Lecture on Sinkhorn

Flavien LÉGER

math+econ+code,

June 14 2022

1. Introduction and notation

Exogenous: (\mathcal{X}, n) , (\mathcal{Y}, m) , Φ_{xy} , T > 0.

Assume that

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} n_x = 1, \quad \sum_{y \in Y} m_y = 1.$$

Choo-Siow model = entropic optimal transport in applied math

$$\min_{\mu \in \Pi(n,m)} \sum_{x,y} -\Phi_{xy} \mu_{xy} + 2T \mu_{xy} \log \mu_{xy}, \tag{P}$$

where

$$\Pi(n, m) = \{ \mu \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} : \mu_{xy} \ge 0, \sum_{y} \mu_{xy} = n_x, \sum_{x} \mu_{xy} = m_y \}.$$

2. Minimum entropy

Definition. Let μ , $\tilde{\mu}$ be two measures over a finite set Z such that

s over a finite set
$$Z$$
 such
$$\sum_{z \in Z} \mu_z = \sum_{z \in Z} \tilde{\mu}_z = 1.$$

The Kullback--Leibler divergence or relative entropy is defined by

$$\mathrm{KL}(\mu|\tilde{\mu}) = \sum_{z \in Z} \mu_z \log(\mu_z/\tilde{\mu}_z)$$

Fact.

- $KL(\mu|\tilde{\mu}) \ge 0$
- $KL(\mu|\tilde{\mu}) \neq KL(\tilde{\mu}|\mu)$.

Proof. Use Jensen's inequality

$$\mathrm{KL}(\mu|\tilde{\mu}) = \sum_{z} -\log(\tilde{\mu}_z/\mu_z)\mu_z \ge -\log(\sum_{z} \tilde{\mu}_z/\mu_z \cdot \mu_z) = -\log(1) = 0.$$

Idea: KL is like a distance between μ and $\tilde{\mu}$.

We now rewrite (P) as a minimum entropy problem:

$$\min_{\mu \in \Pi(n,m)} \sum_{x,y} -\Phi_{xy} \mu_{xy} + 2T \mu_{xy} \log \mu_{xy} = \min_{\mu \in \Pi(n,m)} \sum_{x,y} (C - \Phi_{xy}) \mu_{xy} + 2T \mu_{xy} \log \mu_{xy} - C$$

$$= \min_{\mu \in \Pi(n,m)} 2T \sum_{x,y} \frac{C - \Phi_{xy}}{2T} \mu_{xy} + \mu_{xy} \log \mu_{xy} - C$$

$$= \min_{\mu \in \Pi(n,m)} 2T \sum_{x,y} \mu_{xy} \log \left(\frac{\mu_{xy}}{e^{\frac{\Phi_{xy} - C}{2T}}}\right) - C$$

Choose C > 0 such that

$$\sum_{x,y}e^{\frac{\Phi_{xy}-C}{2T}}=1,$$

i.e. $C = 2T \log(\sum_{xy} e^{\frac{\Phi_{xy}}{2T}})$,and let

$$R_{xy} = e^{\frac{\Phi_{xy} - C}{2T}}$$

We showed:

$$(P) = \min_{\mu \in \Pi(n,m)} 2T \text{ KL}(\mu|R) - C$$

From now on we suppose that 2T = 1 and C = 0

Fact. Problem (P) is equivalent to the minimum entropy problem

$$\min_{\mu \in \Pi(n,m)} \mathrm{KL}(\mu | R)$$

with R a reference measure such that $\sum_{x,y} R_{xy} = 1$.

3. Dual problem and Sinkhorn

Any convex minimization problem admits a dual concave maximization problem. Here:

$$\max_{u,v} \sum_{x} u_{x} n_{x} + \sum_{y} v_{y} m_{y} - \sum_{x,y} e^{u_{x} + v_{y}} R_{xy}$$
 (D)

Duality link. Primal variable μ and dual variables u, v are linked by the formula

$$\mu_{xy} = e^{u_x + v_y} R_{xy}.$$

We can recover μ from u and v.

Algorithm. Good thing about (D): unconstrained maximization.

Let
$$D(u, v) = \sum_{x} u_{x} n_{x} + \sum_{y} v_{y} m_{y} - \sum_{x,y} e^{u_{x} + v_{y}} R_{xy}$$
.

The Sinkhorn algorithm solves (D) by alternating maximization of D(u, v):

Given iterates (u^k, v^k) , compute

$$u^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmax} D(u, v^k)$$
 (Sink1)

$$v^{k+1} = \underset{v}{\operatorname{argmax}} D(u^{k+1}, v)$$
 (Sink2)

Question: are the updates simple to compute and in close form? YES

Recall the primal problem

$$\min_{\mu \in \Pi(n,m)} \mathrm{KL}(\mu | R),$$

where $\mu \in \Pi(n,m)$ means $\sum_y \mu_{xy} = n_x$ and $\sum_x \mu_{xy} = m_y$. We define

$$\Pi(n,*) = \{ \mu_{xy} \ge 0 : \sum_{v} \mu_{xy} = n_x \}$$

and

$$\Pi(*, m) = \{ \mu_{xy} \ge 0 : \sum_{x} \mu_{xy} = m_y \}$$

 $\Pi(n,*)$ consists of nonnegative matrices whose sums along the rows is n.

 $\Pi(*, m)$ consists of nonnegative matrices whose sums along the columns is m.

$$\Pi(n, m) = \Pi(n, *) \cap \Pi(*, m).$$

Fact. Sinkhorn's updates correspond to alternating rescaling of the rows/columns.

Proof.

At step k we have constructed u^k , v^k . The corresponding primal quantity is

$$\mu_{xy}^k = e^{u_x^k + v_y^k} R_{xy}$$

Compute

$$\frac{\partial D(u,v)}{\partial u_x} = n_x - \sum_y e^{u_x + v_y} R_{xy}$$

So (Sink1) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{v} e^{u_x^{k+1} + v_y^k} R_{xy} = n_x.$$

Write it as

$$e^{u_x^{k+1}-u_x^k}\sum_{y}e^{u_x^k+v_y^k}R_{xy}=n_x,$$

i.e.

$$e^{u_x^{k+1}-u_x^k}\sum_{v}\mu_{xy}^k=n_x.$$

Let

$$\tilde{\mu}_{xy}^k = e^{u_x^{k+1} - u_x^k} \mu_{xy}^k$$

Then $\tilde{\mu}^k$ is a rescaling of the rows of μ^k such that

$$\tilde{\mu}^k \in \Pi(n,*).$$

Similarly the update (Sink2) is

$$\sum_{x} e^{u_x^{k+1} + v_y^{k+1}} R_{xy} = m_y,$$

i.e.

$$e^{v_y^{k+1}-v_y^k}\sum_{x}\tilde{\mu}_{xy}^k=m_y,$$

We let

$$\mu_{xy}^{k+1} = e^{v_y^{k+1} - v_y^k} \tilde{\mu}_{xy}^k$$

which is a rescaling of the columns of $\tilde{\mu}^k$ such that

$$\mu_{k+1} \in \Pi(*, m).$$

Remark. we thus see that Sinkhorn can be implemented with primal variables μ^k or dual variables u^k , v^k .

In optimal transport Φ and thus R is often given by a formula. In this case dual variables are better.

4. Sinkhorn as entropic projections

Recall our primal problem

$$\min_{\mu} KL(\mu|R)$$

over the constraint

$$\mu \in \Pi(n,*) \cap \Pi(*,m)$$

Recall Sinkhorn: given μ^k , it computes

- $\tilde{\mu}^k \in \Pi(n,*)$ by scaling the rows of μ^k ,
- $\mu^{k+1} \in \Pi(*, m)$ by scaling the columns of $\tilde{\mu}^k$.

Fact. Sinkhorn can be seen as the entropic projections

$$\tilde{\mu}^{k} = \underset{\mu \in \Pi(n,*)}{\operatorname{argmin}} \operatorname{KL}(\mu|\mu^{k}),$$
$$\mu^{k+1} = \underset{\mu \in \Pi(*,m)}{\operatorname{argmin}} \operatorname{KL}(\mu|\tilde{\mu}^{k}).$$

Proof. Let's look at

$$\min_{\mu \in \Pi(n,*)} KL(\mu | \mu^k) = \min_{\mu \in \Pi(n,*)} \sum_{x,y} \mu_{xy} \log(\mu_{xy}/\mu_{xy}^k),$$

and recall that the constraint means $\sum_y \mu_{xy} = n_x$. Introduce Lagrange multiplier λ_x and write

$$\min_{\mu \in \Pi(n,*)} \sum_{x,y} \mu_{xy} \log(\mu_{xy}/\mu_{xy}^k) = \min_{\mu \geq 0} \max_{\lambda} \sum_{x,y} \mu_{xy} \log(\mu_{xy}/\mu_{xy}^k) + \sum_{x} \lambda_x (n_x - \sum_{y} \mu_{xy})$$

We find

$$\max_{\lambda} \min_{\mu \geq 0} \sum_{x,y} \mu_{xy} \log(\mu_{xy}/\mu_{xy}^k) - \lambda_x \mu_{xy} + \sum_x \lambda_x n_x.$$

Derivative w.r.t. μ_{xy} :

$$\log(\mu_{xy}/\mu_{xy}^k) = \lambda_x - 1,$$

i.e.

$$\mu_{xy} = \mu_{xy}^k e^{\lambda_x - 1}$$

So $\tilde{\mu}^k_{xy}$ is a rescaling of the rows of μ^k and belongs to $\Pi(n,*)$, this is exactly step (Sink1).

POCS. Sinkhorn is thus a generalization to KL of the classical projection onto convex sets (POCS) algorithm.

C and D two convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^d with $C \cap D \neq \emptyset$.

Euclidean norm $||q||^2 = \sum_i q_i^2$.

Want to find $q^* \in C \cap D$.

$$\tilde{q}^k = \underset{q \in C}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|q - q^k\|^2,$$

$$q^{k+1} = \underset{q \in D}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|q - \tilde{q}^k\|^2.$$

Then $q^k \to q^*$ with $q^* \in C \cap D$ closest to initial q^0 .

Sinkhorn is POCS where regular projections are replaced with *entropic projections*. For Sinkhorn $C=\Pi(n \ *)$ and $D=\Pi(* \ m)$ which are convex 1