Commutative algebra HW2

Matei Ionita

September 23, 2013

Problem 1

Let k be a field. Let k[[t]] be the power series ring over k. Show that k[[t]] is a local ring.

Solution

We can show that any power series with nonzero constant coefficient is invertible; thus the ideal (t) contains all non-units, and (k[[t]], (t)) is a local ring. Consider:

$$F(t) = \left(a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n t^n\right)^{-1}$$

Then taking formal derivatives we can write $F(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F^{(n)}(0)t^n/n!$, so $F(t) \in k[[t]]$, and we found an inverse for the original power series. This works as long as $F^{(n)}(0)$ doesn't have a zero denominator, which is always the case as long as $a_0 \neq 0$.

Problem 2

Give an example of (1) a local ring with 2 prime ideals and (2) a local ring with 3 prime ideals.

Solution

- (1) Take k[[t]] as in problem 1, its only primes are (0) and (t).
- (2) Take $\mathbb{C}[x,y]/(xy)$. In HW1 we saw that its primes are $(x),(y),(x-\lambda,y),(x,y-\mu)$. If we localize this ring at (x,y), the only remaining primes will be the ones contained in (x,y), which are (x),(y),(x,y).

Problem 3

Let R = k[[t]] where k is a field. Give an example of a module M over R such that M = tM (in other words, a module which contradicts the conclusion of Nakayama's lemma).

Solution

Consider $M = k[[t, t^{-1}]]$, which is an infinitely generated module over k[[t]], with generators

 t^{-n} . Any element of M looks like $a = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} k_i t^i$. Then $ta = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} k_{i-1} t^i$, so $tM \subset M$. Moreover, for all a there exists some $b \in M$ such that a = bt, namely $b = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} k_{i+1} t^i$. Therefore $M \subset tM$. So M = tM.

Problem 4

Let $R = \mathbb{C}[x]$ be the polynomial ring over the complex numbers. Let m_n , n = 1, 2, 3, ... be an infinite sequence of pairwise distinct maximal ideals of R. Show that R does not surject onto the product of the rings R/m_n (contradicting the conclusion of the Chinese remainder theorem).

Solution

All maximal ideals of R are of the form $m_i = (z - \lambda_i)$, therefore $R/m_i = \mathbb{C}[x]/(z - \lambda_i) \cong \mathbb{C}$. Assume then that there exists a surjection

$$\phi: \mathbb{C}[x] \to \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \dots$$

Any homomorphism preserves $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}[x]$, so we impose $\phi(\lambda) = (\lambda, \lambda, ...)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Because ϕ is surjective, there exists $a \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ such that $\phi(a) = (1, 0, 0, ...)$. But this means that $a \in m_i$ for all $i \geq 2$, so $a = f(x)(x - \lambda_2)(x - \lambda_3)...$ for some $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. a has an infinite number of roots, so a = 0. But this contradicts the fact that $\phi(0) = (0, 0, ...)$. Therefore there exists no surjection $\mathbb{C}[x] \to \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times ...$

Problem 5

Let k be a field. Find the minimal prime ideals of k[x, y, z]/(xy, xz, yz).

Solution

We are looking for primes of k[x, y, z] that contain (xy), (xz), (yz). The possible generators for primes in k[x, y, z] are $x - \lambda, y - \lambda, z - \lambda$ as well as irreducible higher order polynomials f in x, y, z. But we do not care about the higher order polynomials, since these do not generate xy, xz or yz, and therefore any prime that contains f will contain another prime ideal which generates xy, xz, yz without the help of f. Therefore the primes which contain f will not be minimal. Similarly, we do not care about $x - \lambda, y - \lambda, z - \lambda$ for nonzero λ , since these do not help generate xy, xz, yz either, and they will prevent ideals from being minimal. All primes of interest are therefore generated by x, y, z; the possible ones are:

$$(x,y)$$
 (x,z) (y,z) (x,y,z)

But (x, y, z) contains all other three primes, and thus the only minimal primes are (x, y), (y, z), (x, z).