Relational Model 2: Relational Algebra

Gary KL Tam

Department of Computer Science Swansea University

The relational model defines:

- 1 the format by which data should be stored;
- 2 the operations for querying the data.

We will focus on the second aspect in this lecture.

Query Language

A database may have many tables

Need a way to pull out only rows and columns we want

Data are often spread across many tables

Need a way to combine tables

Relational Algebra

It's an algebra, like elementary algebra in math.

Maths:

- operands like 27.5 and y
- operators like + and *

You write expressions describing the value you want.

Relational algebra:

- operands are tables
- operators like "choose the rows that satisfy ..."

You write expressions describing the table you want.

Relational Algebra

- some operators are unary, some binary.
- operands are always relations and result is always a relation
- So you can "compose" expressions and use brackets for precedence, just like in arithmetic expressions.
- Let's see the operations.
 (Remember, a relation/table is a set of tuples)

So Relational algebra is mathematics...

Is it a must to learn it?

So Relational algebra is mathematics...

Yes!

Is it a must to learn it?

So Relational algebra is mathematics...

Yes!

Is it a must to learn it?

Yes!

So Relational algebra is mathematics...

Yes!

Is it a must to learn it?

Yes!

Why do we learn it?

Because university teaches theory!

So Relational algebra is mathematics...

Yes!

Is it a must to learn it?

Yes!

- Because university teaches theory!
- But WHY? (Have you ever asked "why"?)

So Relational algebra is mathematics...

Yes!

Is it a must to learn it?

Yes!

Why do we learn it?

- Because university teaches theory!
- But WHY? (Have you ever asked "why"?)

WHY?

So that when things change, you know how to adapt...

Why learn Concept of OO?

No one can ensure C++/Java will still be the trend. Python is catching up!

Why learn Concept of OO?

No one can ensure C++/Java will still be the trend. Python is catching up!

RA vs SQL?

No one can ensure SQL will still be the trend.

SQL isn't necessarily the best way to implement that, it's just the best way anyone has come up with so far.

Why learn Concept of OO?

No one can ensure C++/Java will still be the trend. Python is catching up!

RA vs SQL?

No one can ensure SQL will still be the trend.

SQL isn't necessarily the best way to implement that, it's just the best way anyone has come up with so far.

RA

Relational Algebra is ALSO the tool for query optimisation (we will learn later)!

Relational Algebra

Relational algebra is a language for issuing queries on the data stored in a relational database.

Its core consists of 6 fundamental operations:

- Selection σ
- Projection Π
- Rename ρ
- Set union ∪
- Set difference —
- ullet Cartesian product imes

Selection

Denoted by $\sigma_P(T)$

- where T is a table, and P is a predicate on the tuples of T.
- The output is a table T' such that
 - T' has the same schema as T.
 - T' includes all and only the tuples in T satisfying P.

Each predicate can be

- a comparison using the following operators: $=, \neq, <, \leq, >, \geq$.
- multiple comparisons connected by \land (and), \lor (or) and \neg (not).

pid	name	dept	rank	sal
p1	Adam	CS	asst	6000
p2	Bob	EE	asso	8000
р3	Calvin	CS	full	10000
p4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000
<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500
<i>p</i> 6	Frank	CS	full	9000

 $\sigma_{\mathrm{name}=\text{``Bob''}}(\mathrm{PROF})$ returns:

pid	name	dept	rank	sal
p2	Bob	EE	asso	8000

pid	name	dept	rank	sal
<i>p</i> 1	Adam	CS	asst	6000
<i>p</i> 2	Bob	EE	asso	8000
р3	Calvin	CS	full	10000
p4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000
<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500
<i>p</i> 6	Frank	CS	full	9000

 $\sigma_{\rm dept="EE"~\wedge~sal~>~7000}(PROF)$ returns:

	pid	name	dept	rank	sal
Ī	p2	Bob	EE	asso	8000
	<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500

Projection

Denoted by $\Pi_A(T)$

- where T is a table, and A is a set of attributes in T.
- The output of the operation is a table T' such that
 - T' has all and only the attributes in A.
 - T' contains all the tuples of T after trimming the attributes not in A.
 - All duplicates (resulting from the trimming) are removed.

Duplicates

- Since relations are sets and the value of an RA expression is a relation, it does not include duplicates.
- Removing columns from a table can introduce duplicate rows.
 The result of a project has only one copy of each.
- Aside: DBMSs often relax the rule about duplicates, allowing you to specify whether you want them removed.

pid	name	dept	rank	sal
p1	Adam	CS	asst	6000
<i>p</i> 2	Bob	EE	asso	8000
<i>p</i> 3	Calvin	CS	full	10000
p4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000
<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500
<i>p</i> 6	Frank	CS	full	9000

 $\Pi_{\mathrm{dept}}(\mathrm{PROF})$ returns:

CS EE

pid	name	dept	rank	sal
<i>p</i> 1	Adam	CS	asst	6000
<i>p</i> 2	Bob	EE	asso	8000
<i>p</i> 3	Calvin	CS	full	10000
p4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000
<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500
<i>p</i> 6	Frank	CS	full	9000

 $\Pi_{\rm dept,\ rank}({\rm PROF})$ returns:

dept	rank
CS	asst
EE	asso
CS	full
EE	asst

Peer Assessment

PA₁

Submit Assignment

Due Monday by 23:59 Points 1
Submitting a file upload File types pdf
Available until 12 Oct at 23:59

Requirement:

attempt tutorial exercise wk1

Rename

Denoted by $\rho_s(T)$

- where T is a table, s is a string.
- The output of the operation is a table T' that is exactly the same as T, but is named to s.

Denoted by $\rho_{s(a1,a2,...,aN)}(T)$

 Table T is renamed to s with attributes renamed to a1, a2, ..., aN.

Denoted by $\rho_{oldname/newname}(T)$

• The table name or an attribute name (*oldname*) of *T* is renamed to *newname*.

pid	name	dept	rank	sal
<i>p</i> 1	Adam	CS	asst	6000
<i>p</i> 2	Bob	EE	asso	8000
<i>p</i> 3	Calvin	CS	full	10000
p4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000
<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500
<i>p</i> 6	Frank	CS	full	9000

$\rho_{\mathrm{LECT}}(\mathrm{PROF})$ returns:

LECT

pid	name	dept	rank	sal
p1	Adam	CS	asst	6000
p2	Bob	EE	asso	8000
р3	Calvin	CS	full	10000
p4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000
<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500
<i>p</i> 6	Frank	CS	full	9000

Union

Denoted by $T_1 \cup T_2$

- where T_1 and T_2 are tables with the same schema.
- The output of the operation is a table T' such that
 - T' has the same schema as T_1 (and hence, T_2).
 - T' contains all the tuples of T_1 and T_2 , after removing duplicates.

pid	name	dept	rank	sal
p1	Adam	CS	asst	6000
p2	Bob	EE	asso	8000
<i>p</i> 3	Calvin	CS	full	10000
p4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000
<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500
<i>p</i> 6	Frank	CS	full	9000

 $\sigma_{\rm sal} < 5000 ({\rm PROF}) \cup \sigma_{\rm sal} > 10000 ({\rm PROF})$ returns:

pid	name	dept	rank	sal
<i>p</i> 3	Calvin	CS	full	10000
p4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000

Set Difference

Denoted by $T_1 - T_2$

- where T_1 and T_2 are tables with the same schema.
- The output of the operation is a table T' such that
 - T' has the same schema as T_1 (and hence, T_2).
 - T' contains all the tuples that appear in T_1 but not in T_2 , after removing duplicates.

PROF

pid	name	dept	rank	sal
p1	Adam	CS	asst	6000
p2	Bob	EE	asso	8000
<i>p</i> 3	Calvin	CS	full	10000
p4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000
<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500
<i>p</i> 6	Frank	CS	full	9000

 $\Pi_{\textit{rank}}(\sigma_{\textit{sal} \geq 8000}(\mathrm{PROF})) - \Pi_{\textit{rank}}(\sigma_{\textit{sal} \geq 9000}(\mathrm{PROF})) \text{ returns?}$

	pid	name	dept	rank	sal	
	p1	Adam	CS	asst	6000	
	p2	Bob	EE	asso	8000	
	<i>p</i> 3	Calvin	CS	full	10000	
	p4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000	
	<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500	
$\Pi_{rank}(\sigma_{sal} \geq 8000)$	<i>p</i> 6	Frank	CS	full	9000))

	pid	name	dept	rank	sal	
	p1	Adam	CS	asst	6000	
	p2	Bob	EE	asso	8000	
	<i>p</i> 3	Calvin	CS	full	10000	
	p4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000	
	<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500	
$\Pi_{rank}(\sigma_{sal\geq 9000}($	<i>p</i> 6	Frank	CS	full	9000	,

	pid	name	dept	rank	sal		
	p1	Adam	CS	asst	6000	-	
	p2	Bob	EE	asso	8000	· 🗸	
	р3	Calvin	CS	full	10000	√	
	p4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000		
	<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500	✓	
$\Pi_{rank}(\sigma_{sal} \geq 8000)$	<i>p</i> 6	Frank	CS	full	9000	√)

	pid	name	dept	rank	sal	
	p1	Adam	CS	asst	6000	
	p2	Bob	EE	asso	8000	
	р3	Calvin	CS	full	10000	√
	p4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000	
	<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500	
$\Pi_{rank}(\sigma_{sal\geq 9000}($	<i>p</i> 6	Frank	CS	full	9000	~

	pid	name	name dept ran		sal
Ī	p2	Bob	EE	asso	8000
Ī	р3	Calvin	CS	full	10000
	<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500
	<i>p</i> 6	Frank	CS	full	9000

 $\Pi_{rank}($

	pid	name	dept	rank	sal
	<i>p</i> 3	Calvin	CS	full	10000
\prod_{rank}	<i>p</i> 6	Frank	CS	full	9000

rank

asso

full

rank

asso

rank

full

Cartesian product

Denoted by $T_1 \times T_2$

- where T_1 and T_2 are tables.
- The output of the operation is a table T such that
 - The schema of T includes all the attributes in T_1 and T_2 (if an attribute in T_1 has the same name as an attribute in T_2 , they are treated as different attributes in T).
 - For every tuple $t_1 \in T_1$ and $t_2 \in T_2$, T contains a tuple t whose values are the same as t_1 (t_2) on the attributes from T_1 (T_2).

Cartesian product $(T \leftarrow T_1 \times T_2)$ - in another word: The output of the operations is a table T with every combination of a tuple from T_1 concatenated to a tuple from T_2

- T's schema is every attribute from T_1 followed by every attribute of T_2 , in order
- How many tuples are in $T_1 \times T_2$?
- If an attribute occurs in both tables, it occurs twice in the result

PROF

TEACH

	pid	name	\mathbf{dept}	rank	sal
	p1	Adam	CS	asst	6000
	p2	Bob	EE	asso	8000
	p3	Calvin	CS	full	10000
_	p4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000
_	p5	Emily	EE	asso	8500

cid	year
c1	2011
c2	2012
c2	2012
	c1 c2

 $PROF \times TEACH$ returns the table in the next slide.

pid	name	dept	rank	sal	pid	cid	year
<i>p</i> 1	Adam	CS	asst	6000	p_1	<i>c</i> ₁	2011
<i>p</i> 2	Bob	EE	asso	8000	p_1	<i>c</i> ₁	2011
р3	Calvin	CS	full	10000	p_1	<i>c</i> ₁	2011
p4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000	p_1	<i>c</i> ₁	2011
<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500	p_1	<i>c</i> ₁	2011
<i>p</i> 1	Adam	CS	asst	6000	<i>p</i> ₂	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
<i>p</i> 2	Bob	EE	asso	8000	p_2	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
р3	Calvin	CS	full	10000	p_2	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
p4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000	p_2	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500	<i>p</i> ₂	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
p1	Adam	CS	asst	6000	p_1	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
<i>p</i> 2	Bob	EE	asso	8000	p_1	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
р3	Calvin	CS	full	10000	p_1	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
p4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000	p_1	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500	p_1	<i>c</i> ₂	2012

pid	name	dept	rank	sal	pid	cid	year
p1	Adam	CS	asst	6000	p_1	c_1	2011
<i>p</i> 2	Bob	EE	asso	8000	p_1	c_1	2011
р3	Calvin	CS	full	10000	p_1	c_1	2011
р4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000	p_1	c_1	2011
<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500	p_1	c_1	2011
ρl	Adam	CS	asst	6000	p_2	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
<i>p</i> 2	Bob	EE	asso	8000	p_2	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
р3	Calvin	CS	full	10000	p_2	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
р4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000	<i>p</i> ₂	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500	<i>p</i> ₂	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
pΊ	Adam	CS	asst	6000	p_1	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
p2	Bob	EE	asso	8000	p_1	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
рЗ	Calvin	CS	full	10000	p_1	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
р4	Dorothy	EE	asst	5000	p_1	<i>c</i> ₂	2012
<i>p</i> 5	Emily	EE	asso	8500	p_1	<i>c</i> ₂	2012