# Analysis code for our paper "Fur seal chemical fingerprints encode colony membership, mother-offspring similarity, relatedness and genetic quality"

Stoffel, M.A., Caspers, B.A., Forcada, J., Giannakara, A., Baier, M.C., Eberhart-Phillips, L.J., Müller, C. & Hoffman, J.I.

This document provides the code for all major analysis from our paper. Duplicate analyses that have not been part of an argument (e.g. most analysis for pups) were strapped out for readability. The Rmarkdown file as well as the data are stored on GitHub. For any questions just contact me: martin.adam.stoffel@gmail.com

We wrote a package for doing some of the inbreeding related analysis, such as calculating g2 or sMLH which is hosted on this GitHub repository. The inbreedR package provides functions for measuring inbreeding from molecular data (SNPs and microsatellites) and will soon be published. To download packages from GitHub repositories, one needs to install the devtools package.

For running the complete code you need two additional functions (get\_pairdiff and multiplot) which are outsourced as well as the files folder as a subfolder.

```
# install.packages("devtools")
library(devtools)
# install_github("mastoffel/inbreedR")
library(inbreedR)
```

See ?inbreedR for further information on the functions.

#### Loading data, standardisation and transformation

Loading the

- raw chemical data (scent\_raw, called scent data from now), which is the output of Gas-chromatography peak detection was done in Xcalibur 2.0.5 (talk about preprocessing here)
- and a data frame containing identities for colony membership (colony), mother-offspring pairs (family) and mothers and pups, respectively (age)

```
scent raw <- as.data.frame(t(read.csv(".\\files\\scent raw.csv", row.names = 1)))</pre>
factors <- read.csv(".\\files\\factors.csv",row.names=1)</pre>
head(factors)
       colony family age
#> M10
           2
                  10 1
#> M12
            2
                  12 1
#> M14
            2
                  14
                      1
            1
#> M15
                  15
                      1
            2
                   16
#> M16
                        1
#> M17
```

Standardising observations by total, such that within every observation compounds add up to 100 % (Thus averaging out absolute concentration differences between samples)

```
scent_stand <- as.data.frame(t(apply(scent_raw, 1, function(x) (x/sum(x)) * 100)))</pre>
```

Log(x+1) transformation of the standardised scent data.

```
scent <- log(scent_stand + 1)</pre>
```

The scent matrix contains 82 observations and 213 compounds (retention times of chemicals are column names, values are relative concentrations) in total.

```
dim(scent)
#> \[ \begin{aligned} 11 & 82 & 213 \end{aligned} \]
head(scent[1:6])
       8.061111111
                        8.23 8.307142857 8.394 8.47375 8.516153846
                               0.0000000
                                              0 0.000000
#> M10
          0.000000 0.000000
                                                            0.6562090
#> M12
          0.000000 0.000000
                               0.4864961
                                              0 0.000000
                                                            0.0000000
#> M14
          3.222626 1.665421
                               0.0000000
                                              0 0.000000
                                                            0.0000000
#> M15
        0.000000 0.000000
                               0.0000000
                                              0 0.000000
                                                           0.0000000
#> M16
         0.000000 0.000000
                               0.6849915
                                              0 1.008018
                                                            0.5654895
#> M17 2.330450 0.000000
                               0.0000000
                                              0 0.000000
                                                           0.0000000
```


#### Colony differences in chemical fingerprints

```
library(vegan)
library(MASS)
```

Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) visualizes a distance matrix (Bray-Curtis similarity). The nMDS algorithm aims to place each individual in a 2-dimensional space such that the between-individual distances are preserved as well as possible. Axis coordinates are arbitrary and not shown. The plot is better visualized with colours (see paper) and is shown here for the purpose of demonstration. Mother-offspring pairs can be identified by labels (e.g. M14, P14).

```
scent_mds <- MASS::isoMDS(vegdist(scent))
#> initial value 28.002906
#> iter    5 value 21.594484
#> final value 21.345037
#> converged
```

```
vegan::ordiplot(scent_mds, type = "t", ylab = "", xlab = "",axes=FALSE, frame.plot=TRUE)
```



Analysis of Similarities (ANOSIM) is a non-parametric test for group differences based on a Bray-curtis (or any other) similarity matrix. We use the vegan package (Oksanen et al. 2015) for ANOSIM and several other functions. Most analysis are done for the whole sample as well as for mothers and pups seperately to avoid pseudoreplication. ANOSIM is based on a permutation test, which is why results can slightly differ from the paper.

#### Dissimilarity between the two colonies.

```
#> Permutation: free
#> Number of permutations: 1000
```

Dissimilarity between mothers from the two colonies.

Dissimilarity between pups from the two colonies.

Genetic differentiation of the two colonies was assessed through bayesian structure analysis, with the software "Structure" (Pritchard, Stephens, and Donnelly 2000)

Mother offspring similarity in chemical fingerprints.

#### Full sample

```
#>
#> Permutation: free
#> Number of permutations: 1000
```

Mother offspring similarity within colony 1 (Special study beach)

1, ]\$fam

2, ]\$fam

Mother offspring similarity within colony 2 (Freshwater beach)

#### Chemical similarity vs. geographic distance on special study beach

• location data in meters is available for this population as the special study beach on Bird Island provides an aerial walkway

Loading X-Y coordinates of each individual.

```
#> M2 25 15
#> M26 23 13
#> M27 26 18
#> M28 26 18
```

Converting coordinates to pairwise euclidian distance matrix.

```
dist_mat <- as.matrix(dist(coord, method = "euclidian"))</pre>
```

Constructing a bray curtis similarity matrix (from chemical fingerprints) of all individuals from beach 1 (special study beach). We constantly used spearman rank correlation in mantel tests.

#### Geographic distance vs. chemical similarity in mothers

```
geo_mum <- dist_mat[1:20, 1:20]</pre>
scent_mum <- scent_bc[1:20, 1:20]</pre>
vegan::mantel(geo_mum, scent_mum, method = "spearman")
#> Mantel statistic based on Spearman's rank correlation rho
#>
#> Call:
#> vegan::mantel(xdis = geo_mum, ydis = scent_mum, method = "spearman")
#>
#> Mantel statistic r: 0.008091
        Significance: 0.478
#>
#> Upper quantiles of permutations (null model):
#> 90% 95% 97.5% 99%
#> 0.194 0.251 0.290 0.332
#> Permutation: free
#> Number of permutations: 999
```

#### Geographic distance vs. chemical similarity in pups

```
geo_pup <- dist_mat[21:40, 21:40]
scent_pup <- scent_bc[21:40, 21:40]
vegan::mantel(geo_pup, scent_pup, method = "spearman")
#>
#> Mantel statistic based on Spearman's rank correlation rho
#>
#> Call:
#> vegan::mantel(xdis = geo_pup, ydis = scent_pup, method = "spearman")
#>
#> Mantel statistic r: 0.06039
#> Significance: 0.297
#>
#> Upper quantiles of permutations (null model):
#> 90% 95% 97.5% 99%
#> 0.150 0.208 0.261 0.307
```


```
#> Permutation: free
#> Number of permutations: 999
```

Correlation between genotype and overall chemical fingerprints.

Relatedness and overall chemical similarity Load pairwise relatedness (Queller and Goodnight 1989) based on 41 microsatellite markers.

```
relatedness <- as.matrix(read.csv(".\\files\\relatedness.csv",row.names=1))
head(relatedness[1:6, 1:6])
               M10
                                        M14
                                                     M15
                                                                 M16 M17
#> M10
                             NA
                NA
                                         NA
                                                      NA
                                                                  NA NA
#> M12 -0.09578940
                             NA
                                         NA
                                                      NA
                                                                  NA
                                                                      NA
#> M14 -0.10861601 -0.16464236
                                                      NA
                                                                      NA
                                                                  NA
#> M15 -0.03246021 -0.11981456 -0.12591268
                                                      NA
                                                                  NA
                                                                      NA
#> M16  0.07639825  0.13027995  0.01176970  -0.02336469
                                                                  NA
                                                                      NA
#> M17  0.04367833  -0.09591802  -0.06258925  0.03730164  -0.08061711
hist(relatedness)
```

## **Histogram of relatedness**



Pairwise bray curtis similarity in chemical fingerprints of all individuals.

```
#> M12 0.4913098 1.0000000 0.3552582 0.22453963 0.48401295 0.4058738

#> M14 0.3029950 0.3552582 1.0000000 0.12185666 0.38121502 0.4885025

#> M15 0.2256020 0.2245396 0.1218567 1.00000000 0.09922127 0.2028706

#> M16 0.3528073 0.4840130 0.3812150 0.09922127 1.00000000 0.3725530

#> M17 0.4108673 0.4058738 0.4885025 0.20287064 0.37255296 1.0000000
```

Mantel test between genetic relatedness and bray curtis similarity in chemical fingerprints of all individuals.

```
vegan::mantel(relatedness, scent_bc, method = "spearman", permutation = 1000)
#> Mantel statistic based on Spearman's rank correlation rho
#>
#> Call:
#> vegan::mantel(xdis = relatedness, ydis = scent_bc, method = "spearman",
                                                                                permutations = 1000)
#> Mantel statistic r: 0.07231
#>
        Significance: 0.003996
#>
#> Upper quantiles of permutations (null model):
     90%
            95% 97.5%
                           99%
#> 0.0369 0.0464 0.0547 0.0662
#> Permutation: free
#> Number of permutations: 1000
```

We find a significant relationship between the overall chemical fingerprints and genetic relatedness. However, we are likely to have a problem of pseudoreplication here. For that reason, we are analysing mothers and pups seperately.

Fur seal mothers: mantel test between genetic relatedness and bray curtis similarity of olfactory fingerprints.

```
vegan::mantel(relatedness[factors$age == 1, factors$age == 1],
              scent_bc[factors$age == 1, factors$age == 1],
             method = "spearman", permutation = 1000)
#>
#> Mantel statistic based on Spearman's rank correlation rho
#>
#> Call:
#> vegan::mantel(xdis = relatedness[factors$age == 1, factors$age ==
                                                                         1], ydis = scent_bc[factors$a
#> Mantel statistic r: 0.05938
        Significance: 0.10789
#>
#> Upper quantiles of permutations (null model):
     90%
           95% 97.5%
                          99%
#> 0.0604 0.0757 0.0915 0.1035
#> Permutation: free
#> Number of permutations: 1000
```

Fur seal pups: mantel test between genetic relatedness and bray curtis similarity of olfactory fingerprints.

```
vegan::mantel(relatedness[factors$age == 2, factors$age == 2],
              scent_bc[factors$age == 2, factors$age == 2],
             method = "spearman", permutation = 1000)
#>
#> Mantel statistic based on Spearman's rank correlation rho
#> Call:
#> vegan::mantel(xdis = relatedness[factors$age == 2, factors$age ==
                                                                         2], ydis = scent bc[factors$a
#> Mantel statistic r: 0.02985
       Significance: 0.25974
#>
#>
#> Upper quantiles of permutations (null model):
           95% 97.5%
    90%
                          99%
#> 0.0575 0.0750 0.0900 0.1128
#> Permutation: free
#> Number of permutations: 1000
```

## Correlation between heterozygosity (sMLH) and diversity (number of compounds) of chemical fingerprints

• The function sMLH is part of the inbreedR package, currently available on GitHub. Install with: (decomment the whole section for actually installing from github)

```
# install.packages("devtools")
library(devtools)
# install_github("mastoffel/inbreedR")
library(inbreedR)
# ?inbreedR
```

Loading raw genotypes and calculating standardised multilocus heterozygosity (sMLH) based on 41 markers.

\* inbreedRpackage requires a special format, see ?convert\_raw for more information'\*

```
genotypes <- read.table(".\\files\\genotypes.txt", row.names=1)
genotypes_formatted <- inbreedR::convert_raw(genotypes, miss_val = NA)
heterozygosity <- inbreedR::sMLH(genotypes_formatted)</pre>
```

Number of compounds per individual.

```
num_comp <- as.vector(apply(scent, 1, function(x) length(x[x>0])))
```


#### Linear model of heterozygosity on number of compounds in mothers

A clear association between sMLH and chemical complexity in mothers but not pups.

```
het_mum <- heterozygosity[factors$age == 1]
num_comp_mum <- num_comp[factors$age==1]
summary(lm(het_mum ~ num_comp_mum))
#>
```

```
#> lm(formula = het_mum ~ num_comp_mum)
#> Residuals:
       Min
                  1Q
                       Median
                                             Max
0.161623
#>
#> Coefficients:
#>
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>/t/)
#> (Intercept) 0.9339147 0.0282437
                                  33.066
#> num_comp_mum 0.0015914 0.0006936
                                   2.294
                                           0.0272 *
#> Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
#>
#> Residual standard error: 0.08633 on 39 degrees of freedom
#> Multiple R-squared: 0.1189, Adjusted R-squared: 0.09633
\#> F-statistic: 5.264 on 1 and 39 DF, p-value: 0.02724
```

Plotting is done with ggplot2, an implementation of the grammar of graphics (Wickham 2009)



Linear model of heterozygosity on number of compounds in pups

```
het_pup <- heterozygosity[factors$age == 2]</pre>
num_comp_pup <- num_comp[factors$age==2]</pre>
summary(lm(het_pup ~ num_comp_pup))
#>
#> Call:
#> lm(formula = het_pup ~ num_comp_pup)
#>
#> Residuals:
                 1Q Median
       Min
                                       3Q
                                               Max
#> -0.199070 -0.067303 -0.001971 0.050500 0.152902
#> Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
#>
#> (Intercept) 0.9940863 0.0235812 42.156 <2e-16 ***
#> num_comp_pup 0.0003928 0.0005542 0.709
#> Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
#> Residual standard error: 0.08035 on 39 degrees of freedom
#> Multiple R-squared: 0.01272,
                                  Adjusted R-squared: -0.0126
#> F-statistic: 0.5025 on 1 and 39 DF, p-value: 0.4826
```

## Strength of correlation between sMLH and number of compounds increases with an increasing number of genetic markers in mothers.

The resample\_loci() function samples an increasing subset of loci, calculates sMLH and correlates with a vector y (here: number of compounds in chemical fingerprints).


```
resample_loci <- function(genotypes, y, num_iter = 1000) {</pre>
# genotypes in inbreedR format. See ?inbreedR
# y is a vector to correlate with sMLH
# num_iter is the number of resamplings per added locus
        # calculate number of loci
        num_loci <- ncol(genotypes)</pre>
        results <- data.frame(matrix(nrow = num_iter, ncol = num_loci))
        for (i in seq_along((1: num_loci))){
                for (k in seq_along(1:num_iter)) {
                loci_ind <- sample(1:num_loci, i, replace = FALSE)</pre>
                het <- inbreedR::sMLH(genotypes[, loci_ind])</pre>
                results[k, i] <- cor(het[1:41],y) # heterozygosity subsetted for mothers
                }
        }
results
}
# Converting genotypes into the right format
genotypes_formatted <- inbreedR::convert_raw(genotypes, miss_val = NA)</pre>
# Resampling 1 - 40 loci each 1000 times, compute sMLH and correlate with number of compounds
resample_mums <- resample_loci(genotypes_formatted, num_comp_mum, num_iter = 1000)
```

Calculating summary statistics for the resampling output: mean, sd, se of the correlations per subset of markers.

Plotting mean correlation of heterozygosity (estimated by an increasing number of markers) with number of compounds in chemical fingerprints for Fur seal mothers.

Pups are not shown here for simplicity and to avoid code replication. For the full figure see the results section of the paper

```
# plotting
library(grid)
ggplot2::ggplot(results_mums, aes(x = locnum, y = cormean)) +
        geom_line(size = 0.6, colour = "black") +
        geom_errorbar(aes(ymin = cormean-corse, ymax = cormean+corse),
                      width=0.8, alpha=0.7, size = 0.8, colour = "black") +
        geom_point(size = 2, shape = 16) +
        theme_minimal(base_size = 16) +
        theme(axis.title.x = element_text(vjust= -2 ,size = 16),
              axis.title.y = element_text(vjust=3,size = 16),
              axis.ticks.x = element_blank(),
              axis.ticks.y = element_blank(),
              plot.margin = (unit(c(.5, .5, 2, 2), "cm"))) +
        #geom_hline(yintercept=0.305) +
        ylab("r (sMLH, ncomp) +- se") +
        xlab("number of loci") +
        labs(title = "r between number of compounds \nand sMLH estimated \nfrom an increasing number of
```



# Estimation of identity disequilibrium g2 with the inbreedR package. (can diverge slightly from the RMES program)

Instead to just finding a correlation between heterozygosity and a trait such as chemical complexity, one can ask whether variation in inbreeding (so called-general effects) is a potential cause. This can be measured with a parameter called g2 (David et al. 2007), that assesses identity disequilibrium through quantification of excess double heterozygote loci. We are currently working on the inbreedR package, which provides functions for calculation g2 with both microsatellites and SNPs.

Calculate g2.

#> 2.015313e-05 5.380258e-03

```
g2 <- inbreedR::g2_microsats(genotypes_formatted, nperm = 1000, nboot = 1000, CI = 0.95)

#>
#> Data: 82 observations at 41 markers
#> Function call = inbreedR::g2_microsats(genotypes = genotypes_formatted, nperm = 1000, nboot = 10
#>
#> g2 = 0.00241214, se = 0.001397673
#>
#> confidence interval
#> 2.5% 97.5%
```

```
#>
#> p (g2 > 0) = 0.025 (based on 1000 permutations)

potentially make figure for increasing markers here
```

#### Factor analysis on the chemical compounds data with the package HDMD.

HDMD (McFerrin 2013) allows for doing a Factor analysis with high dimensional data(where the number of variables exceeds the number of observations) by calculating a general inverse matrix.


```
library(HDMD)
library(minmodelr)
source("get_pairdiff.R")
```

Factor analysis and extraction of factor scores for the first 4 factors. Promax rotation of the factors allows them to be non-orthogonal and thus correlated. After FA, the factor scores for each individual on all 4 factors are extracted.

```
# factor analysis with 4 factors, promax rotation -----
scent_fa <- HDMD::factor.pa.ginv(scent, nfactors = 4,</pre>
                           prerotate = T,rotate = "promax",
                           scores = T, m = 3)
#> Warning in cor.smooth(R): Matrix was not positive definite, smoothing was
#> done
#> Could not solve for inverse correlation. Using general inverse ginv(r)
fa_scores <- as.data.frame(scent_fa$scores)</pre>
head(fa_scores)
#>
                           F2
                                      F3
                F1
                                                  F4
#> M10  0.21501860 -0.8384633  0.02809373  0.41819811
#> M12 0.00144057 -0.4989538 0.19093716 0.63217100
#> M14 -0.32163481 -0.7076035 0.16407820 -0.18508919
#> M15 -0.34857816 -0.5187462 0.12530472 -0.93750096
#> M16 -0.38997409 -0.1759757 0.53663454 1.18679542
#> M17 -0.10514763 -0.6294724 0.18209318 0.02876237
```

The eigenvalue course seen in the screeplot allows for decisions on the number of factors to retain.


## **Screeplot**



Plotting the distribution of factor scores seperately for each colony. Similar distributions suggest the compounds which are represented by a given factor to be similarly distributed across colonies and could thus be of potential genetic origin, while different distributions as in factor 4 suggest this factor to represent environmentally influenced compounds.

```
# distribution of factor scores
df <- cbind(fa_scores, factors["colony"])</pre>
df$colony <- as.factor(df$colony)</pre>
for (i in c(1,2,4)) {
plot_all <- ggplot(df, aes_string(x = paste("F", i, sep = ""), fill = "colony")) +</pre>
        geom_density(alpha=0.8, size=0.5, aes(fill = colony),adjust=1.5) +
        scale_fill_manual(values = c("blue","red")) +
        guides(fill=guide legend(title=NULL)) +
        theme_minimal(base_size = 16) +
        theme(legend.position="none") +
        scale_x_continuous(breaks = c(seq(from = -1, to = 6, by = 1))) +
        scale_y\_continuous(breaks = c(seq(from = 0, to = 1.4, by = 0.4))) +
        xlab(paste("Factor", i, sep = " ")) +
        ylab("Density")
assign(paste("f", i, "_plot", sep = ""), plot_all)
}
```

```
# using multiplot function from cookbook-r.com for plotting multiple ggplots
source("multiplot.R")
multiplot(f1_plot, f2_plot, f4_plot, cols = 2)
```



Linear model of heterozygosity on factors (factor scores) as explanatory variables in mothers.

```
# bind heterozygosity and the factor scores in one data.frame and subset mothers
het_df <- cbind(heterozygosity, fa_scores)[factors$age == 1, ]</pre>
het_model <- lm(heterozygosity ~., data=het_df)</pre>
summary(het_model)
#>
#> Call:
#> lm(formula = heterozygosity ~ ., data = het_df)
#> Residuals:
#>
       Min
                  1Q
                      Median
#> -0.19439 -0.06271 0.01210 0.04769 0.14674
#>
#> Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                     75.780
#> (Intercept)
                0.987971
                           0.013037
                                               <2e-16 ***
#> F1
                0.029393
                           0.012288
                                       2.392
                                               0.0221 *
#> F2
                           0.011898
                                       2.313
                                               0.0265 *
                0.027521
#> F3
                0.004033
                           0.012964
                                       0.311
                                               0.7575
#> F4
               -0.009617 0.014180 -0.678
                                               0.5020
```

```
#> ---

#> Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

#>

#> Residual standard error: 0.08315 on 36 degrees of freedom

#> Multiple R-squared: 0.2455, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1616

#> F-statistic: 2.928 on 4 and 36 DF, p-value: 0.03406
```

While Factor1 and Factor2 seem to represent substances that are accociated with heterozygosity, Factor 3 and Factor 4 clearly don't. To simplify the model we used deletion testing (Crawley, Statistics). The minmodelr package contains some helper functions for this task. See ?MinMod, ?DelTestVar. We don't generally recommend a deletion testing procedure. In our case, results are clear and we use it for simplicity rather than for fishing significant results.

```
library(devtools)
# install_github("mastoffel/minmodelr")
library(minmodelr)
```

```
het reduced <- minmodelr::MinMod(het df)</pre>
#> Call:
#> glm(formula = depVar ~ ., family = family, data = bestmodeldf)
#>
#> Deviance Residuals:
              10
        Min
#>
                            Median
                                            30
                                                      Max
#> -0.191202 -0.060032
                         0.009789
                                     0.057485
                                                 0.155757
#>
#> Coefficients:
#>
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
#> (Intercept) 0.98814 0.01278 77.328
                                           <2e-16 ***
#> F1
                           0.01167
                                    2.385
                                              0.0222 *
               0.02783
#> F2
                0.02809
                           0.01164
                                     2.414
                                             0.0207 *
#> ---
#> Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
#>
#> (Dispersion parameter for gaussian family taken to be 0.006649744)
#>
       Null deviance: 0.32986 on 40 degrees of freedom
#> Residual deviance: 0.25269 on 38 degrees of freedom
#> AIC: -84.303
#>
#> Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 2
# extract data frame
het_reduced_df <- het_reduced[[1]]</pre>
# extract reduced model
het_reduced_mod <- het_reduced[[2]]</pre>
# deletion testing for both variables in the reduced model. See ?DelTestVar
table <- minmodelr::DelTestVar(het_reduced_df)</pre>
                Estimate Deviance Explained
                                                    F P (F-test)
#> (Intercept) 0.98814218
                                                   NA
#> F1
              0.02783316
                                    11.46936 5.689346 0.02215967
#> F2
               0.02808759
                                    11.74642 5.826780 0.02071002
               P (Chisquared-test)
#>
#> (Intercept)
```

```
#> F1
                        0.01706822
#> F2
                        0.01578399
# deviance explained by the reduced model
dev_expl <- (het_reduced_mod$null.deviance - het_reduced_mod$deviance) / het_reduced_mod$null.deviance
summary(het_reduced_mod)
#>
#> Call:
#> glm(formula = depVar ~ ., family = family, data = bestmodeldf)
#> Deviance Residuals:
             1Q
        Min
                           Median
                                          30
                                                    Max
#> -0.191202 -0.060032 0.009789
                                    0.057485
#>
#> Coefficients:
#>
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
#> (Intercept) 0.98814
                          0.01278 77.328
                                            <2e-16 ***
#> F1
               0.02783
                          0.01167
                                    2.385
                                            0.0222 *
#> F2
               0.02809
                          0.01164
                                            0.0207 *
                                    2.414
#> ---
#> Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
#>
#> (Dispersion parameter for gaussian family taken to be 0.006649744)
#>
      Null deviance: 0.32986 on 40 degrees of freedom
#> Residual deviance: 0.25269 on 38 degrees of freedom
#> AIC: -84.303
#>
#> Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 2
```

Creating a new variable F1F2 which is the sum of the two factor scores and using this variable as predictor in a linear model of heterozygosity.

```
# sum of factors as variable
het_df$F1F2 <- het_df$F1 + het_df$F2</pre>
table <- minmodelr::DelTestVar(as.data.frame(cbind(het_df$heterozygosity, het_df$F1F2)))
                Estimate Deviance Explained
                                                   F P (F-test)
#> (Intercept) 0.98813169
                                                   NA
                                          NA
#> V2
              0.02796073
                                    23.39391 11.90979 0.001356642
#>
               P (Chisquared-test)
#> (Intercept)
                      0.0005583969
summary(lm(heterozygosity ~ F1F2, data = het_df))
#>
#> Call:
#> lm(formula = heterozygosity ~ F1F2, data = het_df)
#>
#> Residuals:
        Min
                    1Q
                          Median
                                        30
#> -0.191204 -0.060060 0.009766 0.057466 0.155764
#>
#> Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
#>
#> (Intercept) 0.988132 0.012596 78.449 < 2e-16 ***
```

Linear model of genetic relatedness on factor scores as explanatory variables for mothers. Pairwise genetic relatedness is represented as a matrix. To model the relationship between relatedness and factor scores we created a matrix for each factor, whereby each pairwise value represents the difference in factor scores for a pair of seals.

get\_pairdiff() creates these matrices. We based these analysis on mothers and pups seperately.

Creation of 4 pairwise distance matrices for each factor.

The ecodist package (Goslee and Urban 2007) can handle multiple distance matrices by doing a partial mantel test.

Every partial mantel test just tests for the association with the first response, while the other are permutated

```
rel_dist <- as.dist(relatedness[factors$age == 1, factors$age == 1])</pre>
ecodist::mantel(rel_dist ~ f1_diff + f2_diff + f3_diff + f4_diff, mrank = T, nperm = 1000)
     mantelr
                           pval2
                                     pval3
                                           llim.2.5% ulim.97.5%
                 pval1
ecodist::mantel(rel_dist ~ f2_diff + f1_diff + f3_diff + f4_diff, mrank = T)
      mantelr
                   pval1
                              pval2
                                        pval3
                                                llim.2.5%
ulim.97.5%
#>
#> -0.005925897
ecodist::mantel(rel dist ~ f3 diff + f2 diff + f1 diff + f4 diff, mrank = T)
                         pval2
                                  pval3 llim.2.5% ulim.97.5%
    mantelr
               pval1
#> 0.08900545 0.05900000 0.94200000 0.12100000 0.05002336 0.13034462
ecodist::mantel(rel_dist ~ f4_diff + f3_diff + f2_diff + f1_diff, mrank = T)
                                     pval3
                                           llim.2.5% ulim.97.5%
                 pval1
                           pval2
#> 0.052327208 0.122000000 0.879000000 0.256000000 0.001209259 0.093079036
```

```
assign(paste("f", i, "_diff", sep=""), fa_diff_pups[, i+1])
}
rel_dist <- as.dist(relatedness[factors$age == 2, factors$age == 2])</pre>
ecodist::mantel(rel_dist ~ f1_diff + f2_diff + f3_diff + f4_diff, mrank = T)
                                        pval3 llim.2.5% ulim.97.5%
      mantelr
                  pval1
                             pval2
#> 0.02435999 0.31900000 0.68200000 0.65100000 -0.01590521 0.05874250
ecodist::mantel(rel dist ~ f2 diff + f1 diff + f3 diff + f4 diff, mrank = T)
                  pval1
      mantelr
                            pval2
                                     pval3
                                              llim.2.5% ulim.97.5%
#> 0.01340994 0.41000000 0.59100000 0.80400000 -0.02748921 0.05010192
ecodist::mantel(rel_dist ~ f3_diff + f2_diff + f1_diff + f4_diff, mrank = T)
                                   pval3 llim.2.5% ulim.97.5%
   mantelr
                pval1
                          pval2
#> 0.08487309 0.07900000 0.92200000 0.16500000 0.03726978 0.13467527
ecodist::mantel(rel_dist ~ f4_diff + f3_diff + f2_diff + f1_diff, mrank = T)
                  pval1
                             pval2
                                       pval3
                                              llim.2.5% ulim.97.5%
```

Linear model for associations between genetic relatedness and factor scores as explanatory variables for pups.

```
col_df <- cbind(factors["colony"], fa_scores)</pre>
col_reduced <- minmodelr::MinMod(col_df)</pre>
#>
#> Call:
#> glm(formula = depVar ~ ., family = family, data = bestmodeldf)
#> Deviance Residuals:
#> Min 1Q Median
                                  3Q
                                          Max
#> -0.8127 -0.2569 -0.1038 0.2719
                                       0.7243
#>
#> Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                  40.91 < 2e-16 ***
#> (Intercept) 1.51220
                          0.03696
                                   10.14 5.08e-16 ***
#> F4
              0.38454
                          0.03791
#> ---
#> Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
#> (Dispersion parameter for gaussian family taken to be 0.1120233)
#>
      Null deviance: 20.4878 on 81 degrees of freedom
#> Residual deviance: 8.9619 on 80 degrees of freedom
#> AIC: 57.179
#> Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 2
col_reduced_df <- col_reduced[[1]]</pre>
# dev_expl <- (col_reduced_df$null.deviance - col_reduced_df$deviance) / col_reduced_df$null.deviance
table <- minmodelr::DelTestVar(col reduced[[1]])
                                                    P (F-test)
              Estimate Deviance Explained
                                                 F
#> (Intercept) 1.5121951
                                                NA
#> F4 0.3845353
                           56.25756 102.8887 5.082064e-16
```

```
#> P (Chisquared-test)
#> (Intercept) NA
#> F4 3.545071e-24
```

Colony differences in factor scores: Just factor 4 shows significant differences.

Identification of substance subsets.

```
# subsets and identification
library(vegan)
library(ggplot2)
library(dplyr)
library(magrittr)
library(vegan)
library(reshape2)
```

Similarity percentages analysis (simper) identifies the contribution of a specific compound to group similarity / dissimilarity. ANOSIM was used to test whether a small subset of the compounds with the highest contributions shows significant patterns.

Identification of best substances encoding mother-offspring similarity. For this analysis we have 41 groups (mother-offspring pairs) and want to look at withing group similarities rather then between group dissimilarities. This was done in Primer-E, as the simper function from the vegan package computes discriminating compounds, rather then compounds that make a mother-pup pair unique (although both sets overlap of course).

```
# results from simper analysis in Primer-E
mp simp <- read.csv(".\\files\\simper mp results.csv", colClasses = c("character", "numeric"))</pre>
mp_simp
#>
             comp contrib
#> 1 19.72268293
                   15.54
#> 2 15.45769231
                    12.25
#> 3 26.78859155
                    11.97
#> 4
     16.3974359
                    11.30
#> 5 19.52538462
                    10.87
#> 6
           21.405
                     8.49
#> 7 37.56363636
                     6.48
#> 8 15.62272727
                     6.48
#> 9 33.63655172
                     6.28
#> 10 30.80365385
                     6.03
#> 11
       20.361875
                     5.34
#> 12 17.40942623
                     4.79
```

Mother offspring similarity based on a Bray-curtis similarity matrix which was computed from just the subset of 12 top compounds from the SIMPER analysis is highly significant, both overall, as well as within colonies.

Full sample

#### Within colony 1 (Special study beach)

#### Within colony 2 (Freshwater beach)

Identification of best substances encoding colony dissimilarity. Using simper from the vegan package to find the important substances for discriminating between the two colonies. And sorting them subsequently in order of contribution to colony dissimilarity.

```
# simper analysis
simp_colony <- vegan::simper(scent, factors$colony)</pre>
```

```
# getting 15 best substances and their contribution to colony dissimilarity
simp_colony_names <- rownames(summary(simp_colony, ordered = TRUE)[[1]])[1:15]</pre>
contribution <- summary(simp_colony, ordered = TRUE)[[1]]$contr[1:15]</pre>
# indices of colony substances (58,62,68,74,86,89,90,98,106,107,110,164,181,189,211)
ind_col <- paste(which(names(scent)%in%simp_colony_names), collapse = ",")</pre>
# connect to data frame and compute contribution in percent
col_simp <- data.frame(comp = simp_colony_names, contrib = contribution*100, stringsAsFactors = FALSE)
col_simp
#>
             comp contrib
#> 1 15.45769231 3.006785
#> 2 16.3974359 2.419310
#> 3 26.78859155 2.069715
#> 4 19.52538462 1.965096
#> 5
          21.405 1.894868
#> 6 21.34820513 1.671251
#> 7 19.72268293 1.669923
#> 8 30.80365385 1.482931
#> 9 38.5183871 1.440400
#> 10 17.40942623 1.330158
#> 11 20.51086207 1.288827
#> 12 33.63655172 1.266612
#> 13 21.57529412 1.208037
#> 14 15.74219178 1.180692
#> 15 19.66514286 1.128883
```

#### Colony dissimilarity based on 15 compounds.

#### Identification of substanced encoding relatedness.

All the following analyses are shown for the subset of mothers.

The core of the idea is to use a bootstrapping procedure on the BIO-ENV function, originally by Clarke (Clarke and Warwick 2001), which was modified (Taylor 2014) to work with a bray curtis similarity matrix. For details see the methods part of the paper. The function is built to run on parallel with snowfall (Knaus 2013) on a server or similar, but still takes a couple of days to finish.

Additional packages used are Hadley Wickham's dplyr (Wickham and Francois 2015) and stringr (Wickham 2015).

```
#### run seperately on multicore server #####
#### aim: resampling test for finding the substances associated with genetic
#### relatedness. Basic assumption: Each variable will be tested in many different
#### environments (individuals, other variables), which will prevent spurious
#### correlations, as the really important substances will occur in best subsets
#### in many different constellations. (see methods section)
# parallel computing using 40 cores, takes some days nevertheless and is just
# shown here.
library(vegan)
library(stringr)
library(dplyr)
library(snow)
library(snowfall)
source("bio.env.R")
# number of cores
ncores <- 2
# subset
scent mum <- filter(scent, factors$age == 1)</pre>
relate_mum <- relatedness[factors$age == 1, factors$age == 1]</pre>
# initialise results vector
all best <- vector()
# initialise cluster
snowfall::sfInit(parallel=TRUE, cpus=ncores, type="SOCK")
# export libraries and main function to all cores
snowfall::sfSource("bio.env.R")
snowfall::sfLibrary(vegan)
snowfall::sfLibrary(stringr)
snowfall::sfLibrary(dplyr)
bootstrap <- function(iter_comp) { # main resampling function</pre>
        for (i in 1:500) {
                # sample 20 out of 41 mothers, indices
                ind_obs <- sort(sample(1:41, size = 20, replace = F))</pre>
                # subset relate mum and scent mum
                reltemp <- 1-as.dist(relate_mum[ind_obs, ind_obs])</pre>
                abundtemp <- scent_mum[ind_obs, ]</pre>
                for (i in iter_comp) {
                        # sample 10 compounds
                       index_comps <- sort(sample(1:213, size = 10, replace = F))</pre>
                       abundtemp_sub <- abundtemp[, index_comps]</pre>
                        # get vector with 0 for null-column and 1 for non-null column
                       nullcomps <- apply(abundtemp_sub, 2, function(x) sum(x>0))
                        abundtemp sub <- subset(abundtemp sub,
                                               subset = c(rep(TRUE, nrow(abundtemp_sub))),
                                               select = (nullcomps >= 2))
                        # new iteration if too less substances left
```

```
if (ncol(abundtemp_sub) <= 2) next</pre>
                       # main function: bio.env finds subset that mostly correlates
                       # with relatedness
                       results <- bio.env(reltemp, abundtemp_sub,
                                         var.dist.method = "bray",
                                         scale.fix = F, scale.var = F)
                       mods <- results$best.model.vars</pre>
                       best <- unlist(str split(mods, ","))</pre>
                       all_best <- append(all_best, best)</pre>
                       # write(best, file = "best.txt", append = TRUE, sep = " ")
               }
       }
       return(all_best)
}
# export objects
snowfall::sfExportAll(except = NULL, debug = FALSE)
snowfall::sfClusterEval(ls())
# create list of 500 iterations for all cores
vals <- list()</pre>
for (i in 1:ncores) {
       vals[[i]] <- 1:500
}
# run analysis
# best is a list of all best subsets
best <- snowfall::sfLapply(vals, bootstrap)</pre>
# stop cluster
sfStop()
# bring all results
results <- unlist(best)
```

#### Analysing results from the BIO-ENV bootstrap analysis.

best\_mums is a data frame containing the number of occurences of each variable in the best subset from the BIO-ENV bootstrap analysis. Substances, that were retained more often are therefore likely to be genuinly associated with genetic relatedness.

```
# substance occurences are sorted in the table
best_mums <- read.csv("files/bootstrap_mums.csv",row.names=1)</pre>
```


To analyse how many of these compounds are really important, the idea is to take an increasing number of "best" compounds and compute a mantel test with relatedness for each of the subsets. The subsequent plot shows a nice peak, which could be seen as the optimal number of chemicals encoding relatedness.

```
# subset mothers
scent_mum <- dplyr::filter(scent, factors$age == 1)
relate_mum <- 1-relatedness[factors$age == 1, factors$age == 1]
sub_names_mums <- row.names(best_mums)
statm <- vector()
sigm <- vector()</pre>
```

```
# compute mantelR for an increasing set of best substances
for (i in 2:100) {
        bc_dist <- vegan::vegdist(scent_mum[, sub_names_mums[1:i]], method = "bray")
        mod <- vegan::mantel(relate_mum, bc_dist, na.rm = T, method = "spearman")
        statm <- append(statm, mod$statistic)
        sigm <- append(sigm, mod$sig)
}
stat_df <- data.frame(num_comps = 1:length(statm), mantelR = statm)</pre>
```

Plotting mantelR for an increasing number of best substances.

```
library(grid)
# simple plot
ggplot2::ggplot(stat_df, aes(x = num_comps, y = mantelR)) +
        stat_smooth(se = FALSE, span = 0.16, size = 1.3, method = "loess") +
        geom_point(colour = "black", size = 3, alpha = 0.8) +
        theme_minimal(base_size = 16) +
        theme(strip.text.x = element_text(vjust=1, size = 16),
              axis.title.x = element_text(vjust= -2 ,size =16),
              axis.title.y = element_text(vjust=3,size = 16),
              axis.ticks.x = element_blank(),
              axis.ticks.y = element_blank(),
              plot.margin = (unit(c(.5, .5, 2, 2), "cm"))) +
        \# scale_x_continuous(breaks=c(seq(from = 0.8, to = 1.20, by = 0.1))) +
        \#geom\_text(aes(0.85,80, label="(a) r = 0.34, p = 0.027"), size=4) +
        xlab("cumulative substances from bootstrap") +
        ylab("mantelR")
```



cumulative substances from bootstrap

The plot peaks at 10 substances. We now want to do a single mantel test for chemical bray-curtis similarities based on these 10 compounds and genetic relatedness. As already shown in the plot, the mantelR is 0.164 and is highly significant.

```
# indices of the 10 best compounds associated with relatedness --
comp_ind_m \leftarrow c(36,52,86,88,96,103,110,203,206,207)
# bray curtis similarity matrix based on this 10 compounds
scent_bc <- 1-(as.matrix(vegan::vegdist(as.matrix(scent[factors$age == 1, comp_ind_m])),</pre>
                      method = "bray"))
# relatedness matrix
rel_m <- relatedness[1:41, 1:41]
# mantel test for association between both
vegan::mantel(rel_m, scent_bc, method = "spearman", permutation = 1000, na.rm = TRUE)
#> Mantel statistic based on Spearman's rank correlation rho
#>
#> Call:
#> veqan::mantel(xdis = rel_m, ydis = scent_bc, method = "spearman",
                                                                           permutations = 1000, na.rm =
#> Mantel statistic r: 0.164
         Significance: 0.002997
```

```
#>
#> Upper quantiles of permutations (null model):
#> 90% 95% 97.5% 99%
#> 0.0720 0.0906 0.1131 0.1246
#> Permutation: free
#> Number of permutations: 1000
```

Clarke, K Robert, and Richard Martyn Warwick. 2001. PRIMER V5: User Manual/tutorial. PRIMER-E Limited.

David, Patrice, Benoit Pujol, Frederique Viard, Vincent Castella, and JEROMe Goudet. 2007. "Reliable Selfing Rate Estimates from Imperfect Population Genetic Data." *Molecular Ecology* 16 (12). Wiley Online Library: 2474–87.

Goslee, Sarah C., and Dean L. Urban. 2007. "The Ecodist Package for Dissimilarity-Based Analysis of Ecological Data." *Journal of Statistical Software* 22 (7): 1–19.

Knaus, Jochen. 2013. Snowfall: Easier Cluster Computing (Based on Snow). http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=snowfall.

McFerrin, Lisa. 2013. *HDMD: Statistical Analysis Tools for High Dimension Molecular Data (HDMD)*. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=HDMD.

Oksanen, Jari, F. Guillaume Blanchet, Roeland Kindt, Pierre Legendre, Peter R. Minchin, R. B. O'Hara, Gavin L. Simpson, Peter Solymos, M. Henry H. Stevens, and Helene Wagner. 2015. *Vegan: Community Ecology Package*. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan.

Pritchard, J K, M Stephens, and P Donnelly. 2000. "Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data." *Genetics*.

Queller, D C, and K F Goodnight. 1989. "Estimating relatedness using genetic markers." Evolution.

Taylor, Marc. 2014. Sinkr: A Collection of Functions Featured on the Blog 'Me Nugget'. https://github.com/menugget/sinkr.

Wickham, Hadley. 2009. *Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer New York. http://had.co.nz/ggplot2/book.

——. 2015. Stringr: Simple, Consistent Wrappers for Common String Operations. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=stringr.

Wickham, Hadley, and Romain Francois. 2015. Dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation. http://CRAN. R-project.org/package=dplyr.