

MassWateR: Improving quality control, analysis, and sharing of water quality data

by Marcus W. Beck, Benjamin Wetherill, Jillian Carr, and Pamela DiBona

Abstract An abstract of less than 150 words.

Introduction

Water quality measurements provide the foundation of environmental monitoring programs designed to protect or restore aquatic resources. In the United States, most water quality monitoring programs are broadly guided by the federal Clean Water Act with the singular goal of restoring and maintaining the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of surface waters. Numeric standards that define critical thresholds for protecting recreational, aquatic life, industrial, navigation and consumptive uses of the resource are often established, that, if exceeded based on water quality measurements, require additional regulatory action to ensure compliance. These standards are often developed at the state-level either using existing databases from long-term monitoring datasets, or data collected ad hoc from a variety of sources, where the former is atypical for most surface water bodies. Many state or regional institutions that assess water quality rely on decentralized data sources, often combining datasets from local watershed groups or participatory science programs rather than a single database that contains adequate coverage for areas of interest. Use of these monitoring data in a regulatory context is not possible unless standard operating procedures are adopted and the data fulfill quality control requirements.

Monitoring data of sufficient quantity and quality are critical to ensure precise and accurate representation of environmental conditions. A significant bottleneck in the use of monitoring data for environmental assessment of surface waters is the ability to clearly indicate that the data fulfill applicable quality control (QC) criteria for inclusion in a consolidated database. Common QC checks for in situ field measurements or concentrations measured in the laboratory may include 1) comparison of the precision between replicate samples (duplicates), 2) comparison of a sample to a known concentration (spikes or instrument checks), and 3) precision of the measurement from an empty or blank sample (blanks). An adequate number of QC samples must also be included in the dataset as a measure of “completeness”. These checks are often compiled in a single report for comparison to applicable standards. For example, precision of duplicate samples for a given parameter must not vary 10%. For local monitoring groups that lack the resources to develop robust and repeatable workflows, QC reports are often prepared manually before submitting the data for review by the appropriate regulatory agency. This process is time-consuming and prone to errors, often limiting the amount of useful information that is submitted to formal databases.

The R statistical programming language offers a valuable software infrastructure for developing tools to improve the QC of water quality data. The use of R with document generation systems offered through packages like [knitr](#) and [rmarkdown](#) can be leveraged to generate QC reports that follow a standard format for review by regulatory agencies. These tools can also be used to format water quality data for submission to state or national water quality databases, such as the Water Quality Exchange (WQX) database maintained by the US Environmental Protection Agency. This database is the largest source of monitoring data in the United States that includes information on hydrologic conditions and chemical, physical, and biological measurements. Moreover, many environmental resource managers have the need to analysis status and trends in monitoring data and R packages such as [ggplot2](#) offer insightful approaches to visualize numerous water quality records in a single graph. Integrating this functionality into a single package is expected to have wide ranging utility for anyone collecting surface water data and is likely to improve the quality and insights obtained from these data.

This paper describes the MassWateR package developed to improve how environmental professionals perform quality control, analysis, and sharing of monitoring data for surface waters. The regional focus of the package is for monitoring data collected in Massachusetts, USA, with QC reports submitted to Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection and data submitted to the national WQX database. Although the initial conception of MassWateR was meant to address regional needs in Massachusetts, there is nothing specific in the package that prevents its use outside of the state as the QC checks and analyses are applied universally to data collected at locations elsewhere. As such, this paper is written with emphasis on how the tools are broadly applicable to anyone interested in improving efficiency and reproducibility of QC checks, in addition to analysis of imported water quality data and submission to WQX as the largest source of water monitoring data in the US.

Background

To our knowledge, there are no existing R packages on CRAN that can be used to facilitate QC of water quality data. However, there are several that can be used to retrieve and analysis data from existing sources. The [dataRetrieval](#) package in particular has been used widely to retrieve data from the USEPA Water Quality Portal (WQP), which is the counterpart of the WQX system for accessing data submitted using the latter.

Existing workflows or packages

Good tools for data extraction - dataRetrieval, NADA package, <https://cran.r-project.org/web/views/Hydrology.html>

Building the community of practice...

Requirements for using MassWaterR

Make a case for a unified format, cannot cater to all, input checks are meant assist in this effort

Read

Quality Control

Analysis

Data submission

Building a community and future work

MassWater in other locations, expansion elsewhere

Inclusion of historical data

Inclusion for continuous monitoring data, consider outlier analysis, drift, biofouling, etc.

Summary

Acknowledgments

References

Marcus W. Beck
Tampa Bay Estuary Program
263 13th Ave S
St. Petersburg, Florida, USA 33701
<https://tbep.org>
ORCID: 0000-0002-4996-0059
mbeck@tbep.org

Benjamin Wetherill
ACASAK Consulting
Boston, Massachusetts, USA
<https://www.acasak.com/>
ORCID: 0000-0002-0912-0225
bwetherill@acasak.co

Jillian Carr
Massachusetts Bays National Estuary Partnership
University of Massachusetts Boston, 100 Morrissey Blvd

Boston, Massachusetts, USA 02125

<https://www.mass.gov/orgs/massachusetts-bays-national-estuary-partnership>

Jillian.Carr@umb.edu

Pamela DiBona

Massachusetts Bays National Estuary Partnership

University of Massachusetts Boston, 100 Morrissey Blvd

Boston, Massachusetts, USA 02125

<https://www.mass.gov/orgs/massachusetts-bays-national-estuary-partnership>

pamela.dibona@state.ma.us