

HEGL Illustrating Mathematics Seminar

Winter semester 2024-2025

Table of contents

| | | |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | Commutative algebra and proof visualization | 1 |
| 2 | Constructive mathematics | 2 |
| 3 | List of topics for the talks | 2 |
| 4 | References | 2 |

- Target audience: Bachelor students.
- Language of instruction: English.
- Introductory meeting: 24.07.2024 (SR 3, 2:15 PM).
- Contact: [Florent Schaffhauser](#).

1 Commutative algebra and proof visualization

In this digital age, computers provide new and original ways to visualize mathematical proofs. As the emphasis is placed on *computability*, we are encouraged to re-examine our daily mathematical practice and pay more attention to *constructive methods*.

In this seminar, we explore how to *represent* mathematical proofs using computer programs. We focus on basic results of commutative algebra, which we prove without assuming previous knowledge in the field. Then we experiment with visualization tools for some of the proofs that we have encountered.

The expected output is an introductory tutorial program that can be run online. It will be designed collectively using existing infrastructure and no programming skills are required to participate in the seminar.

2 Constructive mathematics

The constructive approach to mathematics is often reduced (quite misleadingly) to mathematics *without* the law of excluded middle (LEM), or without the axiom of choice (AC). It should instead be thought of as a sum of algorithmic procedures for deriving proofs, which *may or may not* use LEM or AC.

Conceptually, this is made possible by an interpretation of mathematical statements in a way that can be encoded on a computer. Existential statements, in particular, should be formally interpreted in a constructive manner.

To illustrate the constructive point of view, take for instance the following statement:

Let F be a field and let I be an ideal in F . Then $I = 0$ or $I = F$.

The usual proof starts by saying: let I be an ideal in F , then either $I = 0$ or $I \neq 0$. This is correct but uses the LEM in a non-essential way. Indeed, the statement that we want to prove is *classically equivalent* to the following one, which can be proved constructively.

Let F be a field and let I be an ideal in F . If $I \neq 0$, then $I = F$.

In the seminar, we will learn what it means that the two statements above are classically equivalent and how to write a constructive proof of the second statement.

3 List of topics for the talks

1. Principal ideal domains and finitely generated modules on these rings
2. Factorization problems
3. Noetherian rings, primary decompositions and the principal ideal theorem
4. Wedderburn structure theorem for finite dimensional algebras over a field
5. Dedekind domains

4 References

- *A course in constructive algebra* (1988), by Mines, Richman and Ruitenburg.
- *Commutative algebra: constructive methods* (2015), by Lombardi and Quitté.
- *Varieties of constructive mathematics* (1987), by Bridges and Richman.

