

Prime Factorization

Tilman, Olaf, Arka

July 2023

Definition 1 (prime). *Let $p \in \mathbf{N}$, $p \geq 2$. p is called prime, if:*

$$\forall d \in \mathbf{N} : d|p \implies d = 1 \vee d = p$$

Lemma 1 (prime is fact). *Let $p \in \mathbf{N}$ prim.*

$s = \{p\}$ Multiset. Then:

$$p \in s \implies p \text{ prim} \tag{1}$$

$$\prod_{q \in s} q = p \tag{2}$$

Lemma 2 (exists factor). *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $n \geq 2$ \neg prim.*

*Then exists $p, q \in \mathbf{N}$, such that $n = p * q \wedge p, q < n \wedge p, q \neq 1$*

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $n \geq 2$ \neg prime

According to negation of definition 1: $\exists d \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $d|n \wedge d \neq 1 \wedge d \neq n$.

Because $d|n$ $\exists c \in \mathbf{N}$, $c \neq 0$ with: $n = d * c$. $c \neq 0 \implies c \geq 1 \implies n \geq d$.

$d \neq n \implies d < n$

$d \neq 1 \implies d > 1$ □

Lemma 3 (prod solution). *Let $p, q \in \mathbf{N}$, $n = p * q$. $s_p, s_q \subseteq \mathbf{N}$ are multisets, such that: $\prod_{e \in s_p} e = p$ and $\prod_{e \in s_q} e = q$. $s = s_p + s_q$. Then: $\prod_{e \in s} e = n$*

Theorem 1 (prime fact). *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $n \geq 2$ then exists Multiset $s \subseteq \mathbf{N}$, such that:*

$$\prod_{p \in s} p = n \tag{3}$$

$$p \in s \implies p \text{ prim} \tag{4}$$

Proof. Use strong induction in n :

Base Case: $n=2$ prime $\xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 1}}$ Multiset $s = \{n\}$ is sufficient for (3) and (4).

Assumption: $\forall 2 \leq d \leq n$ \exists Multisets $\subseteq \mathbf{N}$ such that $\prod_{p \in s} p = d$ and $p \in s \implies p \text{ prim}$

Induction Step:

1st case: $n + 1$ prime

$n+1$ prime $\xRightarrow{\text{Lemma1}}$ Multiset $s = \{n + 1\}$ is sufficient for (3) and (4)

2nd case $n + 1$ not prime

$\xRightarrow{\text{Lemma2}} \exists 2 \leq p, q \leq n$, such that: $n + 1 = p * q$.

$\xRightarrow{\text{Assumption}} \exists$ Multisets s_p, s_q such that: $x \in s_p, s_q \implies x$ prime and

$\prod_{x \in s_p} = p$ and $\prod_{x \in s_q} = q$

$\xRightarrow{\text{Lemma3}} s = s_q + s_p$ is sufficient for (3) and (4).

□