	<pre>import cv2</pre>
	import os
	from tqdm import tqdm
	<pre>import numpy as np import random as rn</pre>
	Timport Fandom as Th
	<pre>from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split, cross_val_score</pre>
	from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
	from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder
	from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
	from sklearn import metrics
	<pre>import matplotlib.pyplot as plt</pre>
	<pre>import pandas as pd</pre>
	<pre>import seaborn as sns</pre>
	ro = 12 # random acad
	rs = 42 # random seed
	fix random seed:
In [2]:	np.random.seed(rs)
	rn.seed(rs)
	tf.set_random_seed(rs)
	import the data:
	import the data.
In [3]:	X = []
[0].	$Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$
	IMG_SIZE=150
	FREE_DIR='/home/ido/Desktop/Find-a-Car-Park/data/Free'
	FULL_DIR='/home/ido/Desktop/Find-a-Car-Park/data/Full'
In [4]:	<pre>def make_train_data(label,DIR):</pre>
	<pre>for img in tqdm(os.listdir(DIR)):</pre>
	<pre>path = os.path.join(DIR,img)</pre>
	<pre>img = plt.imread(path)</pre>
	<pre>img = cv2.resize(img, (IMG_SIZE, IMG_SIZE))</pre>
	# img = cv2.cvtColor(img, cv2.CoLoR_BGR2GRAY)
	<pre>np_img=np.asarray(img) </pre>
	<pre>X.append(np_img) Z.append(str(label))</pre>
	Z.appenu(str(label))
T . F=3	
In [5]:	# make 'Full' data
	<pre>make_train_data('Full', FULL_DIR) print(lon(Y))</pre>
	<pre>print(len(X))</pre>
	100%  2195/2195 [00:20<00:00, 104.63it/s]
	2195
Tp [6].	# make 'Free' data
In [6]:	make_train_data('Free', FREE_DIR)
	<pre>print(len(X))</pre>
	100%  1067/1067 [00:09<00:00, 109.39it/s]
	3262
	The description of the date:
	The description of the data:
	1. Number of instances: 3262
	2. Number of attributes: 67500 (150 <i>150</i> 3)

Find a car Park

Authors: Noa Aizer & Ido Shapira & Shay Leyzerovich

In this project we have classification problem. We wish to recognize whether the parking spot is full or free in an image. Our data set contains a huge amount of parking spots images. Therefore our features will be the images

• In the first stage we imported the data, we tried to work with RGB data but it was too heavy to handle on our computer, so we used grayscale images but we've got lower results so we decided to stay with our original

images. Another thing is that the data was too big and cause hardware and memory problems- there was too many images and all of them with high quality. Thus we resized the pictures and took some samples from the

In this project we propose to develop a model for identifying whether there is free space in theparking lot image, or whether the parking is full.

After that, we divide the set into two parts: 80% for training and 20% for testing. We decided not to make validation data because of our low hardware.

• According to the confusion matrix we can conclude it was more difficult for the logistic model to identify images of free parking than full parking images.

• In the beginning we use a low learning rate and because of that we never got to the minimum loss. In order to fix that we choose a bigger learning rate.

• In order to Faced the problem of overfitting/underfitting we reduced the number of iteration and handle the learning rate until we have got a nice decreacing of our loss function.

• We tryed the dropout function to avoid over fitting but the train error was very high and it extended the time of the model training, so in the end we decided not to use it.

Report:

pixels and the label divided to free and full.

**Building the logistic regression model:** 

In order to use the data we needed to make some adjustments.

• In the next stage we did label encoding: 1 for free , 0 for full.

We normalize all the data in order to clean noise from the images.

At first, we build a basic model for our problem and check the results.

The logistic model got 86% correct on the testing set after training.

We used the relu activation function to bring more power to the model.

The MLP model got 90% correct on the testing set after training.

• We weren't satisfied with the results and in order to improve them: we changed the amount of iterations - to avoid over fitting

You can find more specific details, screenshots and plots during the code below.

we changed the size and amount of hidden layers.

**Comparison to the logistic regression model:** 

Import all the necessary libraries:

import tensorflow.compat.v1 as tf

# import tensorflow as tf

Code & Explanations:

We have used Python libraries:

tensorflow v1

sklearn

'Full' = 0

'Free' = 1

In [8]: Y = np.array(Z)

Y = np.where(Y=='Full', 0, Y)Y = np.where(Y=='Free', 1, Y)

normalization and flatten the images:

1

 $\# X = X.flatten().reshape(len(X), (IMG_SIZE ** 2))$ 

2

**3260** 0.392157 0.278431 0.356863 0.470588 0.333333 0.407843 0.443137

3

In [9]:  $X = \text{np.array}([\text{cv2.normalize}(X[i], \text{None}, 0, 255, \text{cv2.NORM\_MINMAX}) \text{ for } i \text{ in } \text{range}(len(X))]) # normalization$ 

5

6

7

"Total":  $[(y_train == 1).sum()+(y_test == 1).sum(), (y_train == 0).sum()+(y_test == 0).sum()]$ ,

**1** 0.474510 0.337255 0.372549 0.498039 0.356863 0.388235 0.501961 0.333333 0.384314 0.454902 ... 0.211765 0.411765 0.274510 0.235294 0.356863

67490

**2** 0.298039 0.184314 0.243137 0.313725 0.227451 0.254902 0.266667 0.160784 0.207843 0.262745 ... 0.349020 0.376471 0.329412 0.231373 0.211765 0.164706 0.078431 0.376471 0.313725 0.266667  $oldsymbol{3}$  0.588235 0.384314 0.482353 0.670588 0.439216 0.549020 0.741176 0.494118 0.631373 0.639216 ... 0.274510 0.243137 0.168627 0.219608 0.176471 0.105882 0.160784 0.270588 0.188235 0.223529 **4** 0.219608 0.176471 0.227451 0.235294 0.188235 0.250980 0.203922 0.164706 0.219608 0.247059 ... 0.172549 0.376471 0.439216 0.435294 0.592157 0.780392 0.780392 0.792157 0.964706 0.960784

**3257** 0.517647 0.364706 0.466667 0.533333 0.368627 0.470588 0.541176 0.384314 0.482353 0.498039 ... 0.364706 0.360784 0.298039 0.349020 0.360784 0.286275 0.345098 0.360784 0.274510 0.317647

**3258** 0.290196 0.188235 0.223529 0.282353 0.176471 0.203922 0.282353 0.203922 0.211765 0.301961 ... 0.160784 0.211765 0.211765 0.262745 0.227451 0.227451 0.282353 0.266667 0.254902 0.325490 **3259** 0.541176 0.407843 0.458824 0.545098 0.403922 0.454902 0.521569 0.372549 0.431373 0.498039 ... 0.325490 0.301961 0.227451 0.278431 0.258824 0.176471 0.247059 0.207843 0.113725 0.164706

**3261** 0.101961 0.027451 0.074510 0.129412 0.054902 0.105882 0.172549 0.109804 0.152941 0.192157 ... 0.349020 0.364706 0.333333 0.345098 0.356863 0.317647 0.341176 0.341176 0.298039 0.309804

67491

67492

67493

 $0.321569 \quad 0.400000 \quad 0.458824 \quad \dots \quad 0.321569 \quad 0.352941 \quad 0.298039 \quad 0.317647 \quad 0.301961 \quad 0.258824 \quad 0.266667 \quad 0.258824 \quad 0.211765 \quad 0.215686 \quad 0.215686 \quad 0.211765 \quad 0.215686 \quad 0.215$ 

67494

67495

0.215686

67497

0.211765 0.203922 0.333333 0.188235 0.184314

67496

67498

67499

0.215686

 $X = X.flatten().reshape(len(X), (IMG_SIZE ** 2) * 3) # 3 is because it is RGB image$ 

4

We can see that the size of an image is 150 \* 150 \* 3 = 67500 that later it would be our featrues in our models.

freqs = pd.DataFrame({"Training dataset": [(y\_train == 1).sum(), (y\_train == 0).sum()],

index=["Free", "Full"])

freqs[["Training dataset", "Test dataset", "Total"]]

204 1067

449 2195

We'll build a classifier with two classes: "full", so we create the according labels.

labels\_train = (np.arange(2) == y\_train[:,None]).astype(np.float32)

print('Classification Accuracy:',(TP + TN) / float(TP + TN + FP + FN))

print('f-score:', 2 \* precision \* recall / (precision + recall))

Begin building the Logistic-Regression model using tensorflow.v1:

W = tf.Variable(tf.zeros(shape=[X.shape[1], 1])) # tf.random\_normal maybe better

# y = 1 / (1.0 + tf.exp(-(tf.matmul(data,W) + b))) # tf.nn.sigmoid(tf.matmul(data,W) + b)

meaning, first doing a sigmoid on the model result and then using the cross-entropy loss function.

optimizer = tf.train.GradientDescentOptimizer(learning\_rate).minimize(loss)

loss = tf.reduce\_mean(tf.nn.sigmoid\_cross\_entropy\_with\_logits(logits=mod, labels=target))

In [19]: # We want to minimize the loss function using the Gradient-Decent method

correct = tf.cast(tf.equal(prediction, target), dtype=tf.float32)

batch\_index = np.random.choice(len(X\_train), size=batch\_size)

sess.run(optimizer, feed\_dict={data: batch\_train\_X, target: batch\_train\_y})

# convert into a matrix, and the shape of the placeholder to correspond

temp\_loss = sess.run(loss, feed\_dict={data: batch\_train\_X, target: batch\_train\_y})

temp\_train\_acc = sess.run(accuracy, feed\_dict={data: X\_train, target: np.matrix(y\_train).T}) temp\_test\_acc = sess.run(accuracy, feed\_dict={data: X\_test, target: np.matrix(y\_test).T})

print('epoch: {:4d} loss: {:5f} train\_acc: {:5f} test\_acc: {:5f}'.format(epoch + 1, temp\_loss,

temp\_train\_acc, temp\_test\_acc))

batch\_train\_y = np.matrix(y\_train[batch\_index]).T

epoch: 50 loss: 0.435215 train\_acc: 0.773860 test\_acc: 0.779479 epoch: 100 loss: 0.468024 train\_acc: 0.792641 test\_acc: 0.797856 epoch: 150 loss: 0.359847 train\_acc: 0.817171 test\_acc: 0.803982 epoch: 200 loss: 0.468420 train\_acc: 0.752779 test\_acc: 0.773354 epoch: 250 loss: 0.344399 train\_acc: 0.842852 test\_acc: 0.828484 epoch: 300 loss: 0.332117 train\_acc: 0.842852 test\_acc: 0.854518 epoch: 350 loss: 0.279847 train\_acc: 0.871982 test\_acc: 0.860643 epoch: 400 loss: 0.334233 train\_acc: 0.884630 test\_acc: 0.857580 epoch: 450 loss: 0.309067 train\_acc: 0.894979 test\_acc: 0.868300 epoch: 500 loss: 0.221778 train\_acc: 0.872748 test\_acc: 0.874426 epoch: 550 loss: 0.254588 train\_acc: 0.845918 test\_acc: 0.846861 epoch: 600 loss: 0.268418 train\_acc: 0.880031 test\_acc: 0.880551 epoch: 650 loss: 0.236667 train\_acc: 0.903795 test\_acc: 0.894334 epoch: 700 loss: 0.285662 train\_acc: 0.915293 test\_acc: 0.901991 epoch: 750 loss: 0.214719 train\_acc: 0.924875 test\_acc: 0.895865 epoch: 800 loss: 0.240127 train\_acc: 0.927942 test\_acc: 0.898928 epoch: 850 loss: 0.236828 train\_acc: 0.928708 test\_acc: 0.894334

# The default threshold is 0.5, rounded off directly

End of the definition of the model framework

Start training the Logistic-Regression model:

prediction = tf.round(tf.sigmoid(mod))

accuracy = tf.reduce\_mean(correct)

In [21]: # Define the variable that saves the result

# Generate random batch index

batch\_train\_X = X\_train[batch\_index]

data = tf.placeholder(dtype=tf.float32, shape=[None, X.shape[1]])

target = tf.placeholder(dtype=tf.float32, shape=[None, 1])

The number of variable is the number of the features (X.shape[1], 1)

1. Declare the variables that need to be learned and initialization.

labels\_test = (np.arange(2) == y\_test[:,None]).astype(np.float32)

Training dataset Test dataset Total

863

1746

Define a function that calculate the statistics:

TP = sess.run(confusion[1, 1]) TN = sess.run(confusion[0, 0]) FP = sess.run(confusion[0, 1]) FN = sess.run(confusion[1, 0]) print('True Positives (TP):',TP) print('True Negatives (TN):',TN) print('False Positives (FP):',FP) print('False Negarives (FN):',FN)

precision = TN / float(TN + FP)print('Precision:',precision) recall = TP / float(TP + FN) print('Recall:', recall)

tf.compat.v1.disable\_eager\_execution()

b = tf.Variable(tf.zeros(shape=[1, 1])) init = tf.global\_variables\_initializer()

1. Declare the model you need to learn

1. Define the requires parameters:

number of iteration

1. Loss function and optimizer:

We use the sigmoid cross-entropy loss function,

 learning rate batch size

learning\_rate = 0.003

batch\_size = 128  $iter_num = 850$ 

1. Define the accuracy

loss\_trace = [] train\_acc = [] test\_acc = []

In [22]: for epoch in range(iter\_num):

# recode the result

# output

plt.plot(loss\_trace)

plt.xlabel('epoch') plt.ylabel('loss')

plt.show()

1.4 -

1.2

1.0

0.6

0.4

[[410 39] [ 30 174]]

In [26]: statistics(confusion)

plt.title('Cross Entropy Loss')

200

tf.compat.v1.math.confusion\_matrix

Classification Accuracy: 0.8943338437978561

tf.compat.v1.disable\_eager\_execution()

1. Define the structure of the net and initialization.

y = tf.placeholder("float", shape=[None, 2])

Begin building the MLP model using tensorflow.v1:

X = tf.placeholder("float", shape=[None, X\_train.shape[1]])

x\_size = X\_train.shape[1] # The number of pixels in the image

b1 = tf.Variable(tf.constant(0.1, shape=(hid1\_size, 1)), name='b1')

b2 = tf.Variable(tf.constant(0.1, shape=(hid2\_size, 1)), name='b2')

y1 = tf.nn.relu(tf.add(tf.matmul(w1, tf.transpose(X)), b1))

bo = tf.Variable(tf.random\_normal([y\_size, 1]), name='bo')

w1 = tf.Variable(tf.random\_normal([hid1\_size, x\_size], stddev=0.01), name='w1')

w2 = tf.Variable(tf.random\_normal([hid2\_size, hid1\_size], stddev=0.01), name='w2')

# y2 = tf.nn.dropout(tf.nn.relu(tf.add(tf.matmul(w2, y1), b2)), keep\_prob=0.5)

wo = tf.Variable(tf.random\_normal([y\_size, hid2\_size], stddev=0.01), name='wo')

In [63]: loss = tf.reduce\_mean(tf.nn.softmax\_cross\_entropy\_with\_logits(labels=y, logits=yo)) updates = tf.train.GradientDescentOptimizer(learning\_rate).minimize(loss)

batch\_index = np.random.choice(len(X\_train), size=batch\_size)

# convert into a matrix, and the shape of the placeholder to correspond

sess.run(updates, feed\_dict={X: batch\_train\_X[i: i + 1], y: batch\_train\_y[i: i + 1]})

temp\_loss = sess.run(loss, feed\_dict={X: batch\_train\_X[i, None], y: batch\_train\_y[i, None]})

temp\_train\_acc = np.mean(np.argmax(labels\_train, axis=1) == sess.run(predict, feed\_dict={X: X\_train, y: labels\_train})) temp\_test\_acc = np.mean(np.argmax(labels\_test, axis=1) == sess.run(predict, feed\_dict={X: X\_test, y: labels\_test}))

print('epoch: {:4d} loss: {:5f} train\_acc: {:5f} test\_acc: {:5f}'.format(epoch + 1, avg\_loss, temp\_train\_acc, temp\_test\_acc))

batch\_train\_y = np.matrix(labels\_train[batch\_index])

epoch: 50 loss: 0.092926 train\_acc: 0.871215 test\_acc: 0.857580 epoch: 100 loss: 0.054709 train\_acc: 0.954005 test\_acc: 0.915773 epoch: 150 loss: 0.048524 train\_acc: 0.972020 test\_acc: 0.941807 epoch: 200 loss: 0.014883 train\_acc: 0.972787 test\_acc: 0.955590 epoch: 250 loss: 0.011425 train\_acc: 0.972020 test\_acc: 0.929556 epoch: 300 loss: 0.006012 train\_acc: 0.981602 test\_acc: 0.958652 epoch: 350 loss: 0.008910 train\_acc: 0.981985 test\_acc: 0.957121 epoch: 400 loss: 0.004346 train\_acc: 0.987735 test\_acc: 0.960184 epoch: 450 loss: 0.001360 train\_acc: 0.993867 test\_acc: 0.964778 epoch: 500 loss: 0.003090 train\_acc: 0.996167 test\_acc: 0.967841 epoch: 550 loss: 0.003791 train\_acc: 0.935991 test\_acc: 0.908116 epoch: 600 loss: 0.002040 train\_acc: 0.996934 test\_acc: 0.967841 epoch: 650 loss: 0.003392 train\_acc: 0.988501 test\_acc: 0.960184

# y1 = tf.nn.dropout(tf.nn.relu(tf.add(tf.matmul(w1, tf.transpose(X)), b1)), keep\_prob=0.5)

y\_size = 2 # Number of outcomes ('Free' or 'Full')

y2 = tf.nn.relu(tf.add(tf.matmul(w2, y1), b2))

yo = tf.transpose(tf.add(tf.matmul(wo, y2), bo))

init = tf.global\_variables\_initializer()

1. Loss function and optimizer (Back Propagation):

End of the definition of the model framework

print(sess.run(confusion))

True Positives (TP): 174 True Negatives (TN): 410 False Positives (FP): 39 False Negarives (FN): 30

1. Define placeholders

# Layer's sizes

# First layer  $hid1_size = 256$ 

# Second layer  $hid2_size = 128$ 

# Output layer

sess.run(init)

In [62]: | learning\_rate = 0.003 batch\_size = 128  $iter_num = 650$ 

sess = tf.Session()

 learning rate batch\_size

1. Define the accuracy

loss\_trace = [] train\_acc = [] test\_acc = []

In [66]: for epoch in range(iter\_num):  $avg_loss = 0.0$ 

In [64]: predict = tf.argmax(yo, axis=1)

In [65]: # Define the variable that saves the result

Start training the MLP model:

# Generate random batch index

avg\_loss += temp\_loss

# recode the result

# output

plt.plot(loss\_trace)

plt.xlabel('epoch') plt.ylabel('loss')

plt.show()

0.8

0.6

S 0.4

0.2

0.0

[[430 19] [ 7 197]] 100

print(sess.run(confusion))

statistics(confusion)

True Positives (TP): 197 True Negatives (TN): 430 False Positives (FP): 19 False Negarives (FN): 7

Precision: 0.9576837416481069 Recall: 0.9656862745098039 f-score: 0.961668360077878

200

Classification Accuracy: 0.9601837672281777

300

plt.title('Cross Entropy Loss')

In [67]:

In [68]:

In [69]:

In [70]:

loss\_trace.append(avg\_loss) train\_acc.append(temp\_train\_acc) test\_acc.append(temp\_test\_acc)

**if** (epoch + 1) % 50 == 0:

avg\_loss /= batch\_train\_X.shape[0]

Visualization of the loss function results:

Cross Entropy Loss

400

500

y\_predictions = sess.run(predict, feed\_dict={X: X\_test, y: labels\_test})

600

confusion = tf.math.confusion\_matrix(y\_test, y\_predictions, num\_classes=2, dtype=tf.int32)

batch\_train\_X = X\_train[batch\_index]

for i in range(batch\_train\_X.shape[0]):

1. Define the requires parameters:

• number of iteration

Precision: 0.9131403118040089 Recall: 0.8529411764705882 f-score: 0.8820147620637216

In [23]:

In [25]:

In [60]:

In [61]:

loss\_trace.append(temp\_loss) train\_acc.append(temp\_train\_acc) test\_acc.append(temp\_test\_acc)

**if** (epoch + 1) % 50 == 0:

Visualization of the loss function results:

Cross Entropy Loss

400 epoch

We can see that the loss value reduce during the train, there's a graph that shows that.

y\_predictions = sess.run(prediction, feed\_dict={data: X\_test, target: np.matrix(y\_test).T})

confusion = tf.math.confusion\_matrix(y\_test, y\_predictions, num\_classes=2, dtype=tf.int32)

The results indicate that the model could not recognize a lot of free parking spot because of the recall but when it did the precision was high.

mod = tf.add(tf.matmul(data, W), b)

def statistics(confusion):

1. Define placeholders

sess = tf.Session() sess.run(init)

X\_train, X\_test, y\_train, y\_test=train\_test\_split(X, Y, test\_size=0.20, random\_state=rs, shuffle=True)

"Test dataset":  $[(y_test == 1).sum(), (y_test == 0).sum()],$ 

Y = Y.astype('int32')

X=np.array(X)/255

df = pd.DataFrame(data=X)

0

3262 rows × 67500 columns

Train-Test Split:

X.shape

In [12]: | # separate data

Free

Full

Out[11]: (3262, 67500)

In [11]:

Out[12]:

In [13]:

In [14]:

In [17]:

In [18]:

our dataset:

df

In [10]:

Out[10]:

• In addition, we defined a random seed in order to compare between the logistic model and the MLP model.

• We improved the model by changing the function to sigmoid instead of the function we saw in class.

• We build the network with 2 hidden layers; the first hidden layer has 256 neurons and the second has 128 neurons.

We've saw that the MLP got better results but it is more complex model than the logistic regression, therefore it took more time to train it.

data instead of all the images in the dataset.

**Building the MLP (Multi-Layer Perceptron) model:** 

**Preprocessing: Preparing Data:** 

In [7]: # check some image fig, ax=plt.subplots(3,2) fig.set\_size\_inches(15,15) for i in range(3): for j in range (2): l=rn.randint(0,len(Z)) ax[i,j].imshow(X[1])ax[i,j].set\_title('Lable: '+Z[1]) plt.tight\_layout() Lable: Full Lable: Free 20 80 80 100 100 120 120 140 140 100 60 80 100 120 140 60 80 120 140 Lable: Full Lable: Free 20 -20