Maschine Learning Summary WS21/22 $\,$

mastermakrela

February 6, 2022

Contents

Introduction	
Core Questions	
Core Problems	
Core Questions	
Bayes Decision Theory	
Probability Theory	
Probability	
Probability Densities	
Expectations	
Variances and Covariances	
Bayes Decision Theory	
Classifying with Loss Functions	
Minimizing the Expected Loss	
Probability Density Estimation	(
The Gaussian (or Normal) Distribution	
Properties	
Parametric Methods	
Maximum Likelihood Approach	
Non-Parametric Methods	
Histograms	
Kernel Density Estimation	
K-Nearest Neighbors	
Summary	
Mixture Distribution	
Mixture of Gaussians	
Maximum Likelihood	
K-Means Clustering	
EM Algorithm	
Summary: Gaussian Mixture Models	
Applications	
Support Vector Machines	1
Softmax Regression	
Multi-class generalization of logistic regression	
Optimization	
Support Vector Machines	
Motivation	
SVM	
Lagrangian Formulation	1

Discussion	13
Dual form formulation	13
Soft-margin classification	13
Nonlinear Support Vector Machines	14
Problem with High-dim. Basis Functions	
Summary	
Properties	
Limitaitons	
Error Function	
Applications	
Text Classification	15
${f Adaboost}$	15
Ensembles of Classifiers	
Idea	
Constructing Ensembles	
Adaboost	
Idea	
Components	
Adaboost	
Minimizing Exponential Error	17
Final Algorithm	17
Summary	17
·	
Deep Learning / Neural Networks	18
A Brief History of Neural Networks	18
Perceptrons	
Standard Perceptron	
Perceptron Learning	
Limitations of Perceptrons	
Multi-Layer Perceptrons	
Learning with Hidden Units	
Obtaining the Gradients	
Approach 1: Naive Analytical Differentiation	
Approach 2: Numerical Differentiation	
Approach 3: Incremental Analytical Differentiation (Backpropagation)	
Learning Multi-layer Networks	
Computational Graphs	
Approach 4: Automatic Differentiation	22
Gradient Descent	22
Stochastic vs. Batch Learning	22
Choosing the Right Learning Rate	23
Momentum	23
Better Adaptation: RMSProp	
Summary	
Optimization	25
Tricks of the Trade	25
Shuffling the Examples	
Data augmentation	
Normalization	-
Nonlinearities	
Usage	
Extension: ReLU	
Initialization	
Initializing the Weights	
Glorot Initialization	27

Advanced techniques	
Batch Normalization	
Dropout	
Convolutional Neural Networks	28
Neural Networks for Computer Vision	
What's Wrong With Standard Neural Networks?	
Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN, ConvNet)	
Pooling Layers	
CNN Architectures	
LeNet	
AlexNet	
VGGNet	
GoogLeNet	
Visualizing CNNs	
Inceptionism: Dreaming ConvNets	
Residual Networks	
Deep Residual Learning	
Applications of CNNs	
Object detection	
Semantic segmentation	
Word Embeddings	32
Neural Networks for Sequence Data	
Motivating Example	
Possible solution: The trigram (n-gram) method	
Neural Probabilistic Language Model	
Popular Word Embeddings	
word2vec	
Problems	
Embeddings in Vision	
Siamese networks	
Recurrent Neural Networks	35
Learning with RNNs	
Introduction	
Problems with RNN Training	
Improved hidden units for RNNs	

Introduction

Machine Learning Principles, methods, and algorithms for learning and prediction on the basis of past evidence

Goal of Machine Learning:

Machines that learn to perform a task from experience.

Learning most important aspect

We provide data and goal - machine figures rest out.

Learning Tools:

- statistics
- probability theory
- decision theory
- information theory
- optimization theory

Core Questions

Task: y = f(x; w)

Where:

- \bullet x Input
- y Output
- \bullet w Learned parameters

Regression	Classification
Continuous output	Discrete output

Core Problems

- 1. How to input data / how to interpret inputted data
- 2. Features
 - Invariance to irrelevant input variations
 - Selecting the "right" features is crucial
 - Encoding and use of "domain knowledge"
 - Higher-dimensional features are more discriminative.
- 3. Curse of Dimensionality
 - complexity increases exponentially with number of dimensions

Core Questions

- 1. Measuring performance of a model
 - eg. % of correct classifications
- 2. Generalization performance
 - performing on test data is not enough
 - model has to perform on new data
- 3. What data is available?
 - Supervised vs unsupervised learning
 - mix: semi-supervised
 - reinforcement learning with feedback

Most often learning is an optimization problem

I.e., maximize y = f(x; w) with regard to performance.

Bayes Decision Theory

Probability Theory

Probability

$$P(X=x) \in [0,1] \tag{1}$$

Where $X \in \{x_1, ..., x_N\}$ an Occurrence of something.

Assuming two random variables $X \in \{x_i\}$ and $Y \in \{y_i\}$. Consider N trials and let:

$$n_{ij} = count\{X = X_i \land Y = yj\}$$

$$c_i = count\{X = x_i\}$$

$$r_i = count\{Y = y_i\}$$

Then we can derive *The Rules of Probability*:

Joint Probability	$p(X = x_i, Y = y_j) = \frac{n_{ij}}{N}$
Marginal Probability	$p(X = x_i) = \frac{c_i}{N}$
Conditional Probability	$p(Y = y_j X = x * i) = \frac{n*ij}{c_i}$

Sum Rule
$$p(X) = \sum_{Y} p(X,Y)$$
 Product Rule
$$p(X,Y) = p(X,Y)P(X)$$

And Bayes' Theorem:

$$p(X|Y) = \frac{p(X|Y)P(X)}{P(X)} \tag{2}$$

where: $p(X) = \sum_{Y} p(X, Y)p(Y)$

Probability Densities

If the variable is continuous we can't just look at probability for x. We have to look for the interval the x is in, using *Probability Density Function* p(x).

$$p(x) = \int_a^b p(x) dx$$

The probability that x lies in the interval is given by $(-\infty, z)$ the cumulative distribution function:

$$P(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{z} p(x) dx$$

Expectations

Expectation average value of some function f(x) under a probability distribution p(x)

Discrete:
$$\mathbb{E}[f] = \sum_{x} p(x) f(x)$$

Continuous:
$$\mathbb{E}[f] = \int p(x)f(x)dx$$

For finite N samples we can approximate:

$$\mathbb{E}[f] \subseteq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(x_n)$$

Conditional expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}_x[f|y] = \sum_x p(x|y)f(x)$$

Variances and Covariances

Variance measures how much variability there is in f(x) around its mean value $\mathbb{E}[f(x)]$

$$var[f] = \mathbb{E}[(f(x) - \mathbb{E}[f(x)])^2] = \mathbb{E}[f(x)^2] - \mathbb{E}[f(x)]^2$$

Covariance for two random variables x and y

$$cov[x, y] = \mathbb{E}_{x,y}[xy] - \mathbb{E}[x]\mathbb{E}[y]$$

Bayes Decision Theory

Priors a priori probabilities

what can we tell about probability before seeing the data sum of all priors is 1

Conditional probabilities $p(x|C_k)$ is likelihood for class C_k

where x measures/describes certain properties of input

Posterior probabilities $p(C_k|x)$

probability of class C_k given the measurement vector \boldsymbol{x}

$$p(C_k|x) = \frac{p(x|C_k)p(C_k)}{p(x)} = \frac{p(x|C_k)p(C_k)}{\sum_i p(x|C_i)p(C_i)}$$

Goal: Minimize the probability of a misclassification.

Decide for C_k when:

$$p(C_k|x) > p(C_j|x) \forall j \neq k$$

$$p(x|C_k)p(C_k) > p(x|C_j)p(C_j) \forall j \neq k$$

Classifying with Loss Functions

Motivation: Decide if it's better to choose wrong or nothing.

In general formalized as a matrix L_{jk}

 $L_{jk} = loss for decision C_j if C_k is correct selection$

Minimizing the Expected Loss

Optimal solution requires knowing which class is correct - this is unknown. So we minimize the expected loss:

$$\mathbb{E}[L] = \sum_{k} \sum_{j} \int_{\mathcal{R}_{j}} L_{kj} p(x, C_{k}) dx$$

This can be done by choosing the \mathcal{R}_i regions such that:

$$\mathbb{E}[L] = \sum_{k} L_{kj} p(C_k | x)$$

Probability Density Estimation

How can we estimate (= learn) those probability densities?

In Supervised training case: data and class labels are known. So we can estimate the probability density for each class separately.

The Gaussian (or Normal) Distribution

One-dimensional

- Mean μ
- Variance σ^2

$$\mathcal{N}(x|\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} exp\{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\}$$

Multi-dimensional

- Mean μ
- Variance Σ

$$\mathcal{N}(x|\mu,\Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}|\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\}$$
(3)

Properties

Central Limit Theorem "The distribution of the sum of N i.i.d. random variables becomes increasingly Gaussian as N grows."

[There was some more stuff in slides but it was boring math]

The marginals of a Gaussian are again Gaussians

Parametric Methods

Given some data X with parameters $\theta = (\mu, \sigma)$. What is the probability that X has been generated from a probability density with parameters θ .

$$L(\theta) = p(X|\theta)$$

Maximum Likelihood Approach

Single data point:

$$p(x_n|\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} exp\{-\frac{(x_n-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\}$$

Assumption all data points are independent:

$$theta) = p(X|\theta) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} p(x_n|\theta)$$

Log-Likelihood:
$$E(\theta) = -lnL(\theta) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} lnp(x_n|\theta)$$

Goal: Minimize $E(\theta)$.

How to:

- 1. Take the derivate of $E(\theta)$
- 2. Set it to zero
- 3. Quic Mafs

Warning

MLA is biased - it underestimates the true variance. I.e., overfits to the observed data.

Frequentist vs Bayesian

Frequentist	Bayesian
probabilities are frequencies of random, repeatable events	quantify the uncertainty about certain
	states or events

Frequentist	Bayesian
fixed, but can be estimated more precisely when more data is available	uncertainty can be revised in the light of new evidence

Non-Parametric Methods

Often the functional form of the distribution is unknown. So we have to estimate probability from data.

Histograms

Partition data into bins with widths Δ_i and count number of observations in each bin.

$$p_i = \frac{n_i}{N\Delta_i}$$

Often: $\Delta_i = \Delta_j \forall i, j$

Advantages	Disadvantages
works in any dimension D no need to store data after computation	curse of dimensionality rather brute force

Bin size:

• too large: too much smoothing

• too small: too much noise

Kernel Density Estimation

Parzen Window

Idea: Hypercube of dimension D with width edge length h.

We place a kernel window k at location x and count how many data points fall inside it. Crude solution because the chosen function k creates hard cuts around Hypercubes.

Kernel Function:
$$k(u) = \begin{cases} 1, |u_i * \frac{1}{2}, i = 1, ..., D \\ 0, else \end{cases}$$

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^{N} k(\frac{x - x_n}{h})$$

$$V = \int k(u)du = h^d$$

Then probability density is:

$$p(x) \simeq \frac{K}{NV} = \frac{1}{Nh_D} \sum_{i=1}^{N} k(\frac{x - x_n}{h})$$

Gausian Kernel

Similar to Parzen Window, but with Gaussian kernel function k.

$$k(u) = \frac{1}{(2 \times \pi h^2)^{1/2}} \exp\{-\frac{u^2}{2h^2}\}$$

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^{N} k(x - x_n)$$

$$V = \int k(u)du = 1$$

Then probability density is:

$$p(x) \simeq \frac{K}{NV} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{(2\pi h^2)^{D/2}} \exp\{-\frac{\|x - x_n\|^2}{2h^2}\}$$

K-Nearest Neighbors

Similar to above but we fix K (the number of neighbors) and calculate V (size of the neighbourhood).

Then:
$$p(x) \simeq \frac{K}{NV}$$

Warning: Strictly speaking, the model produced by K-NN is not a true density model, because the integral over all space diverges.

Bayesian Classification

$$p(C_j|x) = \frac{p(x|C_j)p(C_j)}{p(x)}$$

Summary

- Very General
- Training requires no computation
- Requires storing and computing the entire dataset -> cost linear in the number of data points -> can be saved in implementation

Kernel size K in K-NN?

- Too large: too much smoothing
- Too small: too much noise

Bias-Variance Tradeoff

	Histograms	Kernel methods	K-Nearest Neighbors
too large	$\begin{array}{l} bin\ size?\ \Delta\\ \Delta\ :\ too\ smoth \end{array}$	kernel size? h h: too smooth	K? K : too smooth
too small	Δ : not smooth enough	h: not smooth enough	K: not smooth enough

Mixture Distribution

Motivation: single parametric distribution is often not sufficient, e.g., for multimodal data.

Mixture of Gaussians

Idea: we take multiple Gaussians and combine them, giving each one a weight that also depends on input.

$$p(x|\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} p(x|\theta_j)p(j)$$

Where:

- $p(x|\theta_i)$ is the probability of measurement x given the j-th mixture component
- p(j) is the prior of component j

Note:

$$\int p(x)dx = 1$$

Maximum Likelihood

$$E = -lnL(\theta) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} lnp(x_n|\theta)$$

$$lnp(X|\pi,\mu,\Sigma) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathcal{N}(x_n|\mu_k,\Sigma_k)\}\$$

-> leads to infinite loop, because gausians depend on gausians -> It is possible to apply iterative numerical optimization here, but in the following, we will see a simpler method.

9

K-Means Clustering

Iterative procedure:

- 1. Pick K random data points as initial cluster centres
- 2. Assign each data point to the closest cluster centre
- 3. Compute the new cluster centres as the mean of the assigned data points
- 4. Repeat until convergence

Guaranteed to converge after finite number of iterations

But:

- local optimum
- depends on the initial cluster centres

Note:

Can be used e.g. for image compression

Advantages	Disadvantages
simple — fast to compute converges to local minimum	how to select k sensitive to initial cluster centres sensitive to outliers only spherical clusters

EM Algorithm

Expectation-maximization algorithm

E-Step	softly assign samples to mixture components
M-Step	update the parameters of the mixture components

Technical Advice

- When implementing EM, we need to take care to avoid singularities in the estimation! => Enforce minimum width for the Gaussians
- EM is very sensitive to the initialization will converge to local minimum of E => Initialize with k-Means to get better results
- Typical procedure
 - Run k-Means M times (e.g. M = 10-100)
 - Pick the best result (lowest error J).
 - Use this result to initialize EM
 - * Set μ_i to the corresponding cluster mean from k-Means.
 - * Initialize Σ_j , to the sample covariance of the associated data points.

Summary: Gaussian Mixture Models

Properties:

- Very general, can represent any (continuous) distribution.
- Once trained, very fast to evaluate.
- Can be updated online.

Problems:

- Need to apply regularization in order to avoid singularities
- EM for MoG is computationally expensive

- Need to select the number of mixture components K
 - Model selection problem

Applications

Computer Vision

- Model distributions of pixel colors.
- Each pixel is one data point in, e.g., RGB space.
- Learn a MoG to represent the class-conditional densities.
- Use the learned models to classify other pixels.

Background Model for Tracking

Train background MoG for each pixel

- Model "common" appearance variation for each background pixel
- Initialization with an empty scene.
- Update the mixtures over time
 - Adapt to lighting changes, etc.

Anything that cannot be explained by the background model is labelled as foreground

Image Segmentation

User assisted image segmentation

- User marks two regions for foreground and background.
- Learn a MoG model for the color values in each region.
- Use those models to classify all other pixels.
 - Simple segmentation procedure (building block for more complex applications)

Support Vector Machines

Softmax Regression

Multi-class generalization of logistic regression

Assume binary labels $t_n \in \{0, 1\}$.

Softmax generalizes this to K values in 1-of-K notation.

$$y(x;w) = \begin{bmatrix} P(y=1|x;u) \\ P(y=2|x;w) \\ \vdots \\ P(y=k|x;W) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(4)$$

With softmax function: $\frac{exp(a_k)}{\sum_j exp(a_j)}$

Logistic Regression

Alternative way of writing the cost function.

$$E(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{1} \{ \mathbb{I}(t_n = k) \} \ln P(y_n = k | x_n; w) \}$$

Softmax Regression

Generalization to K classes using indicator functions.

$$E(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{1} \{ \mathbb{I}(t_n = k) \} \ln \frac{\exp(w_k^T x)}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \exp(w_j^T x)} \}$$

Optimization

Again, no closed-form solution is available — Resort again to Gradient Descent.

$$\nabla_{w_k} E(w) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} [\mathbb{I}(t_n = k) \ln P(y_n = k | x_n; w)]$$

We can now plug this into a standard optimization package.

Support Vector Machines

Motivation

Goal: predict class labels of new observations.

But: as training progresses we start to overfit to training data.

Popular solution: Cross-validation.

- Split the available data into training and validation sets.
- Estimate the generalization error based on the error on the validation set.
- Choose the model with minimal validation error.

With linearly separable data there are many ways to split the data. The problem is how to choose the best split?

Intuitively, we would like to select the classifier which leaves maximal "safety room" for future data points.

This can be obtained by maximizing the margin between positive and negative data points.

=> The SVM formulates this problem as a convex optimization problem. I.e., we can find optimal solution.

SVM

Consider linearly separable data:

- N training points $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$
- Target values $t_i \in \{-1, 1\}$
- Hyperplane separating the two classes: $w^T x + b = 0$

Then Canonical representation of the decision hyperplane:

$$t_n(w^T x_n + b) \ge 1 \forall n$$

Optimization problem

Find the hyperplane satisfying: $arg_{w,b}min\frac{1}{2}||w||^2$

under constraints: $t_n(w^Tx_n + b) \ge 1 \forall n$

- Quadratic programming problem with linear constraints.
- Can be formulated using Lagrange multipliers (see slides).

Lagrangian Formulation

$$L_p = \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 - \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \{t_n y(x_n) - 1\}$$

Under conditions:

- $a_n \ge 0$
- $t_n y(x_n) 1 \ge 0$ $a_n \{t_n y(x_n) 1\} = 0$

Solution

Computed as a linear combination of the training examples: $w = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n t_n x_n$.

Because of the KKT conditions, the following must also hold: $a_n(t_n(w^Tx_n + b) - 1) = 0$.

This implies that $a_n > 0$ only for training data points for which $t_n(w^Tx_n + b) - 1 = 0$.

=> Only some of the data points actually influence the decision boundary!

To define the decision boundary, we still need to know b:

$$b = \frac{1}{N_{\mathcal{S}}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}} (t_n - \sum_{m \in \mathcal{S}} a_m t_m x_m^T x_n)$$

Discussion

Linear SVM

- Linear classifier
- SVMs have a "guaranteed" generalization capability.
- Formulation as convex optimization problem.
- => Globally optimal solution!

Primal form formulation

- Solution to quadratic prog. problem in M variables is in $\mathcal{O}(M^3)$.
- Here: D variables -> $\mathcal{O}(D^3)$.
- Problem: scaling with high-dim. data ("curse of dimensionality")

Dual form formulation

Does something that I don't understand.

At the end we should maximize:

$$L_d(a) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} a_n a_m t_n t_m(x_m^T x_n)$$

Under conditions: $a_n \ge 0 \forall n \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^N a_n t_n = 0.$

Then the hyperplane is given by the N_S support vectors:

$$w = \sum_{n=1}^{\mathcal{S}} a_n t_n x_n$$

Soft-margin classification

Solution above works only if data linearly separable. But we can add some tolerance to this division to make it work even if outliers present.

We add "slack variable" ξ to the formulation:

$$w^T x_n + b \ge 1 - \xi$$
 for $t_n = 1$ $w^T x_n + b * -1 + \xi$ for $t_n = -1$

where $\xi_n \geq 0 \forall n$.

Interpertation

- $\xi_n = 0$: point on correct side of the margin
- $\xi_n = |t_n y(x_n)|$: otherwise - $\xi_n > 1$: misclassified point

Note:

We do not have to set the slack variables ourselves! They are jointly optimized together with w.

New Dual Formulation

$$L_d(a) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} a_n a_m t_n t_m(x_m^T x_n)$$

Under conditions: a_n ?0?C and $\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n t_n = 0$.

Nonlinear Support Vector Machines

Not everything can be linearly separated, so we need nonlinear classifiers.

General idea:

The original input space can be mapped to some higher-dimensional feature space where the training set is separable.

Nonlinear transformation ϕ of the data points x_n :

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^D \ \phi : \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathcal{H}$$

Hyperplane in higher dimensional space \mathcal{H} :

$$w^T \phi(x) + b = 0$$

Problem with High-dim. Basis Functions

In order to apply the SVM, we need to evaluate the function: $y(x) = w^T \phi(x) + b$.

Using hyperplane $w = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n t_n \phi(x_n)$.

Which leads to Problems in high dimensional feature space.

Solution:

We can replace dot product $\phi(x)^T \phi(x)$ by a kernel function: k(x,y).

Then
$$y(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n t_n k(x_n, x) + b$$
.

The kernel function implicitly maps the data to the higher dimensional space (without having to compute $\phi(x)$ explicitly)!

But it only works fore specific kernel functions.

"Every positive definite symmetric function is a kernel." Mercer's theorem (modernized version)

(positive definite = all eigenvalues are > 0)

Example kernels:

- Polynomial kernel: $k(x,y) = (x^Ty + 1)^p$
- Radial Basis Function kernel (e.g. Gaussian): $k(x,y) = e^{-\frac{||x-y||^2}{2\sigma^2}}$

New New Dual Formulation

$$L_d(a) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} a_n a_m t_n t_m k(x_m, x_n)$$

Under conditions: $a_n ? 0 ? C$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n t_n = 0$.

Summary

Properties

- in practice work very well
- among the best performers for a number of classification tasks ranging from text to genomic data
- can be applied to complex data types by designing kernel functions for such data
- The kernel trick has been used for a wide variety of applications. It can be applied wherever dot products are in use

14

Limitaitons

- How to select the right kernel?
 - Best practice guidelines are available for many applications
- How to select the kernel parameters?
 - (Massive) cross-validation.
 - Usually, several parameters are optimized together in a grid search.
- Solving the quadratic programming problem
 - Standard QP solvers do not perform too well on SVM task.
 - Dedicated methods have been developed for this, e.g. SMO.
- Speed of evaluation
 - Evaluating y(x) scales linearly in the number of SVs.
 - Too expensive if we have a large number of support vectors. -> There are techniques to reduce the
 effective SV set.
- Training for very large datasets (millions of data points)
 - Stochastic gradient descent and other approximations can be used

Error Function

SVMs result in so-called hinge error. Where the error is minimized and correct classification is constant.

This leads to:

- sparsity Zero error for points outside the margin
- robustness Linear penalty for misclassified points

Applications

Text Classification

Problem: Classify a document in a number of categories.

Representation:

- "Bag-of-words" approach
- Histogram of word counts (on learned dictionary)

Usage:

- spam filters
- ocr optical character recognition
- object detection

Adaboost

Ensembles of Classifiers

Idea

- Assume K classifiers.
- They are independent.
- Each has error probability p < 0.5 on training data.

Then: Majority vote of all classifiers should have a lower error than each individual classifier

Constructing Ensembles

How do we get different classifiers?

Simplest Case

- Subsample the training data
 Reuse the same training algorithm several times on different subsets of the training data.
- 2. Train same classifier on different data.
- => Well-suited for "unstable" learning algorithms.

Where:

Unstable	Stable
Decision trees neural networks rule learning algorithms	Nearest neighbor linear regression SVMs

Unstable := small differences in training data can produce very different classifiers

Bagging

Bagging := "Bootstrap aggregation"

- 1. In each run of the training algorithm, randomly select M samples with replacement from the full set of N training data points.
- 2. If M=N, then on average, 63.2% of the training points will be represented. The rest are duplicates.

Injecting randomness

- Many (iterative) learning algorithms need a random initialization (e.g. k-means, EM)
- Perform multiple runs of the learning algorithm with different random initializations.

Model Averaging

- Suppose we have H different models h = 1, ..., H with prior probabilities p(h).
- Construct the marginal distribution over the data set: $p(X) = \sum_{h=1}^{H} p(X|h)p(h)$

Interpretation

- Just one model is responsible for generating the entire data set.
- The probability distribution over h just reflects our uncertainty which model that is.
- As the size of the data set increases, this uncertainty reduces, and p(X|h) becomes focused on just one of the models.

 $Model\ Combination$

- (e.g., Mixtures of Gaussians)
- Different data points generated by different model components.
- Uncertainty is about which component created which data point.
- -> One latent variable z_n , for each data point: $p(X) = \prod_{n=1}^N p(x_n) = \prod_{n=1}^N \sum_{z_n} p(x_n, z_n)$

Model Averaging: Expected Error

Some math I don't understand. See slides 19-21 in VL 12.

Average error of committee

$$\mathbb{E}_{COM} = \frac{1}{M} \mathbb{E}_{AV}$$

This suggests that the average error of a model can be reduced by a factor of M simply by averaging M versions of the model!

In reality the errors are **not** uncorrelated - usually highly correlated.

Adaboost

Idea

- Iteratively select an ensemble of component classifiers
- After each iteration, reweight misclassified training examples.
 - Increase the chance of being selected in a sampled training set.
 - Or increase the misclassification cost when training on the full set.

Components

 $h_m(x)$ "weak" / base classifier H(x) "strong" / final classifier

Adaboost

Construct a strong classifier as a thresholded linear combination of the weighted weak classifiers:

$$H(x) = sign(\sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_m h_m(x))$$

Minimizing Exponential Error

Exponential error function: $E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} exp\{-t_n f_m(x_n)\}\$

where $f_m(x)$ is a classifier defined as a linear combination of base classifiers $h_l(x)$:

$$f_m(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^m \alpha_l h_l(x)$$

Goal:

Minimize E with respect to both the weighting coefficients α_l and the parameters of the base classifiers h(x).

Here some step by step math that minimizes this.

Final Algorithm

- 1. Initialization: $w_n^{(1)} = \frac{1}{N}$ for n = 1, ..., N.
- 2. For m = 1, ..., M iterations
 - a) Train a new weak classifier $h_m(x)$ using the current weighting coefficients W(m) by minimizing the weighted error function: $J_m = \sum_{n=1}^N w_n^{(m)} I(h_m(x) \neq t_n)$

$$J_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N} w_n^{(m)} I(h_m(x) \neq t_n)$$

- b) Estimate the weighted error of this classifier on X: TODO
- c) TODO
- d) TODO

Summary

Properties

- Simple combination of multiple classifiers.
- Easy to implement.
- Can be used with many different types of classifiers.
 - None of them needs to be too good on its own.
 - In fact, they only have to be slightly better than chance.
- Commonly used in many areas.
- Empirically good generalization capabilities.

Limitations

- Original AdaBoost sensitive to misclassified training data points.
 - Because of exponential error function.
 - Improvement by GentleBoost
- Single-class classifier
 - Multiclass extensions available

Deep Learning / Neural Networks

A Brief History of Neural Networks

1957 Rosenblatt invents the Perceptron

- And a cool learning algorithm: "Perceptron Learning"
- Hardware implementation "Mark | Perceptron" for 20x20 pixel image analysis

1969 Minsky & Papert

- Showed that (single-layer) Perceptrons cannot solve all problems.
- This was misunderstood by many that they were worthless.

1980s Resurgence of Neural Networks

- Some notable successes with multi layer perceptrons.
- Backpropagation learning algorithm
- But they are hard to train, tend to overfit, and have unintuitive parameters.
- So, the excitement fades again...

1995+ Interest shifts to other learning methods

- Notably Support Vector Machines
- Machine Learning becomes a discipline of its own.
- The general public and the press still love Neural Networks.

2005+ Gradual progress

- Better understanding how to successfully train deep networks
- Availability of large datasets and powerful GPUs
- Still largely under the radar for many disciplines applying ML

2012 Breakthrough results

- ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge
- A ConvNet halves the error rate of dedicated vision approaches.
- Deep Learning is widely adopted.

Perceptrons

Standard Perceptron

Construction: Input layer -> Weights -> Output layer

Input Layer := Hand-designed features based on common sense

Outputs:

- linear: $y(x) = w^T x + w_0$
- logistic: $y(x) = \sigma(w^T x + w_0)$

Learning := Determining the weights w

Multi-Class Networks

Construction: Input layer -> Weights -> Output layer

One output node per class

Outputs:

- linear: $y_k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d W_{ki} x_i$ logistic: $y_k(x) = \sigma(\sum_{i=0}^d W_{ki} x_i)$

Can be used to do multidimensional linear regression or multiclass classification.

Non-Linear Basis Functions

Construction: Input layer -> Mapping (fixed) -> Feature Layer -> Weights -> Output layer

Outputs:

- linear: $y_k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d W_{ki}\phi(x_i)$ logistic: $y_k(x) = \sigma(\sum_{i=0}^d W_{ki}\phi(x_i))$

Notes:

- Perceptrons are generalized linear discriminants!
- Everything we know about the latter can also be applied here.
- feature functions $\phi(x)$ are kept fixed, not learned!

Perceptron Learning

- Very simple algorithm
- Process the training cases in some permutation
 - If the output unit is correct, leave the weights alone.
 - If the output unit incorrectly outputs a zero, add the input vector to the weight vector.
 - If the output unit incorrectly outputs a one, subtract the input vector from the weight vector.
- This is guaranteed to converge to a correct solution if such a solution exists.

In this process we can use many loss functions:

- L2 loss
- L1 loss
- (Binary) cross-entropy loss
- Hinge loss
- Softmax cross-entropy loss

Regularization

In addition, we can apply regularizers.

$$E(w) = \sum_{n} L(t_n; y(x_n; w)) + \lambda ||w||^2$$

- This is known as weight decay in Neural Networks.
- We can also apply other regularizers, e.g. L1 => sparsity
- Since Neural Networks often have many parameters, regularization becomes very important in practice.

Limitations of Perceptrons

What makes the task difficult?

Perceptrons with fixed, hand-coded input features can model any separable function perfectly... given the right input features.

Once the hand-coded features have been determined, there are very strong limitations on what a perceptron can learn. -> Classic example: XOR function.

A linear classifier cannot solve certain problems

However, with a non-linear classifier based on the right kind of features, the problem becomes solvable.

Multi-Layer Perceptrons

Construction: Input layer -> Mapping (learned) -> Hidden layer -> Output layer

Output

$$y_k(x) = g^{(2)}(\sum_{i=0}^h W_{ki}^{(2)} g^{(1)}(\sum_{j=0}^d W_{ij}^{(1)} x_j)))$$

With activation functions $g^{(k)}$.

E.g.,
$$g^{(1)}(a) = a$$
, $g^{(2)}(a) = \sigma(a)$

The hidden layer can have an arbitrary number of nodes

Universal approximators A 2-layer network (1 hidden layer) can approximate any continuous function of a compact domain arbitrarily well!

Learning with Hidden Units

Networks without hidden units are very limited in what they can learn

- More layers of linear units do not help = still linear
- Fixed output non-linearities are not enough.

We need multiple layers of adaptive non-linear hidden units. But how can we train such nets?

- Need an efficient way of adapting all weights, not just the last layer.
- Learning the weights to the hidden units = learning features
- This is difficult, because nobody tells us what the hidden units should do.
- -> Main challenge in deep learning.

Gradient Descent

Two main steps:

- 1. Computing the gradients for each weight
- 2. Adjusting the weights in the direction of the gradient

Set up Error function $E(W) = \sum_n L(t_n; y(x_n; W)) + \lambda \Omega(W)$ with a loss L and regularizer Ω .

Then update each weight $W_{ij}^{(k)}$ in the direction of the gradient: $\frac{\partial E(W)}{\partial W_{ij}^{(k)}}$

Obtaining the Gradients

Approach 1: Naive Analytical Differentiation

Compute the gradients for each variable analytically.

Multi-dimensional case: Total derivative -> Need to sum over all paths that lead to the target variable x.

What is the problem when doing this?

- With increasing depth, there will be exponentially many paths!
- Infeasible to compute this way.

Approach 2: Numerical Differentiation

Given the current state $W^{(\tau)}$, we can evaluate $E(W^{(\tau)})$.

Idea: Make small changes to $W^{(\tau)}$ and accept those that improve $E(W^{(\tau)})$.

=> Horribly inefficient! Need several forward passes for each weight. Each forward pass is one run over the entire dataset!

Approach 3: Incremental Analytical Differentiation (Backpropagation)

Idea:

- Compute the gradients layer by layer.
- Each layer below builds upon the results of the layer above.

The gradient is propagated backwards through the layers.

Backpropagation Algorithm

- 1. Convert the discrepancy between each output and its target value into an error derivate.
- 2. Compute error derivatives in each hidden layer from error derivatives in the layer above.
- 3. Use error derivatives w.r.t. activities to get error derivatives w.r.t. the incoming weights.

Notation:

- $y_i^{(k)}$ Output of layer k Connections: $z_j^{(k)} = \sum_i w_{ij}^{(k-1)} y_i^{(k-1)}$
- $z_j^{(k)}$ Input of layer $k \ y_j^{(k)} = g(z_j^{(k)})$

Efficient propagation scheme:

- $y_i^{(k-1)}$ is already known from forward pass! (Dynamic Programming)
- -> Propagate back the gradient from layer k and multiply with $y_i^{(k-1)}$

Here the algorithms in psudocode

Analysis: Backpropagation

Backpropagation is the key to make deep NNs tractable.

However the Backprop algorithm given here is specific to MLPs.

- It does not work with more complex architectures, e.g. skip connections or recurrent networks!
- Whenever a new connection function induces a different functional form of the chain rule, you have to derive a new Backprop algorithm for it.

Learning Multi-layer Networks

Computational Graphs

We can think of mathematical expressions as graphs. I.e. we can divide every equation into a set of simple sub-equations.

From: e = (a + b) * (b + 1)

To:

$$c = a + b d = b + 1 e = c * d$$

Which makes it easier to take derivates of those equations.

Problem: Combinatorial explosion

Solution:

Efficient algorithms for computing the sum.

Instead of summing over all of the paths explicitly, compute the sum more efficiently by merging paths back together at every node.

Approach 4: Automatic Differentiation

- Convert the network into a computational graph.
- Each new layer/module just needs to specify how it affects the forward and backward passes.
- Apply reverse-mode differentiation.
- => Very general algorithm, used in today's Deep Learning packages.

Modular Implementation

Solution in many current Deep Learning libraries

- Provide a limited form of automatic differentiation
- Restricted to "programs" composed of "modules" with predefined set of operations.

Module is defined by two main functions:

- 1. y = module.fprop(x) computes outputs y given inputs x, where x, y and intermediate results stored in the module
- 2. $\frac{\partial E}{\partial x} = module.bprop(\frac{\partial E}{\partial y})$ computing the gradient $\partial E/\partial x$ of a scalar cost w.r.t. the inputs x given the gradient $\partial E/\partial y$ w.r.t. the outputs y

Here some stuff about Implementation Problems.

Gradient Descent

Two main steps:

- 1. Computing the gradients for each weight
- 2. Adjusting the weights in the direction of the gradient

$$w_{kj}^{(\tau+1)} = w_{kj}^{(\tau)} - \eta \tfrac{\partial E(w)}{\partial w_{kj}}|_{w^{(\tau)}}$$

Stochastic vs. Batch Learning

Batch learning

Process the full dataset at once to compute the gradient.

$$w_{kj}^{(\tau+1)} = w_{kj}^{(\tau)} - \eta \tfrac{\partial E(w)}{\partial w_{kj}}|_{w^{(\tau)}}$$

Advantages:

- Conditions of convergence are well understood.
- Many acceleration techniques (e.g., conjugate gradients) only operate in batch learning.
- Theoretical analysis of the weight dynamics and convergence rates are simpler.

Stochastic learning

- Choose a single example from the training set.
- Compute the gradient only based on this example
- This estimate will generally be noisy, which has some advantages.

$$w_{kj}^{(\tau+1)} = w_{kj}^{(\tau)} - \eta \frac{\partial E_{\mathbf{n}}(w)}{\partial w_{kj}} |_{w^{(\tau)}}$$

Advantages:

- Usually much faster than batch learning.
- Often results in better solutions.
- Can be used for tracking changes.

Minibatches

Middle ground between batch and stochastic learning.

Idea:

- Process only a small batch of training examples together
- Start with a small batch size & increase it as training proceeds.

Advantages

- Gradients will be more stable than for stochastic gradient descent, but still faster to compute than with batch learning.
- Take advantage of redundancies in the training set.
- Matrix operations are more efficient than vector operations.

Caveat

Error function should be normalized by the minibatch size, s.t. we can keep the same learning rate between minibatches

$$E(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} L(t_n, y(x_n; W)) + \frac{\lambda}{N} \Omega(W)$$

Choosing the Right Learning Rate

Considering a simple 1D example. If E is quadratic, the optimal learning rate is given by the inverse of the Hessian.

What happens if we exceed this learning rate?

Instaed of getting closer to minimum, we start moving away from it fast.

Momentum

Batch Learning

- Simplest case: steepest decent on the error surface.
- Updates perpendicular to contour lines

Stochastic Learning

- Simplest case: zig-zag around the direction of steepest descent.
- Updates perpendicular to constraints from training examples.

If the inputs are correlated, the ellipse will be elongated and direction of steepest descent is almost perpendicular to the direction towards the minimum!

The Momentum Method

Idea

Instead of using the gradient to change the position of the weight "particle", use it to change the velocity.

Intuition

- Example: Ball rolling on the error surface
- It starts off by following the error surface, but once it has accumulated momentum, it no longer does steepest decent.

Effect

- Dampen oscillations in directions of high curvature by combining gradients with opposite signs.
- Build up speed in directions with a gentle but consistent gradient.

Behavior

- If the error surface is a tilted plane, the ball reaches a terminal velocity
 - If the momentum α is close to 1, this is much faster than simple gradient descent.

- At the beginning of learning, there may be very large gradients.
 - Use a small momentum initially (e.g., $\alpha = 0.5$).
 - Once the large gradients have disappeared and the weights are stuck in a ravine, the momentum can be smoothly raised to its final value (e.g., $\alpha = 0.90$ or even $\alpha = 0.99$).
 - This allows us to learn at a rate that would cause divergent oscillations without the momentum.

Separate, Adaptive Learning Rates Problem

- In multilayer nets, the appropriate learning rates can vary widely between weights.
- The magnitudes of the gradients are often very different for the different layers, especially if the initial weights are small.
 - Gradients can get very small in the early layers of deep nets.
- The fan-in of a unit determines the size of the "overshoot" effect when changing multiple weights simultaneously to correct the same error.
 - The fan-in often varies widely between layers

Solution

• Use a global learning rate, multiplied by a local gain per weight (determined empirically)

Better Adaptation: RMSProp

Motivation

- The magnitude of the gradient can be very different for different weights and can change during learning.
- This makes it hard to choose a single global learning rate.
- For batch learning, we can deal with this by only using the sign of the gradient, but we need to generalize this
 for minibatches.

Idea

Divide the gradient by a running average of its recent magnitude

$$MeanSq(w_{ij}, t) = 0.9MeanSq(w_{ij}, t - 1) + 0.1(\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ij}}(t))^{2}$$

Divide the gradient by $sqrt(MeanSq(w_{ij}, t))$.

Reducing the Learning Rate

Final improvement step after convergence is reached

- Reduce learning rate by a factor of 10.
- Continue training for a few epochs.
- Do this 1-3 times, then stop training.

Effect

Turning down the learning rate will reduce the random fluctuations in the error due to different gradients on different minibatches.

Summary

Deep multi-layer networks are very powerful.

But training them is hard!

- Complex, non-convex learning problem
- Local optimization with stochastic gradient descent

Main issue: getting good gradient updates for the early layers of the network

- Many seemingly small details matter!
- Weight initialization, normalization, data augmentation, choice of nonlinearities, choice of learning rate, choice of optimizer,...

Optimization

Tricks of the Trade

Shuffling the Examples

Idea: Network learns faster from most unexpected sample. It is advisable to choose a sample at each iteration that is most unfamiliar to the system.

I.e., do not present all samples of class A, then all of class B.

A large relative error indicates that an input has not been learned by the network yet, so it contains a lot of information. It can make sense to present such inputs more frequently. **But**: be careful, this can be disastrous when the data are outliers.

When working with stochastic gradient descent or minibatches, make use of shuffling.

Data augmentation

Idea: Augment original data with synthetic variations to reduce overfitting.

Examples of augmentation:

- Cropping
- Zooming
- Flipping
- Color PCA (color space transformation)

Effect:

- Much larger training set
- Robustness against expected variations

Normalization

Motivation:

Consider the Gradient Descent update steps. When all of the components of the input vector y_i are positive, all of the updates of weights that feed into a node will be of the same sign.

Leads to:

- Weights can only all increase or decrease together.
- Slow convergence

Convergence is the fastest if:

- The mean of each input variable over the training set is zero.
- The inputs are scaled such that all have the same covariance.
- Input variables are uncorrelated if possible.

Nonlinearities

Sigmoid	$g(a) = \sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1 + exp\{-a\}}$
Hyperbolic tangent	$g(a) = \tanh(a) = 2\sigma(2a) - 1$
Softmax	$g(a) = \frac{exp\{-a_i\}}{\sum_{i} exp\{-a_i\}}$

Normalization is also important for intermediate layers: Symmetric sigmoids, such as tanh, often converge faster than the standard logistic sigmoid.

Recommended sigmoid:

$$f(x) = 1.7159 \tanh(\frac{2}{3}x)$$

When used with transformed inputs, the variance of the outputs will be close to 1.

Usage

Output nodes - Typically, a sigmoid or tanh function is used here:

- Sigmoid for nice probabilistic interpretation (range [0,1]).
- tanh for regression tasks

Internal nodes:

- Historically, tanh was most often used.
- tanh is better than sigmoid for internal nodes, since it is already centered.
- Internally, tanh is often implemented as piecewise linear function (similar to hard tanh and maxout).
- More recently: ReLU often used for classification tasks.

Effects of sigmoid/tanh function:

- Linear behavior around 0
- Saturation for large inputs

Extension: ReLU

Improvement for learning deep models. That uses Rectified Linear Units (ReLU) instead of sigmoid: $g(a) = max\{0, a\}$.

Effect gradient is propagated with a constant factor: $\frac{\partial g(a)}{\partial a} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Advantages:

- Much easier to propagate gradients through deep networks.
- We do not need to store the ReLU output separately
 - Reduction of the required memory by half compared to tanh!

Disadvantages / Limitations:

- A certain fraction of units will remain "stuck at zero".
 - If the initial weights are chosen such that the ReLU output is 0 for the entire training set, the unit will never pass through a gradient to change those weights.
- ReLU has an offset bias, since its outputs will always be positive

Further Extensions

Initialization

Initializing the Weights

Motivation:

- The starting values of the weights can have a significant effect on the training process.
- Weights should be chosen randomly, but in a way that the sigmoid is primarily activated in its linear region.

Assuming that:

- The training set has been normalized
- The recommended sigmoid $f(x) = 1.7159 \tanh(\frac{2}{3})$ is used

the initial weights should be randomly drawn from a distribution (e.g., uniform or Normal) with mean zero and variance:

$$\sigma_w^2 = \frac{1}{n_{in}}$$

where n_{in} is the fan-in (#connections into the node).

Glorot Initialization

In 2010, Xavier Glorot published an analysis of what went wrong in the initialization and derived a more general method for automatic initialization.

This new initialization massively improved results and made direct learning of deep networks possible overnight.

Analysis

Some maths

Advanced techniques

Batch Normalization

Motivation: Optimization works best if all inputs of a layer are normalized.

Idea

- Introduce intermediate layer that centers the activations of the previous layer per minibatch.
- I.e., perform transformations on all activations and undo those transformations when backpropagating gradients
- Complication: centering + normalization also needs to be done at test time, but minibatches are no longer available at that point.
 - Learn the normalization parameters to compensate for the expected bias of the previous layer (usually a simple moving average)

 $\it Effect$

- Much improved convergence (but parameter values are important!)
- Widely used in practice

Dropout

Idea:

- Randomly switch off units during training (a form of regularization).
- Change network architecture for each minibatch, effectively training many different variants of the network.
- When applying the trained network, multiply activations with the probability that the unit was set to zero during training.
- => Greatly improved performance

Convolutional Neural Networks

Neural Networks for Computer Vision

How to approach vision problems?

Table 11: Architectural considerations

Input is 2D	\rightarrow	2D layers of units
No pre-segmentation	\rightarrow	Need robustness to misalignments
Vision is hierarchical	\rightarrow	Hierarchical multi-layered structure
Vision is difficult	\rightarrow	Network should be deep

Motivation 1: Visual scenes are hierarchically organized.

Input image -> Primitive features -> Object parts -> Object

Face Image -> Oriented Edges -> Face Parts -> Face

Motivation 2: Biological vision is hierarchical, too.

Photoreceptors, retina -> Inferotemporal cortex -> V4: different textures -> V1: simple and complex cells

Hubel/Wiesel Architecture Visual cortex consists of a hierarchy of simple, complex, and hyper-complex cells

Motivation 3: Shallow architectures are inefficient at representing complex functions.

An MLP with 1 hidden layer can implement any function (universal approximator). However, if the function is deep, a very large hidden layer may be required.

What's Wrong With Standard Neural Networks?

Complexity analysis:

How many parameters does this network have? $|\theta| = 3D^2 + D$

I.e. for 32x32 image: $|\theta| = 3 * 32^4 + 32^2 \approx 3 * 10^6$

Consequences:

- Hard to train
- Need to initialize carefully

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN, ConvNet)

Neural network with specialized connectivity structure

- Stack multiple stages of feature extractors
- Higher stages compute more global, more invariant features
- Classification layer at the end

Convolutional net

- Share the same parameters across different locations
- Convolutions with learned kernels

Learn multiple filters:

- E.g. 1000x1000 image
 - 100 filters
 - 10x10 filter size
- \bullet => 10k parameters

All Neural Net activations arranged in 3 dimensions:

- Convolution layers can be stacked
- The filters of the next layer then operate on the full activation volume.
- Filters are local in (x,y), but densely connected in depth.

Stride how much do you move the input across the image

Let's assume the filter is an **eye detector**

Problem: How can we make the detection robust to the exact location of the eye?

Solution: By pooling (e.g., max or avg) filter responses at different spatial locations, we gain robustness to the exact spatial location of features.

Pooling Layers

Max Pooling

Table 12: Example

In	4x4
Out	2x2
Transformation	max pool with $2x2$ filters and stride 2

Effect:

- Make the representation smaller without losing too much information
- Achieve robustness to translations

Pooling happens independently across each slice, preserving the number of slices.

CNNs: Implication for Back-Propagation

Convolutional layers

- The network parameters are in the filter masks
- Filter weights are shared between locations
- => Gradients are added for each filter location.
- => Each filter mask receives gradients from all over the image.

CNN Architectures

LeNet

Early convolutional architecture

- 2 Convolutional layers, 2 pooling layers
- Fully-connected N layers for classification
- Successfully used for handwritten digit recognition (MNIST)

AlexNet

Similar framework as LeNet, but

- Bigger model (7 hidden layers, 650k units, 60M parameters)
- More data (10^6 images instead of 10^3)
- GPU implementation
- Better regularization and up-to-date tricks for training (Dropout)

In ILSVRC 2012 almost halved the error rate: 16.4% error (top-5) vs. 26.2% for the next best approach

VGGNet

Main ideas:

- Deeper network
 - -16/19 Layers
- Stacked convolutional layers with smaller filters (+ nonlinearity)
- Detailed evaluation of all components

Results

• Improved ILSVRC top-5 error rate to 6.7%.

AlexNet vs. VGGNet

Table 13: Receptive fields in the first layer

		stride
AlexNet	11 x11	4
Zeiler & Fergus	7×7	2
VGGNet	3×3	1

Why that?

- If you stack a 3x3 on top of another 3x3 layer, you effectively get a 5x5 receptive field.
- With three 3x3 layers, the receptive field is already 7x7.
- But much fewer parameters: $3*3^2 = 27$ instead of $7^2 = 49$.
- In addition, non-linearities in-between 3x3 layers for additional discriminativity.

GoogLeNet

Main ideas:

- "Inception" module as modular component
- Learns filters at several scales within each module
- 12x fewer parameters than AlexNet -> ~5M parameters
 - Main reduction from throwing away the fully connected (FC) layers.

Effect:

- After last pooling layer, volume is of size [7×7×1024]
- Normally you would place the first 4096-D FC layer here (Many million params).
- Instead: use Average pooling in each depth slice:
 - Reduces the output to [1x1x1024].
- => Performance actually improves by 0.6% compared to when using FC layers (less overfitting?)

Visualizing CNNs

A lot of images here...

Inceptionism: Dreaming ConvNets

Idea:

- Start with a random noise image.
- Enhance the input image such as to enforce a particular response (e.g., banana).
- Combine with prior constraint that image should have similar statistics as natural images.
- => Network hallucinates characteristics of the learned class.

Residual Networks

Table 14: Spectrum of Depth

No. Layers	Difficulty
5	easy
>10	initialization, Batch Normalization
>30	skip connections
>100	identity skip connections
>1000	?

Deeper models are more powerful

- But training them is harder.
- Main problem: getting the gradients back to the early layers
- The deeper the network, the more effort is required for this.

Is learning better networks now as simple as stacking more layers?

General observation

- Overly deep networks have higher training error
- A general phenomenon, observed in many training sets

Why Is That???

Optimization difficulties - Solvers cannot find the solution when going deeper...

Deep Residual Learning

Plain net:

```
x \rightarrow |weight layer| \rightarrow relu \rightarrow |weight layer| \rightarrow relu \rightarrow H(x)
```

- H(x) is the desired output
- Hope the 2 weight layers fit H(x)

Residual net:

- H(x) is the desired output
- Hope the 2 weight layers fit F(x)

F(x) is a residual mapping w.r.t. identity

- If identity were optimal, it is easy to set weights as 0
- If optimal mapping is closer to identity, it is easier to find small fluctuations
- Further advantage: direct path for the gradient to flow to the previous stages

ResNets as ensembles of shallow networks

The Secret Behind ResNets?

Originally depth is good.

Now, ensembles of networks are good.

Unraveling ResNets

• ResNets can be viewed as a collection of shorter paths through different subsets of the layers.

• Deleting a layer corresponds to removing only some of those paths

Summary

- The effective paths in ResNets are relatively shallow
 - Effectively only 5-17 active modules
- This explains the resilience to deletion
 - Deleting any single layer only affects a subset of paths (and the shorter ones less than the longer ones).
- New interpretation of ResNets
 - ResNets work by creating an ensemble of relatively shallow paths
 - Making ResNets deeper increases the size of this ensemble
 - Excluding longer paths from training does not negatively affect the results.

Applications of CNNs

Object detection

Transfer Learning with CNNs:

- 1. Train on ImageNet
- 2. If small dataset: fix all weights (treat CNN as fixed feature extractor), retrain only the classifier
- 3. If you have medium sized dataset, "finetune" instead: use the old weights as initialization, train the full network or only some of the higher layers.

Semantic segmentation

Perform pixel-wise prediction task

- Usually done using Fully Convolutional Networks (FCNs)
 - All operations formulated as convolutions
 - Advantage: can process arbitrarily sized images

Think of FCNs as performing a sliding-window classification, producing a heatmap of output scores for each class

Encoder-Decoder Architecture

- Problem: FCN output has low resolution
- Solution: perform upsampling to get back to desired resolution
- Use skip connections to preserve higher-resolution information

Word Embeddings

Neural Networks for Sequence Data

Up to now: Simple structure Input vector -> Processing -> Output

In the following:

- we will look at sequence data
- Interesting new challenges
- Varying input/output length, need to memorize state, long-term dependencies

Currently, a hot topic:

- Early successes of NNs for text / language processing.
- Very good results for part-of-speech tagging, automatic translation, sentiment analysis, etc.

• Recently very interesting developments for video understanding, image+text modeling (e.g., creating image descriptions), and even single-image understanding (attention processes).

Motivating Example

Predicting the next word in a sequence

Possible solution: The trigram (n-gram) method

- 1. Take huge amount of text and count the frequencies of all triplets (n-tuples) of words.
- 2. Use those frequencies to predict the relative probabilities of words given the two previous words.

Problems:

- 1. Scalability
 - We cannot easily scale this to large N.
 - The number of possible combinations increases exponentially
 - So does the required amount of data
- 2. Partial Observability
 - With larger N, many counts would be zero.
 - The probability is not zero, just because the count is zero!
 - Need to back off to (N-1)-grams when the count for N-grams is too small.
 - Necessary to use elaborate techniques, such as Kneser-Ney smoothing, to compensate for uneven sampling frequencies.

Neural Probabilistic Language Model

Core idea: Learn a shared distributed encoding (word embedding) for the words in the vocabulary.

Word Embedding

Idea

- Encode each word as a vector in a d-dimensional feature space.
- Typically, $V \ 1M, \ d \in (50, 300)$

Learning goal

- Determine weight matrix \$W_{V x d} that performs the embedding.
- Shared between all input words

Input

- Vocabulary index x in 1-of-K encoding.
- For each input x, only one row of W_{Vxd} is needed.
- $=> W_{Vxd}$ is effectively a look-up a table.

Popular Word Embeddings

Open issue: What is the best setup for learning such an embedding from large amounts of data (billions of words)?

word2vec

Goal

Make it possible to learn high-quality word embeddings from huge data sets (billions of words in training set).

Approach

- Define two alternative learning tasks for learning the embedding:
 - "Continuous Bag of Words" (CBOW)
 - "Skip-gram"

• Designed to require fewer parameters.

Continuous BOW Model

- Remove the non-linearity from the hidden layer
- Share the projection layer for all words (their vectors are averaged)
- => Bag-of-Words model (order of the words does not matter anymore)

Continuous Skip-Gram Model

- Similar structure to CBOW
- Instead of predicting the current word, predict words within a certain range of the current word.
- Give less weight to the more distant words

Problems

With a lot of world the matrix gets too large.

=> Softmax gets expensive!

Solution: Hierarchical Softmax

Idea

- Organize words in binary search tree, words are at leaves
- Factorize probability of word w_0 as a product of node probabilities along the path.
- Learn a linear decision function $y = v_{n(w,j)} h$ at each node to decide whether to proceed with left or right child node.
- => Decision based on output vector of hidden units directly.

Embeddings in Vision

Siamese networks

Similar idea to word embeddings

- Learn an embedding network that preserves (semantic) similarity between inputs
- E.g., used for patch matching

Discriminative Face Embeddings

Triplet Loss

- 1. Present the network with triplets of examples
- 2. Apply triplet loss to learn an embedding f() that groups the positive example closer to the anchor than the negative one.
- => Used with great success in Google's FaceNet face recognition

Practical Issue: How to select the triplets?

- The number of possible triplets grows cubically with the dataset size.
- Most triplets are uninformative -Mining hard triplets becomes crucial for learning.
- Actually want medium-hard triplets for best training efficiency

Popular solution: Hard triplet mining

1. Process the dataset to find hard triplets

- 2. Use those for learning
- 3. Iterate

Recurrent Neural Networks

Up to now: Simple neural network structure: 1-to-1 mapping of inputs to outputs.

Now: Recurrent Neural Networks - Generalize this to arbitrary mappings.

Example Application:

- Part-of-Speech Tagging
- Predicting the Next Word
- Machine Translation

Learning with RNNs

Introduction

RNNs regular NNs whose hidden units have additional forward connections over time.

- You can unroll them to create a network that extends over time.
- When you do this, keep in mind that the weights for the hidden units are shared between temporal layers.

=> With enough neurons and time, RNNs can compute anything that can be computed by your computer.

there is a lot of stuff that I don't understand here

Problems with RNN Training

Training RNNs is very hard

- As we backpropagate through the layers, the magnitude of the gradient may grow or shrink exponentially
- Exploding or vanishing gradient problem!
- In an RNN trained on long sequences (e.g., 100 time steps) the gradients can easily explode or vanish.
- Even with good initial weights, it is very hard to detect that the current target output depends on an input from many time-steps ago.

Exploding / Vanishing Gradient Problem

yyy something about going to infinity and thus loosing connections in sentence

Gradient Clipping

Trick to handle exploding gradients

• If the gradient is larger than a threshold, clip it to that threshold.

Handling Vanishing Gradients

Vanishing Gradients are a harder problem

- They severely restrict the dependencies the RNN can learn.
- The problem gets more severe the deeper the network is.
- It can be very hard to diagnose that Vanishing Gradients occur (you just see that learning gets stuck).

Ways around the problem

- Glorot/He initialization (see Lecture 17)
- ReLU
- More complex hidden units (LSTM, GRU)

Improved hidden units for RNNs

Target properties

- Want to achieve constant error flow through a single unit
- At the same time, want the unit to be able to pick up long-term connections or focus on short-term ones, as the problem demands.

Ideas behind LSTMs

- Take inspiration from the design of memory cells
- Keep around memories to capture long distance dependencies
- Allow error messages to flow at different strengths depending on the inputs

some more stuff