1) Percall convex hull of XI, ... Xn is fatx | x e [0,1] rel 2 1 = 1} Lemma 1: convex hulls are convex P.F.: Let atx, BTX & convex hull. Lawrs: tatx+ (1-t) Btx & convex hell & Oct=1 こ(ヒュナト(トも)なて)メ = $(\pm \alpha + (1-\pm 1\beta)^T \times \text{ but } (\pm \alpha + (1-\pm)\beta)_n = \pm \alpha + (1-\pm)\beta_n \in [0,1]$ (ta+(1-t)B)T_= taT_+ (1-t)BT_1 Lin. Ste: 7 WERD, WOER S.t.

MTX; + W0 < 0 \ | =1,..., N \$Ty: + W0 > 0 \ 1 =1, ", M

Interesting Directoon: suppose convex holls of X, ..., Xn and Y, , ... > YM do not intersect WTS: 7 WORD, WO GIR S.t. WTX+WOO YXEX= convex hull of X

construction of w, wo! Let (X., Y.) = argmin ||X-Y|| => Exists bic X, Y me bounded +
(X, y) EX, Y

closed - X v v. closed, so X . Y is compact. since 11x-411 conit, it achieves a minimum.

Set
$$\hat{W} = \frac{y_0 - x_0}{\|y_0 - x_0\|}$$
 and $\hat{W}_0 = \left(\frac{y_0 + x_0}{2}\right)^T \hat{W}$

Since we only one about sign of wix + wo, we can instead use w= yo-xo md wo=_(yo+xo) w

Let us now show the inequalities:

$$\Rightarrow (\gamma_o - \chi_o)^T \left(\chi - \frac{\gamma_o + \chi_o}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_o - \chi_o)^T \left((\chi - \gamma_o) + (\chi - \gamma_o) \right)$$

Penning to show: (yo-xo) (x-yo) < - (yo-xo) (x-xo)

Showing $-(y_0-x_0)^T(x-x_0) > 0$ $\Rightarrow (y_0-x_0)^T(x-x_0) < 0$

Pf: Vey step, consider: $f(t) = t \times + (1-t) \times_0$ for $0 \le t \le 1$ $(y_0 - x_0)^T (f(t) - x_0)$ Les in X by convexity

observation: by def. ||yo. xo|| < ||1(t)-yo|| For all t

When t=0 this is obviously true since $l(0)=x_0$ so, $-t(x-x_0)^T(x-x_0)-2(x-x_0)^T(x_0-y_0)<0$ \forall $0< t \in I$

 $\Rightarrow (X-X_0)^{\top}(Y_0-X_0) < \frac{1}{2}t(X-X_0)^{\top}(X-X_0)$ Taking $t \to 0$ shows $(X-X_0)^{\top}(Y_0-X_0) < 0$

is If they are linearly seperable, their convex hulbs do not intersect.

other direction;

Consider Xo in the convex hull of X, then

∃ a nih \(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = 1\) and \(\alpha_n \geq 0\) and \(\alpha_n = \infty \alpha_n \times_n\)

∃B with IB = 1 and B ≥ 0 and ×o = 2 B n yn where yn represents points on hull of y.

Suppose then that they are linearly separable, meaning

WTX. + Wo = 0 and also WTX. + VIO < 0 for linear declined by w and Wo. This is impossible which means that we see by contraditation that if the consum which means that we see by contraditation that if the consum hulls intersect their are not lin sep.

Exercise 4.14: Need to find argument log p(tal \$(xa)) => 0((= 0 | d(xn)) = 0 (C=1 | p(xn)) = = $\sigma(N^{\dagger}\phi(x_n) + w_0)(1 - \sigma(w^{\dagger}\phi(x_n) + w_0))$ Since IP(C=1/0(Xn)= + ID(C=0/0(xns) = 1-0 (w7p(xn)+w0) $= \frac{e^{-WT}\rho(x_n)-W_0}{1+e^{-WT}\rho(x_n)-W_0} = \left(\frac{1}{1+e^{\chi}}\right)\frac{e^{\chi}}{1+e^{\chi}}$ > mgmax log The (Lxx) to (ex) to organia $\sum t_n \log \left(\frac{1}{1+e^{\chi}}\right) + \sum (1-t_n) \log \left(\frac{e^{\chi}}{1+e^{\chi}}\right)$ mgnux I-tn leg (1+ex)-8- log (1+ex) Mrgmax ∑ (tn-1) (~Tp(xn)+wo) - log (1+ cx) consider the 2 cases to=1, to=-1: if to=1 => argany I - lay (1+ex) = log (1+ex) Le this is maximized who IIII) > 00 Similary, if the of => Argmax - 2(wtprxn) + wo) - log(1+ = 8) which is maximised when IIwII->

github link: sithub.com/mateobianchib/156