§1 FOOTBALL INTRODUCTION 1

Important: Before reading FOOTBALL, please read or at least skim the program for GB-GAMES.

1. Introduction. This demonstration program uses graphs constructed by the GB\_GAMES module to produce an interactive program called football, which finds preposterously long chains of scores to "prove" that one given team might outrank another by a huge margin.

The program prompts you for a starting team. If you simply type (return), it exits; otherwise you should enter a team name (e.g., 'Stanford') before typing (return).

Then the program prompts you for another team. If you simply type (return) at this point, it will go back and ask for a new starting team; otherwise you should specify another name (e.g., 'Harvard').

Then the program finds and displays a chain from the starting team to the other one. For example, you might see the following:

```
Oct 06: Stanford Cardinal 36, Notre Dame Fighting Irish 31 (+5)
Oct 20: Notre Dame Fighting Irish 29, Miami Hurricanes 20 (+14)
Jan 01: Miami Hurricanes 46, Texas Longhorns 3 (+57)
Nov 03: Texas Longhorns 41, Texas Tech Red Raiders 22 (+76)
Nov 17: Texas Tech Red Raiders 62, Southern Methodist Mustangs 7 (+131)
Sep 08: Southern Methodist Mustangs 44, Vanderbilt Commodores 7 (+168)

:
Nov 10: Cornell Big Red 41, Columbia Lions 0 (+2188)
Sep 15: Columbia Lions 6, Harvard Crimson 9 (+2185)
```

The chain isn't necessarily optimal; it's just this particular program's best guess. Another chain, which establishes a victory margin of +2279 points, can in fact be produced by modifying this program to search back from Harvard instead of forward from Stanford. Algorithms that find even better chains should be fun to invent.

Actually this program has two variants. If you invoke it by saying simply 'football', you get chains found by a simple "greedy algorithm." But if you invoke it by saying 'football (number)', assuming UNIX command-line conventions, the program works harder. Higher values of (number) do more calculation and tend to find better chains. For example, the simple greedy algorithm favors Stanford over Harvard by only 781; football 10 raises this to 1895; the example above corresponds to football 4000.

2 INTRODUCTION FOOTBALL §2

2. Here is the general program layout, as seen by the C compiler:

```
#include "gb_graph.h"
                                /* the standard GraphBase data structures */
#include "gb_games.h"
                                /* the routine that sets up the graph of scores */
#include "gb_flip.h"
                               /* random number generator */
  \langle Preprocessor definitions \rangle
  (Type declarations 10)
   (Global variables 4)
  (Subroutines 7)
  main(argc, argv)
       int argc;
                     /* the number of command-line arguments */
       \mathbf{char} * argv[];
                         /* an array of strings containing those arguments */
     \langle Scan the command-line options 3\rangle;
     \langle \text{ Set up the graph 5} \rangle;
     while (1) {
       (Prompt for starting team and goal team; break if none given 6);
       \langle \text{Find a chain from } start \text{ to } goal, \text{ and print it } 9 \rangle;
     return 0;
                    /* normal exit */
  }
```

**3.** Let's deal with UNIX-dependent stuff first. The rest of this program should work without change on any operating system.

```
 \langle \text{Scan the command-line options 3} \rangle \equiv \\ \text{if } (argc \equiv 3 \land strcmp(argv[2], "-v") \equiv 0) \ verbose = argc = 2; \quad /* \ \text{secret option } */ \\ \text{if } (argc \equiv 1) \ width = 0; \\ \text{else if } (argc \equiv 2 \land sscanf(argv[1], "\%ld", \&width) \equiv 1) \ \{ \\ \text{if } (width < 0) \ width = -width; \quad /* \ \text{a UNIX user might have used a hyphen } */ \\ \} \ \text{else } \{ \\ fprintf(stderr, "Usage: $\sqcup \%s \sqcup [searchwidth] \ ", argv[0]); \\ \text{return } -2; \\ \}
```

This code is used in section 2.

**4.**  $\langle$  Global variables  $4\rangle \equiv$ 

```
long width;  /* number of cases examined per stratum */
Graph *g;  /* the graph containing score information */
Vertex *u,*v;  /* vertices of current interest */
Arc *a;  /* arc of current interest */
Vertex *start,*goal;  /* teams specified by the user */
long mm;  /* counter used only in verbose mode */
```

See also sections 11, 20, and 29.

This code is used in section 2.

§5 FOOTBALL INTRODUCTION 3

5. An arc from u to v in the graph generated by games has a len field equal to the number of points scored by u against v. For our purposes we want also a del field, which gives the difference between the number of points scored by u and the number of points scored by v in that game.

```
#define del\ a.I\ /*\ del\ info\ appears\ in\ utility\ field\ a\ of\ an\ Arc\ record\ */ \langle Set up the graph 5\rangle \equiv g=games(0_{\rm L},0_{\rm L},0_{\rm L},0_{\rm L},0_{\rm L},0_{\rm L},0_{\rm L}); /*\ this\ default\ graph\ has\ the\ data\ for\ the\ entire\ 1990\ season\ */ if (g\equiv\Lambda) { fprintf(stderr, "Sorry, \_can't\_create\_the\_graph!\_(error\_code\_%ld)\n", panic\_code);\ return\ -1;} } for\ (v=g\neg vertices;\ v<g\neg vertices+g\neg n;\ v++) for (a=v\neg arcs;\ a;\ a=a\neg next) if (a\neg tip>v) { /*\ arc\ a+1 is the mate of arc a iff a\neg tip>v\ */\ a\neg del\ =a\neg len\ -(a+1)\neg len;\ (a+1)\neg del\ =-a\neg del;}
```

This code is used in section 2.

4 TERMINAL INTERACTION FOOTBALL §6

**6. Terminal interaction.** While we're getting trivialities out of the way, we might as well take care of the simple dialog that transpires between this program and the user.

```
⟨ Prompt for starting team and goal team; break if none given 6⟩ ≡ putchar('\n'); /* make a blank line for visual punctuation */ restart: /* if we avoid this label, the break command will be broken */ if ((start = prompt\_for\_team("Starting")) \equiv \Lambda) break; if ((goal = prompt\_for\_team("\_u\_uOther")) \equiv \Lambda) goto restart; if (start \equiv goal) { printf("u(Um,upleaseugiveumeutheunamesuofutwouDISTINCTuteams.)\n"); goto <math>restart; }
This code is used in section 2.
```

7. The user must spell team names exactly as they appear in the file games.dat. Thus, for example, 'Berkeley' and 'Cal' don't work; it has to be 'California'. Similarly, a person must type 'Pennsylvania' instead of 'Penn', 'Nevada-Las Vegas' instead of 'UNLV'. A backslash is necessary in 'Texas A\&M'.

```
\langle \text{Subroutines } 7 \rangle \equiv
  Vertex *prompt\_for\_team(s)
        char *s; /* string used in prompt message */
  { register char *q; /* current position in buffer */
     register Vertex *v; /* current vertex being examined in sequential search */
     char buffer [30]; /* a line of input */
     while (1) {
        printf("%s_{\sqcup}team:_{\sqcup}",s);
                           /* make sure the user sees the prompt */
        fflush(stdout);
        fgets(buffer, 30, stdin);
        if (buffer[0] \equiv '\n') return \Lambda; /* the user just hit \langle return \rangle */
        \mathit{buffer}[29] = \text{`\n'};
        for (q = buffer; *q \neq '\n'; q++); /* scan to end of input */
        *q = '\0';
        for (v = g \rightarrow vertices; v < g \rightarrow vertices + g \rightarrow n; v \leftrightarrow)
          if (strcmp(buffer, v \rightarrow name) \equiv 0) return v;
                                                                  /* aha, we found it */
        printf(" \cup (Sorry, \cup I \cup don't \cup know \cup any \cup team \cup by \cup that \cup name.) \n");
        printf(" (One team I do know is %s...) \n", (g-vertices + gb_unif_rand (g-n)) -name);
  }
```

This code is used in section 2.

See also section 13.

§8 FOOTBALL GREED 5

**8. Greed.** This program's primary task is to find the longest possible simple path from *start* to *goal*, using *del* as the length of each arc in the path. This is an NP-complete problem, and the number of possibilities is pretty huge, so the present program is content to use heuristics that are reasonably easy to compute. (Researchers are hereby challenged to come up with better heuristics. Does simulated annealing give good results? How about genetic algorithms?)

Perhaps the first approach that comes to mind is a simple "greedy" approach in which each step takes the largest possible *del* that doesn't prevent us from eventually getting to *goal*. So that's the method we will implement first.

```
9. ⟨Find a chain from start to goal, and print it 9⟩ ≡
⟨Initialize the allocation of auxiliary memory 12⟩;
if (width ≡ 0) ⟨Use a simple-minded greedy algorithm to find a chain from start to goal 17⟩
else ⟨Use a stratified heuristic to find a chain from start to goal 19⟩;
⟨Print the solution corresponding to cur_node 15⟩;
⟨Recycle the auxiliary memory used 14⟩;
This code is used in section 2.
```

10. We might as well use data structures that are more general than we need, in anticipation of a more complex heuristic that will be implemented later. The set of all possible solutions can be viewed as a backtrack tree in which the branches from each node are the games that can possibly follow that node. We will examine a small part of that gigantic tree.

```
\langle Type declarations 10\rangle \equiv
  typedef struct node_struct {
                      /* game from the current team to the next team */
     \mathbf{Arc} * game:
                       /* accumulated length from start to here */
     long tot\_len;
                                       /* node that gave us the current team */
     struct node_struct *prev;
     struct node_struct *next;
                                       /* list pointer to node in same stratum (see below) */
  } node;
This code is used in section 2.
11. \langle \text{Global variables 4} \rangle + \equiv
                            /* working storage for heuristic calculations */
  Area node_storage;
                          /* where the next node is slated to go */
  node *next\_node;
                         /* end of current allocation block */
  node *bad\_node;
                         /* current node of particular interest */
  node *cur\_node;
     \langle Initialize the allocation of auxiliary memory 12\rangle \equiv
  next\_node = bad\_node = \Lambda;
```

This code is used in section 9.

6 GREED FOOTBALL §13

```
13. \langle \text{Subroutines } 7 \rangle + \equiv
  node *new\_node(x,d)
        \mathbf{node} \ *x;
                         /* an old node that the new node will call prev */
                      /* incremental change to tot_len */
        long d;
  {
     if (next\_node \equiv bad\_node) {
        next\_node = gb\_typed\_alloc(1000, \mathbf{node}, node\_storage);
        if (next\_node \equiv \Lambda) return \Lambda;
                                                  /* we're out of space */
        bad\_node = next\_node + 1000;
     next\_node \neg prev = x;
     next\_node \rightarrow tot\_len = (x ? x \rightarrow tot\_len : 0) + d;
     return next\_node ++;
  }
       \langle Recycle the auxiliary memory used 14\rangle \equiv
  gb\_free(node\_storage);
This code is used in section 9.
```

15. When we're done,  $cur\_node \neg game \neg tip$  will be the goal vertex, and we can get back to the start vertex by following prev links from  $cur\_node$ . It looks better to print the answers from start to goal, so maybe we should have changed our algorithm to go the other way.

But let's not worry over trifles. It's easy to change the order of a linked list. The secret is simply to think of the list as a stack, from which we pop all the elements off to another stack; the new stack has the elements in reverse order.

```
\langle \text{Print the solution corresponding to } cur\_node | 15 \rangle \equiv
  next\_node = \Lambda;
                          /* now we'll use next_node as top of temporary stack */
  do { register node *t;
     t = cur\_node;
                                  /* pop */
     cur\_node = t \neg prev;
     t \rightarrow prev = next\_node;
     next\_node = t;
                           /* push */
     while (cur\_node);
  for (v = start; v \neq goal; v = u, next\_node = next\_node \neg prev) {
     a = next\_node \neg game;
     u = a \rightarrow tip;
     \langle \text{Print the score of game } a \text{ between } v \text{ and } u \text{ 16} \rangle;
     printf(" (\%+1d) \n", next\_node \rightarrow tot\_len);
```

This code is used in section 9.

§16 FOOTBALL GREED 7

```
16. \langle Print the score of game a between v and u 16\rangle \equiv { register long d = a \neg date; /* date of the game, 0 means Aug 26 */ if (d \le 5) printf(" \square Aug \square \% 021d", d + 26); else if (d \le 35) printf(" \square Sep \square \% 021d", d - 5); else if (d \le 66) printf(" \square Oct \square \% 021d", d - 35); else if (d \le 96) printf(" \square Nov \square \% 021d", d - 66); else if (d \le 127) printf(" \square Dec \square \% 021d", d - 96); else printf(" \square Jan \square 01"); /* d = 128 */ printf(" \square Jan \square 01"); /* d = 128 */ printf(" \square Su \square \% 1d, \square \square 1d, \square 1d
```

17. We can't just move from v to any adjacent vertex; we can go only to a vertex from which goal can be reached without touching v or any other vertex already used on the path from start.

Furthermore, if the locally best move from v is directly to goal, we don't want to make that move unless it's our last chance; we can probably do better by making the chain longer. Otherwise, for example, a chain between a team and its worst opponent would consist of only a single game.

To keep track of untouchable vertices, we use a utility field called *blocked* in each vertex record. Another utility field, *valid*, will be set to a validation code in each vertex that still leads to the goal.

```
#define blocked u.I
#define valid v.V
\langle Use a simple-minded greedy algorithm to find a chain from start to goal 17\rangle
      for (v = g \neg vertices; \ v < g \neg vertices + g \neg n; \ v ++) \ v \neg blocked = 0, v \neg valid = \Lambda;
      cur\_node = \Lambda;
      for (v = start; v \neq goal; v = cur\_node\neg game\neg tip) { register long d = -10000;
                                              /* arc that achieves del = d */
         register Arc *best_arc;
                                              /* arc that goes directly to goal */
         register Arc *last_arc;
         v \rightarrow blocked = 1;
         cur\_node = new\_node(cur\_node, 0_{L});
         if (cur\_node \equiv \Lambda) {
            fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \_there\_isn't\_enough\_memory! \n"); return -2;
         \langle \text{Set } u \neg valid = v \text{ for all } u \text{ to which } v \text{ might now move } 18 \rangle;
         for (a = v \rightarrow arcs; a; a = a \rightarrow next)
            if (a \rightarrow del > d \land a \rightarrow tip \rightarrow valid \equiv v)
               if (a \rightarrow tip \equiv goal) \ last\_arc = a;
               else best\_arc = a, d = a \rightarrow del;
         cur\_node \neg game = (d \equiv -10000 ? last\_arc : best\_arc);
                                                                                   /* use last_arc as a last resort */
         cur\_node \rightarrow tot\_len += cur\_node \rightarrow game \rightarrow del;
  }
```

This code is used in section 9.

8 GREED FOOTBALL §18

18. A standard marking algorithm supplies the final missing link in our algorithm.

```
#define link \ w.V

\( \text{Set } u \to valid = v \text{ for all } u \text{ to which } v \text{ might now move } 18 \rangle \equiv \ u = goal; \quad /* u \text{ will be the top of a stack of nodes to be explored */ \quad u \text{-link} = \Lambda; \quad u \text{-valid} = v; \quad \text{do } \{ \quad \text{for } (a = u \text{-arcs}, u = u \text{-link}; a; a = a \text{-next}) \quad \text{if } (a \text{-tip-blocked} \equiv \text{0} \times a \text{-tip-valid} \neq v; \quad /* \text{mark } a \text{-tip} \text{-valid} = v; \quad /* \text{mark } a \text{-tip reachable from } goal \quad */ \quad a \text{-tip-link} = u; \quad u = a \text{-tip}; \quad /* \quad \text{push it on the stack, so that its successors will be marked too */ \quad \rangle \quad \text{while } (u); \quad \text{This code is used in section } 17.
```

 $\S19$  FOOTBALL STRATIFIED GREED 9

19. Stratified greed. One approach to better chains is the following algorithm, motivated by similar ideas of Pang Chen [Ph.D. thesis, Stanford University, 1989]: Suppose the nodes of a (possibly huge) backtrack tree are classified into a (fairly small) number of strata, by a function h with the property that h(child) < h(parent) and h(goal) = 0. Suppose further that we want to find a node x that maximizes a given function f(x), where it is reasonable to believe that f(child) will be relatively large among nodes in a child's stratum only if f(parent) is relatively large in the parent's stratum. Then it makes sense to restrict backtracking to, say, the top w nodes of each stratum, ranked by their f values.

The greedy algorithm already described is a special case of this general approach, with w = 1 and with h(x) = -(length of chain leading to x). The refined algorithm we are about to describe uses a general value of w and a somewhat more relevant stratification function: Given a node x of the backtrack tree for longest paths, corresponding to a path from *start* to a certain vertex u = u(x), we will let h(x) be the number of vertices that lie between u and goal (in the sense that the simple path from start to u can be extended until it passes through such a vertex and then all the way to goal).

Here is the top level of the stratified greedy algorithm. We maintain a linked list of nodes for each stratum, that is, for each possible value of h. The number of nodes required is bounded by w times the number of strata

```
 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Use a stratified heuristic to find a chain from } start \text{ to } goal \text{ 19} \right\} \equiv \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \text{Make } list[0] \text{ through } list[n-1] \text{ empty 21} \right\}; \\ cur\_node = \Lambda; \quad /* \ \Lambda \text{ represents the root of the backtrack tree } */\\ m = g \neg n - 1; \quad /* \text{ the highest stratum not yet fully explored } */\\ \textbf{do } \left\{ \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \text{Place each child } x \text{ of } cur\_node \text{ into } list[h(x)], \text{ retaining at most } width \text{ nodes of maximum } tot\_len \text{ on each list } 27 \right\}; \\ \textbf{while } (list[m] \equiv \Lambda) \ \left\{ \text{Decrease } m \text{ and get ready to explore another list } 23 \right\}; \\ cur\_node = list[m]; \\ list[m] = cur\_node \neg next; \quad /* \text{ remove a node from highest remaining stratum } */\\ \textbf{if } (verbose) \ \left\{ \text{Print "verbose" info about } cur\_node \ 24 \right\}; \\ \textbf{} \textbf{ while } (m > 0); \quad /* \text{ exactly one node should be in } list[0] \text{ (see below) } */\\ \end{array} \right\}
```

This code is used in section 9.

**20.** The calculation of h(x) is somewhat delicate, and we will defer it for a moment. But the list manipulation is easy, so we can finish it quickly while it's fresh in our minds.

```
#define MAX_N 120 /* the number of teams in games.dat */
⟨Global variables 4⟩ +≡
node *list [MAX_N]; /* the best nodes known in given strata */
long size [MAX_N]; /* the number of elements in a given list */
long m,h; /* current lists of interest */
node *x; /* a child of cur_node */

21. ⟨Make list[0] through list [n-1] empty 21⟩ ≡
```

for (m = 0; m < g - n; m++)  $list[m] = \Lambda, size[m] = 0;$ This code is used in section 19. 10 STRATIFIED GREED FOOTBALL  $\S 22$ 

**22.** The lists are maintained in order by  $tot\_len$ , with the largest  $tot\_len$  value at the end so that we can easily delete the smallest.

When h = 0, we retain only one node instead of width different nodes, because we are interested in only one solution.

```
\langle Place node x into list[h], retaining at most width nodes of maximum tot_len 22\rangle \equiv
  if ((h > 0 \land size[h] \equiv width) \lor (h \equiv 0 \land size[0] > 0)) {
     if (x \rightarrow tot\_len \leq list[h] \rightarrow tot\_len) goto done; /* drop node x */
     list[h] = list[h] \rightarrow next; /* drop one node from list[h] */
  } else size[h]++;
   { register node *p, *q; /* node in list and its predecessor */
     for (p = list[h], q = \Lambda; p; q = p, p = p \rightarrow next) if (x \rightarrow tot\_len \leq p \rightarrow tot\_len) break;
     if (q) q \rightarrow next = x; else list[h] = x;
  }
done:;
This code is used in section 27.
23. We reverse the list so that large entries will tend to go in first.
\langle Decrease m and get ready to explore another list 23\rangle \equiv
  { register node *r = \Lambda, *s = list[--m], *t;
     while (s) t = s \rightarrow next, s \rightarrow next = r, r = s, s = t;
     list[m] = r;
                      /* mm is an index for "verbose" printing */
     mm = 0;
This code is used in section 19.
     \langle \text{ Print "verbose" info about } cur\_node 24 \rangle \equiv
24.
                                                                       /* pack an ID for this node */
     cur\_node \neg next = (\mathbf{node} *)((++mm \ll 8) + m);
     printf("[\%lu,\%lu]=[\%lu,\%lu]\&\%s_{\sqcup}(\%+ld)\n", m, mm,
           \textit{cur\_node} \neg \textit{prev} ? ((\textbf{unsigned long}) \textit{cur\_node} \neg \textit{prev} \neg \textit{next}) \& \text{#ff} : 0_L,
           cur\_node \neg prev? ((unsigned long) cur\_node \neg prev \neg next) \gg 8:0_L,
           cur\_node \neg game \neg tip \neg name, cur\_node \neg tot\_len);
  }
This code is used in section 19.
```

25. Incidentally, it is plausible to conjecture that the stratified algorithm always beats the simple greedy algorithm, but that conjecture is false. For example, the greedy algorithm is able to rank Harvard over Stanford by 1529, while the stratified algorithm achieves only 1527 when width = 1. On the other hand, the greedy algorithm often fails miserably; when comparing two Ivy League teams, it doesn't find a way to break out of the Ivy and Patriot Leagues.

11

**26.** Bicomponents revisited. How difficult is it to compute the function h? Given a connected graph G with two distinguished vertices u and v, we want to count the number of vertices that might appear on a simple path from u to v. (This is not the same as the number of vertices reachable from both u and v. For example, consider a "claw" graph with four vertices  $\{u, v, w, x\}$  and with edges only from x to the other three vertices; in this graph w is reachable from u and v, but it is not on any simple path between them.)

The best way to solve this problem is probably to compute the bicomponents of G, or least to compute some of them. Another demo program, BOOK\_COMPONENTS, explains the relevant theory in some detail, and we will assume familiarity with that algorithm in the present discussion.

Let us imagine extending G to a slightly larger graph  $G^+$  by adding a dummy vertex o that is adjacent only to v. Suppose we determine the bicomponents of  $G^+$  by depth-first search starting at o. These bicomponents form a tree rooted at the bicomponent that contains just o and v. The number of vertices on paths between u and v, not counting v itself, is then the number of vertices in the bicomponent containing u and in any other bicomponents between that one and the root.

Strictly speaking, each articulation point belongs to two or more bicomponents. But we will assign each articulation point to its bicomponent that is nearest the root of the tree; then the vertices of each bicomponent are precisely the vertices output in bursts by the depth-first procedure. The bicomponents we want to enumerate are  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_k$ , where  $B_1$  is the bicomponent containing u and  $B_{j+1}$  is the bicomponent containing the articulation point associated with  $B_j$ ; we stop at  $B_k$  when its associated articulation point is v. (Often k = 1.)

The "children" of a given graph G are obtained by removing vertex u and by considering paths from u' to v, where u' is a vertex formerly adjacent to u; thus u' is either in  $B_1$  or it is  $B_1$ 's associated articulation point. Removing u will, in general, split  $B_1$  into a tree of smaller bicomponents, but  $B_2, \ldots, B_k$  will be unaffected. The implementation below does not take full advantage of this observation, because the amount of memory required to avoid recomputation would probably be prohibitive.

27. The following program is copied almost verbatim from BOOK\_COMPONENTS. Instead of repeating the commentary that appears there, we will mention only the significant differences. One difference is that we start the depth-first search at a definite place, the *goal*.

```
\langle Place each child x of cur\_node into list[h(x)], retaining at most width nodes of maximum tot\_len on each list 27 \rangle \equiv
```

\langle Make all vertices unseen and all arcs untagged, except for vertices that have already been used in steps leading up to cur\_node 28\;

 $\langle$  Perform a depth-first search with goal as the root, finding bicomponents and determining the number of vertices accessible between any given vertex and goal 30 $\rangle$ ;

```
 \begin{aligned} & \textbf{for } (a = (\textit{cur\_node} ? \; \textit{cur\_node} \neg \textit{game} \neg \textit{tip} : \textit{start}) \neg \textit{arcs}; \; a; \; a = \textit{a} \neg \textit{next}) \\ & \textbf{if } ((u = \textit{a} \neg \textit{tip}) \neg \textit{untagged} \equiv \Lambda) \; \{ & /* \; \textit{goal} \; \text{is reachable from} \; u \; */ \\ & x = \textit{new\_node}(\textit{cur\_node}, \textit{a} \neg \textit{del}); \\ & \textbf{if } (x \equiv \Lambda) \; \{ & \\ & \textit{fprintf}(\textit{stderr}, \texttt{"Oops}, \texttt{\_there} \texttt{\_isn't} \texttt{\_enough} \texttt{\_memory!} \texttt{'n"}); \; \textbf{return} \; -3; \\ & \} \\ & x \neg \textit{game} = a; \\ & \langle \; \text{Set} \; h \; \text{to the number of vertices on paths between} \; u \; \text{and} \; \textit{goal} \; 35 \; \rangle; \\ & \langle \; \text{Place node} \; x \; \text{into} \; \textit{list}[h], \; \text{retaining at most} \; \textit{width} \; \text{nodes of maximum} \; \textit{tot\_len} \; 22 \; \rangle; \\ & \} \end{aligned}
```

This code is used in section 19.

12

 $v \rightarrow min = v \rightarrow parent;$ 

This code is used in sections 30 and 32.

Setting the rank field of a vertex to infinity before beginning a depth-first search is tantamount to removing that vertex from the graph, because it tells the algorithm not to look further at such a vertex.

```
#define rank z.I
                          /* when was this vertex first seen? */
#define parent u.V
                              /\ast\, who told me about this vertex? \,\ast/\,
\#define untagged x.A
                              /* what is its first untagged arc? */
#define min v.V
                          /* how low in the tree can we jump from its mature descendants? */
(Make all vertices unseen and all arcs untagged, except for vertices that have already been used in steps
        leading up to cur\_node \ 28 \rangle \equiv
  for (v = g \rightarrow vertices; v < g \rightarrow vertices + g \rightarrow n; v \leftrightarrow)  {
     v \rightarrow rank = 0;
     v \rightarrow untagged = v \rightarrow arcs;
  for (x = cur\_node; x; x = x \rightarrow prev) x \rightarrow qame \rightarrow tip \rightarrow rank = q \rightarrow n; /* "infinite" rank (or close enough) */
  start \rightarrow rank = g \rightarrow n;
  nn = 0;
  active\_stack = settled\_stack = \Lambda;
This code is used in section 27.
29. \langle Global variables 4 \rangle + \equiv
  Vertex *active_stack; /* the top of the stack of active vertices */
                               /* the top of the stack of bicomponents found */
  Vertex *settled_stack;
  long nn; /* the number of vertices that have been seen */
  Vertex dummy; /* imaginary parent of goal; its rank is zero */
30. The settled_stack will contain a list of all bicomponents in the opposite order from which they are
discovered. This is the order we'll need later for computing the h function in each bicomponent.
(Perform a depth-first search with goal as the root, finding bicomponents and determining the number of
        vertices accessible between any given vertex and goal 30 \rangle \equiv
     v = qoal;
     v \neg parent = \&dummy;
     \langle Make vertex v active 31 \rangle;
     do (Explore one step from the current vertex v, possibly moving to another current vertex and
          calling it v \ 32 while (v \neq \&dummy);
     \langle \text{Use } settled\_stack \text{ to put the mutual reachability count for each vertex } u \text{ in } u \neg parent \neg rank 34 \rangle;
This code is used in section 27.
31. \langle Make vertex v active 31\rangle \equiv
  v \rightarrow rank = ++nn;
  v \rightarrow link = active\_stack;
  active\_stack = v;
```

BICOMPONENTS REVISITED 13

```
\langle Explore one step from the current vertex v, possibly moving to another current vertex and
     calling it v : 32 \rangle \equiv
{ register Vertex *u;
                             /* a vertex adjacent to v */
  register Arc *a = v \neg untagged; /* v's first remaining untagged arc, if any */
  if (a) {
     u = a \rightarrow tip;
     v \rightarrow untagged = a \rightarrow next; /* tag the arc from v to u */
     if (u \rightarrow rank) { /* we've seen u already */
                                                        /* non-tree arc, just update v→min */
       if (u \rightarrow rank < v \rightarrow min \rightarrow rank) v \rightarrow min = u;
     } else { /* u is presently unseen */
       u \rightarrow parent = v; /* the arc from v to u is a new tree arc */
       v = u; /* u will now be the current vertex */
        \langle \text{ Make vertex } v \text{ active } 31 \rangle;
  } else { /* all arcs from v are tagged, so v matures */
     u = v \rightarrow parent; /* prepare to backtrack in the tree */
     if (v - min \equiv u) (Remove v and all its successors on the active stack from the tree, and report them
             as a bicomponent of the graph together with u 33 \rangle
               /* the arc from u to v has just matured, making v \rightarrow min visible from u */
      if (v \rightarrow min \rightarrow rank < u \rightarrow min \rightarrow rank) u \rightarrow min = v \rightarrow min;
     v = u; /* the former parent of v is the new current vertex v */
}
```

This code is used in section 30.

 $\S 32$ 

FOOTBALL

14

**33.** When a bicomponent is found, we reset the *parent* field of each vertex so that, afterwards, two vertices will belong to the same bicomponent if and only if they have the same *parent*. (This trick was not used in BOOK\_COMPONENTS, but it does appear in the similar algorithm of ROGET\_COMPONENTS.) The new parent, v, will represent that bicomponent in subsequent computation; we put it onto  $settled\_stack$ . We also reset v-rank to be the bicomponent's size, plus a constant large enough to keep the algorithm from getting confused. (Vertex u might still have untagged arcs leading into this bicomponent; we need to keep the ranks at least as big as the rank of u-min.) Notice that v-min is u, the articulation point associated with this bicomponent. Later the rank field will contain the sum of all counts between here and the root.

We don't have to do anything when  $v \equiv goal$ ; the trivial root bicomponent always comes out last.

 $\langle$  Remove v and all its successors on the active stack from the tree, and report them as a bicomponent of the graph together with u 33  $\rangle$   $\equiv$ 

```
{ if (v \neq goal) { register Vertex *t;
                                                     /* runs through the vertices of the new bicomponent */
     long c = 0;
                         /* the number of vertices removed */
     t = active\_stack;
     while (t \neq v) {
        c++;
        t \rightarrow parent = v;
        t = t \rightarrow link;
     }
     active\_stack = v \neg link;
     v \rightarrow parent = v;
                                /* the true component size is c + 1 */
     v \rightarrow rank = c + g \rightarrow n;
     v \rightarrow link = settled\_stack;
     settled\_stack = v;
}
```

This code is used in section 32.

**34.** So here's how we sum the ranks. When we get to this step, the *settled* stack contains all bicomponent representatives except *goal* itself.

```
⟨ Use settled\_stack to put the mutual reachability count for each vertex u in u-parent-rank 34⟩ ≡ while (settled\_stack) {
v = settled\_stack;
settled\_stack = v-link;
v-rank + = v-min-parent-rank + 1 - g-n;
} /* note that goal-parent-rank = 0 */
This code is used in section 30.
```

35. And here's the last piece of the puzzle.

```
\langle Set h to the number of vertices on paths between u and goal 35 \rangle \equiv h = u \neg parent \neg rank;
```

This code is used in section 27.

**36.** Index. Finally, here's a list that shows where the identifiers of this program are defined and used.

a: <u>4</u>, <u>32</u>. active\_stack: 28, 29, 31, 33. **Arc**: 4, 5, 10, 17, 32. arcs: 5, 17, 18, 27, 28. **Area**: 11.  $argc: \underline{2}, 3.$  $argv: \underline{2}, 3.$  $bad\_node \colon \ \underline{11}, \ 12, \ 13.$  $best\_arc: \underline{17}.$ blocked: <u>17</u>, 18. buffer: 7. c: 33. Chen, Pang-Chieh: 19. cur\_node: 11, 15, 17, 19, 20, 24, 27, 28. d: <u>13</u>, <u>16</u>, <u>17</u>. date: 16.del: 5, 8, 16, 17, 27.done:  $\underline{22}$ . dummy:  $\underline{29}$ , 30. fflush: 7.fgets: 7.fprintf: 3, 5, 17, 27.  $g: \underline{4}$ .  $game \colon \ \underline{10}, \ 15, \ 17, \ 24, \ 27, \ 28.$ games: 5. $qb\_free: 14.$  $gb\_typed\_alloc$ : 13.  $gb\_unif\_rand$ : 7.  $goal: \underline{4}, 6, 8, 15, 17, 18, 19, 27, 29, 30, 33, 34.$ Graph: 4.  $h: \underline{20}.$  $last\_arc: \underline{17}.$ len: 5, 16.link: 18, 31, 33, 34.  $list: 19, \ \underline{20}, \ 21, \ 22, \ 23.$  $m: \underline{20}.$ main:  $\underline{2}$ .  $MAX_N: \underline{20}.$ min: 28, 31, 32, 33, 34. $mm: \underline{4}, 23, 24.$ name: 7, 16, 24. new\_node: 13, 17, 27. next: 5, <u>10</u>, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 27, 32.  $next\_node\colon \ \underline{11},\ 12,\ 13,\ 15.$ nickname: 16.nn: 28, 29, 31.**node**: <u>10</u>, 11, 13, 15, 20, 22, 23, 24.  $node\_storage: 11, 13, 14.$ node\_struct: 10. p:  $\underline{22}$ .  $panic\_code$ : 5.

parent: 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35. prev: 10, 13, 15, 24, 28. printf: 6, 7, 15, 16, 24.  $prompt\_for\_team: 6, \underline{7}.$ putchar: 6.q:  $\underline{7}$ ,  $\underline{22}$ . r: 23. rank: 28, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35.restart: 6.  $s: \ \ 7, \ \underline{23}.$ settled\_stack: 28, 29, 30, 33, 34. size: 20, 21, 22. sscanf: 3. start: 4, 6, 8, 10, 15, 17, 19, 27, 28. stderr: 3, 5, 17, 27. stdin: 7.stdout: 7. strcmp: 3, 7.t: 15, 23, 33.tip: 5, 15, 17, 18, 24, 27, 28, 32. tot\_len: 10, 13, 15, 17, 22, 24. u:  $\underline{4}$ ,  $\underline{32}$ . UNIX dependencies: 2, 3. untagged: 27, <u>28</u>, 32. v:  $\underline{4}$ ,  $\underline{7}$ .  $valid: \underline{17}, 18.$ verbose: 3, 4, 19. Vertex: 4, 7, 29, 32, 33. vertices: 5, 7, 17, 28. width:  $3, \underline{4}, 9, 22, 25.$ x: 13, 20.

16 NAMES OF THE SECTIONS FOOTBALL

 $\langle$  Decrease m and get ready to explore another list 23 $\rangle$  Used in section 19.  $\langle$  Explore one step from the current vertex v, possibly moving to another current vertex and calling it v 32 $\rangle$ Used in section 30.  $\langle$  Find a chain from *start* to *goal*, and print it 9 $\rangle$  Used in section 2. (Global variables 4, 11, 20, 29) Used in section 2. (Initialize the allocation of auxiliary memory 12) Used in section 9. Make all vertices unseen and all arcs untagged, except for vertices that have already been used in steps leading up to  $cur\_node\ 28$  \rightarrow Used in section 27.  $\langle$  Make vertex v active 31  $\rangle$  Used in sections 30 and 32. Make list[0] through list[n-1] empty 21 \rangle Used in section 19. Perform a depth-first search with goal as the root, finding bicomponents and determining the number of vertices accessible between any given vertex and goal 30 \> Used in section 27.  $\langle$  Place each child x of cur\_node into list[h(x)], retaining at most width nodes of maximum tot\_len on each list 27 V Used in section 19.  $\langle$  Place node x into list[h], retaining at most width nodes of maximum tot\_len 22 $\rangle$  Used in section 27. Print "verbose" info about  $cur\_node\ 24$  Used in section 19. Print the score of game a between v and u 16 \ Used in section 15. Print the solution corresponding to cur\_node 15 \ Used in section 9. Prompt for starting team and goal team; break if none given 6 \> Used in section 2. Recycle the auxiliary memory used 14 \rangle Used in section 9. Remove v and all its successors on the active stack from the tree, and report them as a bicomponent of the graph together with u 33 \ Used in section 32. (Scan the command-line options 3) Used in section 2. Set up the graph 5 \ Used in section 2. Set h to the number of vertices on paths between u and goal 35 \ Used in section 27. Set  $u \rightarrow valid = v$  for all u to which v might now move 18 \rangle Used in section 17. Subroutines 7, 13 \ Used in section 2. Type declarations 10 Vsed in section 2. Use a simple-minded greedy algorithm to find a chain from start to qoal 17 Used in section 9. Use a stratified heuristic to find a chain from start to qoal 19 \> Used in section 9. (Use settled\_stack to put the mutual reachability count for each vertex u in  $u \neg parent \neg rank$  34) Used in section 30.

## FOOTBALL

	Section	ı Pag $\epsilon$
Introduction	1	1 1
Terminal interaction	6	3 4
Greed	8	3
Stratified greed	19	) (
Bicomponents revisited	26	3 11
Index	36	3 15

## © 1993 Stanford University

This file may be freely copied and distributed, provided that no changes whatsoever are made. All users are asked to help keep the Stanford GraphBase files consistent and "uncorrupted," identical everywhere in the world. Changes are permissible only if the modified file is given a new name, different from the names of existing files in the Stanford GraphBase, and only if the modified file is clearly identified as not being part of that GraphBase. (The CWEB system has a "change file" facility by which users can easily make minor alterations without modifying the master source files in any way. Everybody is supposed to use change files instead of changing the files.) The author has tried his best to produce correct and useful programs, in order to help promote computer science research, but no warranty of any kind should be assumed.

Preliminary work on the Stanford Graph Base project was supported in part by National Science Foundation grant CCR-86-10181.