

Triplets with Smaller Sum (medium)

We'll cover the following ^

- Problem Statement
- Try it yourself
- Solution
 - Code
 - Time complexity
 - Space complexity
- Similar Problems
 - Time complexity
 - Space complexity

Problem Statement

Given an array `arr` of unsorted numbers and a target sum, **count all triplets** in it such that `arr[i] + arr[j] + arr[k] < target` where `i`, `j`, and `k` are three different indices. Write a function to return the count of such triplets.

Example 1:

```
Input: [-1, 0, 2, 3], target=3
Output: 2
Explanation: There are two triplets whose sum is less than the target: [-1, 0, 3], [-1, 0, 2]
```

Example 2:

```
Input: [-1, 4, 2, 1, 3], target=5
Output: 4
Explanation: There are four triplets whose sum is less than the target:
[-1, 1, 4], [-1, 1, 3], [-1, 1, 2], [-1, 2, 3]
```

Try it yourself

Try solving this question here:

 Java

 Python3

 JS

 C++

```
1 import java.util.*;
2
3 class TripletWithSmallerSum {
4
5     public static int searchTriplets(int[] arr, int target) {
6         int count = -1;
7         // TODO: Write your code here
8         return count;
9     }
10 }
```

Test

Save

Reset



Solution

This problem follows the **Two Pointers** pattern and shares similarities with [Triplet Sum to Zero](#). The only difference is that, in this problem, we need to find the triplets whose sum is less than the given target. To meet the condition `i != j != k` we need to make sure that each number is not used more than once.

Following a similar approach, first, we can sort the array and then iterate through it, taking one number at a time. Let's say during our iteration we are at number 'X', so we need to find 'Y' and 'Z' such that $X + Y + Z < target$. At this stage, our problem translates into finding a pair whose sum is less than " $target - X$ " (as from the above equation $Y + Z == target - X$). We can use a similar approach as discussed in [Triplet Sum to Zero](#).

Code

Here is what our algorithm will look like:

Java

Python3

C++

JS

```

1 import java.util.*;
2
3 class TripletWithSmallerSum {
4
5     public static int searchTriplets(int[] arr, int target) {
6         Arrays.sort(arr);
7         int count = 0;
8         for (int i = 0; i < arr.length - 2; i++) {
9             count += searchPair(arr, target - arr[i], i);
10        }
11        return count;
12    }
13
14    private static int searchPair(int[] arr, int targetSum, int first) {
15        int count = 0;
16        int left = first + 1, right = arr.length - 1;
17        while (left < right) {
18            if (arr[left] + arr[right] < targetSum) { // found the triplet
19                // since arr[right] >= arr[left], therefore, we can replace arr[right] by any number between
20                // left and right to get a sum less than the target sum
21                count += right - left;
22                left++;
23            } else {
24                right--; // we need a pair with a smaller sum
25            }
26        }
27        return count;
28    }
29
30 }

```

Run

Save

Reset

Time complexity

Sorting the array will take $O(N \log N)$. The `searchPair()` will take $O(N)$. So, overall `searchTriplets()` will take $O(N \log N + N^2)$, which is asymptotically equivalent to $O(N^2)$.

Space complexity

The space complexity of the above algorithm will be $O(N)$ which is required for sorting if we are not using an in-place sorting algorithm.

Similar Problems

Problem: Write a function to return the list of all such triplets instead of the count. How will the time complexity change in this case?

Solution: Following a similar approach we can create a list containing all the triplets. Here is the code - only the highlighted lines have changed:

Java

Python3

C++

JS

```

1 import java.util.*;
2
3 class TripletWithSmallerSum {
4
5     public static List<List<Integer>> searchTriplets(int[] arr, int target) {
6         Arrays.sort(arr);
7         List<List<Integer>> triplets = new ArrayList<>();
8         for (int i = 0; i < arr.length - 2; i++) {
9             searchPair(arr, target - arr[i], i, triplets);
10        }
11        return triplets;
12    }
13
14    private static void searchPair(int[] arr, int targetSum, int first, List<List<Integer>> triplets) {
15        int left = first + 1, right = arr.length - 1;
16        while (left < right) {
17            if (arr[left] + arr[right] < targetSum) { // found the triplet
18                // since arr[right] >= arr[left], therefore, we can replace arr[right] by any number between
19                // left and right to get a sum less than the target sum
20                for (int i = right; i > left; i--)
21                    triplets.add(Arrays.asList(arr[first], arr[left], arr[i]));
22                left++;
23            } else {
24                right--; // we need a pair with a smaller sum
25            }
26        }
27    }
28
29 }

```

Run

Save

Reset

Another simpler approach could be to check every triplet of the array with three nested loops and create a list

or triplets that meet the required condition.

Time complexity

Sorting the array will take $O(N * \log N)$. The `searchPair()`, in this case, will take $O(N^2)$; the main `while` loop will run in $O(N)$ but the nested `for` loop can also take $O(N)$ - this will happen when the target sum is bigger than every triplet in the array.

So, overall `searchTriplets()` will take $O(N * \log N + N^3)$, which is asymptotically equivalent to $O(N^3)$.

Space complexity

Ignoring the space required for the output array, the space complexity of the above algorithm will be $O(N)$ which is required for sorting.

[← Back](#)[Next →](#)

Triplet Sum Close to Target (medium)

Subarrays with Product Less than a Ta...

✓ Completed

⚠ Report an Issue ? Ask a Question