Correlation-Robust Hashing and OT Extension from One-Way Functions

Naman Kumar*

April 16, 2024

${\bf Abstract}$

This document contains a proof that the PAKE protocol of Katz, Ostrovsky and Yung (2001) is not UC-secure.

 $^{^*}$ Oregon State University. Email: kumarnam@oregonstate.edu

Contents

1	Overview	3
2	Proof	3

1 Overview

At a high level, our attack relies on an adversary \mathcal{A} that completely disregards the presence of Server and instead interacts with User while executing Server's algorithm on its own. In particular, once the protocol is initiated by User, \mathcal{A} assumes the role of the server (discarding the actual server in the process, which plays no part in the protocol) and receives $\mathsf{msg}_1 = \mathsf{VK}|A|B|C|D$. After this, \mathcal{A} runs the server's algorithm on User's password pw and computes $\mathsf{msg}_2 = E|F|G|I|J$. User then runs its session-key generating algorithm and outputs its session key $\mathsf{sk} = E^{r_1}F^{x_1}G^{y_1}(I')^{z_1}J^{w_1}$. We note that at this point, \mathcal{A} (and \mathcal{Z}) have all the information they need to run the server's session-key generating algorithm locally, which computes a session key equal to sk generated by User.

To see why a PPT simulator \mathcal{S} cannot simulate this adversary, we attempt an ideal-world execution and pinpoint where it fails. Since \mathcal{S} is allowed to choose $\operatorname{crs} = (g_1, g_2, h, c, d)$, it can sample g_1 at random and set h such that $h = g_1^\ell$. After receiving the NewSession command from $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{PAKE}}$, \mathcal{S} must simulate User's first message msg_1 . Since \mathcal{S} does not know the password, at this point it must (effectively) guess some pw^* at random; that is, in msg_1 , $C = h^{r_1} \cdot \mathsf{pw}^*$ where pw^* can be no better than a random password sampled from the dictionary. After \mathcal{Z} responds with $\mathsf{msg}_2 = E|F|G|I|J$, since $F = g_1^{r_2}$ and $I = h^{r_2} \cdot \mathsf{pw}$, \mathcal{S} can extract pw as I/F^ℓ . Once this has been done, \mathcal{S} can send a TestPwd command to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{PAKE}}$ on the correct pw; $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{PAKE}}$ would mark the User session compromised and thus allow \mathcal{S} to choose User's session key sk . The problem is that even with this information, \mathcal{S} still cannot determine what sk is.

To determine sk , \mathcal{S} can either run Server's algorithm or User's algorithm to generate session keys. Recalling that $\operatorname{\mathsf{msg}}_2 = E|F|G|I|J$ is provided to \mathcal{S} directly from the environment, \mathcal{S} cannot use Server's algorithm since it would require the determination of all of x_2, y_2, z_2, r_2, w_2 , which is computationally infeasible under the hardness of CDH. Alternately, \mathcal{S} can run User's algorithm to determine $\operatorname{\mathsf{sk}}$, for which it already has access to x_1, y_1, z_1, r_1, w_1 and E, F, G, I, J. However, we recall that this $\operatorname{\mathsf{sk}}$ output by \mathcal{S} must be equal to that which \mathcal{Z} determines using its own execution of Server's algorithm (this is what happens in the real world). The problem here is that the password guess $\operatorname{\mathsf{pw}}^*$ that \mathcal{S} uses while generating $\operatorname{\mathsf{msg}}_1$ is likely incorrect; in fact, we can show that the output of Server's algorithm on $\operatorname{\mathsf{msg}}_1$, $\operatorname{\mathsf{msg}}_2$ and $\operatorname{\mathsf{msg}}_3$ by \mathcal{Z} will have $(\operatorname{\mathsf{pw}}^*/\operatorname{\mathsf{pw}})^{z_2}$ as a factor, and except in the $1/|\mathcal{D}|$ probability case that $\operatorname{\mathsf{pw}}^* = \operatorname{\mathsf{pw}}$, \mathcal{S} cannot determine z_2 assuming the hardness of CDH and thus will be unable to determine $\operatorname{\mathsf{sk}}$.

2 Proof

Theorem 2.1. Assuming the hardness of fixed-CDH, the protocol of [KOY] does not UC-realize \mathcal{F}_{pake} in the \mathcal{F}_{crs} -hybrid model.

Proof. Consider the environment \mathcal{Z} in Figure 1 and the dummy adversary. It follows from the correctness of the protocol that in the real-world protocol execution \mathcal{Z} always outputs 1, since the algorithm of \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{A} is the same as that of an honest server. At a high level, we will show that any simulator that successfully simulates the protocol against \mathcal{Z} in the ideal world can be used to solve arbitrary instances of fixed-CDH.

¹Recall that a TestPwd must be run, since we require that msg_1 and msg_2 together with the randomness of the User and \mathcal{A} together determine sk; allowing the simulation to proceed without a TestPwd would result in \mathcal{F}_{PAKE} outputting a uniformly random key.

Environment \mathcal{Z} :

- 0. Jiayu: \mathcal{Z} receives the CRS (g_1, g_2, h, c, d) .
- 1. \mathcal{Z} selects $pw \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{PW}$, where $\mathcal{PW} \subseteq \mathbb{G}$ is the password dictionary. It then sends (NewSession, sid, User, Server, pw) to User.
- 2. \mathcal{Z} receives $\mathsf{msg}_1 = \mathsf{sid}|\mathsf{VK}|A|B|C|D$ from \mathcal{A} and samples $x_2, y_2, z_2, w_2, r_2 \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_q$. It then sets

$$\begin{split} &\alpha' := H(A|B|C|D) \\ &E := g_1^{x_2} g_2^{y_2} h^{z_2} (cd^{\alpha'})^{w_2} \\ &F := g_1^{r_2} \\ &G := g_2^{r_2} \\ &I := h^{r_2} \cdot \text{pw} \\ &\beta := H(\text{msg}_1|E|F|G|I) \\ &J := (cd^{\beta})^{w_2} \end{split}$$

and instructs A to send $msg_2 := sid|E|F|G|I|J$ to User.

- 3. \mathcal{Z} receives $\mathsf{msg}_3 = \mathsf{sid}|K|\sigma$ from \mathcal{A} and $(\mathsf{sid}, \mathsf{sk})$ from User.
- 4. \mathcal{Z} sets $C' := C/\mathsf{pw}$ and then checks if $\mathsf{Vrfy_{VK}}(\mathsf{msg}_1|\mathsf{msg}_2|K,\sigma) = 1$. If yes, it computes $\mathsf{sk}_S := A^{x_2}B^{y_2}(C')^{z_2}D^{w_2}K^{r_2}$ and outputs 1 if $\mathsf{sk}_S = \mathsf{sk}$. If either of the two checks fails, it outputs 0.

Figure 1: Our Setup.

Assume that there exists a negligible function $\varepsilon := \varepsilon(\lambda)$ such that there exists a "successful" simulator \mathcal{S} for which \mathcal{Z} outputs 1 with probability $1 - \varepsilon$ in the ideal world. First, assume that CDH is hard over (\mathbb{G}, p, h) . Consider reduction \mathcal{R} which does the following. Jiayu: that runs the simulator \mathcal{S} as follows (note that \mathcal{R} plays the role of the environment \mathcal{Z} , the PAKE functionality $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{PAKE}}$, and the dummy parties User and Server combined):

Naman: I'm not completely convinced if this is the best way to write this; the proof is correct in the essentials, but I think this needs to be rewritten since I'm not sure what formal part \mathcal{R} is playing here...

- 0. \mathcal{R} receives $\operatorname{crs} = (g_1, g_2, h, c, d)$ from \mathcal{S} , outputs h to its challenger, and receives (h^a, h^b) where $a, b \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_p$. Jiayu: I moved "receiving the CRS" as the 0th step of the formal description of the reduction (and the environment) Jiayu: Is the group order p or q? It is called p in this step but q in the second step
- 1. \mathcal{R} sends (NewSession, sid, User, Server) to \mathcal{S} .
- 2. \mathcal{R} waits to receive $\mathsf{msg}_1 = \mathsf{sid}|\mathsf{VK}|A|B|C|D$ in response (as the first message from User to Server).

It then sets $pw := C/h^b$ and samples $x_2, y_2, w_2, r_2 \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_q$, setting

$$\begin{split} &\alpha' := H(PIDs|A|B|C|D) \\ &E := g_1^{x_2} g_2^{y_2} h^a (cd^{\alpha'})^{w_2} \\ &F := g_1^{r_2} \\ &G := g_2^{r_2} \\ &I := h^{r_2} \cdot \mathrm{pw} \\ &\beta := H(\mathrm{msg}_1|E|F|G|I) \\ &J := (cd^{\beta})^{w_2} \end{split}$$

i.e., the same computation as that of the honest server with a special choice of pw and z_2 Jiayu: the special choice of pw = C/h^b and $z_2 = a$, and sends $msg_2 := sid|E|F|G|I|J$ to S.

3. \mathcal{R} receives $\mathsf{msg}_3 = \mathsf{sid}|K|\sigma$ (as the second message from User to Server) and $(\mathsf{sid}, \mathsf{sk})$ from \mathcal{S} (as User's output to \mathcal{Z}), and checks if $\mathsf{Vrfy}_{\mathsf{VK}}(\mathsf{msg}_1|\mathsf{msg}_2|K,\sigma) = 1$. If yes, Jiayu: If not, \mathcal{R} aborts. Otherwise it calculates

$$h' = \frac{\mathsf{sk}}{A^{x_2} B^{y_2} D^{w_2} K^{r_2}}.$$

4. \mathcal{R} outputs h'.

We recall that $\mathsf{sk}_C = \mathsf{sk}_S$ with probability $1 - \varepsilon$ by the assumption. Clearly in the protocol execution, we have, setting $C' = C/\mathsf{pw} = h^b$,

$$\mathsf{sk}_S = A^{x_2} B^{y_2} (C')^{z_2} D^{w_2} K^{r_2} = A^{x_2} B^{y_2} (h^b)^a D^{w_2} K^{r_2}$$

which clearly gives $h' = h^{ab}$ with probability $1 - \varepsilon$, as claimed, contradicting the hardness of fixed-CDH. Jiayu: Note that \mathcal{S} 's view while interacting with \mathcal{R} is identical to \mathcal{S} 's view in the ideal world with environment \mathcal{Z} in Figure 1; the difference is that \mathcal{Z} samples pw and z_2 on its own, whereas \mathcal{R} sets $\mathsf{pw} = C/h^b$ and $z_2 = a$ — which cannot be detected by \mathcal{S} . Let $C' = C/\mathsf{pw} = h^b$ and

$$\mathsf{sk}_S = A^{x_2}B^{y_2}(C')^{z_2}D^{w_2}K^{r_2} = A^{x_2}B^{y_2}(h^b)^aD^{w_2}K^{r_2}$$

as what \mathcal{Z} would compute in its step 4; \mathcal{Z} outputs 1 if and only if $\mathsf{sk}_S = \mathsf{sk}$, so by our assumption on \mathcal{S} , in \mathcal{R} 's interaction with \mathcal{S} , $\mathsf{sk}_S = \mathsf{sk}$ with probability $1 - \varepsilon$. But this gives $h' = h^{ab}$ with probability $1 - \varepsilon$, i.e., \mathcal{R} wins with probability $1 - \varepsilon$, contradicting the hardness of fixed-CDH.

//everything below is deprecated comments.

First, we will assume the hardness of CDH over the group (\mathbb{G}, g, p) . Let Let g^a, g^b be two elements where $a, b \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Formally, assume that there exists a simulator \mathcal{S} such that \mathcal{Z} always outputs 1 in the ideal world. Jiayu: Formally we cannot really assume this; need to say "such that \mathcal{Z} outputs 1 with all but negligible probability in the ideal world". I am not entirely sure for now, but we probably need to be more specific and say "there is a negligible function ϵ such that \mathcal{Z} outputs 1 with probability $1 - \epsilon$ in the ideal world." We will use this simulator to compute g^{ab} . Jiayu: We will construct a reduction \mathcal{R} that uses \mathcal{S} to solve the CDH problem in (\mathbb{G}, g, p) . (I think it's better to explicitly mention a reduction.) Our technique works as follows: first, we send Jiayu: everywhere you say "we do something", change it to " \mathcal{R} does something" (NewSession, sid, User, Server, pw) to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{PAKE}}$ Jiayu: conceptually I think \mathcal{R} should play the role of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{PAKE}}$

(if you are not sure what I am talking about, chat with me in our meeting or on Slack) and recieve $\mathsf{msg}_1 = \mathsf{sid}|\mathsf{VK}|A|B|C|D$ in response from \mathcal{S} . We set $\mathsf{pw} = C/g^b$ and sample $x_2, y_2, z_2, w_2, r_2 \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_q$.

$$\begin{split} &\alpha' := H(PIDs|A|B|C|D) \\ &E := g_1^{x_2} g_2^{y_2} h^{z_2} (cd^{\alpha'})^{w_2} \\ &F := g_1^{r_2} \\ &G := g_2^{r_2} \\ &I := h^{r_2} \cdot \text{pw} \\ &\beta := H(\text{msg}_1|Server|E|F|G|I) \\ &J := (cd^{\beta})^{w_2} \end{split}$$

i.e., the same computation as that of the honest server with a special choice of pw. Forwarding this to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{PAKE}}$, we receive $\mathsf{msg}_3 = K|\mathsf{Sig}$ and $(\mathsf{sid},\mathsf{sk})$ in return.