# Homework 1

Barbara Aleksandrov 337844252 Matan Solomon 209339894

April 30, 2025

## 1 Question 1

### 1.1 Example

In this part, we give an DGP example in the table given below (as requested with binary covariate X and treatment T).

| Index | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mid \mathbf{T} \mid$ | $\mathbf{Y_0}$ | $\mathbf{Y_1}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
|-------|--------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| 1     | 0            | 0                      | 2              | 3              | 2            |
| 2     | 0            | 0                      | 1              | 2              | 1            |
| 3     | 0            | 1                      | 2              | 3              | 3            |
| 4     | 1            | 1                      | 4              | 5              | 5            |
| 5     | 1            | 1                      | 3              | 4              | 4            |
| 6     | 1            | 0                      | 3              | 4              | 3            |

### 1.2 $E[Y_t]$ and ATE calculation

$$E[Y_0] = \frac{1}{6}(2+1+2+4+3+3) = 2.5$$

$$E[Y_1] = \frac{1}{6}(3+2+3+5+4+4) = 3.5$$

$$ATE = E[Y_1 - Y_0] = 1$$

# 1.3 DGP exmaple with different ATE based on ignorability

Notation:  $Y = T \cdot Y_1 - (1 - T) \cdot Y_0$ 

Recap from Tutorial 2: Conditional ignorability means that the covariate X affects both the treatment assignment and the outcome - Y(0),  $Y(1) \perp T \mid X$ , while the full ignorability lets us assume that treatment is unaffected by X (meaning it is random): Y(0),  $Y(1) \perp T$ 

In our example in Section 1.1, X affects both the treatment assignment and the outcome. For X=0, we have that only  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the items received treatment and overall Y values are lower in both outcomes. And for X=1, we have that  $\frac{2}{3}$  of items received the treatment, with higher outcome values Y.

#### 1.4 ATE estiamtion

First let's assume that full ignorability assumption holds for this example, then we have:

$$A\hat{T}E = \hat{E}[Y|T=1] - \hat{E}[Y|T=0] = \frac{1}{3}(3+5+4-2-1-3) = 2$$

As we can see it overestimates an ATE by 1 unit.

Now let's assume that only conditional ignorability holds, meaning:

$$A\hat{T}E = E[E[Y|T = 1, X] - E[Y|T = 0, X]]$$

For that we need to calculate:

$$\hat{E}[Y|T=1, X=1] = \frac{1}{2}(5+4) = 4.5$$

$$\hat{E}[Y|T=1, X=0] = 3$$

$$\hat{E}[Y|T=0, X=1] = 3$$

$$\hat{E}[Y|T=0, X=0] = \frac{1}{2}(2+1) = 1.5$$

In the end we have: In the end we have:

$$A\hat{T}E = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{E}[Y|T=1, X=1] + \hat{E}[Y|T=1, X=0]) - \frac{1}{2}(\hat{E}[Y|T=0, X=1] + \hat{E}[Y|T=0, X=0])$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}(4.5 + 3 - 3 - 1.5) = 1.5$$

Clearly that the ATE that was adjusted to affect of X on both Y and T was closer to real ATE value. If we had a bigger data size (with similar correlation between the variables) the result would have been even more accurate.

## Question 4

#### Example

We were interested in finding causal relation between listening to classical music and cognitive performance, since as a student we are highly motivated to perform our best.

Causal question:

Does listening to classical music while studying improve cognitive performance on a test?

- Treatment (T): Listening to classical music while studying (binary)
- Outcome (Y): Score on a some cognitive test taken after the study session with or without listening to classical music
- Covariates (X): age, study time, IQ, musical preference

### Is there confounding between Y and T

If we perform an observational study instead of RCT there could be people that will chose classical music. The choice will be dependent on their background and personal preferences which will affect both the outcome and treatment. A lot of times people from more prosperous households are exposed to classical music from childhood in addition their education upbringing is usually much better. In this case it's better to include such confounder such as socio-economic status.

In addition Students who choose to listen to classical music may already be more focused, disciplined, or have different study habits.

### Prediction problem

Prediction of average grade in math course of a student based on his musical preferences.

In this case we aren't interested in causal relation but in predicting the outcome, there could be some additional confounders or no relation at all which could impact the model's performance.