

## Computer Exercise Week 6

STAT3023: Statistical Inference

Semester 2, 2021

Lecturers: Neville Weber and Michael Stewart

Suppose  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a vector of iid RVs with common PDF  $f_\theta(\cdot)$  where

$$f_\theta(x) = \frac{1}{\theta} g\left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)$$

for a known PDF  $g(\cdot)$  which possesses a continuous derivative. The family  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_\theta(\cdot) : \theta > 0\}$  is thus a *scale family* and  $\theta$  is a *scale parameter*, like the standard deviation in the normal family. The Cramér-Rao Lower Bound for variance of an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  in such a family based on  $n$  iid observations is given by

$$\frac{1}{nI_\theta} \quad \text{where} \quad I_\theta = \frac{J(g) - 1}{\theta^2} \quad \text{and} \quad J(g) = \int \frac{[xg'(x)]^2}{g(x)} dx \quad (1)$$

(this is verified in the Advanced Workshop).

We shall study what happens when

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$$

is the Cauchy density (same as Student's- $t$  with 1 degree of freedom, is also the density of the ratio of two independent  $N(0, 1)$  random variables); note that the quartiles of  $g(\cdot)$  are  $\pm 1$ , and also that neither the mean nor the variance exist! We shall consider two estimators of  $\theta$  based on  $\mathbf{X}$ :

- $\hat{\theta}_{\text{IQR}}(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{\text{IQR}(\mathbf{X})}{2}$ ;
  - $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}(\mathbf{X})$ , the maximum likelihood estimator (obtained *numerically* using R);
1. Generate a sample of size `n=100` from the Cauchy distribution with `scale=0.75` (see the help for `rcauchy()`). Print its `summary()` and draw a (horizontal) boxplot (try setting `fig.height=3` in the Rmarkdown chunk options). You might also want to use `set.seed()` to make sure you get the same generated sample each time you “knit”.
  2. Compute the value of the estimator  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{IQR}}$  for your generated sample using the `IQR()` function. Save it as `th.hat.IQR`.
  3. We shall find the maximum likelihood estimate numerically. First, write a function that computes the Cauchy scale log-likelihood:

```
cauchy.scale.logL=function(th,x) {  
  sum(dcauchy(x,scale=th,log=T))  
}
```

We verify in the Advanced Workshop that  $\min_i |X_i| \leq \hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} \leq \max_i |X_i|$ . Create a vector `log.th` of length 100 equally spaced values between the `log()` of these two extreme possibilities, then write a loop to create a vector `logL`, so that `logL[i]` contains the log-likelihood for  $\theta = \exp(\text{log.th}[i])$ . Finally, plot `logL` versus `log.th` and add a vertical blue line indicating the value of `log(th.hat.IQR)`. **Why are we plotting against  $\log(\theta)$  instead of  $\theta$ ?**

4. The R function `optimise()` can find the maximum (or minimum) value of a function as well as the corresponding maximiser (or minimiser). Execute the command

```
optimise(cauchy.scale.logL, lower=min(abs(x)), upper=max(abs(x)), x=x, maximum=T)

## $maximum
## [1] 0.6617755
##
## $objective
## [1] -215.1418
```

Write a function which takes as argument a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  and then outputs the mle of the Cauchy scale parameter. Call this function `cauchy.scale.mle`. Use it to obtain the value of  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}$ ; call it `th.hat.MLE`. Recreate the plot above and add to it a vertical red line indicating the value of `log(th.hat.MLE)`.

5. We now perform a loop to see how the two estimators perform over a range of  $\theta$  values. Following the same basic method as in week 5, at each value of `th=(1:39)/40`, generate  $N=10000$  (you may reduce this to  $N=1000$  if it is taking too long) Cauchy samples of size  $n=100$  with that scale parameter, saving the estimates in `th.hat.IQR` and `th.hat.MLE` respectively and save the average squared errors in the corresponding elements of `MSE.IQR` and `MSE.MLE` respectively. Finally, plot `MSE.IQR` against `th` as a blue curve, and also add a plot of `MSE.MLE` against `th` as a red curve.
6. We are going to see how these curves compare with theory.
  - (a) We verify in the Advanced Workshop that for a sample of size  $n$  from a continuous density  $f(\cdot)$ , symmetric about zero with quartiles at  $\pm q$ , the sample IQR is  $AN\left(2q, \frac{1}{4nf(q)^2}\right)$ . Use this to deduce an (approximate) MSE for  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{IQR}}$  and add this as a dotted line to the plot.
  - (b) According to our theory for “nice” models, the maximum likelihood estimator is AMVU, which in this case means

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}(\mathbf{X}) \sim AN\left(\theta, \frac{1}{nI_\theta}\right),$$

where  $I_\theta$  is given at (1) above. We can also *numerically* determine the value of the integral  $J(g)$  in this case using the R function `integrate()`:

- (i) Write an appropriate function of the form

```
integrand=function(x) { ... }
```

defining the integrand of the integral  $J(g)$ .

- (ii) Then, use

```
J=integrate(integrand, lower=-Inf, upper=Inf)$value
```

to numerically approximate the integral.

- (iii) Use the value of  $J$  computed above and the function `lines(..., lty=2)` to add a plot of the asymptotic variance against `th` to the MSE plots.

- (c) Add an appropriate legend, axis labels and heading to the graph (**hint:** try `xlab=expression(theta)`).

7. Comment on the plot.