Math 510 HW 14 Michael Snyder 14.1 True or False? (a) S.h.x = O(1) as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Soln: By definition, we seek a constant C such that 15mx1 < C.1, a= x -> po. Since -1 \le \since \n \text{Y} \in \mathbb{R}, we may set c=1. Then  $|S.n \times| \leq 1$ holds, and we conclude that  $S.n \times = O(1)$ as  $\times \to \infty$ . The statement is True. (b) snx = 0(1) as x > 0. Again choose c=1, then for all X,  $-1 \leq s.h \times \leq 1 \Rightarrow |s.h \times | \leq 1$ Thus it is also true for X ->0. The Statement is True. (c)  $\frac{\log x}{\log x} = O(x^{V_{100}})$  as  $x \to \infty$ . Consider X > X => \frac{1}{x^{99/100}}, for X > 200.

Integrating both sides of this meguality | | log x | < 100 x 100 x 200. Let C=100, Then we have llogx1 < CxV100 as x →∞. Therefore, logx = O(x1/100) as x > 20.
The state ment is true.

(d) n! = O(( 1/e)") as n -> 0. This statement is false. Consider Stirling's approximation to n!, which has  $n! \sim \sqrt{z\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{c}\right)^n$ Also one of the coolest approximations in math, in my spinion. Anyway, This implies
that A a single constat c with

N!  $\leq C(\frac{n}{\epsilon})^n$ , since c would have to be

dependent on n. The statement is False. (e) A = o((1/e)") A = O(V<sup>2/s</sup>) as V > 0, when A i V arc surface area and volume of a sphere measured in square miles and cubic microns, respectively. First note -1Lat 1 mi3 = 4.168 × 10 microns.

Thus, Vailes = 1 mi Vm.zrons. Let c= 400 microns. a = 4.169×1027. Then since r>0, we have Ariles = 4Tr2 & 4T ( = )2/3 r2  $= 4\pi \left( \frac{\pi}{3} r^{-3} \right)^{2/3}$ € 4T \*(a Vmicoms) = 4Ta Vnicrons 15 V -> 20. The statement is True

(f) fl(T) - T = O(Emachice). By lefinition of  $f(\pi)$ ,  $\exists \in with |\Sigma| < \Sigma_{madium}$ such Rat fl(x) = 11(1+E). Thus 181 < 2 machin => TIEI < TEmail.L => |TE +T-T| < T Emach.L => | TT(1+E)-TT | < T Emaden ⇒ |f|(T) - T| < T Emach in. That is, we have found a constant C=T Such 12+ 1fl(+1)-11 = CF. Emachin. Thus, fl(T) - TT = O (Emaden). The Statement is True. (9) fl (nTT) - nTT = O(2machin) uniformly for all Solution: By definition of uniformly O(Eastin), we reed a constant C such that Ifl(nT) - NTT | < C & machine YneZ. But fl(nT) => 3 & with | El & Emoline such That fl(nTT) = nTT(1+E). But Tis mens,

1 ≥ 1 ≤ €marline

=> \$ |NTE | < NT Emalue for NEZ, N30.

=> | NTE + NT - NT | E MT Emaline

=> | NTT(1+E) - NTT | Sutt Emable

> |fl(nT) - nT| ≤ nT Emoder.

Here  $C = n\pi$  is dependent an N. Since uniformly  $O(\Sigma_{mad,n})$  is  $\forall N \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\not\supseteq C$  uniformly  $O(\Sigma_{mad,n})$  is  $\forall N \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\not\supseteq C$  a single constant such that  $If((n\pi)-n\pi) \leq C \leq_{mad}$ . The statement is False.