

Solutions to Extremal Combinatorics

Naman Kumar

February 25, 2024

Abstract

The following document contains solutions to selected problems in the book ‘Extremal Combinatorics’ by Stasys Jukna. Some problems which are either trivial or require nothing more than brute force/proof mirroring have been omitted.

Contents

Contents

1	Counting	3
1.1	Review	3
1.2	Problems	4

1 Counting

1.1 Review

- The binomial theorem and binomial coefficients provide a way to count objects.
- Exact values of binomial coefficients are hard to compute, but they can be roughly estimated. Consider the following inequalities:

1. $1 + t < e^t$ for $t \neq 0$
2. $1 - t > e^{-t-t^2/2}$ for $0 < t < 1$

they can be used to prove

$$\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^k \leq \binom{n}{k} \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{n}{i} \leq \left(\frac{en}{k}\right)^k.$$

- The Stirling approximation is another approximation which shows

$$n! \approx \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \sqrt{2\pi n} e^{\alpha_n}$$

with $1/(12n+1) < \alpha_n < 1/12n$. Similarly, an asymptotic approximation for the k -factorial is

$$(n)_k = n^k e^{-k^2/2n - k^3/6n^2 + o(1)}$$

which is valid for $k = o(n^{3/4})$.

- The stars and bars technique allows you to partition a set into ordered partitions.
- Double counting can be done using incidence matrices: in this technique you count the rows and the columns of a zero-one matrix, which should yield the same sums.
- The number of vertices in a graph with odd degree is even.
- Jensen's Inequality: a function is convex if

$$f(\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b) \leq \lambda f(a) + (1 - \lambda)f(b)$$

for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Suppose that each λ_i is in $[0, 1]$, and $\sum \lambda_i = 1$. If f is convex then

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(x_i).$$

1 Counting

- Inclusion-exclusion provides a way to sum up all the elements in some sets that intersect.
- Derangements are permutations which don't fix any points.

1.2 Problems

In-text. Prove:

$$\sum_{x \in Y} d(x) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}} |Y \cap A| \text{ for any } Y \subseteq X. \quad (1.1)$$

$$\sum_{x \in X} d(x)^2 = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{x \in A} d(x) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{F}} |A \cap B|. \quad (1.2)$$

Answer. We can proceed by a counting argument. For the first part, consider the incidence matrix $M = (m_{x,a})$ of \mathcal{F} . Adding the rows belonging to the elements of $x \in Y$ gives us the left hand side. If we 'slice' the matrix in this way, however (removing all the rows belonging to elements $x \notin Y$) then summing via the columns we get the sum of only those elements which are both in A and in Y , i.e. $|A \cap Y|$.

For the second part, it is enough to notice that if we replace each entry 1 in the incidence matrix with $d(x)$, then adding along the rows gives $\sum_{x \in X} d(x)^2$ while adding along the columns gives the term in the central equality (we add $d(x)$ for every $x \in A$, and then we sum over each of the A s). The final equality follows from noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{x \in A} d(x) &= \sum_{A' \in \mathcal{F}} \left(\sum_{x \in A} |A \cap A'| \right) \\ &= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{F}} |A \cap B|. \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from substituting the first part.

Question 1.1 In how many ways can we distribute k balls to n boxes so that each box has at most one ball?

Answer. Depends on whether $k \leq n$ or $k > n$. If $k \leq n$, we can choose $\binom{n}{k}$ boxes, and the balls can be ordered in $k!$ ways. it follows that the number of ways is $\binom{n}{k} k! = (n)_k$.

If $k > n$, then there are $\binom{k}{n}$ ways we can choose the balls, and we can order them in $n!$ ways. This gives us the answer of $(k)_n$.

Question 1.2 Show that for every k the product of any k consecutive natural numbers is divisible by $k!$.

Answer. Let the k numbers be $n + 1, \dots, n + k$. Consider the number $\binom{n+k}{k}$. This is the number of ways you can choose k numbers from $n + k$ numbers, and is an integer. Expanding, we get

$$\binom{n+k}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$$

which clearly shows that $k!$ divides $(n+1)(n+2)\dots(n+k)$.

Question 1.3 Show that the number of pairs (A, B) of distinct subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with $A \subset B$ is $3^n - 2^n$.

Answer. We can proceed as follows. Select a subset S of size k , and then select a subset of S . The former can be done in $\binom{n}{k}$ ways, while the latter can be done in $2^k - 1$ ways. This gives us the sum

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (2^k - 1)$$

The binomial theorem tells us that this is equal to $(2+1)^n - (1+1)^n = 3^n - 2^n$.

Question 1.4 Show that

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n}{k} \binom{n-1}{k-1}.$$

Answer. We will count the number of ways to choose k balls from n balls. Just choosing the k balls is the left hand side. Alternately, we can choose one ball first, and then choose $k - 1$ balls from the remaining $n - 1$ balls. However, this leads to a k -recounting, since each k size subset is selected k times (once when each element is the ‘fixed’ element). We are done.

1 Counting

Question 1.6 There is a set of $2n$ people: n male and n female. A good party is a set with the same number of male and female. How many possibilities are there to build such a good party?

Answer. For each k there are k ways to choose men and k ways to choose women, so the total number of parties of size k are $\binom{n}{k}^2$. Adding over all the k 's we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i}^2.$$

Question 1.7 Use Proposition 1.3 to show that

$$\sum_{i=0}^r \binom{n+i-1}{i} = \binom{n+r}{r}$$

Answer. We expand the RHS using Pascal's identity, then recursively expand one of the terms.

Question 1.8 Let $0 \leq a \leq m \leq n$ be integers. Show that

$$\sum_{i=m}^n \binom{i}{a} = \binom{n+1}{a+1} - \binom{m}{a+1}.$$

Answer. Same trick as the previous question, expand $\binom{n+1}{a+1}$.

Question 1.9 Prove the Cauchy-Vandermonde identity:

$$\binom{p+q}{k} = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{p}{i} \binom{q}{k-i}.$$

Answer. We count twice. The left is selecting k items from $p+q$ items. Another way we can count this is to select i items from p items and $k-i$ items from q items, then add over every i .

Question 1.10 Show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 = \binom{2n}{n}.$$

Answer. Start with the previous problem, then set $p = q = k = n$. It follows that

$$\binom{2n}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{n-k}.$$

However, we know that to choose k things, we can choose k or choose $n - k$, so the latter terms are equal.

Question 1.11 Prove the following analogy of the binomial theorem for factorials:

$$(x + y)_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (x)_k (y)_{n-k}.$$

Answer. Immediately follows from the same consideration as the typical proof of the binomial theorem.

Question 1.28 This took me way too fucking long. Here's how you do it:

First, let $|E|$ be the number of edges in the graph. Note that $|B|D \geq |E| \geq |A|d$ by definition, since the *minimum* number of edges leaving A is $|A|d$, and the *maximum* number of edges leaving B is $|B|D$. Together with the fact given in the question this gives $|A|d = |B|D$. Furthermore, because the number of edges is sandwiched between these two, quantities, we know that $E = |A|d$. This tells us that every vertex in A *must* have degree d . Now take B_0 to be the set such that every neighbor has $\alpha D/2$ vertices to A_0 .

It follows that the number of edges leaving A_0 is $|A_0|d = \alpha|A|d = \alpha|B|D$. Of these, some go to B_0 , while others go outside of B_0 . It follows that $|E_{A_0}| = |E_{A_0 \rightarrow B_0}| + |E_{A_0 \rightarrow B \setminus B_0}|$. We know that $|E_{A_0 \rightarrow B_0}| \leq \alpha|B_0|D/2$. Suppose that $|E_{A_0 \rightarrow B \setminus B_0}| \geq |E_{A_0 \rightarrow B_0}|$, which implies $|E_{A_0}| = \alpha|B|D \leq \alpha|B_0|D/2 + \alpha|B_0|D/2 = \alpha|B_0|D$, which is preposterous since $|B_0| \leq |B|$. This proves (iii), that $|E_{A_0 \rightarrow B \setminus B_0}| \leq |E_{A_0 \rightarrow B_0}|$, which implies that more than half of the edges leaving A_0 go to B_0 .

The first part now easily follows. The number of edges going from A_0 to B_0 is exactly the number of edges going from B_0 to A_0 , which we know is greater than

1 Counting

$\alpha|B|D/2$ (which is half the number of edges leaving A_0). The edges leaving B_0 include this number *and more*. This means that $|B_0|D \geq \alpha|B|D/2$, which gives us (i), ie. $|B_0| \geq \alpha|B|/2$.

Very annoying problem. It's not conceptually difficult but there's a number of very shitty moving parts. Happy I solved it, finally.

Question 1.29 This was also mildly annoying, but I got it after a few minutes of serious thought. You apply Jensen's inequality to the parameters $f(x) = x^{t/s}$, $\lambda_i = 1/n$, and $x_i = a_i^s$. The inequality follows from the fact that f is convex iff $t \geq s$.