CS-225: Discrete Structures in CS

Homework 2, Part 1 Exercise Set. 3.1

Page 1

Problem 32 (b, d)

Determine if the statements are true or false, giving counterexamples if false.

Let \mathbf{R} be the domain of the predicate variable x.

(b)
$$x > 2 \implies x^2 > 4$$

(b)
$$x > 2 \Longrightarrow x^2 > 4$$

(d) $x^2 > 4 \Longleftrightarrow |x| > 2$

(b) True: Any real number greater than 2 is greater than 4 when raised to the second power.

$$2.1^2$$
 or $\frac{21}{10}^2 = 4.41$ or $4\frac{41}{100}$

(d) False: Both predicates must have identical truth sets.

$$(-2) > 4$$
 but $|-2| \not > 2$.

CS-225: Discrete Structures in CS

Homework 2, Part 1 Exercise Set. 3.2

Page 1

Problem 4(b, d)

Write an informal negation for these statements:

- (b) All graphs are connected.
- (d) Some estimates are accurate.

Answer:

- (b) Some graphs are not connected.
- (d) No estimates are accurate.

Mason Blanford CS-225: Discrete Structures in CS

Homework 2, Part 1 Exercise Set. 3.2

Page 2

Problem 12

Determine if the proposed negation is correct. If not, write a correct negation.

Statement: The product of any irrational number and any rational number is irrational. Proposed negation: The product of any irrational number and any rational number is rational.

Answer

The proposed negation is not correct. The negation of a universal statement — e.g., the product of any irrational number and any rational number is irrational — would mean if only one product of an irrational and rational number is rational, then the statement is false.

Correct negation: There is at least one irrational number and one rational number whose product is rational.

CS-225: Discrete Structures in CS

Homework 2, Part 1 Exercise Set. 3.2

Page 3

Problem 29

Write the contrapositive, converse, and inverse of the statement. Determine the true and false statements, with counterexamples of false statements.

Statement: $\forall n \in \mathbf{Z}$, if n is prime then n is odd or n = 2.

Contrapositive: $\forall n \in \mathbf{Z}$, if it is the case that n is **neither** odd **nor** n=2 then n is not prime.

Converse: $\forall n \in \mathbf{Z}$, if it is the case that n is odd or n = 2 then n is prime.

Inverse $\forall n \in \mathbf{Z}$, if n is not prime then it is the case that n is **neither** odd **nor** n = 2.

Answer:

The statement and its contrapositive are true.

The statement's converse and inverse are false. As a counterexample, let n=35. Then n is odd and $n \neq 2$, but n is not prime because the numbers dividing 35 evenly are $\{1,5,7,35\}$.

CS-225: Discrete Structures in CS

Homework 2, Part 1 Exercise Set. 3.2

Page 4

Problem 33

Write the contrapositive, converse, and inverse of the statement. Determine the true and false statements, with counterexamples of false statements.

Statement: If a function is differentiable then it is continuous.

Contrapositive: If a function is not continuous then it is not differentiable.

Converse: If a function is continuous then it is differentiable.

Inverse If a function is not differentiable then it is not continuous.

Answer:

The statement and its contrapositive are true.

The statement's converse and inverse are false. As a counterexample, let f(x) = |x+1|.

$$|x+1| = \begin{cases} x+1 & \text{if } x \ge -1\\ -(x+1) & \text{if } x < -1 \end{cases}$$
 (1)

As x approaches -1 from the right-hand side, the limit is 1.

$$\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{f((x+1) + h) - f((x+1))}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{(x+1) + h - (x+1)}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{x + 1 + h - x - 1}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{h}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} 1$$

As x approaches -1 from the left-hand side, the limit is -1.

$$\lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{f(-((x+1) + h)) - f(-(x+1))}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{-x - 1 - h + x + 1}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{-h}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{-}} -1$$

This function is continuous but not differentiable at -1, as the left- and right-side limits do not agree.

Mason Blanford CS-225: Discrete Structures in CS Homework 2, Part 1

Exercise Set. 3.2

Page 5

Problem 46

Rewrite without using the words "necessary" or "sufficient."

The negation of a \forall statement is an \exists statement, and the negation of an "if-then" statement is an "and" statement.

Statement:

Having a large income is not a necessary condition for a person to be happy.

Answer:

The above statement means, "If a person does not have a large income, then that person is not happy," or $s \to r$, where s = "a person is happy" and r = "has a large income."

The negation is $s \wedge \sim r$, or, "There is a person who does not have a large income and is happy."

Mason Blanford CS-225: Discrete Structures in CS

Homework 2, Part 1 Exercise Set. 3.2

Page 6

Problem 48

Rewrite without using the words "necessary" or "sufficient."

The negation of a \forall statement is an \exists statement, and the negation of an "if-then" statement is an "and" statement.

Statement:

Being a polynomial is not a sufficient condition for a function to have a real root.

Answer:

The above statement means, "A function should not need to be a polynomial to have a real root," or $\sim (r \to s)$, where r = "being a polynomial" and s = "having a real root."

The negation of $\sim (r \to s)$ is $\sim r \vee s$, or, "There is a function that is not a polynomial, or it has a real root."

CS-225: Discrete Structures in CS

Homework 2, Part 1 Exercise Set. 3.2

Page 7

Canvas Problem

Express each of the following English sentences in terms of B(x), W(x), S(x) quantifiers and logical connectives. Assume the domain D is the set of all people.

Let B(x), W(x), and S(x) be the predicates:

B(x): x is a female

W(x): x is a good athlete

S(x): x is young

Statements:

- (a) Not all young females are good athletes.
- (b) A person is a good athlete only if it is the case that both she is a female and she is young.
- (c) Some females are not good athletes.
- (d) All good athletes are neither young nor are they female.
- (e) Any person is a good athlete unless he/she is not young.

Answers:

- (a) $\sim \forall x \in D, (B(x) \land S(x)) \to W(x)$ or $\exists x \in D$, such that $(B(x) \land S(x)) \land \sim W(x)$
- (b) $\forall x \in D, W(x) \to (B(x) \land S(x)) \text{ or } \forall x \in D, (\sim B(s) \land \sim S(x)) \to \sim W(x)$
- (c) $\exists x \in D$ such that $B(x) \land \sim W(x)$
- (d) $\forall x \in D, W(x) \land (\sim S(x) \land \sim B(x))$
- (e) $\forall x \in D, \sim S(x) \to W(x)$