## Numerical Linear Algebra: Homework #1

Due on August 31, 2022 at 10:00PM

Instructor: Professor Blake Barker Section 1

Michael Snyder

## Problem 1.2

Suppose masses  $m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4$  are located at positions  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$  in a line connected by springs with spring constants  $k_{12}, k_{23}, k_{34}$  whose natural lengths of extension are  $l_{12}, l_{23}, l_{34}$ . Let  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4$  denote the rightward forces on the masses, e.g.,  $f_1 = k_{12}(x_2 - x_1 - l_{12})$ .

(a) Write the 4x4 matrix equation relating the column vectors f and x. Let K denote the matrix in this equation.

Solution

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \\ f_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -k_{12} & k_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ k_{12} & -(k_{12} + k_{23}) & k_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & k_{23} & -(k_{23} + k_{34}) & k_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & k_{34} & -k_{34} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} k_{12}l_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ k_{12}l_{12} & -k_{23}l_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & k_{23}l_{23} & -k_{34}l_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & k_{34}k_{34} \end{pmatrix}$$

(b) What are the dimensions of the entries of K in the physics sense.

Solution The entries of K are spring constants and have units of N/m or  $kg/s^2$ .

(c) What are the dimensions of det(K), again in the physics sense.

Solution The dimensions of det(K) are  $(N/m)^4$  or  $(kg/s^2)^4$ .

(d) Suppose K is given numerical values based on the units meters, kilograms, and seconds. Now the system is rewritten with a matrix K' based on centimeters, grams, and seconds. What is the relationship of K' to K? What is the relationship of  $\det(K')$  to  $\det(K)$ ?

**Solution** Since 1kg = 1000g, K' = 1000K and  $det(K') = 1000^4 det(K)$ .

## Problem 1.3

Generalizing Example 1.3, we say that a square or rectangular matrix R with entries  $r_{ij}$  is upper-triangular if  $r_{ij} = 0$  for i > j. By considering what space is spanned by the first n columns of R and using (1.8), show that if R is a nonsingular  $m \times m$  upper-triangular matrix, then  $R^{-1}$  is also upper-triangular.

*Proof.* Suppose R is a nonsingular  $m \times m$  upper triangular matrix.

We list two useful relationships:

† The fact that R is nonsingular implies that  $r_{ii} \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

\* The equation for (1.8) is  $e_j = \sum_{i=1}^m z_{ij} r_i$ , where  $z_{ij}$  is the ij-entry of  $Z = R^{-1}$  and  $r_i$  is the  $i^{th}$  column of R.

We will use  $\dagger$  and  $\star$  to show by induction that  $Z=R^{-1}$  is upper-diagonal. We begin with two base cases, R a  $2 \times 2$  matrix and R a  $3 \times 3$  matrix. In the  $2 \times 2$  case, we have

$$RZ = egin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} \ 0 & r_{22} \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} z_{11} & r_{12} \ z_{21} & r_{22} \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then using  $\star$ , we have

$$e_1=egin{pmatrix}1\0\end{pmatrix}=z_{11}egin{pmatrix}r_{11}\0\end{pmatrix}+z_{21}egin{pmatrix}r_{12}\r_{22}\end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$e_2 = egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 1 \end{pmatrix} = z_{12} egin{pmatrix} r_{11} \ 0 \end{pmatrix} + z_{22} egin{pmatrix} r_{12} \ r_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

As has already been asserted, by  $\uparrow$ ,  $r_{22} \neq 0$ . However, the second component of  $e_1$ , which we denote  $(e_1)_2 = 0$ . Thus,  $z_{21} = 0$ , and  $Z_{2\times 2}$  is upper diagonal.

In the  $3 \times 3$  case, we have

$$RZ = egin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \ 0 & r_{22} & r_{23} \ 0 & 0 & r_{33} \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} & z_{13} \ z_{21} & z_{22} & z_{23} \ z_{31} & z_{32} & z_{33} \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Again, using ★, we have

$$e_1 = egin{pmatrix} 1 \ 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix} = z_{11} egin{pmatrix} r_{11} \ 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix} + z_{21} egin{pmatrix} r_{12} \ r_{22} \ 0 \end{pmatrix} + z_{31} egin{pmatrix} r_{13} \ r_{23} \ r_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$
  $e_2 = egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 1 \ 0 \end{pmatrix} = z_{12} egin{pmatrix} r_{11} \ 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix} + z_{22} egin{pmatrix} r_{12} \ r_{22} \ 0 \end{pmatrix} + z_{32} egin{pmatrix} r_{13} \ r_{23} \ r_{33} \end{pmatrix}$   $e_3 = egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 1 \end{pmatrix} = z_{11} egin{pmatrix} r_{11} \ 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix} + z_{23} egin{pmatrix} r_{12} \ r_{22} \ 0 \end{pmatrix} + z_{33} egin{pmatrix} r_{13} \ r_{23} \ r_{33} \end{pmatrix}$ 

From the  $2 \times 2$  case, we already have  $z_{21} = 0$ . Using the same logic, we see that, since  $(e_j)_i = 0$  for i > j and  $r_{ij} \neq 0$  for  $j \geq i$ , it is necessary that  $z_{ij} = 0$  for i > j,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 3$ .

Applying induction, we now assume that  $Z_{k\times k}$  is upper diagonal for k>3, and that the result is verified for all matrices Z less than  $k\times k$ . Then since  $r_{kk}\neq 0$  by  $\dagger$ , we have  $z_{kj}=0$  for k>j. Otherwise,  $(e_j)_k\neq 0$  for k>j. Therefore, the  $m\times m$  matrix  $Z=R^{-1}$  is an upper-diagonal matrix, as was to be shown.

## Problem 1.4

Let  $f_1, \ldots, f_2$  be a set of functions defined on the interval [1,8] with the property that for any numbers  $d_1, \ldots, d_8$ , there exists a set of coefficients  $c_1, \ldots, c_8$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^8 c_j f_j(i) = d_i, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, 8.$$

(a) Show by appealing to the theorems of this lecture that  $d_1, \ldots, d_8$  determine  $c_1, \ldots, c_8$  uniquely.

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that  $d_1, \ldots, d_8$  do not uniquely determine  $c_1, \ldots, c_8$ . Then  $\exists a_1, \ldots, a_8$  such that

$$d_i=\sum_{j=1}^8 a_j f_j(i) \quad i=1,\ldots,8.$$

But this means

$$0 = d_i - d_i = \sum_{i=1}^8 (a_j - c_j) f_j(i), \hspace{5mm} i = 1, \ldots, 8.$$

But this implies  $a_j = c_j$ , contradicting our assumption that the  $d_i$  do not uniquely determine the  $c_j$ . Therefore,  $d_1, \ldots, d_8$  uniquely determines  $c_1, \ldots, c_8$ .

(b) Let A be the 8 × 8 matrix representing the linear mapping from data  $d_1, \ldots, d_8$  to coefficients  $c_1, \ldots, c_8$ . What is the ij-entry of  $A^{-1}$ ?

Solution: The ij-entry of  $A^{-1}$  is  $f_j(i)$ , since if A maps data  $d_1, \ldots, d_8$  to coefficients  $c_1, \ldots, c_8$ , then

$$\vec{c} = A\vec{d} \tag{1}$$

$$A^{-1}\vec{c} = A^{-1}A\vec{d} \tag{2}$$

$$A^{-1}\vec{c} = \vec{d} \tag{3}$$

which means  $A^{-1}$  is the matrix of functions  $f_i(i)$ .