ChatGPT

Instructions for Generating a Product Stability Report from a V&V Document

The following guide outlines how an LLM should create a comprehensive, text-only product **Stability Report** using only the content of a Design Verification & Validation (V&V) report as input. The instructions are organized by each major section of a standard stability report [1]. For each section, we describe what content to extract from the V&V report, how to interpret it, and how to present it. The LLM should rely solely on information from the V&V document (no external data), and omit or adapt any sections that are not applicable or not found in the input. The final output should be a coherent report with clear section headings (as text) and well-structured paragraphs, but without any special formatting, tables, or templates. All assumptions and default behaviors are noted to ensure clarity and reliability.

General Guidance and Preparation

- **Use Only Provided Content:** Work strictly with facts and data from the V&V report. Do not invent details or use outside knowledge. If certain expected information is missing in the V&V, either omit that portion of the report or note it as not available, while keeping the report fluent and logical.
- **Identify Stability-Related Sections:** Before writing, scan the V&V document for any sections or data related to product stability or long-term performance. Look for keywords like "stability testing," "aging," "shelf life," "long-term performance," or specific test results over time. These portions of the V&V will form the basis of the stability report.
- **Consistent Structure:** Plan to organize the output into the standard sections of a stability report (e.g. Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusion) [1]. Each section of the output should correspond to relevant information in the V&V report. If the V&V is not already structured this way, gather and synthesize the content to fit these sections.
- **Clarity and Cohesion:** Ensure the narrative flows logically from one section to the next. The report should read as a standalone document, **without** referencing the V&V report or its internal numbering. Avoid any template placeholders; write in complete sentences and clear language. After drafting all sections, review the report for completeness, accuracy, and consistency. Correct any gaps or contradictions so that the final report is accurate and easy to understand.

Summary (Executive Summary) *(if applicable)*

1. **High-Level Overview:** Begin the stability report with a brief summary of the study and its key findings. If the V&V report provides an executive summary or conclusion, use that as the basis. In 2-4 sentences, state the overall purpose of the stability study and **highlight the main outcome** – for example, whether the product remained stable under the tested conditions and for how long.
2. **Key Results in Brief:** Include the most critical data points or observations from the stability testing in a concise form. Mention the product name and what was tested (e.g., *"Product X was tested for stability at defined conditions over 12 months"*), and summarize the results (e.g., *"no significant degradation observed"* or *"minor changes noted in performance after 6 months, but within acceptance criteria"*).

3. **Overall Conclusion Statement:** Conclude the summary with a statement on the product's stability status. This can be a sentence about whether the product met its stability requirements and any recommended shelf life or re-test period emerging from the study. For example: *"These results demonstrate that Product X remains stable for at least 12 months under the tested conditions, supporting a shelf life recommendation of 1 year."* This sets the stage for the detailed sections that follow. *(If no explicit summary is provided in the V&V, this section can be skipped, or the Introduction can serve to cover these points.)*

Introduction

1. **Purpose of the Stability Study:** Start the Introduction by clearly stating **why** the stability study was conducted. Extract from the V&V any statements about the **objective or rationale** of the testing. For instance, note if the goal was to confirm the product's shelf life, to verify performance over time, to fulfill a regulatory requirement, or to evaluate effects of environmental conditions. The introduction should answer what the study is aiming to demonstrate or investigate [2]. If the V&V doesn't explicitly state this, infer it from context (e.g., if the V&V mentions testing at various time points, infer the goal is to assess stability over those time frames).
2. **Product Description and Context:** Provide a brief description of the **product** under study (as given in the V&V). This may include the product name, model or version, and a one-line description of what it is or does. If relevant, also mention the general category (e.g., "a medical device," "a pharmaceutical tablet," "an electronic sensor") to give context. Ensure this description remains general-purpose and drawn from the V&V content (do not assume any details not in the document).
3. **Scope of the Study:** Define the **scope** of the stability testing, summarizing what exactly was covered. Use details from the V&V report to specify the boundaries of the study [2]. For example, indicate the duration of the testing (e.g., 6 months, 1 year, 2 years), the conditions under which stability was tested (such as temperature/humidity settings, if given, or operational conditions for a device), and which aspects of the product were evaluated for stability (e.g., chemical potency, physical integrity, performance metrics). Also note the number or identity of test samples/batches if mentioned (e.g., "three production batches were placed on stability"). This helps readers understand the extent and limitations of the results.
4. **Regulatory or Standard Framework (if applicable):** If the V&V report mentions that the stability testing follows any guidelines, standards, or protocols (for example, ICH guidelines, ISO standards, or an internal company protocol), include a sentence to acknowledge this. For instance, *"The stability study was conducted in accordance with standard XYZ,"* if such information is available. This provides context that the methodology aligns with recognized practices. *(If no such references exist in the V&V, this can be omitted.)*
5. **Assumptions and Default Conditions:** If the V&V or context implies any **assumptions** (such as "room temperature is considered 25°C" or "product is sealed in its packaging during testing"), mention these briefly in the introduction. This ensures the reader knows the baseline conditions under which stability was assessed. Only include assumptions that are explicitly stated or can be reasonably inferred from the V&V document – do not introduce new ones. If none are stated, you can omit this point.

Stability Study Methodology (Materials & Methods)

1. **Study Design Overview:** Summarize how the stability study was designed and conducted [3]. From the V&V report, extract details of the **test plan** – for example, the number of batches or samples

tested, the storage conditions and time points, and the overall approach. Describe in general terms what was done, such as: *"Product X was stored under Condition A (e.g., 40°C/75% RH) and Condition B (e.g., 25°C/60% RH) for up to 12 months, with samples analyzed at 0, 3, 6, and 12 months."* Adjust this template based on the actual conditions and schedule given in the V&V. If the V&V includes both **accelerated** and **long-term** conditions, mention both. If only one type of study (e.g., only real-time aging) was done, focus on that. The key is to clearly lay out the framework of the stability testing – what was tested, for how long, and under what conditions.

2. **Materials and Samples:** Note any specifics about the test samples or materials as reported in the V&V. This might include the product batch or lot numbers, the formulation or model tested, sample size (number of units), and packaging configuration (if relevant to stability). For example, *"Three production batches (Batch #101, #102, #103) of Product X were included, in their commercial packaging."* Include such details only if they are provided in the V&V report, since they add credibility and context. If the V&V doesn't list batch information or sample details, this bullet can be skipped.
3. **Test Parameters and Methods:** List what **attributes or parameters** were measured during the stability study and how they were measured [1] [4]. The V&V report likely describes various tests performed at each time point – e.g., chemical assay, purity, potency, physical appearance, mechanical performance, etc. Enumerate these in prose form: *"At each interval, the product was evaluated for [list of properties], using [methods or instruments]."* For each type of test, if the V&V provides it, briefly mention the method or equipment (e.g., *"assay was performed by HPLC per the analytical procedure in the V&V"* or *"mechanical stability was tested by cyclic stress testing apparatus"*). Ensure you describe the methods in a general way, focusing on what is necessary to understand the results. Do **not** include procedural minutiae beyond what's needed for context.
4. **Acceptance Criteria:** Identify any **acceptance criteria or pass/fail standards** mentioned in the V&V for the stability tests. Often, V&V reports state criteria like "the product must retain at least 90% potency" or "no cracks or failures should occur in the casing over 12 months". If such criteria are stated, include them: *"The study defined success criteria for stability, for example, potency $\geq$ 95% and no visual deterioration throughout the shelf life."* If the V&V does not explicitly give criteria, but you can infer a standard (perhaps from the product specifications listed in the V&V), you may mention that the results are evaluated against the product specifications. If neither explicit nor inferable criteria are available, you can say, *"Results were recorded for each interval and compared to initial values to assess changes,"* without numeric criteria.
5. **Analytical/Measurement Details (if needed):** If the V&V goes into detail about **analytical methods validation or calibration** (common in pharmaceutical stability sections), briefly note that the methods were validated or calibrated. For example, *"All analytical methods used (e.g., HPLC for assay, tensile tester for strength) were validated and documented as per the V&V report,"* if such statements exist. This gives assurance that the results are reliable. If the V&V doesn't mention this, omit it; the stability report can still be complete without diving into validation.
6. **Any Deviations or Protocol Changes:** Check if the V&V report mentions any **deviations** from the planned protocol or changes during the study (for instance, an unexpected temperature excursion, or a decision to extend testing). If such events are documented, include a note: *"No protocol deviations were reported during the study"* or if there were, *"One deviation occurred: [describe briefly what happened and how it was addressed]."* This level of detail shows completeness. If the V&V doesn't mention any issues, it's safe to assume none and not mention deviations at all.

(By including the above, the stability report's methodology section will fulfill the requirement to detail the design of the study [3], covering what was done and how.)

Results

1. **Organization of Results:** Present the **results of the stability testing** in a clear, narrative form. Use the structure of the study to organize this section. Typically, results can be grouped either by time interval or by test parameter, depending on what the V&V contains. For example, you might organize chronologically: *"At initial testing (0 months)... After 3 months... 6 months... 12 months..."*, describing all observations at each time. Alternatively, if the V&V report is structured by test type, you might group by parameter: *"Chemical Stability: (describe trends); Physical Integrity: (describe trends); Performance: ..."* Choose the approach that best matches how the V&V data is presented, so that all data is covered logically.
2. **Report All Relevant Data Points:** Extract the numerical data and observations from the V&V for each interval/parameter, but present them in **textual summary** form (since we avoid actual tables or raw data dumps). For example: instead of a table of assay values, write *"The active ingredient potency remained at 99% of the initial value at 6 months, and 98% at 12 months."* Include units and specifics if given (e.g., "99% of label claim", "force dropped by 5% by month 12") to maintain accuracy. Be thorough: cover **each significant measurement and time point** that the V&V report includes for stability. This ensures the report is comprehensive and mirrors the V&V content.
3. **Trends and Comparisons:** After stating the raw observations, indicate any **trends** or changes over time. For instance, note if a value is decreasing gradually, or if there was no change at all. Use comparative language that the V&V supports: *"showed a slight decline,"*, *"remained constant,"*, *"fluctuated within a narrow range,"* etc. If the V&V provides a statistical analysis (e.g., a trendline or regression for shelf-life estimation), summarize that: *"A trend analysis indicates an X% degradation per year, suggesting the product would reach the minimum potency in Y months."* Only include such analysis if it's actually in the V&V; otherwise stick to descriptive trends.
4. **Compliance with Acceptance Criteria:** For each parameter or time point, mention whether the results met the predefined acceptance criteria (if any were noted in the methodology). For example, *"All measured parameters remained within the acceptance criteria throughout the study period"*, or *"Potency fell below the 95% threshold at the 24-month mark, which is an out-of-specification result."* The V&V should indicate if any results were out-of-spec. Highlight these clearly, as they are critical findings. If the V&V explicitly flags any result as OOS (Out of Specification) or OOT (Out of Trend), state that and note any explanation given [5]. For instance: *"At 12 months, one batch showed an out-of-specification impurity level, triggering an investigation as documented in the V&V."* Include the outcome of any such investigation if provided (e.g., *"The investigation traced the OOS result to a testing error, and a re-test confirmed the product was within limits"*).
5. **Visual or Physical Observations:** If the stability study involves **visual inspections or physical checks** (common for devices or container closure integrity), describe those results too. For example, *"No visible corrosion or wear was observed on the device components after testing,"* or *"Tablets showed no discoloration or odor change up to 6 months."* Such qualitative results are often found in V&V text; ensure they are captured to give a full picture of stability.
6. **Subsectioning (if needed):** If the V&V report's stability data is extensive, it might make sense to use sub-paragraphs or bullet lists in this section for clarity – for instance, separate paragraphs for results under different storage conditions or different sample sets. While the final output should remain text-only narrative, you can use clear language to separate these (e.g., *"Under high-temperature conditions, the product showed... In contrast, at room temperature conditions, it ..."*). The goal is to mirror the structure of the V&V's results presentation in a reader-friendly way.
7. **No Unwarranted Interpretation:** In the Results section, **stick to the facts** and data as reported. Do not include explanations, causes, or broader implications here – save that for the Discussion. For

example, report "what happened" (data and observations) without saying "why" or "with what consequence," as those belong in the next section. This separation helps maintain clarity. *(By the end of this Results section, the reader should know exactly what was observed at each stage of the stability study, as documented in the V&V.)*

Discussion (Analysis of Results)

1. **Overall Trend Analysis:** Begin the Discussion by interpreting the results collectively. **Analyze** what the data means in terms of product stability [6]. For example, comment on whether the product remained within all specifications for the duration of the study or if it showed any significant changes. If the V&V (or your results summary) indicates a trend (e.g., a slow decline in potency or a slight increase in a degradation product), discuss the significance of that trend. E.g., *"The slight potency loss over 12 months (about 2%) suggests a very stable formulation, well within acceptable limits."* If appropriate, mention the predicted shelf life based on these trends (especially if the V&V performed a statistical shelf-life estimation or used a model to extrapolate data). For instance: *"Extrapolating the 12-month data suggests the product would remain within specs for approximately 24 months, aligning with the labeled shelf life."* Only include such extrapolation if the V&V report performed it or if the data clearly supports it – avoid guessing.
2. **Comparison to Acceptance Criteria:** Discuss whether the results **satisfied the acceptance criteria** set out initially. If all criteria were met, state that confidently: *"All stability criteria were met, indicating the product's performance and quality did not degrade below acceptable levels."* If some criteria were not met at certain points, discuss these failures: *"The drop in potency at 18 months fell below the 90% criterion, meaning the product may no longer be effective beyond that time."* Include any explanation the V&V report gives for failures or borderline results. For instance, if the V&V mentions an unexpected result and provides a reason (like a testing anomaly or a formulation issue), integrate that into the discussion. This shows you're interpreting the results in context, not just re-listing them.
3. **Implications for Product Quality and Use:** Explain what the stability results imply for the **product's real-world use, safety, and efficacy** [7]. This is where you use information from the V&V's conclusion or analysis sections. For example, if the product remained stable, the implication is that users can trust it will perform as expected up to its shelf life. If any degradation was observed, discuss how that might affect the product: *"A slight increase in impurity levels was observed at the end of shelf life, but it remained within safe limits, indicating no impact on patient safety."* Or for a device: *"Mechanical testing showed minor wear after prolonged use, suggesting the need for periodic maintenance after X cycles."* Ensure that every implication or statement about impact is supported by data from the V&V; do not speculate beyond what the evidence suggests.
4. **Limitations of the Study:** Acknowledge any **limitations or uncertainties** in the stability study, especially if the V&V report itself mentions them [7]. Common points could be: the study was only 6 months long (so longer-term stability is inferred, not directly proven), or testing was done on a limited number of batches, or certain stress conditions weren't covered. If the V&V notes, for example, that only accelerated conditions were tested and real-time data is ongoing, mention that: *"The long-term stability is extrapolated from accelerated conditions, as real-time aging beyond 6 months is still in progress."* If the V&V doesn't explicitly mention limitations, use your understanding of the study design to include any obvious ones (but carefully – e.g., if only one batch was tested, that's a limitation for generalizing results). Clearly marking limitations shows a balanced analysis.
5. **Recommendations or Actions (from Analysis):** Based on the findings, discuss any **recommendations** that arise, as noted in the V&V's analysis or by logical inference [7]. This might overlap with the Conclusion, but in discussion you can elaborate on rationale. For instance: if the

product is only stable for 18 months, a recommendation might be to set the shelf life to 18 months or to reformulate if longer shelf life is needed. Or if a certain storage condition caused a failure, the recommendation might be to avoid that condition (e.g., *"These results suggest the product should be stored refrigerated to maintain stability beyond 6 months."*). Also include any mention of **future studies** or monitoring if the V&V calls for them: *"The V&V report indicates that ongoing stability monitoring will continue annually to confirm the product's performance through its shelf life."* By covering recommendations, you ensure the report not only states what was found, but also what to do about it – an important aspect of a thorough stability report.

6. **Avoid Repeating Raw Data:** In the Discussion, do not simply restate all the data points from the Results. Instead, **synthesize** the information. Focus on the significance and patterns rather than the minutiae. The reader should glean the big picture: is the product stable or not, what the key changes were, and what that means. Only refer to specific data as evidence for a point you're making (e.g., *"Because potency remained >98% at all time points, we can conclude…"*). This keeps the discussion concise and insightful.

(This Discussion section provides the interpretation and reasoning part of the stability report, aligning with best practices by explaining how the results meet the study objectives and what they mean for the product [6] [7] .)

Conclusion and Recommendations

1. **Conclusion – Overall Stability Statement:** Begin the conclusion with a definitive **statement on product stability**, directly answering the purpose of the study. This should be a clear, stand-alone sentence or two that someone could read to understand the outcome. For example: *"In summary, Product X demonstrated satisfactory stability under all tested conditions, with no significant degradation observed over 24 months."* Or if there were issues: *"The stability study indicates that Product X remains stable for up to 12 months, after which certain quality parameters fall outside acceptable limits."* This statement should be fully supported by the results discussed earlier [6] .
2. **Shelf Life and Storage Conditions:** If the data supports a specific **shelf life recommendation** or confirmation, state that explicitly [6] . For instance, *"Based on these findings, a shelf life of 2 years at room temperature is justified,"* or *"The product should carry an 18-month expiry when stored at 2–8°C."* Include any storage condition qualifiers (temperature, light protection, humidity control) that the results suggest. This information is often the key takeaway for regulatory or quality purposes. If the V&V report itself provides a shelf life or makes a specific recommendation, use that. If not, you can give a reasoned conclusion like *"Thus, the product is considered stable for at least the duration tested (12 months) under the specified conditions."*
3. **Summary of Compliance:** State whether the product **met all criteria** throughout the study. For example, *"All predefined stability criteria were met, and the product maintained specifications for potency, purity, and performance."* If any criterion was not met, clarify the outcome: *"One stability failure was noted at 18 months for appearance, suggesting a limit to shelf life; however, all other parameters remained within specification."* This gives a final judgment on whether the stability was acceptable or not in the context of the study's goals.
4. **Key Recommendations:** Provide any **recommendations** that follow from the study's conclusions [8] [7] . These might include:
5. **Labeling recommendations:** e.g., *"Include a label statement to store the product below 30°C to ensure stability."*
6. **Handling or packaging:** e.g., *"Use a moisture-proof container as results showed sensitivity to humidity."*

7. **Further testing or monitoring:** e.g., *“Continue ongoing stability monitoring beyond 24 months to confirm long-term trends,”* or *“Conduct additional studies under stress conditions (e.g., freeze-thaw cycles) to fully characterize stability.”*
8. **Product/process changes:** if the stability was marginal, a recommendation might be to reformulate or improve a process (*“Consider antioxidant addition to improve long-term stability, as a slight oxidation trend was observed.”*).
   All recommendations should either be explicitly mentioned in the V&V report’s discussion/conclusion or be logical inferences from the results. Make sure they are phrased as suggestions or next steps rather than new requirements, unless the V&V clearly states them.
9. **Closing Statement:** End the conclusion (and thus the report) with a confident closing that encapsulates the overall finding. This could be a rephrasing of the main conclusion or a forward-looking statement. For example, *“In conclusion, the stability study confirms that Product X is suitable for its intended shelf life with no significant quality concerns.”* If appropriate, you can also note that the data will be used for regulatory submissions or product approvals (if the V&V context implies that). The closing should give a sense of completeness and satisfaction that the stability assessment is thoroughly addressed.

(By covering the above points, the Conclusion will concisely summarize the stability profile of the product and any actions or recommendations, fulfilling the need for results and conclusions in the stability report [3]. The report should now comprehensively convert the V&V data into a standalone stability report.)

---

[1] [8] How to Report GMP Stability Results to Regulators: A Guide
https://www.linkedin.com/advice/0/how-do-you-report-gmp-stability-results-regulators-skills-gmp

[2] [4] [5] [6] [7] How to Report Stability Study Results: A GMP Guide
https://www.linkedin.com/advice/3/how-do-you-report-stability-study-results-skills-gmp

[3] Microsoft Word - CEAC81B9.doc
https://www3.paho.org/hq/dmdocuments/2008/6_Annex_5_report_34.pdf