

## FINM 33000 – Homework 3: Matheus Raka Pradnyatama

### Problem 1

#### Part a)

For S/B to be a martingale,

$$\begin{aligned}E\left(\frac{S_T}{B_T}\right) &= \frac{S_0}{B_0} = \frac{50}{1} = 50 \\ \frac{S_T(\omega_u)}{B_T(\omega_u)} * p_u + \frac{S_T(\omega_m)}{B_T(\omega_m)} * p_m + \frac{S_T(\omega_d)}{B_T(\omega_d)} * p_d &= 50 \\ \frac{60}{1.2} * p_u + \frac{30}{1.2} * p_m + \frac{70}{1.2} * p_d &= 50 \\ 50p_u + 25p_m + \frac{175}{3}p_d &= 50 \\ 2p_u + p_m + \frac{7}{3}p_d &= 2 \\ 6p_u + 3p_m + 7p_d &= 6\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}p_u + p_m + p_d &= 1 \\ 7p_u + 7p_m + 7p_d &= 7\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}7p_u + 7p_m + 7p_d &= 7 \\ \underline{6p_u + 3p_m + 7p_d = 6} &- \\ p_u + 4p_m &= 1 \\ p_u &= 1 - 4p_m\end{aligned}$$

For C/B to be a martingale,

$$\begin{aligned}E\left(\frac{C_T}{B_T}\right) &= \frac{C_0}{B_0} = \frac{5}{1} = 5 \\ \frac{C_T(\omega_u)}{B_T(\omega_u)} * p_u + \frac{C_T(\omega_m)}{B_T(\omega_m)} * p_m + \frac{C_T(\omega_d)}{B_T(\omega_d)} * p_d &= 5 \\ \frac{0}{1.2} * p_u + \frac{30}{1.2} * p_m + \frac{30}{1.2} * p_d &= 5 \\ 25(p_m + p_d) &= 5 \\ p_m + p_d &= \frac{1}{5} \\ p_d &= \frac{1}{5} - p_m\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}p_u + p_m + p_d &= 1 \\ 1 - 4p_m + p_m + \frac{1}{5} - p_m &= 1\end{aligned}$$

$$-4p_m = -\frac{1}{5}$$

$$p_m = \frac{1}{20} = 0.05$$

$$p_u = 1 - 4 * \frac{1}{20} = \frac{4}{5} = 0.8$$

$$p_d = \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{20} = \frac{3}{20} = 0.15$$

$$(p_u, p_m, p_d) = (0.8, 0.05, 0.15)$$

Since there is only one unique solution our equations, there is only one martingale measure. Based on the Second Fundamental Theorem, since there is only one martingale measure, the market  $\{B, S, C\}$  is complete.

### Part b)

Let's say:

- $n_B$  is the number of units of bank account B
- $n_S$  is the number of units of stock S
- $n_C$  is the number of units of option C

For  $\omega_u$ :

$$n_B * B_T(\omega_u) + n_S * S_T(\omega_u) + n_C * C_T(\omega_u) = X_T(\omega_u)$$

$$n_B * 1.2 + n_S * 60 + n_C * 0 = 120$$

$$1.2n_B + 60n_S = 120$$

For  $\omega_m$ :

$$n_B * B_T(\omega_m) + n_S * S_T(\omega_m) + n_C * C_T(\omega_m) = X_T(\omega_m)$$

$$n_B * 1.2 + n_S * 30 + n_C * 30 = 60$$

$$1.2n_B + 30n_S + 30n_C = 60$$

For  $\omega_d$ :

$$n_B * B_T(\omega_d) + n_S * S_T(\omega_d) + n_C * C_T(\omega_d) = X_T(\omega_d)$$

$$n_B * 1.2 + n_S * 70 + n_C * 30 = 0$$

$$1.2n_B + 70n_S + 30n_C = 0$$

$$1.2n_B + 70n_S + 30n_C = 0$$

$$\underline{1.2n_B + 30n_S + 30n_C = 60} \quad -$$

$$40n_S = -60$$

$$n_S = -1.5$$

$$1.2n_B + 60n_S = 120$$

$$1.2n_B = 120 - 60(-1.5) = 210$$

$$n_B = 175$$

$$1.2n_B + 70n_S + 30n_C = 0$$

$$30n_C = -1.2n_B - 70n_S = -1.2(175) - 70(-1.5) = -105$$

$$n_C = -3.5$$

We can replicate the payoff using a portfolio of  $B, S, C$

**The replicating portfolio should be: (175 units of B, -1.5 units of S, -3.5 units of C)**

Part c)

Suppose the no-arbitrage time-0 price of payoff  $X_T$  is denoted as  $V_0$

Using the replicating portfolio

(175 units of B, -1.5 units of S, -3.5 units of C)

$$V_0 = 175 * B_0 - 1.5 * S_0 - 3.5C_0 = 175 * 1 - 1.5 * 50 - 3.5 * 5 = 82.5$$

$$V_0 = 82.5$$

Using the pricing probabilities from part (a)

$$(p_u, p_m, p_d) = (0.8, 0.05, 0.15)$$

$$V_0 = E\left(\frac{X_T}{B_T}\right) = \frac{X_T(\omega_u)}{B_T(\omega_u)} * p_u + \frac{X_T(\omega_m)}{B_T(\omega_m)} * p_m + \frac{X_T(\omega_d)}{B_T(\omega_d)} * p_d = \frac{120}{1.2} * 0.8 + \frac{60}{1.2} * 0.05 + 0$$

$$V_0 = 82.5$$

**The no-arbitrage time-0 price of payoff  $X_T$  is 82.5**

**Problem 2 Part a)** From Problem 1, we have

$$p_u + p_m + p_d = 1$$

$$p_u + 4p_m = 1$$

$$p_u = 1 - 4p_m$$

For C/B to be a martingale,

$$E\left(\frac{C_T}{B_T}\right) = \frac{C_0}{B_0} = \frac{50}{1} = 50$$

$$\frac{C_T(\omega_u)}{B_T(\omega_u)} * p_u + \frac{C_T(\omega_m)}{B_T(\omega_m)} * p_m + \frac{C_T(\omega_d)}{B_T(\omega_d)} * p_d = 50$$

$$\frac{60}{1.2} * p_u + \frac{0}{1.2} * p_m + \frac{80}{1.2} * p_d = 50$$

$$50p_u + \frac{200}{3} * p_d = 50$$

$$150p_u + 200p_d = 150$$

$$3p_u + 4p_d = 3$$

$$3p_u = 3 - 4p_d$$

$$p_u = 1 - \frac{4}{3}p_d$$

From Problem 1:

$$6p_u + 3p_m + 7p_d = 6$$

$$6p_u = 6 - 3p_m - 7p_d$$

$$p_u = 1 - \frac{1}{2}p_m - \frac{7}{6}p_d$$

$$1 - \frac{4}{3}p_d = 1 - \frac{1}{2}p_m - \frac{7}{6}p_d$$

$$\frac{7}{6}p_d - \frac{4}{3}p_d = -\frac{1}{2}p_m$$

$$-\frac{1}{6}p_d = -\frac{1}{2}p_m$$

$$p_d = 3p_m$$

$$p_u = 1 - \frac{1}{2}p_m - \frac{7}{6}p_d = 1 - \frac{1}{2}p_m - \frac{7}{6} * 3p_m = 1 - 4p_m$$

$$p_u + p_m + p_d = 1$$

$$1 - 4p_m + p_m + 3p_m = 1$$

It seems we cannot find a single solution for our equations. This means that there more than one martingale measure. Based on the Second Fundamental Theorem, since there is more than one martingale measure, the market  $\{B, S, C\}$  is incomplete.

## Problem 2 Part b)

Let's say:

- $n_B$  is the number of units of bank account B
- $n_S$  is the number of units of stock S
- $n_C$  is the number of units of option C

For  $\omega_u$ :

$$\begin{aligned}n_B * B_T(\omega_u) + n_S * S_T(\omega_u) + n_C * C_T(\omega_u) &= X_T(\omega_u) \\n_B * 1.2 + n_S * 60 + n_C * 60 &= 120 \\1.2n_B + 60n_S + 60n_C &= 120\end{aligned}$$

For  $\omega_m$ :

$$\begin{aligned}n_B * B_T(\omega_m) + n_S * S_T(\omega_m) + n_C * C_T(\omega_m) &= X_T(\omega_m) \\n_B * 1.2 + n_S * 30 + n_C * 0 &= 60 \\1.2n_B + 30n_S &= 60\end{aligned}$$

For  $\omega_d$ :

$$\begin{aligned}n_B * B_T(\omega_d) + n_S * S_T(\omega_d) + n_C * C_T(\omega_d) &= X_T(\omega_d) \\n_B * 1.2 + n_S * 70 + n_C * 80 &= 0 \\1.2n_B + 70n_S + 80n_C &= 0\end{aligned}$$

$$1.2n_B + 70n_S + 80n_C = 0$$

$$\underline{1.2n_B + 60n_S + 60n_C = 120} \quad -$$

$$10n_S + 20n_C = -120$$

$$20n_C = -120 - 10n_S$$

$$n_C = -6 - 0.5n_S$$

$$1.2n_B + 30n_S = 60$$

$$1.2n_B = -30n_S + 60$$

$$1.2n_B + 60n_S + 60n_C = 120$$

$$1.2n_B + 60n_S + 60 * (-6 - 0.5n_S) = 120$$

$$1.2n_B + 60n_S - 360 - 30n_S = 120$$

$$1.2n_B + 30n_S = 480$$

But we also have:  $1.2n_B + 30n_S = 60$

$1.2n_B + 30n_S$  cannot be both 480 and 60. This means there is no solution for our equations.

We cannot replicate the payoff using a portfolio of  $B, S, C$  because there is no solution for our equations.

### Problem 3

From Stochastic Calculus FINM 3400 Class Notes, page 30, in a Martingale Betting Strategy, the possible payoffs are +1 and -1, and the probability of getting +1 and -1 is  $\frac{1}{2}$  each.

**Example 5.4. Martingale betting strategy.** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be independent random variables with

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_j = 1\} = \mathbb{P}\{X_j = -1\} = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (8)$$

This means that in setting our bet, in which the bookie will pay me +1 dollar if the White Sox win game  $n$  and -1 dollar if the Cubs win game  $n$ , the risk-neutral probability is 0.5. Each outcome is equally likely to appear. We can use a binary tree to determine the bets in each game.

**Game 7:** We know the payoff must be +1,000 or -1,000

#### Game 6

- The series can end at Game 6, so we must have a payoff of +1,000 and -1,000
- To have a payoff of +1,000 or -1,000 (at Game 7), we can have \$0 and decide to bet \$1,000 on White Sox winning the game
  - If White Sox wins, payoff = +1,000
  - If White Sox lose, payoff = -1,000

#### Game 5

- The series can end at Game 5, so we must have a payoff of +1,000 and -1,000
- To have a payoff of +1,000 or 0 (at Game 6), we can have 500 and decide to bet 500 on White Sox winning the game
  - If White Sox wins, payoff =  $500 + 500 = +1,000$
  - If White Sox lose, payoff =  $500 - 500 = 0$
- To have a payoff of 0 or -1,000 (at Game 6), we can have -500 and decide to bet 500 on White Sox winning the game
  - If White Sox wins, payoff =  $-500 + 500 = 0$
  - If White Sox lose, payoff =  $-500 - 500 = -1,000$

#### Game 4

- The series can end at Game 4, so we must have a payoff of +1,000 and -1,000
- To have a payoff of +1,000 or +500 (at Game 5), we can have 750 and decide to bet 250 on White Sox winning the game
  - If White Sox wins, payoff =  $750 + 250 = +1,000$

- If White Sox lose, payoff =  $750 - 250 = +500$
- To have a payoff of +500 or -500 (at Game 5), we can have 0 and decide to bet 500 on White Sox winning the game
  - If White Sox wins, payoff =  $0 + 500 = +500$
  - If White Sox lose, payoff =  $0 - 500 = -500$
- To have a payoff of -500 or -1,000 (at Game 5), we can have -750 and decide to bet 250 on White Sox winning the game
  - If White Sox wins, payoff =  $-750 + 250 = -500$
  - If White Sox lose, payoff =  $-750 - 250 = -1,000$

### Game 3

- To have a payoff of +1,000 or +750 (at Game 4), we can have  $\frac{1000+750}{2} = 875$  and decide to bet 125 on White Sox winning the game
  - If White Sox wins, payoff =  $875 + 125 = +1,000$
  - If White Sox lose, payoff =  $875 - 125 = +750$
- To have a payoff of +750 or 0 (at Game 4), we can have  $\frac{750+0}{2} = 375$  and decide to bet 375 on White Sox winning the game
  - If White Sox wins, payoff =  $375 + 375 = +750$
  - If White Sox lose, payoff =  $375 - 375 = 0$
- To have a payoff of 0 or -750 (at Game 4), we can have  $\frac{-750+0}{2} = -375$  and decide to bet 375 on White Sox winning the game
  - If White Sox wins, payoff =  $-375 + 375 = 0$
  - If White Sox lose, payoff =  $-375 - 375 = -750$
- To have a payoff of -750 or -1,000 (at Game 4), we can have  $\frac{-750-1000}{2} = -875$  and decide to bet 125 on White Sox winning the game
  - If White Sox wins, payoff =  $-875 + 125 = -750$
  - If White Sox lose, payoff =  $-875 - 125 = -1,000$

### Game 2

- To have a payoff of +875 or +375 (at Game 3), we can have  $\frac{875+375}{2} = 625$  and decide to bet 250 on White Sox winning the game
  - If White Sox wins, payoff =  $625 + 250 = +875$
  - If White Sox lose, payoff =  $625 - 250 = +375$
- To have a payoff of +375 or -375 (at Game 3), we can have 0 and decide to bet 375 on White Sox winning the game
  - If White Sox wins, payoff =  $0 + 375 = +375$
  - If White Sox lose, payoff =  $0 - 375 = -375$

- To have a payoff of -375 or -875 (at Game 3), we can have  $\frac{-375-875}{2} = -625$  and decide to bet 250 on White Sox winning the game
  - If White Sox wins, payoff =  $-625 + 250 = -375$
  - If White Sox lose, payoff =  $-625 - 250 = -875$

### Game 1

- To have a payoff of +625 or 0 (at Game 2), we can have  $\frac{625}{2} = 312.5$  and decide to bet 312.5 on White Sox winning the game
  - If White Sox wins, payoff =  $312.5 + 312.5 = +625$
  - If White Sox lose, payoff =  $312.5 - 312.5 = 0$
- To have a payoff of 0 or -625 (at Game 2), we can have  $\frac{-625}{2} = -312.5$  and decide to bet 312.5 on White Sox winning the game
  - If White Sox wins, payoff =  $-312.5 + 312.5 = 0$
  - If White Sox lose, payoff =  $-312.5 - 312.5 = -625$

This means that to achieve an end result payoff of either +1,000 (if the White Sox wins the series) or -1,000 (if the White Sox loses the series), we need to bet **\$312.5 on the White Sox winning Game 1**.

### Problem 3

Game 1:

Game 2:

Game 3:

Game 4:

Game 5:

Game 6:

Game 7:

