Second assessment

Víctor Ballester Ribó NIU: 1570866

Statistics Degree in Mathematics Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona May 2022

Exercise 10. Let $X_1, \ldots X_n \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$, and $Y_1, \ldots Y_m \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$. We are interested in testing

$$H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y \quad versus \quad H_0: \mu_X \neq \mu_Y$$

with the assumption that $\sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2 =: \sigma^2$.

1. Derive the LRT for these hypotheses. Show that the LRT can be based on the statistic:

$$T = \frac{\overline{X} - \overline{Y}}{\sqrt{S_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}\right)}} \tag{1}$$

where

$$S_p^2 = \frac{1}{n+m-2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (Y_j - \overline{Y})^2 \right)$$

 $is\ the\ so-called\ pooled\ variance\ estimate.$

Resolution. First of all, to simplify the notation, let's denote $\mathbf{X} := (X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta} := (\mu_X, \mu_Y, \sigma^2)$. Let's write first the likelihood $L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{X})$ of the experiment. We have that:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(X_i - \mu_X)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(Y_j - \mu_Y)^2}{2\sigma^2}} = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n+m}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mu_X)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (Y_j - \mu_Y)^2\right]}$$

since all the random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ are pairwise independent. Under H_0 we have a sample $X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ of size n+m from a normal distribution $N(\mu_X, \sigma^2)$. But we already now that the MLE $\hat{\mu}_0$ for μ_X in this case is:

$$\hat{\mu}_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i + \sum_{j=1}^m Y_j}{n+m} = \frac{n\overline{X} + m\overline{Y}}{n+m}$$

Under H₁, notice that due to the independence of the samples the two MLEs $\hat{\mu}_X$ and $\hat{\mu}_Y$ of μ_X and μ_Y , respectively, must be the usuals ones:

$$\hat{\mu}_X = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} = \overline{X}$$
 and $\hat{\mu}_Y = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m Y_i}{m} = \overline{Y}$

Finally, since σ^2 is unknown, we must find the MLE $\hat{\sigma}^2$ of σ^2 . Let's calculate the log-likelihood $\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{X})$ and $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \sigma^2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{X})$. We have that:

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{X}) = \log L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{X}) = -\frac{n+m}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n+m}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mu_X)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (Y_j - \mu_Y)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$
$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \sigma^2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{X}) = -\frac{n+m}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mu_X)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (Y_j - \mu_Y)^2}{2\sigma^4}$$

Equating the last equation to zero, we get:

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \sigma^{2}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{X}) = 0 \iff -\frac{n+m}{2\sigma^{2}} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \mu_{X})^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (Y_{j} - \mu_{Y})^{2}}{2\sigma^{4}} = 0$$

$$\iff -(n+m) + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \mu_{X})^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (Y_{j} - \mu_{Y})^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} = 0$$

$$\iff \sigma^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \mu_{X})^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (Y_{j} - \mu_{Y})^{2}}{n+m} = : \hat{\sigma}^{2}$$

Let's verify now that ℓ has a maximum attained at $\sigma^2 = \hat{\sigma}^2$:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2} (\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{X}) \bigg|_{\sigma^2 = \hat{\sigma}^2} = \frac{n+m}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_X)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (Y_j - \mu_Y)^2}{\sigma^6} \bigg|_{\sigma^2 = \hat{\sigma}^2}$$

$$= \frac{n+m}{2\hat{\sigma}^4} - \frac{n+m}{\hat{\sigma}^4}$$

$$= -\frac{n+m}{2\hat{\sigma}^4}$$

$$< 0$$

because $\frac{n+m}{2\hat{\sigma}^4}$ is always positive. Hence $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is definitely the MLE of σ^2 . But we have to substitute the MLEs of μ_X and μ_Y , so under H_0 , $\hat{\sigma}_0^2 := \hat{\sigma}^2$ is:

$$\hat{\sigma}_0^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \hat{\mu}_0)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (Y_j - \hat{\mu}_0)^2}{n+m}$$

And in general (among all the parametric space of μ_X and μ_Y) we have:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \hat{\mu}_{X})^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (Y_{j} - \hat{\mu}_{Y})^{2}}{n+m}$$

Putting all of this together on the LRT statistic $\lambda := \frac{\sup\{L(\theta, \mathbf{X}) : \mu_X = \mu_Y \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma^2 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}}{\sup\{L(\theta, \mathbf{X}) : \mu_X, \mu_Y \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma^2 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}}$, we have:

$$\lambda = \frac{\sup\{L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{X}) : \mu_{X} = \mu_{Y} \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma^{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}}{\sup\{L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{X}) : \mu_{X}, \mu_{Y} \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma^{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}}$$

$$= \frac{(2\pi\hat{\sigma}_{0}^{2})^{-\frac{n+m}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\hat{\sigma}_{0}^{2}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \hat{\mu}_{0})^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (Y_{j} - \hat{\mu}_{0})^{2}\right]}}{(2\pi\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{2})^{-\frac{n+m}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\hat{\sigma}_{0}^{2}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \hat{\mu}_{X})^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (Y_{j} - \hat{\mu}_{Y})^{2}\right]}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{0}^{2}}{\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{n+m}{2}} \cdot \frac{e^{-\frac{n+m}{2}}}{e^{-\frac{n+m}{2}}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \hat{\mu}_{0})^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (Y_{j} - \hat{\mu}_{0})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \hat{\mu}_{X})^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (Y_{j} - \hat{\mu}_{Y})^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{n+m}{2}}$$
(2)

Now, note that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \hat{\mu}_0)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X} + \overline{X} - \hat{\mu}_0)^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2 + 2(\overline{X} - \hat{\mu}_0) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\overline{X} - \hat{\mu}_0)^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2 + n(\overline{X} - \hat{\mu}_0)^2$$

because $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i - n\overline{X} = 0$. Similarly, we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} (Y_i - \hat{\mu}_0)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (Y_i - \overline{Y})^2 + m(\overline{Y} - \hat{\mu}_0)^2$$

But
$$\hat{\mu}_0 = \frac{n\overline{X} + m\overline{Y}}{n+m}$$
, so

$$\overline{X} - \hat{\mu}_0 = \overline{X} - \frac{n\overline{X} + m\overline{Y}}{n+m} = \frac{n\overline{X} + m\overline{X} - n\overline{X} - m\overline{Y}}{n+m} = m\frac{\overline{X} - \overline{Y}}{n+m}$$

$$\overline{Y} - \hat{\mu}_0 = \overline{Y} - \frac{n\overline{X} + m\overline{Y}}{n+m} = \frac{n\overline{Y} + m\overline{Y} - n\overline{X} - m\overline{Y}}{n+m} = n\frac{\overline{Y} - \overline{X}}{n+m}$$

and the numerator of the fraction in Eq. (2) becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \hat{\mu}_0)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (Y_j - \hat{\mu}_0)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (Y_i - \overline{Y})^2 + n \cdot m^2 \frac{(\overline{X} - \overline{Y})^2}{(n+m)^2} + m \cdot n^2 \frac{(\overline{Y} - \overline{X})^2}{(n+m)^2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (Y_i - \overline{Y})^2 + \frac{nm}{n+m} (\overline{X} - \overline{Y})^2$$

So, recalling that $\hat{\mu}_X = \overline{X}$ and $\hat{\mu}_Y = \overline{Y}$, λ becomes:

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (Y_i - \overline{Y})^2 + \frac{nm}{n+m} (\overline{X} - \overline{Y})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (Y_j - \overline{Y})^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+m}{2}}$$

$$= \left(1 + \frac{\frac{nm}{n+m} (\overline{X} - \overline{Y})^2}{\frac{n+m-2}{n+m-2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \hat{\mu}_X)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (Y_j - \hat{\mu}_Y)^2\right]}\right)^{-\frac{n+m}{2}}$$

$$= \left(1 + \frac{\frac{nm}{n+m} (\overline{X} - \overline{Y})^2}{(n+m-2)S_p^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+m}{2}}$$

$$= \left(1 + \frac{(\overline{X} - \overline{Y})^2}{(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m})(n+m-2)S_p^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+m}{2}}$$

We will reject H_0 when $\lambda < \text{const. So}$:

$$\lambda < \text{const.} \iff \left(1 + \frac{(\overline{X} - \overline{Y})^2}{(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m})(n + m - 2)S_p^2}\right)^{-\frac{n + m}{2}} < \text{const.}$$

$$\iff 1 + \frac{(\overline{X} - \overline{Y})^2}{(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m})(n + m - 2)S_p^2} > \text{const.}$$

$$\iff \frac{(\overline{X} - \overline{Y})^2}{(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m})S_p^2} > \text{const.}$$

$$\iff T^2 > \text{const.}$$

$$\iff |T| > \text{const.}$$

because it goes without saying that n + m - 2 > 0.

2. Show that, under H_0 , $T \sim t_{n+m-2}$ (this is know as the two-sample t-test).

Resolution. Under H_0 we know that $\overline{X} \sim N(\mu_X, \sigma^2/n)$ and $\overline{Y} \sim N(\mu_X, \sigma^2/m)$. But since we know that $-\overline{Y} \sim N(-\mu_X, \sigma^2/m)$, we have that $\overline{X} - \overline{Y} \sim N(0, \sigma^2/n + \sigma^2/m) = N(0, \sigma^2(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}))$ and so:

$$\frac{\overline{X} - \overline{Y}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}\right)}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

On the other hand:

$${S_p}^2 = \frac{1}{n+m-2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m \left(Y_j - \overline{Y} \right)^2 \right) = \frac{(n-1){S_x}^2 + (m-1){S_y}^2}{n+m-2}$$

where S_x^2 and S_y^2 are the respective sample variances. By Fisher's theorem we know that $S_x^2 \sim \frac{\sigma^2}{n-1} \chi_{n-1}^2$ and $S_y^2 \sim \frac{\sigma^2}{m-1} \chi_{m-1}^2$. So, recalling that $\chi_a^2 + \chi_b^2 \sim \chi_{a+b}^2$ we have that:

$$S_p^2 = \frac{(n-1)S_x^2 + (m-1)S_y^2}{n+m-2} \sim \frac{(n-1)\frac{\sigma^2}{n-1}\chi_{n-1}^2 + (m-1)\frac{\sigma^2}{m-1}\chi_{m-1}^2}{n+m-2}$$
$$\sim \frac{\sigma^2\chi_{n-1}^2 + \sigma^2\chi_{m-1}^2}{n+m-2}$$
$$\sim \frac{\sigma^2}{n+m-2}\chi_{n+m-2}^2$$

Finally:

$$T = \frac{\overline{X} - \overline{Y}}{\sqrt{S_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}\right)}} = \frac{\frac{\overline{X} - \overline{Y}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}\right)}}}{\sqrt{\frac{S_p^2}{\sigma^2}}} \sim \frac{N(0, 1)}{\sqrt{\frac{X_n + m - 2^2}{n + m - 2}}} = t_{n+m-2}$$

which follows from the definition of the Stundent's t-distribution (quotient of a standard normal distribution and the square root of a chi-square random variable divided by its degrees of freedom).

3. Samples of wood were obtained from the core and periphery of a certain Byzantine church. The date of the wood was determined, giving the following data:

	1294					-		-	_	_	1232	1220	1218	1210
Periphery	1284	1272	1256	1254	1242	1274	1264	1256	1250					

Use the two-sample t-test to determine if the mean age of the core is the same as the mean age of the periphery.

Resolution. We will do the problem with a level of significance $\alpha=0.05$. We assign the letter X to the data of Core and the letter Y to the Periphery's one. In this case, we have n=14, m=9, $\overline{X}=1249.857$, $\overline{Y}=1261.333$ and $S_p^2=433.129$. Therefore, the observed value t_0 of T is: $t_0=-1.29066$. The p-value of the test will be given by:

$$p := \mathbb{P}(|T| \ge |t_0|) = \mathbb{P}(T \ge |t_0|) + \mathbb{P}(T \le -|t_0|) = 2\mathbb{P}(T \ge |t_0|) = 0.2109$$

Since $p > \alpha = 0.05$, we can't reject H_0 .