# KOY is not UC-Secure

Naman Kumar

March 12, 2024

This document contains a proof that the PAKE protocol of Katz, Ostrovsky and Yung (2001) is not UC-secure.

### 1 Overview

At a high level, our attack relies on an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  that completely disregards the presence of Server and instead interacts with User while executing Server's algorithm on its own. In particular, once the protocol is initiated by User,  $\mathcal{A}$  assumes the role of the server (discarding the actual server in the process, which plays no part in the protocol henceforth) and receives  $\mathsf{msg}_1 = \mathsf{VK}|A|B|C|D$ . After this,  $\mathcal{A}$  runs the server's algorithm on the correct password  $\mathsf{pw}$  and computes  $\mathsf{msg}_2 = E|F|G|I|J$ . User then runs its session-key generating algorithm and outputs its session key  $\mathsf{sk} = E^{r_1}F^{x_1}G^{y_1}(I')^{z_1}J^{w_1}$ . We note that at this point,  $\mathcal{A}$  (and  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) have all the information they need to run the server's session-key generating algorithm and ensure that their generated session key is equal to the  $\mathsf{sk}$  generated by User.

To see why a simulator  $\mathcal{S}$  cannot simulate this adversary, we attempt an ideal-world execution and pinpoint where our simulation fails. Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is allowed to choose  $\operatorname{crs} = (\mathbb{G}; g_1, g_2, h, c, d \in \mathbb{G}; H : \{0,1\}^* \to \mathbb{Z}_q)$ , it can sample  $g_1$  at random and set h such that  $h = g_1^\ell$ . After receiving the NewSession command from  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{PAKE}}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$  must simulate User's first message  $\mathsf{msg}_1$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  does not know the password at this point it must (effectively) guess some  $\mathsf{pw}^*$  at random; that is, in  $\mathsf{msg}_1$ ,  $C = h^{r_1} \cdot \mathsf{pw}^*$  where  $\mathsf{pw}^*$  can be no better than a random password sampled from the dictionary. After  $\mathcal{Z}$  responds with  $\mathsf{msg}_2 = E|F|G|I|J$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$  can extract  $\mathsf{pw}$  as  $I/F^\ell$ . Once this has been done,  $\mathcal{S}$  can send a TestPwd command to  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{PAKE}}$  which would mark the User session compromised and thus allow  $\mathcal{S}$  to successfully choose the  $\mathsf{sk}$  output by User.\(^1 The problem is that even with this information,  $\mathcal{S}$  still cannot determine what  $\mathsf{sk}$  is.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Recall that a TestPwd must be run, since we require that  $msg_1$  and  $msg_2$  together with the randomness of the User and  $\mathcal{A}$  together determine sk; allowing the simulation to proceed without a TestPwd would result in  $\mathcal{F}_{PAKE}$  outputting a uniformly random key.

To determine  $\operatorname{sk}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$  can either run Server's algorithm or User's algorithm to generate session keys. Recalling that  $\operatorname{msg}_2 = E|F|G|I|J$  is provided to  $\mathcal{S}$  directly from the environment,  $\mathcal{S}$  cannot use Server's algorithm since it would require the determination of all of  $x_2, y_2, z_2, r_2, w_2$ , which is computationally infeasible under the hardness of CDH. Alternately,  $\mathcal{S}$  can run User's algorithm to determine  $\operatorname{sk}$ , for which it already has access to  $x_1, y_1, z_1, r_1, w_1$  and E, F, G, I, J. However, we recall that this  $\operatorname{sk}$  output by  $\mathcal{S}$  must be equal to that which  $\mathcal{Z}$  determines using its own execution of Server's algorithm (this is what happens in the real world). The problem here is that the password guess  $\operatorname{pw}^*$  that  $\mathcal{S}$  uses while generating  $\operatorname{msg}_1$  is likely incorrect; in fact, we can show that the output of Server's algorithm on  $\operatorname{msg}_1$ ,  $\operatorname{msg}_2$  and  $\operatorname{msg}_3$  by  $\mathcal{Z}$  will have  $(\operatorname{pw}^*/\operatorname{pw})^{z_2}$  as a factor, and except in the  $1/|\mathcal{D}|$  probability case that  $\operatorname{pw}^* = \operatorname{pw}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$  cannot determine  $z_2$  assuming the hardness of CDH and thus will be unable to determine  $\operatorname{sk}$ .

## 2 Proof

**Theorem 2.1.** Assuming the hardness of fixed-CDH, the protocol of [KOY] does not UC-realize  $\mathcal{F}_{pake}$  in the  $\mathcal{F}_{crs}$ -hybrid model.

*Proof.* Consider the environment  $\mathcal{Z}$  in Figure 1 and the dummy adversary. It follows from the correctness of the protocol that in the real-world protocol execution  $\mathcal{Z}$  always outputs 1, since the algorithm of  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is the same as that of an honest server. At a high level, we will show that any simulator that successfully simulates the protocol against  $\mathcal{Z}$  in the ideal world can be used to solve arbitrary instances of fixed-CDH.

Assume that there exists a negligible function  $\varepsilon := \varepsilon(\lambda)$  such that there exists a simulator  $\mathcal{S}$  for which  $\mathcal{Z}$  outputs 1 with probability  $1 - \varepsilon$  in the ideal world. Before the formal execution of the protocol begins,  $\mathcal{S}$  samples  $\operatorname{crs} = (\mathbb{G}; g_1, g_2, h, c, d \in \mathbb{G}; H : \{0, 1\}^* \to \mathbb{Z}_q)$ . First, assume that CDH is hard over  $(\mathbb{G}, p, h)$ . Given any two elements  $(h^a, h^b)$  where  $a, b \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_p$ , consider any reduction  $\mathcal{R}$  which does the following.

Naman: I'm not completely convinced if this is the best way to write this; the proof is correct in the essentials, but I think this needs to be rewritten since I'm not sure what formal part  $\mathcal{R}$  is playing here...

- 1.  $\mathcal{R}$  sends (NewSession, sid, User, Server) to  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- 2.  $\mathcal{R}$  waits to receive  $\mathsf{msg}_1 = \mathsf{sid}|\mathsf{VK}|A|B|C|D$  in response. It sets  $\mathsf{pw} = C/h^b$  and

#### Environment $\mathcal{Z}$ :

- 1.  $\mathcal{Z}$  selects  $pw \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{PW}$ , where  $\mathcal{PW} \subseteq \mathbb{G}$  is the password dictionary. It then sends (NewSession, sid, User, Server, pw) to User.
- 2.  $\mathcal{Z}$  receives  $\mathsf{msg}_1 = \mathsf{sid}|\mathsf{VK}|A|B|C|D$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  and samples  $x_2, y_2, z_2, w_2, r_2 \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_q$ . It then sets

$$\begin{split} &\alpha' := H(A|B|C|D) \\ &E := g_1^{x_2} g_2^{y_2} h^{z_2} (cd^{\alpha'})^{w_2} \\ &F := g_1^{r_2} \\ &G := g_2^{r_2} \\ &I := h^{r_2} \cdot \mathrm{pw} \\ &\beta := H(\mathrm{msg}_1 |E|F|G|I) \\ &J := (cd^{\beta})^{w_2} \end{split}$$

and instructs A to send  $msg_2 = sid|E|F|G|I|J$  to User.

- 3.  $\mathcal{Z}$  receives  $\mathsf{msg}_3 = \mathsf{sid}|K|\mathsf{Sig} \ \mathrm{from} \ \mathcal{A} \ \mathrm{and} \ (\mathsf{sid},\mathsf{sk}) \ \mathrm{from} \ \mathsf{User}$ .
- 4.  $\mathcal{Z}$  sets  $C' = C/\mathsf{pw}$  and then checks if  $\mathsf{Vrfy}_{\mathsf{VK}}(\mathsf{msg}_1|\mathsf{msg}_2|K,\mathsf{Sig}) = 1$ . If yes, it computes  $\mathsf{sk}_S = A^{x_2}B^{y_2}(C')^{z_2}D^{w_2}K^{r_2}$  and outputs 1 if  $\mathsf{sk}_S = \mathsf{sk}$ . If either of the two checks fails, it outputs 0.

Figure 1: Our Setup.

samples 
$$x_2, y_2, w_2, r_2 \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_q$$
, setting 
$$\alpha' := H(PIDs|A|B|C|D)$$

$$E := g_1^{x_2} g_2^{y_2} h^a (cd^{\alpha'})^{w_2}$$

$$F := g_1^{r_2}$$

$$G := g_2^{r_2}$$

$$I := h^{r_2} \cdot \mathsf{pw}$$

$$\beta := H(\mathsf{msg}_1|Server|E|F|G|I)$$

$$J := (cd^{\beta})^{w_2}$$

i.e., the same computation as that of the honest server with a special choice of pw and  $z_2$  and sends  $\mathsf{msg}_2 = \mathsf{sid}|E|F|G|I|J$  to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

3.  $\mathcal{R}$  receives K|Sig and checks if  $\text{Vrfy}_{VK}(\text{msg}_1|\text{msg}_2|K,\text{Sig}) = 1$ . If yes, it receives  $\text{sk}_C$ 

from S, and calculates

$$h' = \frac{\mathsf{sk}_C}{A^{x_2}B^{y_2}D^{w_2}K^{r_2}}.$$

### 4. $\mathcal{R}$ outputs h'.

We recall that  $\mathsf{sk}_C = \mathsf{sk}_S$  with probability  $1 - \varepsilon$  by the assumption. Clearly in the protocol execution, we have, setting  $C' = C/\mathsf{pw} = h^b$ ,

$$\mathsf{sk}_S = A^{x_2} B^{y_2} (C')^{z_2} D^{w_2} K^{r_2} = A^{x_2} B^{y_2} (h^b)^a D^{w_2} K^{r_2}$$

which clearly gives  $h' = h^{ab}$  with probability  $1 - \varepsilon$ , as claimed.

//everything below is deprecated comments.

First, we will assume the hardness of CDH over the group  $(\mathbb{G}, g, p)$ . Let Let  $g^a, g^b$  be two elements where  $a, b \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Formally, assume that there exists a simulator  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}$  always outputs 1 in the ideal world. Jiayu: Formally we cannot really assume this; need to say "such that  $\mathcal{Z}$  outputs 1 with all but negligible probability in the ideal world". I am not entirely sure for now, but we probably need to be more specific and say "there is a negligible function  $\epsilon$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}$  outputs 1 with probability  $1-\epsilon$  in the ideal world." We will use this simulator to compute  $g^{ab}$ . Jiayu: We will construct a reduction  $\mathcal{R}$  that uses  $\mathcal{S}$  to solve the CDH problem in  $(\mathbb{G}, g, p)$ . (I think it's better to explicitly mention a reduction.) Our technique works as follows: first, we send Jiayu: everywhere you say "we do something", change it to " $\mathcal{R}$  does something" (NewSession, sid, User, Server, pw) to  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{PAKE}}$  Jiayu: conceptually I think  $\mathcal{R}$  should play the role of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{PAKE}}$  (if you are not sure what I am talking about, chat with me in our meeting or on Slack) and receive  $\mathsf{msg}_1 = \mathsf{sid}|\mathsf{VK}|A|B|C|D$  in response from  $\mathcal{S}$ . We set  $\mathsf{pw} = C/g^b$  and sample  $x_2, y_2, z_2, w_2, r_2 \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_q$ .

$$\begin{split} &\alpha' := H(PIDs|A|B|C|D) \\ &E := g_1^{x_2} g_2^{y_2} h^{z_2} (cd^{\alpha'})^{w_2} \\ &F := g_1^{r_2} \\ &G := g_2^{r_2} \\ &I := h^{r_2} \cdot \text{pw} \\ &\beta := H(\text{msg}_1|Server|E|F|G|I) \\ &J := (cd^{\beta})^{w_2} \end{split}$$

i.e., the same computation as that of the honest server with a special choice of pw. Forwarding this to  $\mathcal{F}_{PAKE}$ , we receive  $msg_3 = K|Sig$  and (sid, sk) in return.