

1. Suppose we have

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

according to the weights $\{\pi_i\}$, $\{\tau_j\}$

Define α_i^* to be the analogue of α_i under the weights $\{\pi_i^*\}$, $\{\tau_j^*\}$ (and similarly we use $*$ for other quantities under the new wts.)

We then have

$$\sum_i c_i \alpha_i^* = \sum_i c_i [\bar{\mu}_i^* - \bar{\mu}^*]$$

$$= \sum_i c_i \bar{\mu}_i^* - \bar{\mu}^* \sum_i c_i$$

$$= \sum_i c_i \bar{\mu}_i^*$$

$$= \sum_i c_i \sum_j \tau_j^* \mu_{ij}$$

$$= \sum_i c_i \sum_j \tau_j^* [\mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j]$$

$$= \sum_i \sum_j c_i \tau_j^* \mu + \sum_i \sum_j c_i \tau_j^* \alpha_i$$

$$+ \sum_i \sum_j c_i \tau_j^* \beta_j$$

$$= \mu \left(\sum_i c_i \right) \left(\sum_j \tau_j^* \right) + \left(\sum_i c_i \alpha_i \right) \left(\sum_j \tau_j^* \right) + \left(\sum_i c_i \right) \left(\sum_j \tau_j^* \beta_j \right)$$

$$= \sum_i c_i \alpha_i \quad \left(\text{since } \sum_i c_i = 0 \text{ and } \sum_j \tau_j^* = 1 \right)$$

qed.

1b. Write the model in the form

$$Y = X\eta + \varepsilon$$

with

$$\eta = [\mu, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{I-1}, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{J-1}]^T$$

We have

$$\hat{\eta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$$

$$\sim N(\eta, \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1})$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = s^2 = \frac{1}{N - (I + J - 1)} \sum_{ijk} (Y_{ijk} - \hat{Y}_{ijk})^2$$

$$s^2 \sim \frac{\sigma^2}{N - I - J + 1} \chi^2_{N - I - J + 1}$$

indep of $\hat{\eta}$

$$\text{Let } \hat{\alpha} = [\hat{\alpha}_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_{I-1}]^T$$

Let H be the $(I-1) \times (I-1)$ submatrix of $(X^T X)^{-1}$ corresponding to α

We then have $\hat{\alpha} \sim N(\alpha, \sigma^2 H)$

$$\hat{\psi}(c) \sim N(\psi(c), \sigma^2 c^T H c)$$

and the CI at level $1-\alpha$ is

$$\hat{\psi}(c) \pm t_{N-I-J+1}^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})} [c^T H c]^{1/2}$$

$$\beta = [\mu]$$

2.

$$x_i = 1$$

$$\varepsilon_i = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{i11} \\ \varepsilon_{i12} \\ \varepsilon_{i13} \\ \varepsilon_{i21} \\ \varepsilon_{i22} \\ \varepsilon_{i23} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$b_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_i \\ b_{i1} \\ b_{i2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Z_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_0: \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \dots = \sigma_T$$

1. 2

ה. הוצגו אקספרסיות של תחום שטחים (כמות) וקטגוריות (age, land)

kruskal wallis test

היננו סטטיסטיקן רגיל ונרצה לדעת האם יש הבדלים בין

term amount age land

$$x_1 = [1, 34, 10,000, 30, 0]$$

5. 1

$$p(x_1) = \frac{e^{x_1^T \hat{\beta}}}{1 + e^{x_1^T \hat{\beta}}}$$

האם יש הבדלים בין הקטגוריות של x
האם יש הבדלים בין הקטגוריות של x

$$\hat{\beta} \sim N(\hat{\beta}, \hat{V}(\hat{\beta}))$$

$$x_1^T \hat{\beta} \sim \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{x_1^T \hat{V} x_1}$$

$$x_1^T \hat{\beta} \sim \chi^2_1$$

-3-

$$x_1' \beta = [1 \quad \ln(24) \quad \ln(10,000) \quad \ln(30) \quad 0] \begin{bmatrix} -1.179 \\ -0.9297 \\ 0.187 \\ 0.956 \\ -0.7341 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$= 0.9013$$

$$z = 1.96$$

$$x_1' \hat{V} = [-0.086 \quad -0.012 \quad 0.0185 \quad -0.0045 \quad -0.0009]$$

$$x_1' \hat{V} x_1 = 0.0304$$

$$l = x_1' \beta_l = 0.9013 - 1.96 \cdot \sqrt{0.0304} = 0.5593$$

$$h = x_1' \beta_h = 1.243$$

$$P(x_1|l) = \frac{e^l}{1+e^l} = 0.6364$$

$$P(x_1|h) = 0.7761$$

$$\frac{P(x_2)}{1-P(x_2)} = \frac{e^{\frac{x_2' \beta}{1+\beta}}}{1+e^{\frac{x_2' \beta}{1+\beta}}} \bigg/ \frac{e^{\frac{x_2' \beta}{1+\beta}}}{1+e^{\frac{x_2' \beta}{1+\beta}}} =$$

$$= \frac{e^{\frac{x_2' \beta}{1+\beta}}}{1+e^{\frac{x_2' \beta}{1+\beta}}} \bigg/ \frac{1}{1+e^{\frac{x_2' \beta}{1+\beta}}} = e^{\frac{x_2' \beta}{1+\beta}}$$

~ 4 ~

$$e^{x_2' \beta - x_1' \beta} = e^{(x_2 - x_1)' \beta} \quad \text{NAT } \hat{\beta}_1 \text{ מפר } \mu$$

$$u = x_2 - x_1 = [0 \quad 12 \quad 5000 \quad 10 \quad 1]$$

forgot to take logs here

$$u' \beta \quad \text{is } \hat{\beta}_1 \text{ מפר } \mu \text{ from model}$$

$$u' \beta = 0.7497$$

$$u' \hat{V} = [-1.0579 \quad -0.0398 \quad 0.09 \quad 0.1357 \quad -0.0234]$$

$$u' \hat{V} u = 0.9568$$

$$u' \beta_L = -1.1675$$

$$u' \beta_h = 2.6669$$

$$e^{u' \beta_L} = e^{u' \beta} = 0.3111$$

$$e^{u' \beta_h} = 14.3946$$

-5

(AC, BC)

(111)

→ 12111 A

2

$$\pi_{ijk}^{ABC} = \exp(\theta_{...} + \lambda_i^A + \lambda_j^B + \lambda_k^C + \lambda_{AC}^{ik} + \lambda_{BC}^{jk})$$

111 B

$$\hat{\pi}_{ijk}^A = \frac{\hat{f}_{ij0} \cdot \hat{f}_{ijk}}{\hat{f}_{i00}} = \frac{\hat{f}_{ij0} \cdot \hat{f}_{ijk}}{\hat{f}_{i00} \cdot \hat{f}_{ijk}} = \frac{\hat{f}_{ijk} \cdot \hat{f}_{ijk}}{\hat{f}_{i00} \cdot \hat{f}_{ijk}}$$

111111 1-1 1/2 2011

A - 111-0
111-1
1111-2

B - 125-0
125-1

C - 111-0
1111-1

n = 175

$$f_{0.0} = 9$$

$$f_{0.1} = 23$$

$$f_{1.0} = 36$$

$$f_{1.1} = 25$$

$$f_{2.0} = 10$$

$$f_{2.1} = 22$$

$$f_{.00} = 55$$

$$f_{.01} = 50$$

$$f_{.10} = 40$$

$$f_{.11} = 30$$

$$f_{..0} = 95$$

$$f_{..1} = 80$$

C

A	B	C	
		0	1
0	0	$\frac{9 \cdot 55}{175 \cdot 95} = 0.03$	$\frac{23 \cdot 50}{175 \cdot 80} = 0.08$
	1	$\frac{9 \cdot 40}{175 \cdot 95} = 0.02$	$\frac{23 \cdot 20}{175 \cdot 80} = 0.05$
1	0	$\frac{36 \cdot 55}{175 \cdot 95} = 0.12$	$\frac{36 \cdot 50}{175 \cdot 80} = 0.12$
	1	$\frac{36 \cdot 40}{175 \cdot 95} = 0.09$	$\frac{36 \cdot 20}{175 \cdot 80} = 0.08$
2	0	$\frac{50 \cdot 55}{175 \cdot 95} = 0.17$	$\frac{22 \cdot 50}{175 \cdot 80} = 0.08$
	1	$\frac{50 \cdot 40}{175 \cdot 95} = 0.12$	$\frac{22 \cdot 20}{175 \cdot 80} = 0.05$

log II

	A	B	0	1
A	0	0	-1.5	-2.5
B	0	1	-3.9	-3
0	0	0	-2.1	-2.1
1	1	1	-2.4	-2.7
2	0	0	-1.8	-2.5
2	1	1	-2.1	-3

$$\bar{\theta}_{...} = -2.63$$

$$\sum_{j,k} \log \pi_{ijk} = \sum_k \lambda_A^k + \sum_k \bar{\theta}_{...} \quad \text{na } j,k \text{ sumu}$$

$$-12.9 = 4\lambda_A^0 + 4 \cdot (-2.63) \Rightarrow \lambda_A^0 = -0.595$$

$$-9.3 = 4\lambda_A^1 + 4(-2.63) \Rightarrow \lambda_A^1 = 0.305$$

$$\lambda_A^2 = -\lambda_A^1 - \lambda_A^0 = 0.29$$

$$\sum_{i,k} \log \pi_{ijk} = \sum_k \bar{\theta}_{...} + \sum_k \lambda_B^k \quad \text{na } i,k \text{ sumu}$$

$$-14.5 = 6(-2.63) + 6\lambda_B^0 \Rightarrow \lambda_B^0 = 0.213 \Rightarrow \lambda_B^1 = -0.213$$

$$\sum_{i,j} \log \pi_{ijk} = \sum_j \bar{\theta}_{...} + \sum_j \lambda_C^k \quad \text{na } i,j \text{ sumu}$$

$$-15.8 = 6(-2.63) + 6\lambda_C^0 \Rightarrow \lambda_C^0 = -0.003 \quad \lambda_C^1 = 0.003$$

$$\sum_i \log \pi_{ijk} = \sum_i \bar{\theta}_{...} + \sum_i \lambda_A^j + \sum_i \lambda_C^k + \sum_i \lambda_{AC}^{jk}$$

$$-7.4 = 3(-2.63) + 3 \cdot 0.213 + 3 \cdot (-0.003) + 3\lambda_{AC}^{00} \Rightarrow \lambda_{AC}^{00} = -0.047 \approx \lambda_{AC}^{00}$$

$$\lambda_{AC}^{10} = \lambda_{AC}^{01} = 0.047$$

$$\sum_j \log \pi_{ijk} = \sum_j \bar{\theta}_{...} + \sum_j \lambda_A^i + \sum_j \lambda_C^k + \sum_j \lambda_{AC}^{ik}$$

$$-7.4 = 2(-2.63) + 2(-0.595) + 2(-0.003) + 2\lambda_{AC}^{00} \Rightarrow \lambda_{AC}^{00} = -0.472$$

$$-4.5 = 2(-2.63) + 2 \cdot 0.305 + 2(-0.003) + 2\lambda_{AC}^{10} \Rightarrow \lambda_{AC}^{10} = 0.078$$

$$i=0,1,2 \quad \lambda_{AC}^{i1} = -\lambda_{AC}^{i0} \quad \lambda_{AC}^{20} = 0.394$$