We are working on a food recommendation system designed to help consumers make informed dietary choices tailored to their unique health conditions, dietary restrictions, and sustainability preferences. Our system aims to enhance consumers' awareness around food consumption, promoting healthier lifestyles and environmental consciousness.

Thank you for taking the time to participate in our questionary. Your feedback is incredibly important to us and will play a crucial role in enhancing the functionality and user experience of our system.

What You Need to Do:

1. Review the Solutions:

For each question, you will see two possible solutions provided by the system. These solutions are designed to address specific aspects of our food recommender system, such as health optimization, dietary restrictions, and sustainability.

2. Evaluate the Solutions:

Carefully consider the pros and cons of each solution based on your personal experiences and preferences.

Provide your honest evaluation for each solution. Your feedback will help us understand which approaches resonate best with users like you.

Before You Start:

To help us better understand your needs and preferences, we need to know your profession and the compilation date. This will ensure that your feedback is as relevant and useful as possible.

Insert your data	
Profession:	Date:

Question 1: How does salmon impact the health of customers with cardiovascular conditions?

Solution 1

Salmon is beneficial for cardiovascular health due to its high protein content and significant amount of polyunsaturated fats, including omega-3 fatty acids. These omega-3 fatty acids are known to reduce inflammation, lower blood pressure, and decrease triglycerides, which are essential factors in improving cardiovascular health. However, the cholesterol content in salmon should be monitored by individuals who need to manage their cholesterol intake due to pre-existing conditions.

Additionally, the sustainability of salmon farming and its environmental impact are important considerations for consumers focused on the ecological aspects of their diet. Sustainable practices in salmon farming can contribute positively to both health and environmental goals.

Solution 2

Salmon is beneficial due to its high protein content and significant amount of polyunsaturated fats, which include omega-3 fatty acids known to improve cardiovascular health. However, the cholesterol content should be considered for those on cholesterol-restricted diets.

Please answer to the following questions for each solution.

Solution 1

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. Low: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. **Low**: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.

- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. **Low**: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. **Low**: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - High: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.
- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.
 - 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. Medium
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.
- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. **Medium-Hight**
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.

- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. Low: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. **Low**: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. Medium
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - High: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.
- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.
 - 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.
- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.

- Which Solution do you prefer?
 - 1. Solution 1
 - 2. Solution 2

Question 2: What macronutrients and micronutrients are present in salmon? (Solution 1 and Solution 2 are the same. Complete only one evaluation.)

Solution 1

Macronutrients and Micronutrients Present in Salmon

Macronutrients:

- 1. Calories: Varies from 142 to 206 kcal per 100g, depending on the specific type of salmon and its preparation method.
- 2. Total Fat: Ranges between 6 to 13 grams per 100g. This includes:
 - Saturated Fat: Around 1 to 3 grams per 100g.
 - Monounsaturated Fat: Approximately 2 to 5 grams per 100g.
 - Polyunsaturated Fat: Approximately 2 to 3 grams per 100g.
- 3. Protein: Generally between 19 to 25 grams per 100g.
- 4. Carbohydrates: Minimal to none, typically less than 1 gram per 100g.

Micronutrients:

- 1. Cholesterol: Approximately 50 to 60 mg per 100g.
- 2. Sodium: Around 30 to 60 mg per 100g.
- 3. Vitamins:
 - Vitamin A: Present in trace amounts.
 - Vitamin C: Typically not present.
 - Vitamin D: Significant amount, generally around 10 to 15 mcg per 100g.
 - Vitamin E: Small amounts, about 1 mg per 100g.
 - Vitamin K: Present in trace amounts.
 - B-Vitamins:
 - Thiamin (B1): About 0.1 mg per 100g.
 - Riboflavin (B2): Approximately 0.1 mg per 100g.
 - Niacin (B3): Around 7 to 9 mg per 100g.
 - Pantothenic Acid (B5): Roughly 1 mg per 100g.
 - Vitamin B6: About 0.6 mg per 100g.
 - Folate (B9): Approximately 10 to 20 mcg per 100g.
 - Vitamin B12: Around 3 to 4 mcg per 100g.
- 4. Minerals:
 - Calcium: Approximately 10 to 20 mg per 100g.
 - Iron: Around 0.5 to 1 mg per 100g.
 - Magnesium: About 25 to 30 mg per 100g.
 - Phosphorus: Approximately 200 to 250 mg per 100g.
 - Potassium: Around 300 to 400 mg per 100g.

Solution 2

Macronutrients and Micronutrients Present in Salmon

Macronutrients:

- 5. Calories: Varies from 142 to 206 kcal per 100g, depending on the specific type of salmon and its preparation method.
- 6. Total Fat: Ranges between 6 to 13 grams per 100g. This includes:
 - Saturated Fat: Around 1 to 3 grams per 100g.
 - Monounsaturated Fat: Approximately 2 to 5 grams per 100g.
 - Polyunsaturated Fat: Approximately 2 to 3 grams per 100g.
- 7. Protein: Generally between 19 to 25 grams per 100g.
- 8. Carbohydrates: Minimal to none, typically less than 1 gram per 100g.

Micronutrients:

- 5. Cholesterol: Approximately 50 to 60 mg per 100g.
- 6. Sodium: Around 30 to 60 mg per 100g.
- 7. Vitamins:
 - Vitamin A: Present in trace amounts.
 - Vitamin C: Typically not present.
 - Vitamin D: Significant amount, generally around 10 to 15 mcg per 100g.
 - Vitamin E: Small amounts, about 1 mg per 100g.
 - Vitamin K: Present in trace amounts.
 - B-Vitamins:
 - Thiamin (B1): About 0.1 mg per 100g.
 - Riboflavin (B2): Approximately 0.1 mg per 100g.
 - Niacin (B3): Around 7 to 9 mg per 100g.
 - Pantothenic Acid (B5): Roughly 1 mg per 100g.
 - Vitamin B6: About 0.6 mg per 100g.
 - Folate (B9): Approximately 10 to 20 mcg per 100g.
 - Vitamin B12: Around 3 to 4 mcg per 100g.
- 8. Minerals:
 - Calcium: Approximately 10 to 20 mg per 100g.
 - Iron: Around 0.5 to 1 mg per 100g.
 - Magnesium: About 25 to 30 mg per 100g.
 - Phosphorus: Approximately 200 to 250 mg per 100g.
 - Potassium: Around 300 to 400 mg per 100g.

- Zinc: Approximately 0.5 mg per 100g.
- Selenium: Around 25 to 30 mcg per 100g.

These values can vary based on the type of salmon (e.g., wild-caught vs. farm-raised), preparation method, and specific cuts of the fish. The information provided is a general overview of the nutrient content in salmon.

- Zinc: Approximately 0.5 mg per 100g.
- Selenium: Around 25 to 30 mcg per 100g.

These values can vary based on the type of salmon (e.g., wild-caught vs. farm-raised), preparation method, and specific cuts of the fish. The information provided is a general overview of the nutrient content in salmon.

Please answer to the following questions for each solution.

Solution 1

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. Low: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. Medium
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. Low: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. **Low**: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. **Low**: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. Medium
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. Low: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. **Low**: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. **Medium-Hight**
 - 5. **High**: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.

- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.
 - 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.
- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.

- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.
 - 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.
- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.

- Which Solution do you prefer?
 - 1. Solution 1
 - 2. Solution 2

Question 3: What are the dietary restrictions of Elizabeth Gonzalez, and what do you suggest she consume?

Solution 1

Dietary Restrictions of Elizabeth Gonzalez:

• Gluten-Free

Health Conditions:

- Diabetes
- Heart Disease

Suggested Foods:

- Fresh vegetables (e.g., leafy greens, broccoli, peppers)
- Lean proteins (e.g., chicken, turkey, fish)
- Gluten-free whole grains (e.g., quinoa, brown rice)
- Fruits (in moderation, focusing on berries and apples)
- Nuts and seeds
- Legumes (e.g., lentils, chickpeas)
- Healthy fats (e.g., avocados, olive oil)

These suggestions are suitable for her dietary restrictions and help manage her health conditions.

Solution 2

Dietary Restrictions of Elizabeth Gonzalez:

• Gluten-Free

Health Conditions:

- Diabetes
- Heart Disease

Suggested Foods:

- **Fresh Vegetables:** Such as leafy greens, broccoli, and peppers. These are rich in nutrients and low in calories, suitable for managing blood sugar levels and heart health.
- **Lean Proteins:** Including chicken, turkey, and fish. These proteins are low in saturated fats, important for heart disease, and do not contain gluten.
- Gluten-Free Whole Grains: Examples are quinoa and brown rice. These grains provide essential nutrients and fiber without causing issues for her gluten intolerance.
- **Fruits (in Moderation):** Focus on berries and apples which have lower glycemic indices, making them better choices for managing diabetes.
- **Nuts and Seeds:** Such as almonds and chia seeds. These offer healthy fats and protein, beneficial for heart health and keeping blood sugar stable.
- **Legumes:** Like lentils and chickpeas. These are good plant-based protein sources that are also gluten-free.
- **Healthy Fats:** Including avocados and olive oil. These fats support heart health and help in maintaining balanced blood sugar levels.

These suggestions align with her dietary restrictions and help manage her health conditions effectively.

Please answer to the following questions for each solution.

Solution 1

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. **Low**: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. Low: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. **Low**: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - High: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.
- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.
 - 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. **Low**: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. Low: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. **Low**: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. **Medium-Hight**
 - High: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.
- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.
 - 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.

- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.

- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.

- Which Solution do you prefer?
 - 1. Solution 1
 - 2. Solution 2

Question 4: What are the physical activities of Elizabeth Gonzalez, and what do you suggest she consume?

Solution 1

Elizabeth Gonzalez's Physical Activities:

- 1. Running (Weekly, 1 hour, High Intensity)
- 2. Swimming (Weekly, 1 hour, Medium Intensity)
- 3. Gym Workouts (Daily, 1 hour, High Intensity)
- 4. Cooking (Daily, 1 hour, Low Intensity)
- 5. Hiking (Monthly, 3 hours, High Intensity)
- 6. Stretching (Daily, 15 minutes, Low Intensity)

Dietary Suggestions for Elizabeth Gonzalez: Considering her dietary restrictions (GlutenFree) and health conditions (Diabetes and Heart Disease), I suggest the following types of food:

- Low sugar options to manage diabetes.
- Low fat options to manage heart disease.
- Ensure that all foods are **gluten-free**.

For detailed food suggestions, please refer to the provided dataset of suitable foods.

Solution 2

Elizabeth Gonzalez's Physical Activities:

- 1. Running (Weekly, 1 hour, High Intensity)
- 2. Swimming (Weekly, 1 hour, Medium Intensity)
- 3. Gym Workouts (Daily, 1 hour, High Intensity)
- 4. Cooking (Daily, 1 hour, Low Intensity)
- 5. Hiking (Monthly, 3 hours, High Intensity)
- 6. Stretching (Daily, 15 minutes, Low Intensity)

Dietary Suggestions for Elizabeth Gonzalez: Considering her dietary restrictions (GlutenFree) and health conditions (Diabetes and Heart Disease), I suggest the following types of food:

- Low sugar options to manage diabetes.
- Low fat options to manage heart disease.
- Ensure that all foods are gluten-free.

Additionally, considering sustainability preferences:

- Low carbon footprint foods.
- Water-efficient foods.
- Fair trade and locally produced options where possible.
- Organic foods are less prioritized but can be considered.

Suggested Foods:

- 1. **Fruits and Vegetables:** Especially those low in sugar like berries, leafy greens, and cruciferous vegetables.
- 2. **Lean Proteins:** Such as chicken breast, turkey, and fish which are high in protein and low in fat.
- 3. Whole Grains: Quinoa, brown rice, and gluten-free oats.
- 4. Legumes: Beans, lentils, and chickpeas which provide protein and fiber.

5. Nuts and Seeds: In moderation due to fat content but beneficial for heart
health.

These suggestions align with her physical activities and health conditions while adhering to sustainability practices.

Please answer to the following questions for each solution.

Solution 1

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. **Low**: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. Low: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. **Low**: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - High: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. **Low**: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. Low: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. **Low**: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. Medium
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - High: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.

- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.
 - 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.
- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.

- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.

 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration

 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.
- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - Medium
 - Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.

- Which Solution do you prefer?
 - 1. Solution 1
 - 2. Solution 2

Question 5: What food items are recommended for the Jason Brown weekly shopping based on their dietary preferences and sustainability goals?

Solution 1

Based on Jason Brown's dietary preferences and sustainability goals, the recommended food items for his weekly shopping are:

- 1. Fresh fruits and vegetables (e.g., apples, oranges, carrots, broccoli) that are locally produced and organic, aligning with his preference for local produce and organic farming practices.
- 2. Whole grains (e.g., brown rice, quinoa, oats) that have low carbon footprints and are minimally processed.
- 3. Plant-based protein sources (e.g., lentils, chickpeas, tofu) that are water-efficient and have lower greenhouse gas emissions compared to animal proteins.
- 4. Dairy alternatives (e.g., almond milk, oat milk) that are fair trade certified and have a reduced impact on water usage.
- 5. Nuts and seeds (e.g., almonds, chia seeds) that are sustainably sourced and provide essential nutrients without high environmental costs.

These items match his dietary restrictions and contribute to a sustainable lifestyle.

Solution 2

There are no recommended items for Jason Brown based on the current data and filtering criteria. It seems the dataset might not contain any items that meet both the dietary restrictions and the sustainability goals, even with the relaxed criteria.

Please answer to the following questions for each solution.

Solution 1

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. Low: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. Low: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. Medium
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.

- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. **Low**: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. **Low**: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - High: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.
- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.
 - 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.
- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.

- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. Low: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. **Low**: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. Medium
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - High: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.
- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.
 - 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.
- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.

- Which Solution do you prefer?
 - 1. Solution 1
 - 2. Solution 2

Question 6: How do Pig Meat and Poultry Meat compare in terms of sustainability?

Solution 1

Pig Meat and Poultry Meat compare in terms of sustainability as follows:

- Land use change: Pig Meat (1.5) vs. Poultry Meat (2.5)
- Animal Feed: Pig Meat (2.9) vs. Poultry Meat (1.8)
- Farm: Pig Meat (1.7) vs. Poultry Meat (0.7)
- Processing: Pig Meat (0.3) vs. Poultry Meat (0.4)
- Transport: Both Pig Meat and Poultry Meat (0.3)
- Packaging: Pig Meat (0.3) vs. Poultry Meat (0.2)
- Retail: Both Pig Meat and Poultry Meat (0.2)

In terms of sustainability, Pig Meat and Poultry Meat show distinct differences:

1. Land Use Change:

o Pig Meat has a lower impact (1.5) compared to Poultry Meat (2.5).

2. Animal Feed:

• Pig Meat has a significantly higher impact (2.9) compared to Poultry Meat (1.8).

3. **Farm**:

• Pig Meat again shows a higher impact (1.7) compared to Poultry Meat (0.7).

4. **Processing**:

• Poultry Meat has a slightly higher impact (0.4) compared to Pig Meat (0.3).

5. Transport:

o Both Pig Meat and Poultry Meat have an equal impact (0.3).

6. Packaging:

• Pig Meat has a slightly higher impact (0.3) compared to Poultry Meat (0.2).

7. Retail:

o Both Pig Meat and Poultry Meat have an equal impact (0.2).

Solution 2

Pig Meat and Poultry Meat compare in terms of sustainability as follows:

- Land use change: Pig Meat (1.5) vs. Poultry Meat (2.5)
- Animal Feed: Pig Meat (2.9) vs. Poultry Meat (1.8)
- Farm: Pig Meat (1.7) vs. Poultry Meat (0.7)
- Processing: Pig Meat (0.3) vs. Poultry Meat (0.4)
- Transport: Both Pig Meat and Poultry Meat (0.3)
- Packaging: Pig Meat (0.3) vs. Poultry Meat (0.2)
- Retail: Both Pig Meat and Poultry Meat (0.2)

In summary, Pig Meat generally has higher impacts in Animal Feed and Farm, while Poultry Meat has higher impacts in Land use change. Both have similar impacts in Transport and Retail.

Overall, Pig Meat generally has higher impacts in Animal Feed and Farm stages, whereas Poultry Meat has higher impacts in Land Use Change. Both types of meat have similar impacts in Transport and Retail stages.

Please answer to the following questions for each solution.

Solution 1

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. **Low**: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. Low: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. **Low**: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. Medium
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - High: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. **Low**: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. Low: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. **Low**: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. Medium
 - 4. **Medium-Hight**
 - High: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.

- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.
 - 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.
- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.

- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.
 - 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.
- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. Medium
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.

- Which Solution do you prefer?
 - 1. Solution 1
 - 2. Solution 2

Question 7: How do the Jason Brown past purchases align with water consumption?

Solution 1

Sara Rogers' past purchases, aligned with water consumption and contextualized by the additional information provided, indicate the following insights:

1. High Water Consumption Days:

- July 21, 2024: Sara's purchases on this date included items that resulted in approximately 148,729 liters of water use. These items likely fall under categories with high water footprints, such as specific meats or processed foods.
- July 24, 2024: Purchases on this day accounted for around 303,550 liters of water use, indicating the acquisition of products with substantial water requirements in their production processes.
- o **July 26, 2024**: Items purchased on this date led to about 95,008 liters of water consumption, likely due to foods known for high water usage, such as certain dairy products or grains.
- July 29, 2024: The purchases made resulted in around 133,735 liters of water use, suggesting significant environmental impact tied to the types of food chosen.
- o **August 1, 2024**: This date saw the highest water consumption with purchases resulting in approximately 660,071 liters of water use, potentially linked to bulk buying or high-water-footprint products.

2. Moderate to Low Water Consumption Days:

 Several days within the observed period show no significant water consumption due to the types of items purchased. These items either had no water use data available or were characterized by low water consumption impacts, such as certain fruits, vegetables, or minimally processed foods.

Overall, Sara's purchasing pattern exhibits sporadic but significant spikes in water consumption. These spikes are often tied to specific high-impact food items.

Solution 2

Sara Rogers' past purchases indicate a significant alignment with water consumption as follows:

1. High Water Consumption Days:

- o **July 21, 2024**: Sara purchased items amounting to approximately 148,729 liters of water use.
- o **July 24, 2024**: Purchases on this date accounted for around 303,550 liters of water use.
- July 26, 2024: Purchases led to about 95,008 liters of water consumption.
- July 29, 2024: Items purchased used around 133,735 liters of water
- August 1, 2024: This date saw the highest water consumption with purchases resulting in approximately 660,071 liters of water use.

2. Moderate to Low Water Consumption Days:

 Several days show no significant water consumption due to the types of items purchased, which either had no water use data available or were items with negligible water consumption impact.

Overall, Sara's purchasing pattern shows sporadic but significant spikes in water consumption, often tied to specific high-impact items.

Please answer to the following questions for each solution.

Solution 1

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. **Low**: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. Low: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. Medium
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. **Low**: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - High: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.
- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.
 - 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. **Low**: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medim
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. Low: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. **Low**: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - High: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.
- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.
 - 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.

- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.

- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.

- Which Solution do you prefer?
 - 1. Solution 1
 - 2. Solution 2

Ouestion 8: What are the sustainable alternatives for Beef?

Solution 1

Sustainable alternatives for beef include:

- Oatmeal
- Potatoes
- Onions & Leeks
- Root Vegetables
- Poultry Meat
- Eggs

Solution 2

Sustainable alternatives for beef, considering both nutritional and environmental impacts, are:

- Oatmeal
- Potatoes
- Onions & Leeks
- Root Vegetables
- Poultry Meat
- Eggs

Please answer to the following questions for each solution.

Solution 1

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. Low: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. **Low**: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. **Low**: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medim
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. Low: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.

- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. Low: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - High: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.
- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.
 - 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.
- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.

- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. **Low**: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. Medium
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - High: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.
- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.
 - 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.
- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.

- Which Solution do you prefer?
 - 1. Solution 1
 - 2. Solution 2

Question 9: Do Sara Rogers' past purchases align with her sustainability goals, and how can she minimize waste?

Solution 1

Sara Rogers' past purchases do not align with her sustainability goals. Specifically, her actual carbon footprint from purchases exceeds her set sustainability goals. Additionally, she has accumulated 54 waste items, indicating a significant amount of food has expired without being consumed.

To minimize waste, Sara can:

- 1. Plan her purchases more carefully to ensure she buys only what she needs.
- 2. Prioritize buying foods with longer shelf lives or those that align closely with her consumption patterns.
- 3. Utilize food items before their expiration dates by organizing her pantry and using older items first.
- 4. Consider sustainable food sources that have lower carbon footprints to better align with her sustainability preferences.

By following these steps, Sara can improve her alignment with her sustainability goals.

Solution 2

Sara Rogers' past purchases do not align with her sustainability goals, and she has accumulated 54 waste items.

Please answer to the following questions for each solution.

Solution 1

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. Low: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. Medium
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.

- How correct the information is in the answer.
 - 1. **Low**: Contains factual errors or misleading information.
 - 2. Low-Medim
 - 3. Medium
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.

- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. **Low**: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. Low: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - High: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.
- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.
 - 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.
- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.
- Which Solution do you prefer?
 - 1. Solution 1
 - 2. Solution 2

- How thoroughly the answer covers the question's requirements?
 - 1. Low: Misses important parts of the question or leaves out significant information.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: The response is factually correct and aligns with current scientific understanding.
- How easy the answer is to read and follow
 - 1. **Low**: Poorly organized, difficult to follow, or confusing.
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - High: Well-organized with clear sections and subheadings. Easy to read and understand.
- How you evaluate the level of detail and explanation provided.
 - 1. Low: Surface-level information without much elaboration
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Provides in-depth explanations and thorough analysis.
- How useful the answer is?
 - 1. Low: General statements without actionable advice
 - 2. Low-Medium
 - 3. **Medium**
 - 4. Medium-Hight
 - 5. **High**: Offers actionable advice and specific recommendations can be used.

Thank You for Your Participation!

Thank you for completing our questionnaire for our Personalized Food Recommender System. Your time and insights are greatly appreciated and will significantly contribute to the development and improvement of our platform.

We are committed to creating a personalized food recommender system that meets your unique needs and preferences. Your valuable feedback helps us to better understand how we can serve you and others more effectively.

If you have any comments or questions, please do not hesitate to reach out to us at {authors_email}.

Once again, thank you for your participation and support. Together, we can create a healthier and more sustainable future.