SALIH KILICLI - STAT 624 HOMEWORK 2

---- SETUP ----

module load intel/2019

done

I have written a shell file that runs a couple for loops and compares the Intel and GNU libraries and their options in terms of performance. The file is called hw2.sh, and the code is given below.

Finally, I made the shell file executable and then run it on my Terra account.

chmod u+x hw2.sh ./hw2.sh --- HW2.SH FILE ----# Compiler Optimization Comparison - Intel vs GNU with different options # Runs a for loop for different options for each compiler and times the process # 00 (No optimization), 01 (Some for speed), 02 (All for speed), 03 (Aggressive), -fast (or -Ofast for GNU) IC=("-00" "-01" "-02" "-03" "-fast") GNU=("-00" "-01" "-02" "-03" "-0fast") echo " echo "Comparing Intel Fortran vs GNU Fortran w/ different optimizers" echo "_ for i in {0..4} do ifort \${IC[\$i]} -o brem brem.f gammln.f echo "Time for" \${IC[\$i]} "optimization of Intel compiler is" time ./brem < thik.inp \$> /dev/null gfortran \${GNU[\$i]} -o brem brem.f gammln.f echo "Time for" \${GNU[\$i]} "optimization of GNU compiler is" time ./brem < thik.inp \$> /dev/null

SALIH KILICLI - STAT 624 HOMEWORK 2

| echo " | | | | |
|---------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| echo | "Comparing Intel compiler options along with -O2 (defa | ult) optimizer" | | |
| echo " | | | | |
| ICO=("-xHost | t" "-ipo" "-no-prec-div") | | | |
| for j in {02] | } | | | |
| do | | | | |
| | ifort \${ICO[\$j]} -o brem brem.f gammln.f | #Intel compiler | | |
| | echo "Time for Intel compiler option" \${ICO[\$j]} "along w/ -O2 is" | | | |
| | time ./brem < thik.inp \$>/dev/null | | | |
| done | | | | |
| echo " | | | | |
| echo "Comp | aring GNU optimizer along with GNU vs Intel math librar | y | | |
| echo " | | п | | |
| | gfortran -Ofast -o brem brem.f gammln.f | #GNU compiler | | |
| | echo "Time for -Ofast optimization of GNU w/ GNU math library is" | | | |
| | time ./brem < thik.inp \$>/dev/null | | | |
| | gfortran -Ofast -o brem brem.f gammln.f -limf #GN | IU compiler with Intel math library | | |
| | echo "Time for -Ofast optimization of GNU w/ Intel m | nath library is" | | |
| | time ./brem < thik.inp \$> / dev/null | | | |
| echo " | | | | |
| | | | | |

---- FINAL REMARKS -----

Running hw2.sh executable file in Terra and collecting time information, I have created tables below in order to compare required optimizations and their options.

Table below compares different optimization methods for Intel and GNU compilers and compare them within the same optimization method.

SALIH KILICLI – STAT 624 HOMEWORK 2

| Compiler Type | Intel | GNU |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|
| -00 | real 1m27.730s | real 2m34.567s |
| | user 1m27.727s | user 2m34.565s |
| | sys 0m0.005s | sys 0m0.007s |
| -01 | real 0m48.791s | real 2m20.027s |
| | user 0m48.785s | user 2m20.023s |
| | sys 0m0.007s | sys Om0.008s |
| -02 | real Om47.627s | real 2m7.949s |
| | user 0m47.619s | user 2m7.942s |
| | sys 0m0.009s | sys Om0.009s |
| -03 | real Om47.436s | real 2m5.223s |
| | user 0m47.426s | user 2m5.216s |
| | sys 0m0.011s | sys Om0.011s |
| -fast (-Ofast GNU) | real Om15.885s | real 2m7.103s |
| | user Om15.882s | user 2m7.054s |
| | sys 0m0.004s | sys 0m0.034s |

It is evident from the table that for Intel compiler, fastest method is given by -fast option which is followed by -O2 and -O3 being really close to each other. For GNU, apparently, -O3 method works the best; however, the speed for -O3, -O2, and -Ofast options are pretty similar. Also, it is apparent that Intel compiler works much better than GNU compiler when it comes to speed. Even the fastest method of GNU is much slower that the slowest method of Intel.

Table below compares different options for Intel compiler using the default (-02) optimization method.

| Options | -xHost | -ipo | -noprec-div |
|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Intel | real Om47.097s | real Om16.937s | real Om47.161s |
| | user Om47.092s | user Om16.932s | user Om47.156s |
| | sys Om0.005s | sys Om0.006s | sys Om0.006s |

Clearly, -ipo option gives the biggest performance boost in terms of speed, and it almost works 3x times faster than the -O2 optimizer without the given option. The other two option yields pretty similar results.

The table below illustrates the difference of speed performance of GNU library's -Ofast optimizer along with default and Intel math libraries.

| Library | GNU Math Library | Intel Math Library |
|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| GNU -Ofast optimizer | real 2m6.450s | real 0m52.658s |
| | user 2m6.444s | user 0m52.651s |
| | sys 0m0.009s | sys 0m0.006s |

Again. It is clear that GNU compiler works more than 2x times faster when it is used with Intel math library (even when compared to fastest optimization method), which means the mathematical library that is used is more important than the compiler used in the code when it comes to speed.