

# Universal Turing machines

Arno Pauly

March 16, 2021

# What we want to show today

## Theorem

*There is a Turing machine  $U$  (called universal TM) which can receive as input a description of a TM  $M$  and some word  $w$ , and then simulates whatever  $M$  would do on input  $w$ .*

# What is a description?

- ▶ Let the alphabet be  $\{a, b, c, \perp\}$ .
- ▶ We will use  $a, b$  for binary encoding, and  $c$  as separation marker.
- ▶ There is a lot of flexibility in the encoding, this one is just one convenient choice.

# What is a description?

- ▶ Let  $[n]_2$  denote the binary encoding of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  using  $a$  and  $b$  as digits. We also encode  $d \in \{L, R, S\}$  as  $[d]_D$  and  $x \in \{a, b, c, \perp\}$  as  $[x]_\Sigma$  using digits from  $\{a, b\}$ .
- ▶ A state  $q_n$  shall be described by the word

$$w_n := [n]_2 c[m_a] c[x_a] c[d_a]_D c[m_b] c[x_b] c[d_b]_D c[m_c] c[x_c] c[d_c]_D \dots \\ \dots c[m_\perp] c[x_\perp] c[d_\perp]_D$$

, where the rules are “on reading an  $\alpha \in \{a, b, c, \perp\}$ , write the symbol  $x_\alpha$ , move in direction  $d_\alpha$  and go to the  $m_\alpha$ -th state next”.

- ▶ A TM having states  $q_1, \dots, q_k$  is described by the word  $w_1 CC w_2 CC \dots CC w_k$ .

# How to build the universal TM

- ▶  $U$  receives an input  $\langle M \rangle cccw$ , where  $\langle M \rangle$  is a description of a TM.
- ▶  $U$  uses three tapes, and begins with writing  $[1]_2$  on the second tape (which will keep track of what state  $M$  would be in)
- ▶ and copies  $w$  to the third tape (which will play the role of  $M$ 's tape), and move the head back to the start position.
- ▶ then  $U$  searches for the rules pertaining to the current state on the first tape, acts on the third tape as prescribed, and copies the next state description to the second tape.
- ▶  $U$  checks whether  $[2]_2$  or  $[3]_2$  is written on the second tape (assuming that  $q_2 = q_{\text{yes}}$  and  $q_3 = q_{\text{no}}$  and if so halts, otherwise it repeats from the previous step.

## Something else

- ▶ Rather than having a TM just answering “yes”-“no” questions, we can have it compute functions.
- ▶ Instead of  $q_{\text{yes}}$  and  $q_{\text{no}}$  states we just have  $q_{\text{halt}}$ .
- ▶ On reaching  $q_{\text{halt}}$ , the output is determined as the longest  $\perp$ -free word on the tape including position 0.
- ▶ Practise task: Come up with a TM that performs addition in unary (easy) or in binary (harder). Assume that the inputs are separated by a separation symbol, and use three tapes.

# Outlook

- ▶ Rather than having a TM deciding a language, we can have it recognize a language (by just requiring halting and saying “yes” for words in the language, and not caring about words outside of the language).
- ▶ The languages recognizable like this are the computably enumerable languages – the same generated by unrestricted grammars.
- ▶ There is no TM that decides, on input  $\langle M \rangle$ , whether  $M$  ever halts on input  $\varepsilon$ .