

# MATH 115A HW 4

Mateo Umaguing

October 21, 2021

1. (a) A function which is injective, but not surjective.

$$f(x) = (x, 1)$$

- (b) A function which is surjective, but not injective.

$$f(x, y) = x + y$$

- (c) A function which is bijective.

$$f(x) = x^3$$

2. Textbook 2.1 # 17

- (a) If  $\dim(V) < \dim(W)$ , then  $T$  cannot be onto.

*Proof.*

Let  $T$  be an onto linear transformation.

$$\text{nullity}(T) + \text{rank}(T) = \dim(V) \text{ (Rank-Nullity Theorem)}$$

$$\text{Im}(T) = W \text{ (def. of onto)}$$

$$\therefore \text{rank}(T) = \dim(W)$$

$$\therefore \text{nullity}(T) + \dim(W) = \dim(V)$$

$$\text{nullity}(T) = \dim(V) - \dim(W)$$

The nullity of  $T$  cannot be negative, thus  $\dim(V) \geq \dim(W)$  if  $T$  is onto.

$\therefore$  if  $\dim(V) < \dim(W)$ , then  $T$  cannot be onto.  $\square$

- (b) If  $\dim(V) > \dim(W)$ , then  $T$  cannot be one-to-one.

*Proof.*

Let  $T$  be a one-to-one linear transformation.

$$\ker(T) = \{v \in V | T(v) = \vec{0}\},$$

$\therefore$  since  $T(v_1) = T(v_2) = w \implies v_1 = v_2$  (def. of one-to-one) and

$$T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0} \text{ (def. of lin. transformation),}$$

$$v = \vec{0} \text{ if } T(v) = \vec{0}$$

$$\therefore \text{nullity}(T) = 0$$

$$\text{nullity}(T) + \text{rank}(T) = \dim(V) \text{ (Rank-Nullity Theorem)}$$

$$0 + \text{rank}(T) = \dim(V)$$

$\text{rank}(T) \leq \dim(W) \therefore \dim(V) \leq \dim(W)$  if  $T$  is one-to-one.

$\therefore$  if  $\dim(V) > \dim(W)$ , then  $T$  cannot be one-to-one.  $\square$

3. Textbook 2.1 # 21

- (a)  $T$  (left shift) and  $U$  (right shift) are linear.  
*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Let } a &= (a_1, \dots, a_n) \text{ and } b = (b_1, \dots, b_n), \quad a, b \in V \\ T(a) &= T(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_2, a_3, \dots) \\ T(b) &= T(b_1, b_2, \dots) = (b_2, b_3, \dots) \\ T(a) + T(b) &= (a_2 + b_2, a_3 + b_3, \dots) \\ T(a + b) &= T(a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots) = (a_2 + b_2, a_3 + b_3, \dots) \\ \therefore T(a) + T(b) &= T(a + b)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}T(\lambda a) &= T(\lambda a_1, \lambda a_2, \dots) = (\lambda a_2, \lambda a_3, \dots) \\ \lambda T(a) &= \lambda T(a_1, a_2, \dots) = \lambda(a_2, a_3, \dots) = (\lambda a_2, \lambda a_3, \dots) \\ \therefore T(\lambda a) &= \lambda T(a)\end{aligned}$$

Since  $T(a) + T(b) = T(a + b)$  and  $T(\lambda a) = \lambda T(a)$ ,  $T$  is linear.

$$\begin{aligned}U(a) &= U(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (0, a_1, a_2, \dots) \\ U(b) &= U(b_1, b_2, \dots) = (0, b_1, b_2, \dots) \\ U(a) + U(b) &= (0, a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots) \\ U(a + b) &= U(a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots) = (0, a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots) \\ \therefore U(a) + U(b) &= U(a + b)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}U(\lambda a) &= U(\lambda a_1, \lambda a_2, \dots) = (0, \lambda a_1, \lambda a_2, \dots) \\ \lambda U(a) &= \lambda U(a_1, a_2, \dots) = \lambda(0, a_1, a_2, \dots) = (0, \lambda a_1, \lambda a_2, \dots) \\ \therefore U(\lambda a) &= \lambda U(a)\end{aligned}$$

Since  $U(a) + U(b) = U(a + b)$  and  $U(\lambda a) = \lambda U(a)$ ,  $U$  is linear.  $\square$

- (b)  $T$  is onto, but not one-to-one.  
*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}v &= (v_1, v_2, \dots), v_i \in F, v \text{ is any vector } \in V. \\ \text{Since } v_i &\text{ represents any field element, } (v_1, v_2, \dots) \text{ represents the entirety of } V. \\ T(v_1, v_2, \dots) &= (v_2, v_3, \dots) \quad \forall v \in V \\ (v_2, v_3, \dots) &\text{ also represents the entirety of } V \text{ since } \{v_2, v_3, \dots\} \text{ can be any field element} \\ \therefore \text{Im}(T) &= V \\ T : V &\rightarrow V \text{ and } \text{Im}(T) = V \therefore T \text{ is onto.}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Let } a &= (a_1, c_2, c_3, \dots) \text{ and } b = (b_1, c_2, c_3, \dots) \\ a &\neq b \\ T(a) &= (c_2, c_3, \dots), \quad T(b) = (c_2, c_3) \\ \therefore T(a) &= T(b) \text{ A one-to-one transformation implies if } T(v_1) = T(v_2), \quad v_1 = v_2 \\ \therefore \text{since } a &\neq b \text{ and } T(a) = T(b), \quad T \text{ is not one-to-one.} \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

- (c)  $U$  is one-to-one, but not onto.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Let } a &= (a_1, a_2, \dots), \quad b = (b_1, b_2, \dots) : a \neq b \\ U(a) &= (0, a_1, a_2, \dots), \quad U(b) = (0, b_1, b_2, \dots) \\ U(a) &\neq U(b) \quad \forall a, b \in V \\ \therefore \nexists a, b : a &\neq b, \quad U(a) = U(b) \text{ and thus } U \text{ is one-to-one.}\end{aligned}$$

$v = (v_1, v_2, \dots), v_i \in F, v$  is any vector  $\in V$ .

$$U(v) = (0, v_1, v_2, \dots) \quad \forall v \in V$$

Even if  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$  represented all vectors in  $V$ ,  $U(v)$  does not.

Let  $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots), w \in V, w_1 \neq 0$ .

$w \notin \text{Im}(U)$  since all vectors in  $U$  start with 0,  $\therefore \text{Im}(U) \neq V$

Since  $\text{Im}(U) \neq V$ ,  $U$  is not onto.  $\square$

#### 4. Textbook 2.1 # 22

- (a)  $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is linear. There exist scalars  $a, b, c : T(x, y, z) = ax + by + cz \quad \forall (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .  
*Proof.*

$\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$

$\therefore \forall (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3, (x, y, z) = xe_1 + ye_2 + ze_3$  for some values  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$

Let  $T(e_1) = a, T(e_2) = b, T(e_3) = c$

$T(xe_1) = xa, T(ye_2) = yb, T(ze_3) = zc$  (linearity)

$\therefore T(xe_1 + ye_2 + ze_3) = xa + yb + zc$  (linearity)

$\therefore T(x, y, z) = xa + yb + zc = ax + by + cz \quad \square$

- (b)  $T : F^n \rightarrow F$

For a vector space  $F^n$  with basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  (in which every element can be represented by  $x_1v_1 + \dots + x_nv_n$ ),  $T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n$  for some values  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$ .

- (c)  $T : F^m \rightarrow F^n$

$(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in F^m$

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1m}x_m \\ \vdots \\ a_{n1}x_1 + \dots + a_{nm}x_m \end{pmatrix}$$

for some values  $a_{ij} \in F$

#### 5. Textbook 2.1 # 23

$$T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Let  $(x, y, z)$  represent any vector in  $\mathbb{R}^3$

$$T(x, y, z) = ax + by + cz$$

$$\ker(T) = \{(x, y, z) : ax + by + cz = 0\}$$

$$ax + by + cz = 0$$

This is the equation for a plane. Thus, the kernel of  $T$  is a plane in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that goes through the origin.

#### 6. Textbook 2.1 # 24

If  $s \in K$ , then  $K = \{s\} + \ker(T)$ .

*Proof.*

$$K = \{x \in V : T(x) = b\}$$

$$T(s) = b \text{ (def. of } K)$$

Let  $v =$  any vector in  $\ker(T)$

$$T(v) = \vec{0} \text{ (def. of kernel)}$$

$$\{s\} + \ker(T) = \{s + v; s \in K, v \in \ker(T)\}$$

Let  $w \in \{s + v; s \in K, v \in \ker(T)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} w = s + v, T(w) &= T(s + v) = T(s) + T(v) \text{ (linearity)} \\ &= b + \vec{0} = b \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore w \in K \text{ and } K = \{s + v; s \in K, v \in \ker(T)\} \quad \square$$

7. Textbook 2.2 # 1

- (a) T    (b) T    (c) F  
(d) T    (e) T    (f) F

8. Textbook 2.2 # 2

- (a)  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $T(a_1, a_2) = (2a_1 - a_2, 3a_1 + 4a_2, a_1)$   
3  $\times$  2 matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \\ c_{31} & c_{32} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11}a_1 + c_{12}a_2 \\ c_{21}a_1 + c_{22}a_2 \\ c_{31}a_1 + c_{32}a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$c_{11}a_1 + c_{12}a_2 = 2a_1 - a_2$$

$$c_{21}a_1 + c_{22}a_2 = 3a_1 + 4a_2$$

$$c_{31}a_1 + c_{32}a_2 = a_1$$

$$c_{11} = 2, \quad c_{12} = -1$$

$$c_{21} = 3, \quad c_{22} = 4$$

$$c_{31} = 1, \quad c_{32} = 0$$

$$[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (b)  $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $T(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (2a_1 + 3a_2, a_1 + a_3)$   
2  $\times$  3 matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11}a_1 + c_{12}a_2 + c_{13}a_3 \\ c_{21}a_1 + c_{22}a_2 + c_{23}a_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$c_{11}a_1 + c_{12}a_2 + c_{13}a_3 = 2a_1 + 3a_2$$

$$c_{21}a_1 + c_{22}a_2 + c_{23}a_3 = a_1 + a_3$$

$$c_{11} = 2, \quad c_{12} = 3, \quad c_{13} = 0$$

$$c_{21} = 1, \quad c_{22} = 0, \quad c_{23} = 1$$

$$[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(f)  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $T(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1)$

$$[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

A matrix with a 1 in the diagonal starting from the upper-rightmost position toward the lower-leftmost.

(g)  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $T(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = a_1 + a_n$

$$[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = (1, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$$

9. Textbook 2.2 # 4

$$T : \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}), T \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = (a+b) + (2d)x + bx^2$$

$$\beta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \gamma = \{1, x, x^2\}$$

Since  $\dim(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})) = 3$  and  $\dim(\text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})) = 4$ ,  $[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$  will be a  $3 \times 4$  matrix.

Find the transformation of the ordered basis vectors.

$$T \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (1+0)1 + (2 \cdot 0)x + (0)x^2 = (1)1 + (0)x + (0)x^2$$

$$T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (0+1)1 + (2 \cdot 0)x + (1)x^2 = (1)1 + (0)x + (1)x^2$$

$$T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (0+0)1 + (2 \cdot 0)x + (0)x^2 = (0)1 + (0)x + (0)x^2$$

$$T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (0+0)1 + (2 \cdot 1)x + (0)x^2 = (0)1 + (2)x + (0)x^2$$

Use the coefficients of the transformed ordered basis vectors as columns of  $[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$ .

$$[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$