

# 1. HOMEWORK 3 SOLUTIONS

## Exercise 1.5.3

(a) Proof by induction:

- (i) Induction Base: If  $A$  and  $B$  are countable then so is  $A \cup B$ . First, we can expand  $A \cup B$  as  $A \cup (B \setminus A)$  and note that  $B \setminus A \subseteq B$  thus it is countable or finite by Theorem 1.5.7. If  $B \setminus A \subseteq B$  is countable, then we can prove the base statement similar to how we prove  $\mathbb{Z} \sim \mathbb{N}$  i.e. we can order  $A \cup B$  as  $\{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, a_3, b_3, \dots\}$  where  $a_i \in A$  and  $b_i \in B \setminus A$ . More precisely we can define a 1-1 and onto map  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A \cup B$  as follows:

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} f_1((n+1)/2) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ f_2(n/2) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

where  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are 1-1 and onto maps from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $A$  and  $B \setminus A$ , respectively. Note that if either  $A$  or  $B \setminus A$  is finite, we continue by listing only  $A$  after  $B \setminus A$  is exhausted. More precisely; let  $m$  be the number of elements in  $B \setminus A$ , we define a 1-1 and onto map  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A \cup B$  as follows;

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} b_n & \text{if } n \leq m \text{ is odd} \\ f_1(n-m) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $f_1$  is 1-1 and onto map from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $A$  and  $B \setminus A = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m\}$ . In either case bijectivity (another word for one-to-one and onto) of  $f$  follows from the fact that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are bijective (1-1 and onto).

- (ii) Induction Hypothesis: We claim that if  $(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n)$  is countable for countable  $A_i$ 's, so is  $(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_{n+1})$ . Let  $A = (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n)$  and  $B = A_{n+1}$ , then the statement follows from the induction base.
- (b) As we have seen in Section 1.1, induction does not extend to  $\infty$ -case. Again, the reason is that  $\infty$  is not a natural number whereas induction provides us with a proof for why a hypothesis is true for any *natural number*  $n$ .
- (c) **Remark:** The idea, as we saw in the class, is to deconstruct  $A_i$ 's, form new finite sets by using the "diagonal argument" and then enumerate these new finite sets. The sets  $A_i$ 's are not necessarily disjoint but that is fine since if multiply counting these repeating elements in the union is giving us a countable set, excluding their multiplicity, we should still obtain an at most countable set. Since  $A_i$ 's are infinite, the union will in fact be countable (as opposed to at most countable). Here we will solidify this hand-wavy argument and write a proof by first forming disjoint sets  $B_i$ 's and then using the "diagonal argument" on the sets  $B_i$ 's.

Similar to part (a), we first construct disjoint sets to avoid technicalities and to make sure that the function we construct will be 1-1. Let  $B_1 = A_1$ , and  $B_n = A_n \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i)$ . That is,  $B_n$ 's are disjoint and countable or finite sets. We want to bijectively map a row  $R_n$  of the rearranged  $\mathbb{N}$  onto  $B_n$  but the subtlety is that  $B_n$  might be finite. Moreover there might be only finitely many countable  $B_n$ 's. Note that, it is easy to show countable or finite union of finite sets is countable or finite. Let  $D$  denote the union of finite  $B_n$ 's. Now we divide the proof into two cases:

- (i) There are only finitely many countable  $B_n$ 's and the rest are finite. Then the result follows from part (a).
- (ii) There are countable many countable  $B_n$ 's and the rest of  $B_n$ 's are finite. To overcome this, we re-index countable  $B_n$ 's and have  $\bigcup_i^\infty A_i = \bigcup_i^\infty B_i \cup D$ . Now, if we show that the union of 'leftover' countably many countable, pairwise disjoint  $B_i$ 's is countable, then the result follows from again part(a).

In order to show that  $\bigcup_i^\infty B_i$ : We know that there exist bijections  $f_i : R_i \rightarrow B_i$ , define

$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \bigcup_i^\infty B_i$  as follows:

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} f_1(n) & \text{if } n \in C_1 \\ f_2(n) & \text{if } n \in C_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{cases}$$

$f$  is 1-1 since  $R_i$ 's are disjoint, and it is onto because for  $b \in \bigcup_i^\infty B_i \Rightarrow b \in B_i$  for some  $i$  and  $\exists n \in R_i$  such that  $f(n) = b$  because  $f_i$  is onto. Hence,  $f$  is 1-1 and onto and  $\bigcup_i^\infty B_i$  is countable as desired

### Exercise 2.2.1

**Definition 1.1.** A sequence  $(x_n)$  verconges to  $x$  if there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  it is true that  $n \geq N$  implies  $|x_n - x| < \epsilon$ .

**Claim:** The vercongence of  $(x_n)$  to  $x$  is equivalent to boundedness of  $x_n$ . That is, a sequence  $(x_n)$  verconges to  $x$  **iff**  $x_n$  is bounded.

Proof: ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Observe that if for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  it is true that  $n \geq N$  implies  $|x_n - x| < \epsilon$ , then in particular for  $N = 1$  we get  $|x_n - x| < \epsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, using the lower side of the triangle inequality we obtain,

$$|x_n| - |x| < \epsilon$$

and thus,

$$|x_n| < \epsilon + |x| \quad \forall n.$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $(x_n)$  bounded. That is there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $|x_n| < M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for *any*  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$|x_n - x| \leq |x_n| + |x| < M + |x|.$$

Hence take  $\epsilon = M + |x|$  in the definition to see that  $(x_n)$  verconges to  $x$ . Note that  $x$  was arbitrary here and that any bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  will verconge to any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Example: Next, we know that the sequence given by  $(-1)^n$  is divergent (proven in class), moreover  $(|(-1)^n - 0|) < 3$ ,  $(|(-1)^n - 1|) < 3$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In other words, it verconges to both 0 and 1.

**Exercise 2.2.2** Due to the fact that these notes are written as solutions, we skip the ‘sketch’ work in the following proofs, although in general, I recommend using it for practical reasons.

(a) For a given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $N$  be a natural number greater than  $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ , then for all  $n \geq N$  we have:

$$\left| \frac{2n+1}{5n+4} - \frac{2}{5} \right| = \left| \frac{5(2n+1) - 2(5n+4)}{5(5n+4)} \right| = \left| \frac{-3}{5(5n+4)} \right| = \frac{3}{5(5n+4)} < \frac{3}{25n} < \frac{1}{n} < \epsilon,$$

therefore  $\left( \frac{2n+1}{5n+4} \right) \rightarrow \frac{2}{5}$ .

(b) For a given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $N$  be a natural number greater than  $\frac{2}{\epsilon}$ , then for all  $n \geq N$  we have:

$$\left| \frac{2n^2}{n^3+3} - 0 \right| < \left| \frac{2n^2}{n^3} \right| < \frac{2}{n} < \epsilon.$$

therefore  $\left( \frac{2n^2}{n^3+3} \right) \rightarrow 0$ .

(c) For a given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $N$  be a natural number greater than  $\frac{1}{\epsilon^3}$ , then for all  $n \geq N$  we have:

$$\text{Note that } n > \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \implies \frac{1}{n} < \epsilon^3 \implies \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{n}} < \epsilon, \text{ thus } \left| \frac{\sin(n^2)}{\sqrt[3]{n}} - 0 \right| < \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{n}} < \epsilon.$$

therefore  $\left( \frac{\sin(n^2)}{\sqrt[3]{n}} \right) \rightarrow 0$ .

### Exercise 2.2.4

(a)  $a_n = (-1)^n$

(b) Not possible. Let  $(a_n)$  be a convergent sequence with an infinite number of 1s and let  $\lim a_n = a$ , then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $|a_n - a| < \epsilon$ . Since there are infinitely many  $a_n = 1$ , there exists an  $a_n = 1$  where  $n \geq N$ , thus  $|1 - a| < \epsilon$ . Note that this holds for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , therefore  $a$  has to be equal to 1.

- (c) Consider the sequence where every natural number  $n$  is followed by  $n$  many 1s, i.e,  $(a_n) = (1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 5, \dots)$ .