

Recall that we have the determinant map

$$\det : \mathbb{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times.$$

Composing it with the quotient map $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times / \mathbb{F}_p^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ gives a homomorphism

$$\zeta_2 : \mathbb{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Denote by \mathbb{G}_2^1 the kernel of ζ_2 and let $\bar{S} = E_2^{h\mathbb{G}_2^1}$.

For a Morava module $M \in \text{Mod}_{(E_2)_*}^{\mathbb{G}_2}$, let $M[\det^k] \in \text{Mod}_{(E_2)_*}^{\mathbb{G}_2}$ be the Morava module twisted by $\det^k : \mathbb{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \subset (E_2)_0^\times$. To be explicit, the twisted \mathbb{G}_2 action on $M[\det^k]$ is given by

$$g_{\det^k} m = \det(g)^k gm.$$

Recall from the unpublished result of Hopkins that

$$\text{Pic}(Sp_{K(2)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}/2(p^2 - 1)$$

is topologically generated by $L_{K(2)}S^1$ and $S[\det]$. The isomorphism can be chosen such that $L_{K(2)}S^1$ and $S[\det]$ correspond to $(1, 0, 1)$ and $(0, 1, 2(p+1))$ respectively. The determinant sphere $S[\det]$ satisfies that $(E_2)_*^\vee S[\det] \cong (E_2)_*[\det]$ as Morava modules.

Lemma 0.1. *There is an isomorphism of Morava modules*

$$(E_2)_*^\vee \bar{S} \cong (E_2)_*^\vee \bar{S} [\det^{p-1}].$$

Proof. By [DH04, Thm. 2],

$$(E_2)_*^\vee \bar{S} \cong \text{Map}^c(\mathbb{G}_2/\mathbb{G}_2^1, (E_2)_*) \cong \text{Map}^c(\mathbb{Z}_p, (E_2)_*),$$

where the \mathbb{G}_2 -action is given by

$$(g\phi)(x) = g\phi(g^{-1}x) = g\phi(x - \zeta_2(g)).$$

Note that there is a split short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^\times \xrightleftharpoons[\text{mod } p]{\quad} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \xrightleftharpoons[e^{p^x}]{\quad} \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

We then claim that for any $g \in \mathbb{G}_2$,

$$\det(g)^{p-1} = \left(e^{p\zeta_2(g)}\right)^{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times.$$

This is because $\det(g)$ and $e^{p\zeta_2(g)}$ have the same image $\zeta_2(g)$ in \mathbb{Z}_p . After taking $(p-1)^{st}$ power, both of them are congruent to 1 mod p .

Now we construct the following map

$$\begin{aligned} F : \text{Map}^c(\mathbb{Z}_p, (E_2)_*) &\rightarrow \text{Map}^c(\mathbb{Z}_p, (E_2)_*) [\det^{p-1}]; \\ \phi &\mapsto \left(F(\phi) : x \mapsto e^{(p^2-p)x} \phi(x)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$F(g\phi)(x) = e^{(p^2-p)x} (g\phi)(x) = e^{(p^2-p)x} g\phi(x - \zeta_2(g)),$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}
(g_{\det^{p-1}} F)(\phi)(x) &= \det(g)^{p-1} g F(\phi)(x - \zeta_2(g)) \\
&= e^{(p^2-p)\zeta_2(g)} g \left(e^{(p^2-p)(x-\zeta_2(g))} \phi(x - \zeta_2(g)) \right) \\
&= e^{(p^2-p)\zeta_2(g)} e^{(p^2-p)(x-\zeta_2(g))} g \phi(x - \zeta_2(g)) \\
&= e^{(p^2-p)x} g \phi(x - \zeta_2(g)),
\end{aligned}$$

we can see that F is a map of Morava modules. Similarly, we construct the map

$$\begin{aligned}
G : \text{Map}^c(\mathbb{Z}_p, (E_2)_*)[\det^{p-1}] &\rightarrow \text{Map}^c(\mathbb{Z}_p, (E_2)_*); \\
\psi &\mapsto \left(G(\psi) : x \mapsto e^{(p-p^2)x} \psi(x) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
G(g_{\det^{p-1}} \psi)(x) &= e^{(p-p^2)x} \det(g)^{p-1} g \psi(x - \zeta_2(g)) \\
&= e^{(p-p^2)(x-\zeta_2(g))} g \psi(x - \zeta_2(g)) \\
&= g \left(e^{(p-p^2)(x-\zeta_2(g))} \psi(x - \zeta_2(g)) \right) \\
&= (gG)(\psi)(x),
\end{aligned}$$

we can see that G is also a map of Morava modules. It is easy to see that F and G are inverses of each other, and the result follows. \square

Lemma 0.2. *The functor $(E_2)_*^\vee(-)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\pi_0 \text{Map}(\overline{S}, \overline{S}[\det^{p-1}]) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}_{(E_2)_*}^{\mathbb{G}_2}}((E_2)_*^\vee \overline{S}, (E_2)_*^\vee \overline{S}[\det^{p-1}]).$$

Proof. By [Hov04, Thm. 2.6], $(E_2)_*^\vee \overline{S} \cong \text{Map}^c(\mathbb{Z}_p, (E_2)_*)$ is pro-free. By [BH16, Thm. 3.1], there is a $K(2)$ -local E_2 -Adams spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = \widehat{\text{Ext}}_{(E_2)_*^\vee E_2}^{s,t} \left((E_2)_*^\vee E_2^{h\mathbb{G}_2^1}, (E_2)_*^\vee E_2^{h\mathbb{G}_2^1} \right) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s} \text{Map}(\overline{S}, \overline{S}[\det^{p-1}]). \quad (1)$$

By [BH16, Cor. 3.2], there is an isomorphism

$$\widehat{\text{Ext}}_{(E_2)_*^\vee E_2}^{s,t} \left((E_2)_*^\vee E_2^{h\mathbb{G}_2^1}, (E_2)_*^\vee E_2^{h\mathbb{G}_2^1} \right) \cong H_c^s(\mathbb{G}_2^1, \pi_t \text{Map}(\overline{S}, E_2)).$$

By [GHMR05, Prop. 2.5], there is an isomorphism

$$\pi_* \text{Map}(\overline{S}, E_2) = (E_2)_*[[\mathbb{Z}_p]].$$

Then the E_2 -term of the spectral sequence (1) becomes

$$E_2^{s,t} = H_c^s(\mathbb{G}_2^1; (E_2)_t[[\mathbb{Z}_p]]).$$

By [Hen07, Thm. 6], $\text{cd}_p(\mathbb{G}_2^1) \leq 3$, and thus, $E_2^{s,t} = 0$ for $s > 3$. On the other hand, for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \subset \mathbb{G}_2$, we have $\det(a) = a^2$, and hence $\zeta_2(a) = 0$. Therefore, \mathbb{F}_p^\times is a subgroup of \mathbb{G}_2^1 . Furthermore, it is the torsion subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^\times , the center of \mathbb{G}_2 . This implies that \mathbb{F}_p^\times is a normal subgroup of \mathbb{G}_2 , so it is also a normal subgroup of \mathbb{G}_2^1 . Then we have the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$H_c^*(\mathbb{G}_2^1/\mathbb{F}_p^\times; H^*(\mathbb{F}_p^\times; (E_2)_*[[\mathbb{Z}_p]])) \Rightarrow H_c^*(\mathbb{G}_2^1; (E_2)_*[[\mathbb{Z}_p]]).$$

Note that $p - 1$, the order of \mathbb{F}_p^\times , is invertible in $(E_2)_*[[\mathbb{Z}_p]]$, the cohomology $H^*(\mathbb{F}_p^\times; (E_2)_*[[\mathbb{Z}_p]]) \cong (E_2)_*[[\mathbb{Z}_p]]^{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}$ is concentrated at cohomological degree 0. Thus, the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence collapses, and we have

$$H_c^s(\mathbb{G}_2^1; (E_2)_t[[\mathbb{Z}_p]]) \cong H_c^s(\mathbb{G}_2^1/\mathbb{F}_p^\times; (E_2)_t[[\mathbb{Z}_p]]^{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}).$$

Here \mathbb{F}_p^\times acts on $\mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{G}_2/\mathbb{G}_2^1$ trivially. For $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, its action on $(E_2)_{2t}$ is the multiplication by a^t . Therefore, $H_c^s(\mathbb{G}_2^1; (E_2)_t[[\mathbb{Z}_p]])$ is only possibly nontrivial if t is a multiple of $2(p - 1)$. The sparseness then implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0 \text{Map}(\overline{S}, \overline{S}[\det^{p-1}]) &\cong \widehat{\text{Ext}}_{(E_2)_*^\vee E_2}^{0,0} \left((E_2)_*^\vee E_2^{h\mathbb{G}_2^1}, (E_2)_*^\vee E_2^{h\mathbb{G}_2^1} \right) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\widehat{\text{Comod}}_{(E_2)_*^\vee E_2}} \left((E_2)_*^\vee E_2^{h\mathbb{G}_2^1}, (E_2)_*^\vee E_2^{h\mathbb{G}_2^1} \right) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}_{(E_2)_*}^{\mathbb{G}_2}} \left((E_2)_*^\vee E_2^{h\mathbb{G}_2^1}, (E_2)_*^\vee E_2^{h\mathbb{G}_2^1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism is due to [BH16, Cor. 5.5]. Then the result follows. \square

Theorem 0.3. *There is an equivalence*

$$\overline{S}[\det^{p-1}] \simeq \overline{S}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 0.2, there is a map $\overline{S} \rightarrow \overline{S}[\det^{p-1}]$ realizing the isomorphism in Lemma 0.1. Then it induces an equivalence

$$L_{K(2)}(E_2 \wedge \overline{S}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} L_{K(2)}(E_2 \wedge \overline{S}[\det^{p-1}]).$$

By [HS99, Thm. 8.9], this map itself is an equivalence. \square

Corollary 0.4. *There is an equivalence*

$$I_2 \overline{S} \simeq \Sigma^{(1+p+p^2+\dots)|v_2|+q+5} \overline{S}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \overline{S} &\simeq D_2 \overline{S} \wedge I_2 \\ &\simeq \Sigma^{-1} \overline{S} \wedge I_2 \\ &\simeq \Sigma^{-1} \overline{S} \wedge S^2[\det] \\ &\simeq \Sigma^{(1+p+p^2+\dots)|v_2|+q+5} \overline{S}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

REFERENCES

- [BH16] Tobias Barthel and Drew Heard. The E_2 -term of the $K(n)$ -local E_n -Adams spectral sequence. *Topology and its Applications*, 206:190–214, 2016.
- [DH04] Ethan Sander Devinatz and Michael Jerome Hopkins. Homotopy fixed point spectra for closed subgroups of the Morava stabilizer groups. *Topology*, 43(1):1–47, 2004.
- [GHMR05] Paul Goerss, Hans-Werner Henn, Mark Mahowald, and Charles Rezk. A resolution of the $K(2)$ -local sphere at the prime 3. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 777–822, 2005.
- [Hen07] Hans-Werner Henn. On finite resolutions of $K(n)$ -local spheres. *Elliptic cohomology: geometry, applications, and higher chromatic analogues*, pages 122–169, 2007.
- [Hov04] Mark Hovey. Operations and co-operations in Morava E -theory. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 6(1):201–236, 2004.
- [HS99] Mark Hovey and Neil Patrick Strickland. *Morava K -theories and localisation*, volume 666. American Mathematical Soc., 1999.