

Overview of Sampling Theory: Estimation Methods and Sampling Strategies

Mainak Thakur

31.08.2020

Mean Squared Error and Standard Error

- MSE: MSE of an estimator $\hat{\theta}$, $\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}) = E(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2$
- $\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) + [B(\hat{\theta})]^2$
- If $\hat{\theta}$ is unbiased for θ , then $\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\theta})$
- $\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta})}$ is known as standard error of the estimator $\hat{\theta}$

Class of unbiased estimators

- Suppose a random sample Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n is taken from a population having mean μ
- $E(Y_i) = \mu, \forall i$
- Y_2 can be an unbiased estimator of μ
- $\frac{Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_7}{3}$ is another unbiased estimator of μ

Estimation Methods: Maximum Likelihood Estimation

- Provided criteria under which a given estimator may be called good, we don't know how to find an estimator yet
- How about learning some automated methods to find good estimators?
- MLE is the most popular method to find estimates
- Can be automated to produce numerical estimates
- Works very well for large samples and have favorable properties

MLE: Example

- Think of an experiment: tossing a coin two times independently. Let X be the random variable denoting “number of heads in two tosses” . $X \sim \text{Bin}(2, \theta)$,
- $\theta = P(\text{getting head in a coin toss})$ and θ is either 0.2 or 0.8.
- The pmf is given by $p(x; \theta) = \binom{2}{x} \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{2-x}$, $x = 0, 1, 2$.
- The pmf of X for possible θ values is provided below:

θ	$x=0$	$x=1$	$x=2$
0.2	0.64	0.32	0.04
0.8	0.04	0.32	0.64

Given a sample of two tosses how can you logically estimate θ ?

MLE: concept

- The concept of the maximum likelihood method was exercised in the last example. The unknown parameter θ is estimated with the value that maximizes the probability of obtaining an observed sample realization. The most probable value of θ is required to be chosen as the estimate according to the available data.
- Let $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n/\theta)$ be the joint pdf or pmf of the sample observations. For fixed θ , this is a function of sample observations. But when x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are observed and θ is unknown, it can be considered as a function of θ , $L(\theta)$ which is known as likelihood function. So in order to be a maximum likelihood estimator of θ , $L(\hat{\theta}) = \max(L(\theta))$ should be satisfied and $\hat{\theta}$ is the MLE.
- In general it is convenient to deal with $\ln L(\theta)$ rather than $L(\theta)$. $\ln L(\theta)$ gets its highest value for the same value of θ as $L(\theta)$ does.

Example

- Consider x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n to be a realization of random sample of independent observations from a Poisson distribution with parameter λ . The likelihood function can be derived as:

$$L(\lambda) = \frac{\exp[-n\lambda] \lambda^{\sum x_i}}{\prod (x_i!)}$$

$$\ln L(\lambda) = -n\lambda + \sum x_i \ln \lambda - \sum \ln(x_i!)$$

$$\frac{d \ln L(\lambda)}{d\lambda} = -n + \left(\sum x_i \right) \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

The maximum likelihood estimate of λ is $\hat{\lambda} = \sum x_i / n$.

Method of Moments

- An unknown population X has parameter vector $\theta = [\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k]'$. The population moments are functions of the unknown parameters. That is, population moment of order r is given by:
 $\alpha_r = \alpha_r(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) = E(X^r)$.
- Given an i.i.d. sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n , let us denote the sample non-central moment of order r by a_r :

$$a_r = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^r, r=1,2,3\dots$$

The sample moment(s) are then equated with the theoretical population moment(s) and are then solved for $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$. If there are k unknowns, one typically considers the first k non-central moments, whichever is convenient.

Example

- Population $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, i.i.d sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n from the population. Compute the MME of μ and σ^2 .
- As there are two parameters, at least two equations are required.

1. $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(\mu, \sigma^2) = E(X) = \mu$

2. $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2(\mu, \sigma^2) = E(X^2) = \text{Var}(X) + [E(X)]^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$

The first two sample moments are given by

1. $a_1 = \bar{X}$

2. $a_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^2$

Equating a_1 and α_1 gives: $\hat{\mu}_{MME} = \bar{X}$

Equating a_2 and α_2 gives (substituting μ by $\hat{\mu}_{MME} = \bar{X}$): $\sigma_{MME}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^2 - (\bar{X})^2$

MLE vs MME

- MLE is statistically well established and has often lower variance than other methods
- MLE may be computationally very expensive and slow
- MMEs are easy to derive
- MMEs are often used to provide starting values while computing MLE
- MME may not be unique for a given sample

Sampling Strategies

- Sampling strategies refer to different ways to choose members from the population. Biases may occur due to non-random selection leading to an unrepresentative sample of the population.
- Sampling strategies are broadly divided in two ways:

