

Sum of Squares in Lean

Using Lean 3.0

Made by Gal Lebel and Alex Baucke (and Flo, TBH) on July 25th, 2023

> Contents

Theorem Definition

- Introducing the statement to be proved.

Proof

- Proving the equivalence
 - \rightarrow
 - \leftarrow

Propositional Logic

- A simple example
- Contraposition
- Logical fallacies

Example in ©

- Contraposing the statement in ©

Theorem Definition

[1/2] Statement

The two statements are equivalent:

a) If a sum of squares is 0 , then all the elements of that sum are 0 .

b) -1 is not a sum of squares in \mathbb{R}

Notation: List = Set, but allows multiplicity

Note: We do not want to show that **a)** or **b)** is actually true!

[2/2] Statement

So now we would like to formalize the statements using mathematical notations

a) If a sum of squares is 0, then all the elements of that sum are 0.

$$\forall L : \text{List } \mathbb{R}, x_i \in L : \sum_{i \dots n} x_i^2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_i = 0 \quad \forall x_i \in L$$

b) -1 is not a sum of squares in \mathbb{R}

$$\forall L : \text{List } \mathbb{R}, x_i \in L : \sum_{i \dots n} x_i^2 \neq -1$$

And we can write those together in an equivalence relation a) \leftrightarrow b) as follows :

$$\forall L : \text{List } \mathbb{R}, x_i \in L : \sum_{i \dots n} x_i^2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_i = 0 \quad \forall x_i \in L \leftrightarrow \sum_{i \dots n} x_i^2 \neq -1$$

Propositional Logic - Example

[1/1] Propositional Logic – Example

Given the following statements

Q : The weather is nice

P : I'm at the zoo

We can express the relation between **Q** and **P** like this:

Q \rightarrow **P** (If the weather is nice, I'm at the zoo)

The contraposition of this would be:

Q \rightarrow **P** = \neg **P** \rightarrow \neg **Q** (If I'm not at the zoo, the weather is bad)

(And *not* **Q** \rightarrow **P** = \neg **Q** \rightarrow \neg **P** (If the weather is bad, I'm not at the zoo))

Proof of the Sum of Squares Theorem

[1/4] Proof

We will start the proof of $a) \leftrightarrow b)$ by proving the ' \rightarrow ' - direction: $b) \rightarrow a)$.

We do a proof by contraposition, meaning we show that $\neg a) \rightarrow \neg b)$ is true.

First, let's negate the two statements:

$$\begin{aligned} a) \quad \neg(\quad & \forall \quad L : \text{List } \mathbb{R}, x_i \in L : \sum_{i=1..n} x_i^2 = 0 \rightarrow \forall x_i \in L : x_i = 0 \\ & \exists \quad L : \text{List } \mathbb{R}, x_i \in L : \sum_{i=1..n} x_i^2 = 0 \rightarrow \exists x_i \in L : x_i \neq 0 \end{aligned} \quad = \neg a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} b) \quad \neg(\quad & \forall \quad L : \text{List } \mathbb{R}, x_i \in L : \sum_{i=1..n} x_i^2 \neq -1 \\ & \exists \quad L : \text{List } \mathbb{R}, x_i \in L : \sum_{i=1..n} x_i^2 = -1 \end{aligned} \quad = \neg b)$$

[2/4] Proof

We show $\neg a \rightarrow \neg b$:

Assume $\neg a$.

Let L be a list over \mathbb{R} whose sum of squares is equal to 0 and without loss of generality, let $x_1 \neq 0$.

Then :

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 0$$

We can now divide all the terms by x_1^2 and continue with the equality, because division of 0 by any number is still equal to zero.

[3/4] Proof

So now we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{x_1^2} &= \frac{x_1^2}{x_1^2} + \frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{x_n^2}{x_1^2} \\ &= 1 + \frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{x_n^2}{x_1^2} = 0\end{aligned}$$

By adding (-1) to both sides, we get :

$$\frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{x_n^2}{x_1^2} = -1$$

Which confirms our initial assumption $\neg a)$

Thus we have proven $b) \rightarrow a)$

[4/4] Proof

We will now proceed to show the ' \leftarrow ' - direction : $a \rightarrow b$ of $a \leftrightarrow b$.

$$\exists L : \text{List } \mathbb{R}, x_i \in L : \sum_{i \dots n} x_i^2 = 0 \rightarrow \exists x_i \in L, x_i \neq 0 \quad = \neg a)$$

$$\exists L : \text{List } \mathbb{R}, x_i \in L : \sum_{i \dots n} x_i^2 = -1 \quad = \neg b)$$

We will prove the contraposition $\neg b \rightarrow \neg a$.

Assuming $\neg b$, we want to show $\neg a$.

From our assumption of $\neg b$, let L be that list.

By appending 1 to L , the sum of squares of $\{1 :: L\}$ must be now 0 .

Example in ©

[1/1] Example in \mathbb{C}

The lean proof of the equivalence holds for any field with decidable equalities.
Since \mathbb{C} is such a field, we can show that the equivalence still holds:

$$\neg a) \leftrightarrow \neg b)$$

$$\exists L : \text{List } \mathbb{R}, x_i \in L : \sum_{i \dots n} x_i^2 = 0 \rightarrow \exists x_i \in L : x_i \neq 0 \leftrightarrow \exists L : \text{List } \mathbb{R}, x_i \in L : \sum_{i \dots n} x_i^2 = -1$$

To show $\neg b)$, we can choose $L := \{i\}, \{-i\}, \{1/i\}$, or $\{1/-i\}$, giving us a sum of squares = -1.
Since $\neg a)$ must hold as well, we construct $L2 := \{1 :: L\}$ i.e. 1 appended to L .

Since $1 \in L2$ and the sum of squares of $L2$ is 0 by construction, we have found a list that satisfies $\neg a)$ as well.

Therefore, $a) \leftrightarrow b)$ remains (negatively) equivalent in \mathbb{C}

Ty for your time 🐶

Any Questions?

Resources for further reading:

https://leanprover-community.github.io/mathlib_docs/