICE } ?Y \ (?N, \text{email}, ?E))$$

There is a simple strategy to compute the answer to this query.

- Can this strategy be generalized?

# How can we evaluate SERVICE queries?

We need some notion of boundedness

- A variable $?X$ is bound in a graph pattern $P$ if for every RDF graph $G$ and every $\mu \in [\![P]\!]_G$, it holds that $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu)$ and $\mu(?X)$ is mentioned in $G$

First attempt: Graph pattern $P$ can be evaluated if for every sub-pattern (SERVICE $?X$ $P_1$) of $P$, it holds that $?X$ is bound in $P$

- $?Y$ is bound in
  $(?X, \text{service\_address}, ?Y)$ AND (SERVICE $?Y$ $(?N, \text{email}, ?E)$)

# The first attempt: Too restrictive

Consider the query:

$$(?X, \text{service\_description}, ?Z) \text{ UNION } \Big( (?X, \text{service\_address}, ?Y) \text{ AND } (\text{SERVICE } ?Y \ (?N, \text{email}, ?E)) \Big)$$

$?Y$ is not bound in this query, but there is a simple strategy to evaluate it.

# The first attempt: Not appropriate for nested SERVICE operators

Consider the query:

$$(?U_1, \text{related\_with}, ?U_2) \ \text{AND} \ \Big[\text{SERVICE } ?U_1 \ \Big((?N, \text{email}, ?E) \ \text{OPT} \ (\text{SERVICE } ?U_2 \ (?N, \text{phone}, ?F))\Big)\Big]$$

# Solving the problems . . .

Notation: $\mathcal{T}(P)$ is the *parse* tree of $P$, in which every node corresponds to a sub-pattern of $P$

Parse tree of $(?Y, a, ?Z)$ UNION $((?X, b, c)$ AND (SERVICE $?X$ $(?Y, a, ?Z)))$:



# A more appropriate notion of boundedness

## Definition (BACP13)

A graph pattern $P$ is service-bound if for every node $u$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label (SERVICE ?$X$ $P_1$), it holds that:

- there exists a node $v$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label $P_2$ such that $v$ is an ancestor of $u$ in $\mathcal{T}(P)$ and ?$X$ is bound in $P_2$
- $P_1$ is service-bound

# A more appropriate notion of boundedness

## Definition (BACP13)

A graph pattern $P$ is service-bound if for every node $u$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label (SERVICE $?X$ $P_1$), it holds that:

- there exists a node $v$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label $P_2$ such that $v$ is an ancestor of $u$ in $\mathcal{T}(P)$ and $?X$ is bound in $P_2$
- $P_1$ is service-bound

Examples:



# A more appropriate notion of boundedness

## Definition (BACP13)

A graph pattern $P$ is service-bound if for every node $u$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label (SERVICE ?$X$ $P_1$), it holds that:

- there exists a node $v$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label $P_2$ such that $v$ is an ancestor of $u$ in $\mathcal{T}(P)$ and ?$X$ is bound in $P_2$
- $P_1$ is service-bound

Examples:



# A more appropriate notion of boundedness

## Definition (BACP13)

A graph pattern $P$ is service-bound if for every node $u$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label (SERVICE $?X$ $P_1$), it holds that:

- there exists a node $v$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label $P_2$ such that $v$ is an ancestor of $u$ in $\mathcal{T}(P)$ and $?X$ is bound in $P_2$
- $P_1$ is service-bound

Examples:



# A more appropriate notion of boundedness

## Definition (BACP13)

A graph pattern $P$ is service-bound if for every node $u$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label (SERVICE $?X$ $P_1$), it holds that:

- there exists a node $v$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label $P_2$ such that $v$ is an ancestor of $u$ in $\mathcal{T}(P)$ and $?X$ is bound in $P_2$
- $P_1$ is service-bound

Examples:

$(?Y, a, ?Z)$

# A more appropriate notion of boundedness

## Definition (BACP13)

A graph pattern $P$ is service-bound if for every node $u$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label (SERVICE $?X$ $P_1$), it holds that:

- there exists a node $v$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label $P_2$ such that $v$ is an ancestor of $u$ in $\mathcal{T}(P)$ and $?X$ is bound in $P_2$
- $P_1$ is service-bound

Examples:



# A more appropriate notion of boundedness

## Definition (BACP13)

A graph pattern $P$ is service-bound if for every node $u$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label (SERVICE $?X$ $P_1$), it holds that:

- there exists a node $v$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label $P_2$ such that $v$ is an ancestor of $u$ in $\mathcal{T}(P)$ and $?X$ is bound in $P_2$
- $P_1$ is service-bound

Examples:



# A more appropriate notion of boundedness

## Definition (BACP13)

A graph pattern $P$ is service-bound if for every node $u$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label (SERVICE $?X$ $P_1$), it holds that:

- there exists a node $v$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label $P_2$ such that $v$ is an ancestor of $u$ in $\mathcal{T}(P)$ and $?X$ is bound in $P_2$
- $P_1$ is service-bound

Examples:



# A more appropriate notion of boundedness

## Definition (BACP13)

A graph pattern $P$ is service-bound if for every node $u$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label (SERVICE $?X$ $P_1$), it holds that:

- there exists a node $v$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label $P_2$ such that $v$ is an ancestor of $u$ in $\mathcal{T}(P)$ and $?X$ is bound in $P_2$
- $P_1$ is service-bound

Examples:



# A more appropriate notion of boundedness

## Definition (BACP13)

A graph pattern $P$ is service-bound if for every node $u$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label (SERVICE $?X$ $P_1$), it holds that:

- there exists a node $v$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label $P_2$ such that $v$ is an ancestor of $u$ in $\mathcal{T}(P)$ and $?X$ is bound in $P_2$
- $P_1$ is service-bound

Examples:



# A more appropriate notion of boundedness (cont'd)

But we still have a problem:

**Proposition (BACP13)**

*The problem of verifying, given a graph pattern $P$, whether $P$ is service-bound is undecidable.*

We consider a (syntactic) sufficient condition for service-boundedness.

# An appropriate notion: Service-safeness

The set of strongly bound variables in $P$, denoted by $\mathrm{SB}(P)$, is recursively defined as follows:

- if $P$ is a bgp, then $\mathrm{SB}(P) = \mathrm{var}(P)$
- if $P = (P_1 \text{ AND } P_2)$, then $\mathrm{SB}(P) = \mathrm{SB}(P_1) \cup \mathrm{SB}(P_2)$
- if $P = (P_1 \text{ UNION } P_2)$, then $\mathrm{SB}(P) = \mathrm{SB}(P_1) \cap \mathrm{SB}(P_2)$
- if $P = (P_1 \text{ OPT } P_2)$, then $\mathrm{SB}(P) = \mathrm{SB}(P_1)$
- if $P = (P_1 \text{ FILTER } R)$, then $\mathrm{SB}(P) = \mathrm{SB}(P_1)$
- if $P = (\text{SERVICE } c\ P_1)$, then $\mathrm{SB}(P) = \emptyset$

# An appropriate notion: Service-safeness (cont'd)

## Definition (BACP13)

A graph pattern $P$ is service-safe if for every node $u$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label (SERVICE $?X$ $P_1$), it holds that:

- there exists a node $v$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label $P_2$ such that $v$ is an ancestor of $u$ in $\mathcal{T}(P)$ and $?X \in \mathrm{SB}(P_2)$
- $P_1$ is service-safe

If $P$ is service-safe, then there is a strategy to evaluate $P$ without considering all possible SPARQL endpoints.

# An appropriate notion: Service-safeness (cont'd)

## Definition (BACP13)

A graph pattern $P$ is service-safe if for every node $u$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label (SERVICE $?X$ $P_1$), it holds that:

- there exists a node $v$ of $\mathcal{T}(P)$ with label $P_2$ such that $v$ is an ancestor of $u$ in $\mathcal{T}(P)$ and $?X \in \mathrm{SB}(P_2)$
- $P_1$ is service-safe

If $P$ is service-safe, then there is a strategy to evaluate $P$ without considering all possible SPARQL endpoints.

## Open issue

Is service-safeness the right condition to ensure that a query containing the SERVICE operator can be executed? Why?

# Outline of the talk

- RDF and SPARQL
- New features in SPARQL 1.1
  - Entailment regimes for RDFS and OWL
  - Navigational capabilities: Property paths
  - An operator to distribute the execution of a query
- Take-home message

# Take-home message

- RDF is the framework proposed by the W3C to represent information in the Web
- SPARQL is the W3C recommendation query language for RDF (January 2008)
- SPARLQ 1.1 is the new version of SPARQL (March 2013)
- SPARQL 1.1 includes some interesting and useful new features
  - Entailment regimes for RDFS and OWL, navigational capabilities and an operator to distribute the execution of a query
  - There are some interesting open issues about these features

# Thank you!

# Bibliography


[BACP13] C. Buil-Aranda, M. Arenas, O. Corcho, A. Polleres: Federating queries in SPARQL 1.1: Syntax, semantics and evaluation. J. Web Sem. 18(1): 1-17 (2013)

[GHM11] C. Gutierrez, C. A. Hurtado, A. O. Mendelzon, J. Pérez: Foundations of Semantic Web databases. J. Comput. Syst. Sci. 77(3): 520-541 (2011)

[H04] P. Hayes: RDF Semantics. W3C Recommendation 10 February 2004

# Bibliography (cont'd)


[LRV13] L. Libkin, J. L. Reutter, D. Vrgoc: Trial for RDF: adapting graph query languages for RDF data. PODS 2013: 201-212

[MPG09] S. Muñoz, J. Pérez, C. Gutierrez: Simple and Efficient Minimal RDFS. J. Web Sem. 7(3): 220-234 (2009)

[PAG10] J. Pérez, M. Arenas, C. Gutierrez: nSPARQL: A navigational language for RDF. J. Web Sem. 8(4): 255-270 (2010)

[RK13] S. Rudolph, M. Krötzsch: Flag & check: data access with monadically defined queries. PODS 2013: 151-162