

- 1 (a) False — an unbiased estimator for  $\theta$  will not necessarily have a smaller MSE than a biased estimator for the same  $\theta$ .

Example:  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and consider two estimators of  $\sigma^2$ :

You should  
be able to  
verify  
this.

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 \quad \text{and}$$

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2.$$

It can be shown that  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  has a smaller MSE than  $s^2 \nVdash \mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ . Recall that  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is a biased estimator of  $\sigma^2$  while  $s^2$  is unbiased!

- (b) True — the "U" in MVUE stands for unbiased

- (c) False — Counterexample:

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and define  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{X_1 + X_2}{2}$

$$E(\hat{\theta}) = \mu \Rightarrow \hat{\theta} \text{ is unbiased, but}$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\theta} \text{ is not consistent}$$

- (d) True — In class we showed that for  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  MLE for  $\sigma^2$  is  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$ , which is biased!

- (e) True

(2)

$$\boxed{2} \quad (a) \quad L(\theta | \underline{x}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta 2^{-\theta} x_i^{\theta-1} \\ = \theta^n 2^{-n\theta} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\theta-1} \right)$$

$$l(\theta | \underline{x}) = \log L(\theta | \underline{x}) = n \log \theta - n\theta \log 2 + (\theta-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} l(\theta | \underline{x}) = \frac{n}{\theta} - n \log 2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{n}{n \log 2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i} = \frac{1}{\log 2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i}$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} l(\theta | \underline{x}) = -\frac{n}{\theta} < 0 \quad \text{so } \hat{\theta} \text{ is indeed a maximum.}$$

$$(b) \quad E(X) = \int_0^2 x \cdot \theta 2^{-\theta} x^{\theta-1} dx \\ = \int_0^2 \theta 2^{-\theta} x^{\theta} dx \\ = \frac{\theta 2^{-\theta}}{\theta+1} x^{\theta+1} \Big|_0^2 \\ = \frac{\theta}{\theta+1} 2^{-\theta} (2^{\theta+1}) \\ = \frac{2\theta}{\theta+1}$$

The MOM is given by

$$E(X_1) = \bar{X}_n \iff \frac{2\tilde{\theta}}{\tilde{\theta}+1} = \bar{X}_n \\ \iff \frac{2}{\bar{X}_n} = 1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{\theta}} \\ \iff \tilde{\theta} = \frac{\bar{X}_n}{2 - \bar{X}_n}$$

(3)

[2] (c) From the WLLN we know that  $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{P} E(X_1) = \frac{2\theta}{\theta+1}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $g(y) = y(2-y)^{-1}$ , which is a continuous function for  $y \neq 2$ .

Then  $g(\bar{X}_n) = \bar{X}_n(2-\bar{X}_n)^{-1} = \tilde{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} g(E(X_1)) = \theta$ ,

so  $\tilde{\theta}$  is a consistent estimator of  $\theta$ .

[3]  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a pivotal quantity because it is a function of the data and the parameter of interest, and its distribution does not depend on any unknown parameters.

To find a  $(1-\alpha)100\%$  CI for  $\lambda$  we consider the statement:

$$P\left(a < \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i < b\right) = 1-\alpha$$

Here:  $a$  is the  $\frac{\alpha}{2}$  quantile of  $\text{Gamma}(n, \frac{1}{2})$ , and  
 $b$  is the  $1-\frac{\alpha}{2}$  quantile of  $\text{Gamma}(n, \frac{1}{2})$ .

Thus, a  $(1-\alpha)100\%$  is given by

$$2a\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^{-1} < \lambda < 2b\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^{-1}$$

or

$$\left(\frac{2a}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}, \frac{2b}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}\right)$$

4

For an estimator to be a MVUE, it must be unbiased and have smaller variance than other UEs.

$$E(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i) = \lambda \Rightarrow \bar{X}_n \text{ is an UE of } \lambda$$

Now we must show that  $\bar{X}_n$  attains the CRLB, that is

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{1}{n I_1(\lambda)}$$

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i) = \frac{\lambda}{n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\lambda) &= E \left( \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log(f(X_1, \lambda)) \right]^2 \right) \\ &= E \left( \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (-\lambda + X_1 \log \lambda - \log(X_1!)) \right]^2 \right) \\ &= E \left( \left[ -1 + \frac{X_1}{\lambda} \right]^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} E \left( [X_1 - \lambda]^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \text{Var}(X_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So the CRLB} = \frac{1}{n I_1(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{n(1/\lambda)} = \frac{\lambda}{n}. \text{ Thus,}$$

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \text{CRLB}.$$

Since  $\bar{X}_n$  is unbiased and  $\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n)$  attains the CRLB,  $\bar{X}_n$  is a MVUE.