Important: Before reading ECON\_ORDER, please read or at least skim the program for GB\_ECON.

1. Near-triangular ordering. This demonstration program takes a matrix of data constructed by the GB\_ECON module and permutes the economic sectors so that the first sectors of the ordering tend to be producers of primary materials for other industries, while the last sectors tend to be final-product industries that deliver their output mostly to end users.

More precisely, suppose the rows of the matrix represent the outputs of a sector and the columns represent the inputs. This program attempts to find a permutation of rows and columns that minimizes the sum of the elements below the main diagonal. (If this sum were zero, the matrix would be upper triangular; each supplier of a sector would precede it in the ordering, while each customer of that sector would follow it.)

The general problem of finding a minimizing permutation is NP-complete; it includes, as a very special case, the FEEDBACK ARC SET problem discussed in Karp's classic paper [Complexity of Computer Computations (Plenum Press, 1972), 85–103]. But sophisticated "branch and cut" methods have been developed that work well in practice on problems of reasonable size. Here we use a simple heuristic downhill method to find a permutation that is locally optimum, in the sense that the below-diagonal sum does not decrease if any individual sector is moved to another position while preserving the relative order of the other sectors. We start with a random permutation and repeatedly improve it, choosing the improvement that gives the least positive gain at each step. A primary motive for the present implementation was to get further experience with this method of cautious descent, which was proposed by A. M. Gleason in AMS Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics 10 (1958), 175–178. (See the comments following the program below.)

ECON\_ORDER.

2

As explained in GB-ECON, the subroutine call econ(n, 2, 0, s) constructs a graph whose  $n \leq 79$  vertices represent sectors of the U.S. economy and whose arcs  $u \to v$  are assigned numbers corresponding to the flow of products from sector u to sector v. When n < 79, the n sectors are obtained from a basic set of 79 sectors by combining related commodities. If s=0, the combination is done in a way that tends to equalize the row sums, while if s > 0, the combination is done by choosing a random subtree of a given 79-leaf tree; the "randomness" is fully determined by the value of s.

This program uses two random number seeds, one for econ and one for choosing the random initial permutation. The former is called s and the latter is called t. A further parameter, r, governs the number of repetitions to be made; the machine will try r different starting permutations on the same matrix. When r > 1, new solutions are displayed only when they improve on the previous best.

By default, n = 79, r = 1, and s = t = 0. The user can change these default parameters by specifying options on the command line, at least in a UNIX implementation, thereby obtaining a variety of special effects. The relevant command-line options are  $-n\langle number \rangle$ ,  $-r\langle number \rangle$ ,  $-s\langle number \rangle$ , and/or  $-t\langle number \rangle$ . Additional options -v (verbose), -V (extreme verbosity), and -g (greedy or steepest descent instead of cautious descent) are also provided.

Here is the overall layout of this C program:

```
#include "gb_graph.h"
                              /* the GraphBase data structures */
#include "gb_flip.h"
                             /* the random number generator */
#include "gb_econ.h"
                             /* the econ routine */
  ⟨ Preprocessor definitions ⟩
  (Global variables 3)
  main(argc, argv)
                    /* the number of command-line arguments */
       char *argv[]; /* an array of strings containing those arguments */
  { unsigned long n = 79;
                                /* the desired number of sectors */
    long s = 0;
                    /* random seed for econ */
    \mathbf{long}\ t = 0;
                    /* random seed for initial permutation */
    unsigned long r = 1; /* the number of repetitions */
    long greedy = 0; /* should we use steepest descent? */
    register long j, k;
                          /* all-purpose indices */
    \langle Scan \text{ the command-line options 4} \rangle;
    g = econ(n, 2_{L}, 0_{L}, s);
    if (g \equiv \Lambda) {
       fprintf(stderr, "Sorry, \_can't\_create\_the\_matrix!\_(error\_code\_%1d)\n", panic\_code);
       return -1;
    printf("Ordering_{\sqcup}the_{\sqcup}sectors_{\sqcup}of_{\sqcup}%s,_{\sqcup}using_{\sqcup}seed_{\sqcup}%ld: \n", g-id, t);
    printf(",(%s,descent,method)\n", greedy? "Steepest": "Cautious");
     (Put the graph data into matrix form 5);
     (Print an obvious lower bound 6);
    gb\_init\_rand(t);
    while (r--) \langle Find a locally optimum permutation and report the below-diagonal sum 8\rangle;
                  /* normal exit */
  }
```

3. Besides the matrix M of input/output coefficients, we will find it convenient to use the matrix  $\Delta$ , where  $\Delta_{jk} = M_{jk} - M_{kj}.$ #define INF #7fffffff /\* infinity (or darn near) \*/  $\langle \text{Global variables } 3 \rangle \equiv$ Graph \*g; /\* the graph we will work on \*/ long mat[79][79]; /\* the corresponding matrix \*/ long del[79][79];/\* skew-symmetric differences \*/ long best\_score = INF; /\* the smallest below-diagonal sum we've seen so far \*/ See also sections 7 and 12. This code is used in section 2. 4.  $\langle Scan \text{ the command-line options 4} \rangle \equiv$ while (--argc) { if  $(sscanf(argv[argc], "-n\%lu", \&n) \equiv 1)$ ; else if  $(sscanf(argv[argc], "-r\%lu", \&r) \equiv 1)$ ; else if  $(sscanf(argv[argc], "-s%ld", \&s) \equiv 1)$ ; else if  $(sscanf(argv[argc], "-t\%ld", \&t) \equiv 1)$ ; else if  $(strcmp(argv[argc], "-v") \equiv 0)$  verbose = 1; else if  $(strcmp(argv[argc], "-V") \equiv 0)$  verbose = 2; else if  $(strcmp(argv[argc], "-g") \equiv 0)$  greedy = 1;  $fprintf(stderr, "Usage: \_%s_{\_}[-nN][-rN][-sN][-tN][-g][-v][-v] \n", argv[0]);$ return -2; } This code is used in section 2. from both  $M_{jk}$  and  $M_{kj}$  without changing the basic problem.  $\langle Put \text{ the graph data into matrix form 5} \rangle \equiv$ 

5. The optimum permutation is a function only of the  $\Delta$  matrix, because we can subtract any constant

```
\{ \text{ register Vertex } *v; 
   register Arc *a;
   n = g \rightarrow n;
   for (v = g \neg vertices; \ v < g \neg vertices + n; \ v ++)
     for (a = v \neg arcs; a; a = a \neg next) mat[v - g \neg vertices][a \neg tip - g \neg vertices] = a \neg flow;
   for (j = 0; j < n; j ++)
     for (k = 0; k < n; k++) del[j][k] = mat[j][k] - mat[k][j];
```

This code is used in section 2.

6. Nontrivial lower bounds that can be made strong enough to find provably optimum solutions to the ordering problem can be based on linear programming, as shown for example by Grötschel, Jünger, and Reinelt [Operations Research 32 (1984), 1195–1220]. The basic idea is to formulate the problem as the task of minimizing  $\sum M_{jk}x_{jk}$  for integer variables  $x_{jk} \geq 0$ , subject to the conditions  $x_{jk} + x_{kj} = 1$  and  $x_{ik} \leq x_{ij} + x_{jk}$  for all triples (i, j, k) of distinct subscripts; these conditions are necessary and sufficient. Relaxing the integrality constraints gives a lower bound, and we can also add additional inequalities such as  $x_{14} + x_{25} + x_{36} + x_{42} + x_{43} + x_{51} + x_{53} + x_{61} + x_{62} \leq 7$ . The interesting story of inequalities like this has been surveyed by P. C. Fishburn [Mathematical Social Sciences 23 (1992), 67–80].

However, our goal is more modest—we just want to study two of the simplest heuristics. So we will be happy with a trivial bound based only on the constraints  $x_{jk} + x_{kj} = 1$ .

```
 \begin{split} &\langle \operatorname{Print} \text{ an obvious lower bound } 6 \rangle \equiv \\ &\{ \text{ register long } sum = 0; \\ &\text{ for } (j = 1; \ j < n; \ j + +) \\ &\text{ for } (k = 0; \ k < j; \ k + +) \\ &\text{ if } (mat[j][k] \leq mat[k][j]) \ sum \ + = mat[j][k]; \\ &\text{ else } sum \ + = mat[k][j]; \\ &printf("(\operatorname{The}_{\sqcup} \operatorname{mount}_{\sqcup} \operatorname{of}_{\sqcup} \operatorname{feed-forward}_{\sqcup} \operatorname{must}_{\sqcup} \operatorname{be}_{\sqcup} \operatorname{at}_{\sqcup} \operatorname{least}_{\sqcup} \% \operatorname{ld.}) \\ & \} \end{split}  This code is used in section 2.
```

§7 ECON\_ORDER DESCENT 5

7. **Descent.** At each stage in our search, mapping will be the current permutation; in other words, the sector in row and column k will be g-vertices + mapping[k]. The current below-diagonal sum will be the value of score. We will not actually have to permute anything inside of mat.

```
\#define sec\_name(k) (g \neg vertices + mapping[k]) \neg name
\langle \text{Global variables } 3 \rangle + \equiv
  long mapping [79];
                         /* current permutation */
                  /* current sum of elements above main diagonal */
  long score;
                  /* the number of iterations so far */
  long steps;
    \langle Find a locally optimum permutation and report the below-diagonal sum 8\rangle \equiv
  {
    \langle \text{Initialize } mapping \text{ to a random permutation } 9 \rangle;
    while (1) {
       (Figure out the next move to make; break if at local optimum 10);
       if (verbose) printf("%8ld_after_step_%ld\n", score, steps);
       else if (steps \% 1000 \equiv 0 \land steps > 0) {
         putchar(',.');
         fflush(stdout);
                            /* progress report */
       \langle \text{ Take the next step } 13 \rangle;
    }
    best\_score \equiv INF? "Local_minimum_feed-forward": "Another_local_minimum",
         score, steps, steps \equiv 1 ? "" : "s");
    if (verbose \lor score < best\_score) {
       printf("The_lcorresponding_leconomic_lorder_lis:\n");
       for (k = 0; k < n; k++) printf("\"\s\\n\", sec_name(k));
       if (score < best\_score) best\_score = score;
  }
This code is used in section 2.
9. (Initialize mapping to a random permutation 9) \equiv
  steps = score = 0;
  for (k = 0; k < n; k++) {
    j = gb\_unif\_rand(k+1);
    mapping[k] = mapping[j];
    mapping[j] = k;
  for (j = 1; j < n; j++)
    for (k = 0; k < j; k++) score += mat[mapping[j]][mapping[k]];
  if (verbose > 1) {
    printf("\nInitial_permutation:\n");
    for (k = 0; k < n; k++) printf("\"\s\n", sec_name(k));
This code is used in section 8.
```

6 DESCENT ECON\_ORDER §10

10. If we move, say, mapping[5] to mapping[3] and shift the previous entries mapping[3] and mapping[4] right one, the score decreases by

```
del[mapping[5]][mapping[3]] + del[mapping[5]][mapping[4]].
```

Similarly, if we move mapping[5] to mapping[7] and shift the previous entries mapping[6] and mapping[7] left one, the score decreases by

```
del[mapping[6]][mapping[5]] + del[mapping[7]][mapping[5]].
```

The number of possible moves is  $(n-1)^2$ . Our job is to find the one that makes the score decrease, but by as little as possible (or, if  $greedy \neq 0$ , to make the score decrease as much as possible).

```
\langle Figure out the next move to make; break if at local optimum 10\rangle \equiv
   best_d = greedy ? 0 : INF;
   best_k = -1;
   for (k = 0; k < n; k++) { register long d = 0;
      for (j = k - 1; j \ge 0; j - -) {
         d += del[mapping[k]][mapping[j]];
         \langle \, \text{Record the move from} \, \, k \, \, \text{to} \, \, j, \, \text{if} \, \, d \, \, \text{is better than} \, \, \textit{best\_d} \, \, \, \texttt{11} \, \rangle;
      d=0;
      for (j = k + 1; j < n; j ++) {
         d += del[mapping[j]][mapping[k]];
         \langle \text{Record the move from } k \text{ to } j, \text{ if } d \text{ is better than } best\_d \text{ 11} \rangle;
      }
   if (best_k < 0) break;
This code is used in section 8.
11. \langle \text{Record the move from } k \text{ to } j, \text{ if } d \text{ is better than } best_{-}d \text{ 11} \rangle \equiv
   if (d > 0 \land (greedy ? d > best\_d : d < best\_d)) {
      best_k = k;
      best_{-}j = j;
      best_d = d;
   }
This code is used in section 10.
12. \langle \text{Global variables } 3 \rangle + \equiv
   long best_{-}d;
                       /* best improvement seen so far on this step */
   long best_k, best_j; /* moving best_k to best_j improves by best_d */
```

§13 ECON\_ORDER DESCENT 7

```
13. \langle \text{Take the next step } 13 \rangle \equiv
if (verbose > 1)
printf("Now\_move\_%s\_to\_the\_%s,\_past\n", sec\_name(best\_k), best\_j < best\_k ? "left" : "right");
j = best\_k;
k = mapping[j];
do {
    if (best\_j < best\_k) \ mapping[j] = mapping[j-1], j--;
    else mapping[j] = mapping[j+1], j++;
    if (verbose > 1) \ printf("_\ull_\ull_\%s_\ull_(%ld)\n", sec\_name(j),
best\_j < best\_k ? \ del[mapping[j+1]][k] : \ del[k][mapping[j-1]]);
} while (j \neq best\_j);
mapping[j] = k;
score -= best\_d;
steps++;
This code is used in section 8.
```

14. How well does cautious descent work? In this application, it is definitely too cautious. For example, after lots of computation with the default settings, it comes up with a pretty good value (457342), but only after taking 39,418 steps! Then (if r > 1) it tries again and stops with 461584 after 47,634 steps. The greedy algorithm with the same starting permutations obtains the local minimum 457408 after only 93 steps, then 460411 after 83 steps. The greedy algorithm tends to find solutions that are a bit inferior, but it is so much faster that it allows us to run many more experiments. After 20 trials with the default settings, it finds a permutation with only 456315 below the diagonal, and after about 250 more it reduces this upper bound to 456295. (Gerhard Reinelt has proved, via branch-and-cut, that 456295 is in fact optimum.)

The method of stratified greed, which is illustrated in the FOOTBALL module, should do better than the ordinary greedy algorithm; and interesting results can be expected when stratified greed is compared also to other methods like simulated annealing and genetic breeding. Comparisons should be made by seeing which method can come up with the best upper bound after calculating for a given number of mems (see MILES\_SPAN). The upper bound obtained in any run is a random variable, so several independent trials of each method should be made.

Question: Suppose we divide the vertices into two subsets and prescribe a fixed permutation on each subset. Is it NP-complete to find the optimum way to merge these two permutations—i.e., to find a permutation, extending the given ones, that has the smallest below-diagonal sum?

8 INDEX ECON\_ORDER §15

15. Index. We close with a list that shows where the identifiers of this program are defined and used.

*a*: 5. **Arc**: 5. arcs: 5. argc: 2, 4. $argv: \underline{2}, 4.$  $best_{-}d$ : 10, 11, <u>12</u>, 13. best\_j: 11, <u>12</u>, 13. best\_k: 10, 11, <u>12</u>, 13. best\_score: 3, 8. d:  $\underline{10}$ .  $del: \ \underline{3}, \ 5, \ 10, \ 13.$ econ: 2.fflush: 8. Fishburn, Peter Clingerman: 6. flow: 5.fprintf: 2, 4. $g: \underline{3}$ .  $gb\_init\_rand$ : 2.  $gb\_unif\_rand$ : 9. Gleason, Andrew Mattei: 1. Grötschel, Martin: 6. Graph: 3.  $greedy\colon \ \underline{2},\ 4,\ 10,\ 11.$ id: 2.INF: 3, 8, 10. $j: \underline{2}$ . Jünger, Michael: 6. k:  $\underline{2}$ . Karp, Richard Manning: 1.  $main: \underline{2}.$  $mapping: \underline{7}, 9, 10, 13.$  $mat: \ \underline{3}, \ 5, \ 6, \ 7, \ 9.$  $n: \underline{2}.$ name: 7.next: 5.  $panic\_code$ : 2. printf: 2, 6, 8, 9, 13. putchar: 8.r:  $\underline{2}$ . Reinelt, Gerhard: 6, 14. s: 2. score: 7, 8, 9, 13.  $sec\_name$ :  $\underline{7}$ , 8, 9, 13. sscanf: 4.stderr: 2, 4. stdout: 8.  $steps \colon \ \underline{7},\ 8,\ 9,\ 13.$ strcmp: 4.  $sum: \underline{6}.$ t:  $\underline{2}$ . tip: 5.

UNIX dependencies: 2, 4. v:  $\underline{5}$ . verbose: 4, 8, 9, 13. Vertex: 5. vertices: 5, 7.

ECON\_ORDER NAMES OF THE SECTIONS 9

```
\langle Figure out the next move to make; break if at local optimum 10 \rangle Used in section 8. \langle Find a locally optimum permutation and report the below-diagonal sum 8 \rangle Used in section 2. \langle Global variables 3, 7, 12 \rangle Used in section 2. \langle Initialize mapping to a random permutation 9 \rangle Used in section 8. \langle Print an obvious lower bound 6 \rangle Used in section 2. \langle Put the graph data into matrix form 5 \rangle Used in section 2. \langle Record the move from k to j, if d is better than best_{-}d 11 \rangle Used in section 10. \langle Scan the command-line options 4 \rangle Used in section 2. \langle Take the next step 13 \rangle Used in section 8.
```

## ECON\_ORDER

	Section	Page
Near-triangular ordering	1	1
Descent	7	5
Index	15	8

## © 1993 Stanford University

This file may be freely copied and distributed, provided that no changes whatsoever are made. All users are asked to help keep the Stanford GraphBase files consistent and "uncorrupted," identical everywhere in the world. Changes are permissible only if the modified file is given a new name, different from the names of existing files in the Stanford GraphBase, and only if the modified file is clearly identified as not being part of that GraphBase. (The CWEB system has a "change file" facility by which users can easily make minor alterations without modifying the master source files in any way. Everybody is supposed to use change files instead of changing the files.) The author has tried his best to produce correct and useful programs, in order to help promote computer science research, but no warranty of any kind should be assumed.

Preliminary work on the Stanford Graph Base project was supported in part by National Science Foundation grant CCR-86-10181.