

MARTINGALE ARGUMENT FOR CONCENTRATION

The martingale argument is due to Lovett and Meka (2012). Consider $X_1(t), \dots, X_n(t)$, n simple random walks (SRWs) on \mathbb{Z} . There are s stationary points and m moving points at any instant; $m + s = n$. The top s are frozen. We're interested in the maximum process $W(t)$:

$$(1) \quad W(t) := \sup_{k \leq t} \sup_{i \leq n} \{|X_i(k)|\}$$

Let the filtration \mathcal{F}_t be “information about all the points up to time t ”. Then $X_i(t)$ is a martingale with respect to the filtration for each i because,

$$(2) \quad X_i(t) = X_i(t-1) + \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if frozen} \\ \pm 1 & \text{with equal probability if moving} \end{cases}$$

Let T be the final time in the martingale, and Doob's inequality gives us,

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{P}(\sup_{k \leq T} |X_i(k)| \geq a) \leq \int_{\sup_{k \leq T} |X_i(k)| \geq a} X_T d\mathbb{P}$$

However, the X_i are bounded by 1 in absolute value, and Azuma's inequality gives us

$$(4) \quad \mathbb{P}(\sup_{k \leq T} |X_i(k)| \geq u\sqrt{T}) \leq 2e^{-u^2/2}.$$

which is great. Now, define the random variable Y as follows:

$$(5) \quad Y := \#\{i \mid \sup_{k \leq T} |X_i(k)| \geq u\sqrt{T}\}$$

and its expectation is

$$(6) \quad E[Y] = n2e^{-u^2/2}$$

using (4) and linearity over indicators. The following set inclusion is easy

$$(7) \quad \{W(T) \geq u\sqrt{T} + 1\} \subset \{Y \geq s + 1\}$$

since one needs at least one point to be able to move when $s + 1$ are at $u\sqrt{T}$ to be able to get to $u\sqrt{T} + 1$. Then,

$$(8) \quad \mathbb{P}(W(T) \geq u\sqrt{T} + 1) \leq \frac{n}{s+1} \exp(-u^2/2)$$

gives us concentration for fixed n, s . This tells us that we ought to keep n/s constant if we're to send $n \rightarrow \infty$.

REFERENCES

S. Lovett and R. Meka. Constructive discrepancy minimization by walking on the edges. *Arxiv preprint arXiv:1203.5747*, 2012.