

Lecture 5: ERM and learning the AND class (Sept 19)

Lecturer: Csaba Szepesvári

Scribes: Zixin Zhong

Note: \LaTeX template courtesy of UC Berkeley EECS dept. ([link](#) to directory)

Disclaimer: These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.

5.1 Review

5.1.1 Recall: Concentration inequalities

Theorem 5.1 (Additive Chernoff's Inequality). Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \in [0, 1]$ be i.i.d. random variables, $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$, $\mu = \mathbb{E}X_1$. We have

(a) $\forall \delta \in (0, 1)$, with probability $1 - \delta$,

$$\bar{X}_n \leq \mu + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{2n}};$$

(b) $\forall \delta \in (0, 1)$, with probability $1 - \delta$,

$$\bar{X}_n \geq \mu - \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{2n}}.$$

Theorem 5.2 (Multiplicative Chernoff's Inequality). Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \in [0, 1]$ be i.i.d. random variables, $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$, $\mu = \mathbb{E}X_1$. We have

(a) $\forall \delta \in (0, 1)$, with probability $1 - \delta$,

$$\bar{X}_n \leq \mu + \sqrt{\frac{2\mu \log(1/\delta)}{n}} + \frac{1}{3n};$$

(b) $\forall \delta \in (0, 1)$, with probability $1 - \delta$,

$$\bar{X}_n \geq \mu - \sqrt{\frac{2\mu \log(1/\delta)}{n}}. \quad (*)$$

5.1.2 Recall: PAC-learning

Let function $f_* : \{0, 1\}^d \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \in \{0, 1\}^d := \underline{2}^d$ be i.i.d. random variables drawn from distribution P_X , data set $D_n = \{(X_1, f_*(X_1)), \dots, (X_n, f_*(X_n))\}$.

Let $f_* \in \mathcal{F} \subset \underline{2}^{\underline{2}^d}$ and $f \in \underline{2}^{\underline{2}^d}$. In other words, $\mathcal{X} = \underline{2}^d$, $\mathcal{Y} = \underline{2}$, $f_* \in \mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{X}}$, $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$.

Let $P_X^{f_*} := P(X_1, f_* X_1)$, and

$$L(f) = \mathbb{P}(f(X) \neq f_*(X)) = L(P_X^{f_*}, f),$$

$$l : \underline{2} \times \underline{2} \rightarrow \underline{2}, \quad l(y, y') = \mathbf{1}(y \neq y'),$$

$$L(P_X^{f_*}, f) = \int P(dx, dy) l(f(x), y).$$

Definition 5.3 (PAC-Learning). Fix $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}_d)_{d \geq 1}$, where $\mathcal{C}_d \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2^d}$. \mathcal{C} is **PAC-learnable** (**P**robably **A**pproximately **C**orrectly) if \exists polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$ (**computed with polynomial cost**) and $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_{n,d})_{n \geq 1, d \geq 1}$ where $\mathcal{A}_{n,d} : (\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z})^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2^d}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{s.t. } & \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1), \delta \in (0, 1), d \geq 1, P \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{Z}^d), f_* \in \mathcal{C}_d, \\ & n \geq \underbrace{p(1/\varepsilon)}_{\text{accuracy}}, \underbrace{p(1/\delta)}_{\text{confidence}}, d], \\ & X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim P_X, \\ & f_n = \mathcal{A}_{n,d} \left(\underbrace{(X_1, f_*(X_1)), \dots, (X_n, f_*(X_n))}_{D_n} \right) \quad \text{i.e. } D_n \xrightarrow{A} f_n, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(L(P_X^{f_*}, f_n) \geq \varepsilon \right) \leq \delta.$$

In other words, with probability $1 - \delta$, $\mathbb{P}(f_n(X) \neq f_*(x) | D_n) \leq \varepsilon$.

Remark 5.4 (Example).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{\text{AND},d} &= \left\{ f : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \mid \exists u \subset [d], \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : f(x) = \min_{j \in u} X_j \right\}, \\ \mathcal{C} &= (\mathcal{C}_{\text{AND},d})_{d \geq 1}. \end{aligned}$$

5.2 ERM: Empirical Risk Minimization

Let

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(f) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}(f(X_i) \neq Y_i), \\ f_n &:= \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{C}_d} L_n(f). \end{aligned}$$

Homework: Show $f_n \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{C}_d} L_n(f)$ is computationally efficient.

Moreover,

$$f_n := \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{AND}}} L_n(f) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \text{proper learning.}$$

Method I: Fix $d \geq 1, P$ and f_* . Let $D_n \rightarrow f_n$. We first decompose $L(f_n)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L(f_n) &= L(f_n) - L_n(f_n) + L_n(f_n) \\ &= \underbrace{L(f_n) - L_n(f_n)}_{\text{bounded with concentration inequality}} + \underbrace{L_n(f_n) - L_n(f_*)}_{\text{ERM}} + \underbrace{L_n(f_*) - L(f_*)}_{\text{bounded with concentration inequality}} + \underbrace{L(f_*)}_{=0}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, Hoeffding inequality implies that with probability $1 - \delta$,

$$L_n(f_*) - L(f_*) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{2n}}.$$

Besides, $f_n \in \mathcal{C}_d$ indicates that

$$L(f_n) - L_n(f_n) \leq \max_{f \in \mathcal{C}_d} L(f) - L_n(f_n).$$

Fix $f \in \mathcal{C}_d$. Set

$$\mathcal{U}(f, \delta) = \left\{ L(f) - L_n(f) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{2n}} \right\}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{U}(f, \delta)) \geq 1 - \delta \iff \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{U}^c(f, \delta)) \leq \delta.$$

Let $N = |\mathcal{C}_{\text{AND}, d}|$ and define ‘nice event’

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_d} \mathcal{U}\left(f, \frac{\delta}{N}\right).$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{U}^c) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{C}_d} \mathcal{U}^c\left(f, \frac{\delta}{N}\right)\right) \leq \sum_{f \in \mathcal{C}_d} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{U}^c\left(f, \frac{\delta}{N}\right)\right) \leq \sum_{f \in \mathcal{C}_d} \frac{\delta}{N} = \delta.$$

When \mathcal{U} holds, $L(f) - L_n(f) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\log(N/\delta)}{2n}}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_d$, in other words,

$$\max_{f \in \mathcal{C}_d} L(f) - L_n(f) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\log(N/\delta)}{2n}}.$$

Theorem 5.5 (Proper learning). $\mathcal{C}_{\text{AND}, d}$ PAC-learnable, f_n minimizing the empirical risk, and proper learning: with probability $1 - \delta$,

$$L(f_n) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\log(N + 1/\delta)}{2n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(N + 1/\delta)}{n}}.$$

Remark 5.6. (a) This bound on $L(f_n)$ may **not** be **tight**.

(b) This result shows PAC-learnability:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sqrt{\frac{\log(N + 1/\delta)}{2n}} &\leq \varepsilon \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{n}{2\log(N + 1/\delta)} &\geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \\ \Leftrightarrow n &\geq \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \log\left(\frac{N + 1}{\delta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $p(1/\varepsilon, 1/\delta, d) = 2\log((|\mathcal{C}_{\text{AND}, d}| + 1)/\delta) / \varepsilon^2$. Since $|\mathcal{C}_{\text{AND}, d}| = 2^d$, we have

$$p\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \frac{1}{\delta}, d\right) = \frac{2\log(2^d + 1) + 2\log(1/\delta)}{\varepsilon^2} \leq \dots$$

Method II: $L(f) - L_n(f) \leq ?$

Fix $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$. By multiplicative Chernoff inequality, with probability $1 - \delta N/(N + 1)$,

$$L(f) - L_n(f) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2L(f) \log(N + 1/\delta)}{n}} \quad \forall f;$$

with probability $1 - \delta/(N + 1)$,

$$L_n(f_*) - L(f_*) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2L(f_*) \log(N + 1/\delta)}{n}} + \frac{\log((N + 1)/\delta)}{3n}.$$

Denote ‘nice event’

$$\mathcal{U} := \left\{ L(f) - L_n(f) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2L(f) \log(N + 1/\delta)}{n}} \quad \forall f, L_n(f_*) - L(f_*) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2L(f_*) \log(N + 1/\delta)}{n}} + \frac{\log((N + 1)/\delta)}{3n} \right\}.$$

Then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{U}) \geq 1 - \delta$. On $\mathcal{U} \cap \{L(f_n) \neq 0\}$: since $L_n(f_n) \geq 0$ and

$$\frac{L(f_n) - L_n(f_n)}{\sqrt{\frac{2L(f_n) \log(\frac{N+1}{\delta})}{n}}} \leq \max_{f \in \mathcal{C}_d, L(f) \neq 0} \frac{L(f) - L_n(f)}{\sqrt{\frac{2L(f) \log(\frac{N+1}{\delta})}{n}}} \leq 1,$$

we have

$$L(f_n) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2L(f_n) \log(\frac{N+1}{\delta})}{n}}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(f_n) &\leq \frac{2L(f_n) \log(\frac{N+1}{\delta})}{n}, \\ L(f_n) &\leq \frac{2 \log(\frac{N+1}{\delta})}{n} \leq \varepsilon, \\ \Rightarrow n &\geq \frac{2 \log(\frac{|\mathcal{C}_d|+1}{\delta})}{\varepsilon}, \\ \Rightarrow p\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \frac{1}{\delta}, d\right) &= \dots \end{aligned}$$