

Jagiellonian University in Krakow

Faculty of Physics, Astronomy and Applied Computer Science

*Department of Physics of Nanostructures and Nanotechnology*

**Nanomechanics of individual structures in endothelial  
cells studied by multiparameter AFM-based  
experimental methods**

Grzegorz Brzezinka

WARNING – IT IS A DRAFT

generated 10th June 2014

PhD dissertation  
supervised by  
prof. dr. hab. Marek Szymoński

Kraków 2014

Acknowledgements here

# Contents

<b>1. Preface</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2. Cellular structures determining mechanical properties of cells</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>I Theoretical description of cell nanoindentation with an AFM probe</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1. Working principle</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2. Modeling the interaction</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1. Electrostatic . . . . .	7
2.2. Polymer bursh . . . . .	7
2.3. Elastic deformation . . . . .	7
2.4. Hyperelastic . . . . .	7
<b>II Identifying individual cellular structures</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>1. Cortical actin cytoskeleton</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2. Endothelial glycocalyx</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>III Influence of measurement conditions</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>1. Cell fixation</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2. Tip-induced mechanotransduction</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>IV Time relaxation</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>1. Methodology</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>2. Model</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>3. Results and discussion</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>V Cell-cell interaction</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>1. Methodology</b>	<b>11</b>

<b>2. Model</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>3. Results and discussion</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>VI Conclusions</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>List of figures</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>List of tables</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Appendix A. Something additional</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Appendix B. And even less important</b>	<b>17</b>

## Part I

# Introduction

## 1. Preface

Thanks to the rapid development of experimental techniques at the cellular level, over the last century there was a tremendous progress in the fields of human physiology, immunology and therapeutics. The breakthrough discoveries were based mainly on the analysis of biochemistry (either intercellular or intracellular molecular pathways). Mechanical properties of investigated objects were often either completely neglected, or strongly underestimated. However, all living cells are exposed to the action of external forces: fluid-mediated (eg. blood flow) or structure-mediated (eg. body weight strain in bones). The process of converting external mechanical stimuli into biochemical signals (and in turn into physiological responses) is called mechanotransduction.

The most appealing discovery, which evidences for the importance of mechanical properties, refers to the fate of stem cells. In 2006 Engler *et al.* identified a new factor capable to regulate the fate of stem cells: the elasticity of the microenvironment (matrix). Namely, by changing the elastic properties of the substrate, stem cells could be directed towards muscle, bone or even neuronal lineages [1]. On the grounds of the recent discoveries, the new field of science have emerged: *mechanobiology*. It is targeted to study the mechanotransduction processes at the level of tissues and cells, and the way it influences the development, physiology and diseases. One may wonder what is the range of forces capable to elicit a cellular response. It is reasonable to assume, that the effect of force should exceed the energy of thermal fluctuations. At  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$  the thermal energy,  $kT$ , is about  $4 \text{ pN} \cdot \text{nm}$ . Considering the conformational changes in peptide-based molecular transducers have a characteristic length scale within the range of  $1 - 10 \text{ nm}$ , then it would correspond to the force of  $0.4 - 4 \text{ pN}$ . Interestingly, Finner *et al.* (1994) have estimated, that a single myosin molecule, which drives contractility action and thus can induce cell signaling, is capable to produce a force  $3 - 4 \text{ pN}$  [2]. Therefore, it turns out that mechanotransduction is induced by forces only slightly higher than thermal fluctuations, *i.e.* within the range of  $10^0 - 10^1$  piconewtons.

Physiological relevance of endothelial cells nanomechanics

Techniques to mechanically probing the cell

Cele pracy i struktura pracy

This work was supported by the European Union from the resources of the

European Regional Development Fund under the Innovative Economy Programme (grant coordinated by JCET-UJ, No POIG.01.01.02-00- 69/09). Part of this project (tip-induced mechanotransduction) has been also supported by the grant of the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education number 7150/E-338/M/2013.

## **2. Cellular structures determining mechanical properties of cells**

## Part II

# Theoretical description of cell nanoinentation with an AFM probe

### 1. Working principle

### 2. Modeling the interaction

#### 2.1. Electrostatic

#### 2.2. Polymer bursh

#### 2.3. Elastic deformation

#### 2.4. Hyperelastic

## Part III

# Identifying individual cellular structures

1. Cortical actin cytoskeleton
2. Endothelial glycocalyx



## Part IV

# Influence of measurement conditions

1. Cell fixation
2. Tip-induced mechanotransduction

## Part V

# Time relaxation

- 1. Methodology**
- 2. Model**
- 3. Results and discussion**

## Part VI

# Cell-cell interaction

1. Methodology
2. Model
3. Results and discussion

## Part VII

# Conclusions

## References

- [1] EVEN-RAM, S., ARTYM, V., AND YAMADA, K. M. Matrix control of stem cell fate. *Cell* 126, 4 (Aug. 2006), 645–7.
- [2] SILBERBERG, Y. R., PELLING, A. E., YAKUBOV, G. E., CRUM, W. R., HAWKES, D. J., AND HORTON, M. A. Mitochondrial displacements in response to nanomechanical forces. *Journal of molecular recognition : JMR* 21, 1 (2008), 30–6.

## List of Figures

## List of Tables

# Appendix



**A. Something additional**

**B. And even less important**