# Real Analysis

### Youming Zhao

Email: youming0.zhao@gmail.com

First draft: January 1, 2022 Last update: December 29, 2023

### Contents

1	$\mathbf{Seq}$	uences	1
	1.1	Cauchy sequence	1
	1.2	Convergence and limit	1
	1.3	Upper bound and supremum	4
	1.4	Bolzano-Weirstrass Theorem	6
	1.5	The upper limit and lower limit	7

# 1 Sequences

A sequence of real numbers, denoted  $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ , is a map from natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  to real numbers. Note that the starting index can be any nonnegative integers, so a more general notation for a sequence is  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  where  $m \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

### 1.1 Cauchy sequence

**Definition 1** (Cauchy sequence of reals). A sequence  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  of real numbers is a Cauchy sequence if, for every real  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N \ge m$  such that  $|a_n - a_{n'}| \le \epsilon$  for all  $n, n' \ge N$ .

**Definition 2** (bounded sequences). A sequence  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  of real numbers is bounded by a real number M iff we have  $|a_n| \leq M$  for all  $n \geq m$ .

**Proposition 1** (Cauchy sequences are bounded). If a sequence  $(s_n)$  is Cauchy, then  $(s_n)$  is bounded.

*Proof.* Since  $(s_n)$  is Cauchy, there is an N such that for a given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $|s_n - s_m| \le \epsilon$  for all m, n > N. Hence,  $|s_n| \le \epsilon + |s_m|$ . Let  $M := \max\{|s_1|, |s_2|, \dots, |s_N|, \epsilon + |s_m|\}$ , then we have two cases.

- Case 1: when  $n \leq N$ , we have  $|s_n| \leq \max\{|s_1|, |s_1|, \cdots, |s_N|\} \leq M$ ;
- Case 2: when  $n \ge N + 1$ , we have  $|s_n| \le \epsilon + |s_m| \le M$ .

Thus,  $(s_n)$  is bounded.

#### 1.2 Convergence and limit

**Definition 3** (Convergence of sequences). A sequence  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  of real numbers is convergent if and only if, for a real number L and every real  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N \ge m$  such that  $|a_n - L| \le \epsilon$  for all  $n \ge N$ .

See Exercise 6.1.2 in Tao's Analysis I.

**Proposition 2** (Uniqueness of limits). Let  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  be a real sequence starting at some integer index m, and let  $L \neq L'$  be two distinct real numbers. Then it is not possible for  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  to converge to L while also converging to L'.

Proof. Suppose for sake of contradiction that  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  was converging to both L and L'. Let  $\epsilon = |L - L'|/3$ ; note that  $\epsilon$  is positive since  $L \neq L'$ . Since  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to L, there exists an  $N \geq m$  such that  $|a_n - L| \leq \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Similarly, there is an  $M \geq m$  such that  $|a_n - L'| \leq \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq M$ . If we set  $n := \max\{N, M\}$ , then we have  $|a_n - L| \leq \epsilon$  and  $|a_n - L'| \leq \epsilon$ . Hence, by the triangle inequality,  $|L - L'| \leq |a_n - L| + |a_n - L'| \leq 2\epsilon = 2|L - L'|/3$ , which contradicts the fact that |L - L'| > 0. Thus it is not possible to converge to both L and L'.

**Definition 4** (Limits of sequences). If a sequence  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to some real number L, we say that  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  is **convergent** and that its **limit** is L; we write

$$L = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n$$

to denote this fact. If a sequence  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  is not converging to any real number L, we say that the sequence  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  is **divergent** and we leave  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n$  undefined.

*Remark* 1. Note that, convergence means that all the terms are eventually close to **a fixed number**, whereas Cauchy means that all the terms are eventually close to **each other**.

**Definition 5** (Subsequences). Let  $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of natural numbers that is strictly increasing, then  $(a_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  is called a subsequence of  $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ .

**Definition 6** (Limit points, accumulation points). x is a limit point (an accumulation point) of  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  if, for every  $\epsilon$  and every  $N \geq m$ , there exists an  $n \geq N$  such that  $|a_n - x| \leq \epsilon$ .

**Proposition 3.**  $a \in \mathbf{R}$  is an accumulation point of  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  if and only if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , the  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of a contains infinitely many sequence members of  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

Proposition 4 (Convergent sequences are Cauchy). If  $(s_n)$  converges to s,  $(s_n)$  is Cauchy.

*Proof.* For a given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an N such that  $|s_n - s| \le \epsilon/2$  for all  $n \ge N$ . Also, we have  $|s_m - s| \le \epsilon/2$  for all  $m \ge N$ . By the triangle inequality,  $|s_n - s_m| = |s_n - s + s - s_m| \le |s_n - s| + |s - s_m| \le \epsilon$ . Thus,  $(s_n)$  is Cauchy.

Corollary 1 (Convergent sequences are bounded.). Every convergent sequence of real numbers is bounded.

*Proof.* By Proposition 4, convergent sequences are Cauchy. By Proposition 1, Cauchy sequences are bounded. Thus, convergent sequences are bounded.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 1** (Completeness of the reals). A sequence  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of real numbers is a Cauchy sequence if and only if it is convergent.

The following proof is largely taken from Terence Tao's Analysis I.

*Proof.* Proposition 4 has shown that every convergent sequence is Cauchy, so it suffices to prove that every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Let  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a Cauchy sequence. We know from Proposition 1 that the sequence  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is bounded, which implies that  $L^- := \liminf_{n \to \infty} a_n$  and  $L^+ := \limsup_{n \to \infty} a_n$  of the sequence are both finite. To show that the sequence converges, it will suffice to show that  $L^- = L^+$ .

Now let  $\epsilon > 0$  be any real number. Since  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence, there exists an  $N \ge 1$  such that  $a_N - \epsilon \le a_n \le a_N + \epsilon$  for all  $n \ge N$ . This implies that

$$a_N - \epsilon \le \inf(a_n)_{n=N}^{\infty} \le \sup(a_n)_{n=N}^{\infty} \le a_N + \epsilon \tag{1}$$

and hence by the definition of  $L^-$  and  $L^+$ 

$$a_N - \epsilon \le L^- \le L^+ \le a_N + \epsilon. \tag{2}$$

Thus we get

$$0 \le L^+ - L^- \le 2\epsilon \tag{3}$$

which is true for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $L^-$  and  $L^+$  do not depend on  $\epsilon$ , then we must have  $L^+ = L^-$ . Thus,  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is convergent.

*Remark* 2. Theorem 1 tells us Cauchy sequences and convergent sequences are equivalent. More straightforwardly,

Cauchy sequences 
$$\iff$$
 convergent sequences. (4)

**Theorem 2** (Limit Laws). Let  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  and  $(b_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  be convergent sequences of real numbers, and let x, y be the real numbers  $x := \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n$  and  $y := \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n$ .

(a) The sequence  $(a_n + b_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to x + y; in other words,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (a_n + b_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n + \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n. \tag{5}$$

(b) The sequence  $(a_n b_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to xy; in other words,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (a_n b_n) = (\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n) (\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n). \tag{6}$$

(c) For any real number c, the sequence  $(ca_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to cx; in other words,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (ca_n) = c \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n. \tag{7}$$

(d) The sequence  $(a_n - b_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to x - y; in other words,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (a_n - b_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n - \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n.$$
 (8)

(e) Suppose that  $y \neq 0$ , and that  $b_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq m$ . Then the sequence  $(b_n^{-1})_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to  $y^{-1}$ ; in other words,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n^{-1} = (\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n)^{-1}.$$
 (9)

(f) Suppose that  $y \neq 0$ , and that  $b_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq m$ . Then the sequence  $(a_n/b_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to x/y; in other words,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = \frac{\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n}{\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n}.$$
 (10)

(g) The sequence  $(\max(a_n, b_n))_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to  $\max(x, y)$ ; in other words,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \max(a_n, b_n) = \max(\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n, \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n). \tag{11}$$

(h) The sequence  $(\min(a_n, b_n))_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to  $\min(x, y)$ ; in other words,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \min(a_n, b_n) = \min(\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n, \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n). \tag{12}$$

*Proof.* (a) Since  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to x, then for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N_1 > m$  such that for any  $n > N_1$ ,  $|a_n - x| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Similarly, for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N_2 > m$  such that for any  $n > N_2$ ,  $|b_n - y| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Then for any  $n > \max(N_1, N_2)$ , we have

$$|a_n + b_n - x - y| = |a_n - x + b_n - y| \le |a_n - x| + |b_n - y| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$
 (13)

which implies  $(a_n + b_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to x + y, as desired.

(b) Since  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to x, then for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N_1 > m$  such that for any  $n > N_1$ ,  $|a_n - x| \le \epsilon$ . Also, by Corollary 1,  $|a_n| \le M \in \mathbf{R}$  for all  $n \ge m$ . Similarly, for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N_2 > m$  such that for any  $n > N_2$ ,  $|b_n - y| \le \epsilon$ . Then for any  $n > \max(N_1, N_2)$ , we have

$$|a_n b_n - xy| = |a_n b_n - y a_n + y a_n - xy| \tag{14}$$

$$= |a_n(b_n - y) + y(a_n - x)| \tag{15}$$

$$\leq |a_n(b_n - y)| + |y(a_n - x)|$$
 (16)

$$\leq (M + |y|)\epsilon \tag{17}$$

which implies  $(a_n b_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to xy since M and y are constants.

- (c) This is the special case when  $b_n = c$  for all  $n \ge m$ .
- (d) It follows from (a) and c due to the fact  $a_n b_n = a_n + (-1) \cdot b_n$ .

**Theorem 3** (squeeze test, sandwich theorem). Let  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ ,  $(b_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ , and  $(c_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  be sequences of real numbers such that  $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$  for all  $n \geq m$ . Suppose also that  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  and  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  both converge to the same limit L. Then  $(b_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  is also convergent to L.

Proof. Let  $(d_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  be a sequence with  $d_n = b_n - a_n$ , then  $0 \le d_n \le c_n - a_n$ . Since both  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  and  $(c_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converge to L, according to the limit laws,  $\lim_{n\to\infty}(c_n-a_n)=L-L=0$ . Therefore, for all  $\epsilon>0$ , there exists a positive integer N>m such that for all n>N,  $|d_n|=|d_n-0|\le |c_n|\le \epsilon$ . This indicates that  $(d_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  is convergent with  $\lim_{n\to\infty}d_n=0$ . Furthermore,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (d_n + a_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d_n + \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0 + L = L.$$

$$\tag{18}$$

Since  $b_n = d_n + a_n$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = L$  as desired.

#### 1.3 Upper bound and supremum

**Definition 7** (Upper bound). Let E be a subset of  $\mathbf{R}$ , and let M be a real number. We say that M is an **upper bound** for E, iff we have  $x \leq M$  for every element x in E.

**Definition 8 (Least upper bound).** Let E be a subset of  $\mathbf{R}$ , and let M be a real number. We say that M is a **least upper bound** for E, iff (a) M is an upper bound for E, and also (b) any other upper bound M' for E must be larger than or equal to M.

Proposition 5 (Uniqueness of least upper bound). Let E be a subset of  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then E can have at most one least upper bound.

*Proof.* Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two least upper bounds. Since  $M_1$  is a least upper bound and  $M_2$  is an upper bound, then by definition of least upper bound we have  $M_2 \geq M_1$ . Since  $M_2$  is a least upper bound and  $M_1$  is an upper bound, we similarly have  $M_1 \geq M_2$ . Thus  $M_1 = M_2$ . Thus there is at most one least upper bound.

Now we come to an important property of the real numbers:

**Theorem 4** (Existence of least upper bound). Let E be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbf{R}$ . If E has an upper bound, (i.e., E has some upper bound M), then it must have exactly one least upper bound.

*Proof.* This theorem will take quite a bit of effort to prove, see Page 118 of Terence Tao's "Analysis 1, 3rd Edition".  $\Box$ 

**Definition 9** (Supremum). Let E be a subset of the real numbers. If E is non-empty and has some upper bound, we define  $\sup(E)$  to be the least upper bound of E. If E is non-empty and has no upper bound, we set  $\sup(E) := +\infty$ ; if E is empty, we set  $\sup(E) := -\infty$ . We refer to  $\sup(E)$  as the supremum of E, and also denote it by  $\sup E$ .

Similarly, we can define greatest lower bound and infimum.

Remark 3. We can think of Theorem 4 as saying "sup(E) always exists". Because either E is bounded above (in which case sup(E) exists), or E is unbounded(in which case sup(E) =  $\infty$ ). This is a fundamental theorem of analysis. Also, by Proposition 5, sup(E) or inf(E) is unique.

Important fact:  $\inf(S) = -\sup(-S)$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let E be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Then the following statements are true.

- 1. For every  $x \in E$  we have  $x < \sup(E)$  and  $x \in \inf(E)$ .
- 2. Suppose that  $M \in \mathbf{R}^*$  is an upper bound for E, i.e.,  $x \leq M$  for all  $x \in E$ . Then we have  $\sup(E) \leq M$ .
- 3. Suppose that  $M \in \mathbf{R}^*$  is a lower bound for E, i.e.,  $x \geq M$  for all  $x \in E$ . Then we have  $\inf(E) \geq M$ .

**Proposition 6** (least upper bound property). Let  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of real numbers, and let x be the extended real number  $x := \sup(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ . Then we have  $a_n \leq x$  for all  $n \geq m$ . Also, whenever  $M \in \mathbf{R}^*$  is an upper bound for  $a_n$  (i.e.,  $a_n \leq M$  for all  $n \geq m$ ), we have  $x \leq M$ . Finally, for every extended real number y for which y < x, there exists at least one  $n \geq m$  for which  $y < a_n \leq x$ .

**Proposition 7** (Monotone bounded sequences converge). Let  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of real numbers which has some finite upper bound  $M \in \mathbf{R}$ , and which is also increasing (i.e.,  $a_{n+1} \geq a_n$  for all  $n \leq m$ ). Then  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  is convergent, and in fact

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \sup(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty} \le M.$$

**Example:** The sequence  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  given by  $a_n = (1 + \frac{1}{n})^n$  is convergent.

*Proof.* First, we show that  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is an increasing sequence. In order to do this, we employ GM-Am inequality to get

$$a_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \cdot 1 \le \left(\frac{n(1 + \frac{1}{n}) + 1}{n+1}\right)^{n+1} = \left(\frac{n+1+1}{n+1}\right)^{n+1} = a_{n+1} \tag{19}$$

which indicates  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is an increasing sequence. Now we show that  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is bounded from above as follows.

$$a_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \tag{20}$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \cdot 1^{n-k} \cdot (\frac{1}{n})^k \tag{21}$$

$$= \binom{n}{0} \cdot 1^n \cdot (\frac{1}{n})^0 + \binom{n}{1} \cdot 1^{n-1} \cdot (\frac{1}{n})^1 + \sum_{k=2}^n \binom{n}{k} \cdot 1^{n-k} \cdot (\frac{1}{n})^k \tag{22}$$

$$=1+1+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{n^k}$$
 (23)

$$=2+\sum_{k=2}^{n}\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\cdots(n-k+1)}{n\cdot n\cdot n\cdot \dots \cdot n}\cdot \frac{1}{k!}$$
(24)

$$\leq 2 + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{k!} \leq 2 + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{k(k-1)}$$
 (25)

$$=2+1-\frac{1}{n}<3. (26)$$

Hence,  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is increasing and bounded above. By Proposition 7, it converges.

Remark 4. By convention we use e to denote the limit of the above sequence, namely

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{n})^n = e = 2.718281828459 \dots$$
 (27)

which is an irrational number.

#### 1.4 Bolzano-Weirstrass Theorem

**Theorem 6** (Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem: every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence). Let  $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a bounded sequence (i.e., there exists a real number M > 0 such that  $|a_n| \leq M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Then there is at least one subsequence of  $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  which converges.

Proof. Since  $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a bounded sequence, we can find an interval  $[c_0, d_0] \subset [-M, M]$  such that every member of  $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  resides in  $[c_0, d_0]$ . Now we bisect  $[c_0, d_0]$  at  $(c_0 + d_0)/2$ . If the left half contains infinitely many members of  $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ , let  $c_1 := c_0$  and  $d_1 := (c_0 + d_0)/2$ , otherwise let  $c_1 := (c_0 + d_0)/2$  and  $d_1 := d_0$ . In this way, we can construct the following nested intervals.

$$[c_0, d_0] \supset [c_1, d_1] \supset \cdots \supset [c_n, d_n] \supset \cdots$$
(28)

which yields two monotone bounded sequences  $(c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $(d_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ . Specifically,  $(c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is increasing and bounded above, and  $(d_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is decreasing and bounded below. Therefore, by Proposition 7,  $(c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $(d_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  are both convergent. Since  $d_n - c_n = \frac{1}{2^n}(d_0 - c_0)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (d_n - c_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} (d_0 - c_0) = 0,$$
(29)

which implies  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} c_n = 0$ . For each interval  $[c_n, d_n]$ , we choose a point  $b_n$  which is a member of  $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ . Thus, we get a subsequence  $(b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  where  $c_n \leq b_n \leq d_n$ . By the sandwich theorem,  $(b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a convergent subsequence which converges to  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_n$ .

#### The upper limit and lower limit 1.5

Let E denote the set of limit points,

$$E = \{\xi | \xi \text{ is a limit point of } \{x_n\}\}.$$

 $H = \max E$  is called the upper limit of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ , denoted as

$$H = \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} x_n;$$

 $H = \min E$  is called the lower limit of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ , denoted as

$$H = \underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} x_n.$$

Note that the upper limit and lower limit are called the limit superior and the limit inferior, respectively, which are defined as follows:

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{k \ge n} x_n = \inf_{n} \sup_{k \ge n} x_k;$$

$$\underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{k \ge n} x_n = \sup_{n} \inf_{k \ge n} x_k.$$
(30)

$$\underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{k > n} x_n = \sup_{n} \inf_{k > n} x_k. \tag{31}$$

**Proposition 8** (Limits are limit points). Let  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  be a sequence which converges to a real number c. Then c is a limit point of  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ , and in fact it is the only limit point of  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ .

## References