

Marking Breakdown:

1. High Similarity and Incomplete/Non-functional Code (0-40 marks):

Sources that show very high similarity with other submissions and contain incomplete, non-functional, or copied code will receive the lowest marks.

This includes programs that use similar structure, variable names, and logic with other submissions without significant modification.

Examples include:

Sources that only have hardcoded values or basic output statements.

Sources with non-functional or incomplete `findLongestIncreasing` or `countDigitFrequency` functions in the number analysis programs.

Sources with non-functional or incomplete number series generators.

Sources that implement one or two required functions but fail to complete the full functionality.

Sources that have very short, incomplete code, demonstrating a lack of effort.

Sources that include comments that suggests an attempt at input validation but have errors in implementation.

Specifically, the following sources fall under this category:

24BCS001.pdf: (0 marks) - Contains no code.

24BCS010.pdf: (10 marks) - Uses hard-coded values and incomplete functions.

24BCS003.pdf: (20 marks) - Mix of code snippets, with errors, and incomplete implementation.

24BCS019.pdf: (20 marks) - Incomplete functions for the number series generator

24BCS011.pdf: (30 marks) - While the functions are implemented, the main is not functional.

24BCS005.pdf: (30 marks) - Incomplete implementations of functions, and code is non-functional.

24BCS022.pdf: (30 marks) - Contains many errors and the code is not functional.

24BCS016.pdf: (30 marks) - Has a number series generator but it has errors and is non-functional.

24BCS035.pdf: (30 marks) - Uses while loops that have no stopping condition except for a user-inputted -1

24BCS017.pdf: (35 marks) - Has implementation errors, and uses non-standard ways of finding most frequent digit.

24BCS031.pdf: (30 marks) - Code is not fully functional and does not fully address the prompt.

24BCS015_024022.pdf: (30 marks) - Incomplete and non-functional code.

2. Moderate Similarity, Partially Functional Code (41-70 marks):

Sources that implement most of the required functionality but contain some errors or incomplete features will receive marks in this range.

This includes programs that correctly implement the basic logic but may fail to handle edge cases or have minor implementation errors.

May exhibit some evidence of independent work but still show signs of copying.

Specifically, the following sources fall under this category: * **24BCS004.pdf** (50 marks) - Has implementation errors, incomplete code, but uses proper structure.

24BCS006.pdf (55 marks) - Contains logic errors and incomplete functionality but implements the basic structure.

24BCS025.pdf (55 marks) - Has a description of question 1, but does not contain any code.

24BCS027 nightcoder.pdf: (60 marks) - Incomplete implementation for the number series generator. * **24BCS030-1.pdf:** (60 marks) - Has errors in input validation but the functions are mostly implemented correctly.

24BCS032.pdf: (60 marks) - Code structure is correct, but the program does not meet all the requirements.

24bcs024...BCS.pdf: (60 marks) - Has only the description and requirements.

3. Low Similarity, Complete and Functional Code (71-90 marks):

Sources that implement all required functionality correctly, handle edge cases, and demonstrate good coding practices will receive marks in this range.

These programs demonstrate a good understanding of the requirements, but may still show some similarity to other submissions in their basic structure.

Sources in this range show evidence of independent work with some unique implementation strategies.

The following sources are in this range:

24BCS002.pdf: (70 marks) - Implements all of the required word transformation functions, but the structure and variable names are very similar to other submissions.

24BCS026.NO8.pdf (75 marks) - Implements most functions correctly, but could have better input validation.

24BCS028.pdf (75 marks) - Implements all the required word transformations, but it includes some redundancy, and input validation could be improved.

24BCS029.pdf: (75 marks) - Number series generator implemented correctly, and input validation is mostly correct, however it uses very similar structure and implementation to other submissions.

24BCS018.pdf (80 marks) - Has good implementation, but it is missing some functions and has input validation issues.

24BCS013.pdf (80 marks) - Has a good implementation for the number series generator, but it uses very similar structure and implementation to other submissions.

4. High Originality, Complete, and Highly Functional Code (91-100 marks):

Sources that demonstrate a high level of originality, implement all required functions correctly, handle edge cases effectively, and show excellent coding practices with good input validation will receive the highest marks.

These programs show a deep understanding of the problem and the ability to design a creative and efficient solution.

The following sources are in this range:

WORK11.pdf (90 marks) - Has a good implementation of all of the required word transformation functions, and it implements input validation, however, it uses very similar structure and variable names to other submissions.

24BCS036.pdf: (90 marks) - Implements all required functions, includes input validation and uses unique implementation, but could be better organized.

Additional Considerations:

Comments: Well-commented code can earn a few extra marks, demonstrating a clear understanding of the code.

Input Validation: Proper input validation is essential and should be rewarded.

Error Handling: Programs that handle errors gracefully should receive higher marks.

Code Organization: Well-organized, readable code should be favored.