

MATH 2101 LINEAR ALGEBRA I, FALL SEMESTER 2023

1. INNER PRODUCT SPACES

Key concepts in this section:

- What is an inner product on a vector space?
- Examples of an inner product on a vector space
- Orthonormal basis and Gram-Schmidt process
- Dimension formula for orthogonal complements
- Application: Least square approximation (if time permits)

1.1. Inner product.

Definition 1.1. Let V be a vector space. An inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V is a function that assigns a scalar in \mathbb{R} to every pair $(x, y) \in V \times V$ satisfying the following properties:

- For any $x, y, z \in V$, $\langle x + y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$.
- For any $x, y \in V$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\langle cx, y \rangle = c\langle x, y \rangle$.
- For any $x, y \in V$, $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$.
- For any $x \in V$, $\langle x, x \rangle > 0$ if $x \neq 0$.

A vector space equipped with an inner product is called an *inner product space*, and we usually denote the inner product by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

We first deduce some immediate consequences:

Proposition 1.2. Let V be an inner product space. Then

- For any $x, y, z \in V$, $\langle z, x + y \rangle = \langle z, x \rangle + \langle z, y \rangle$.
- For any $x, y \in V$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\langle x, cy \rangle = c\langle x, y \rangle$.
- For any $x \in V$, $\langle 0, x \rangle = \langle x, 0 \rangle = 0$.
- For any $x \in V$, $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.

Proof. The key ideas for (a) and (b) are to transfer the problem to the one in Definition 1.1. For (a),

$$\langle z, x + y \rangle = \langle x + y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle = \langle z, x \rangle + \langle z, y \rangle$$

For (b), $\langle x, cy \rangle = \langle cy, x \rangle = c\langle y, x \rangle = c\langle x, y \rangle$. For (c), we use that $0 = 0y$ for any $y \in V$. Hence, we have:

$$\langle 0, x \rangle = \langle 0y, x \rangle = 0\langle y, x \rangle = 0.$$

For (d), if $x \neq 0$, then $\langle x, x \rangle > 0$ by Definition 1.1(d). If $x = 0$, it follows from part (c). \square

Example 1.3. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x_1y_1 + \dots + x_ny_n.$$

Check \langle , \rangle defines an inner product on \mathbb{R}^n . This is called a **standard inner product** on \mathbb{R}^n (which we may also sometimes use for column vectors rather than row vectors). When $n = 2$ and $n = 3$, it coincides with the dot product between two vectors.

Sol: Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Check (a): $\langle x + y, z \rangle = (x_1 + y_1)z_1 + \dots + (x_n + y_n)z_n = (x_1z_1 + \dots + x_nz_n) + (y_1z_1 + \dots + y_nz_n) = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$.

Checking (b) and (c) are straightforward.

Check (d): $\langle x, x \rangle = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 > 0$ for $x \neq 0$.

Exercise 1.4. Let A be an $n \times m$ -matrix. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be a column vector and let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a column vector. Then,

$$\langle Ax, y \rangle = \langle x, A^T y \rangle.$$

Sol: Recall that $\langle x, y \rangle = x^T y$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then

$$\langle Ax, y \rangle = (Ax)^T y = x^T A^T y = x^T (A^T y) = \langle x, A^T y \rangle.$$

Example 1.5. Let \langle , \rangle be an inner product on a vector space V . Let r be a *positive* real number. Define a new pairing:

$$\langle , \rangle' : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

given by $\langle x, y \rangle' = r\langle x, y \rangle$. Then \langle , \rangle' also defines an inner product.

Example 1.6. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$. Define

$$\langle x, y \rangle = a_1x_1y_1 + \dots + a_nx_ny_n.$$

This defines another inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

We also have some non-examples:

Example 1.7. For $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, define

$$\langle (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \rangle = x_1y_1 - x_2y_2.$$

Determine if $\langle ., . \rangle$ defines an inner product.

Sol: Note that $\langle (0, 1), (0, 1) \rangle = -1 < 0$ which violates Definition 1.1(d).

We also have an inner product on other type of examples:

Example 1.8. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Let V be the vector space of integrable functions from $[a, b]$ to \mathbb{R} . For $f, g \in V$, define

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx.$$

Then \langle , \rangle defines an inner product on V .

Exercise 1.9. Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be an injective linear transformation. Let $\langle ., . \rangle'$ be an inner product on W . For $x, y \in V$, define

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle T(x), T(y) \rangle'.$$

Show that $\langle ., . \rangle$ is an inner product.

Sol: For $x, y, z \in V$, define

$$\langle x+y, z \rangle = \langle T(x+y), T(z) \rangle' = \langle T(x)+T(y), T(z) \rangle' = \langle T(x), T(z) \rangle' + \langle T(y), T(z) \rangle' = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle.$$

This checks (a).

For $x, y \in V$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\langle cx, y \rangle = \langle T(cx), T(y) \rangle' = \langle cT(x), T(y) \rangle' = c\langle T(x), T(y) \rangle' = c\langle x, y \rangle.$$

This checks (b).

For $x, y \in V$,

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle T(x), T(y) \rangle' = \langle T(y), T(x) \rangle' = \langle y, x \rangle.$$

This checks (c).

For $x \in V$ and $x \neq 0$, $T(x) \neq 0$ since T is injective. Then $\langle x, x \rangle = \langle T(x), T(x) \rangle' = 0$. This checks (d).

Exercise 1.10. What happens for Exercise 1.9 if one drops the condition that T is injective?

Sol: In such case, $\langle ., . \rangle'$ is not necessarily an inner product. This is because if T is not injective, one may find a non-zero x such that $T(x) = 0$. In such case, $\langle x, x \rangle = \langle T(x), T(x) \rangle' = 0$. This violates Definition 1.1(d).

We also have a more interesting example:

Example 1.11. Let $M_{n \times n}$ be the vector space of $n \times n$ matrix. The trace of the matrix A is defined as:

$$\text{tr}(A) = A_{11} + A_{22} + \dots + A_{nn}.$$

For $A, B \in M_{n \times n}$, define

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(A^T B).$$

Show that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product.

Sol: To show this, one considers

$$(A^T B)_{ii} = A_{1i}B_{1i} + A_{2i}B_{2i} + \dots + A_{ni}B_{ni}.$$

Then,

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}B_{ij}.$$

One may check that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product from definition. Here, we give a better explanation.

We now give another way to do that via an invertible linear transformation. Define $T : M_{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ given by:

$$T(A) = (A_{11}, A_{12}, \dots, A_{1n}, A_{21}, \dots, A_{2n}, \dots, A_{n1}, \dots, A_{nn}).$$

Indeed, T is an isomorphism (recall the definition from the last chapter!).

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$ be the standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then one has, for any $A, B \in M_{2 \times 2}$,

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \langle T(A), T(B) \rangle'.$$

We have checked in Exercise 1.9 that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product.

We now use the concept of inner products to generalize the length:

Definition 1.12. Let V be an inner product space. For x , define $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$, which is called the *length* of x .

Example 1.13. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}.$$

Exercise 1.14. Let V be an inner product space. Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation. Suppose $\|T(x)\| = \|x\|$ for all x . Show that T is injective.

Sol: Suppose $T(x) = 0$. Then $\|x\| = \|T(x)\| = \|0\| = 0$. This implies that $x = 0$ by Proposition 1.2(d). Hence, T is injective.

We have some more properties of the inner product

Theorem 1.15. Let V be an inner product space. Then, for all $x, y \in V$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$,

- (a) $\|cx\| = |c|\|x\|$;
- (b) $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
- (c) (Cauchy-Schwartz inequality) $\langle x, y \rangle \leq \|x\|\|y\|$ for any $x, y \in V$;
- (d) (Triangle inequality) $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$.

Proof. For (a),

$$\begin{aligned} \|cx\| &= \sqrt{\langle cx, cx \rangle} \\ &= \sqrt{c^2 \langle x, x \rangle} \\ &= |c| \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} \\ &= |c| \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

For (b), if $x = 0$, by Proposition 1.2, $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ and so $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = 0$.

If $\|x\| = 0$, $\sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = 0$ and $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ and so by Proposition 1.2, $x = 0$.

For (c), we consider

$$0 \leq \langle x - cy, x - cy \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle - c\langle x, y \rangle - c\langle y, x \rangle + c^2\langle y, y \rangle.$$

Let $c = \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\|y\|^2}$. Then, the inequality can be simplified as:

$$0 \leq \|x\|^2 - \frac{\langle x, y \rangle^2}{\|y\|^2}.$$

Then $\langle x, y \rangle^2 \leq \|x\|^2 \cdot \|y\|^2$. Thus, $\langle x, y \rangle \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$.

For (d),

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\|^2 &= \langle x + y, x + y \rangle \\ &= \langle x, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle \\ &= \|x\|^2 + 2\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2 \\ &\geq \|x\|^2 + 2\|x\|\|y\| + \|y\|^2 \\ &= (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 1.16. Interpret geometrically the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality for the standard inner product for \mathbb{R}^2 .

Exercise 1.17. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then $\text{nullity}(A^T A) = \text{nullity}(A)$ and $\text{rank}(A^T A) = \text{rank}(A)$.

1.2. Orthonormal set.

Definition 1.18. Let V be an inner product vector space.

- For $x, y \in V$, we say that x and y are orthogonal if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$.
- A subset S of V is orthogonal if for any two *distinct* $x, y \in S$, $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$.
- A vector $v \in V$ is said to be an *unit* if $\|v\| = 1$.
- A subset S of V is said to be orthonormal if S is orthogonal and any vector in S is an unit.

Example 1.19. The vectors \mathbf{e}_i are units in \mathbb{R}^n . The set $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ is orthonormal in \mathbb{R}^n .

Example 1.20. The set $\{2\mathbf{e}_1, 3\mathbf{e}_2, \dots, (n+1)\mathbf{e}_n\}$ is orthogonal, but not orthonormal in \mathbb{R}^n .

Example 1.21. The set

$$S = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 1), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, -1) \right\}$$

is an orthonormal set in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Exercise 1.22. Let v be a non-zero vector in V . Then $\frac{1}{\|v\|}v$ is always a unit vector. We shall say that the vector $\frac{1}{\|v\|}v$ is obtained by normalizing v .

Sol: $\|\frac{1}{\|v\|}v\|^2 = \langle \frac{1}{\|v\|}v, \frac{1}{\|v\|}v \rangle = \frac{1}{\|v\|^2} \langle v, v \rangle = \frac{1}{\langle v, v \rangle} \langle v, v \rangle = 1$. Hence, $\|\frac{1}{\|v\|}v\| = 1$.

Example 1.23. We normalize the vector $(2, 3)$ to obtain the unit vector $\frac{1}{\sqrt{13}}(2, 3)$.

Exercise 1.24. Let v be an unit vector in V . Show that $-v$ is also an unit vector.

Sol: $\|-v\| = |-1\|v\| = 1$.

Exercise 1.25. Describe how to obtain an orthonormal set from an orthogonal set in an inner product space V .

Sol: Let $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ be an orthogonal set in V . Then, by normalization, we obtain an orthonormal set:

$$\left\{ \frac{v_1}{\|v_1\|}, \dots, \frac{v_r}{\|v_r\|} \right\}.$$

One main property of an orthogonal set is the following:

Theorem 1.26. *Let S be an orthogonal set of non-zero vectors in an inner product space V . Then S is linearly independent.*

Proof. Let $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$a_1v_1 + \dots + a_rv_r = 0.$$

Then

$$\langle a_1v_1 + \dots + a_rv_r, v_i \rangle = \langle 0, v_i \rangle = 0.$$

Hence, $a_1\langle v_1, v_i \rangle + \dots + a_r\langle v_r, v_i \rangle = 0$. Since $\langle v_j, v_i \rangle = 0$ for $i \neq j$. It leaves:

$$a_i\langle v_i, v_i \rangle = 0.$$

Since $v_i \neq 0$, we then have $\langle v_i, v_i \rangle \neq 0$ and so a_i must be 0. This shows all $a_i = 0$.

□

Example 1.27. Let

$$v_1 = (1, 2, -1), v_2 = (2, -1, 0), v_3 = (1, 2, 5).$$

Show, using the inner product that v_1, v_2, v_3 are linearly independent. *Sol:* Then, one checks:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle &= (1)(2) + (2)(-1) = 0 \\ \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle &= (1)(1) + (2)(2) + (5)(-1) = 0 \\ \langle v_2, v_3 \rangle &= (2)(1) + (-1)(2) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ is a set of linearly independent vectors.

Remark 1.28. The converse of Theorem 1.26 is not true. For example, $(1, 1)^T$ and $(0, 1)$ are linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^2 , but they do not form an orthogonal set.

Exercise 1.29. Let V be an inner product space. Suppose $\dim(V) = n$. Let S be an orthogonal set in V . Show that the number of vectors in S is less than or equal to n .

Theorem 1.26 can deal with some more elegant example that cannot be dealt with by solving a system of linear equations:

Example 1.30. Let V be the set of integrable functions from $[0, 2\pi]$ to \mathbb{R} . Show that $\{\sin x, \sin(2x), \sin(3x), \dots, \sin(2023x)\}$ forms a set of linearly independent vectors. *Sol:* It is a trigonometric question that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sin(nx) \sin(mx) dx = 0$$

if $n \neq m$. Hence, by Theorem 1.26, the set is linearly independent in V .

Theorem 1.31. Let V be an inner product space. Let $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ be an orthogonal subset of V consisting of non-zero vectors. For any $y \in \text{span}(S)$,

$$y = \frac{\langle v_1, y \rangle}{\|v_1\|^2} v_1 + \dots + \frac{\langle v_r, y \rangle}{\|v_r\|^2} v_r.$$

We remark that Theorem 1.26 implies that S forms a basis for $\text{span}(S)$. Thus, for any $y \in \text{span}(S)$,

$$y = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_r v_r$$

for some unique a_1, \dots, a_r . Theorem 1.31 is to give an explicit description for those a_1, \dots, a_r .

Proof of Theorem 1.31. Since $y \in \text{span}(S)$,

$$y = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_r v_r.$$

Then,

$$\langle v_i, y \rangle = \langle v_i, a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_r v_r \rangle = a_1 \langle v_i, v_1 \rangle + \dots + a_r \langle v_i, v_r \rangle.$$

Then $\langle v_i, y \rangle = a_i \langle v_i, v_i \rangle$ and so

$$a_i = \frac{\langle v_i, y \rangle}{\|v_i\|^2}$$

for all i . □

Example 1.32. Show that $\{(3, 2), (2, -3)\}$ is an orthogonal set. Express $(\sqrt{2}, -1)$ in terms of a linear combination of the two orthonormal vectors.

Sol: Let $v_1 = (3, 2)$ and $v_2 = (-2, 3)$. Then

$$\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = (3)(2) + (-2)(3) = 0.$$

Let $v = (\sqrt{2}, -1)$. Now we compute

$$\langle v, (3, 2) \rangle = 3\sqrt{2} - 2$$

and $\langle v, (2, -3) \rangle = 2\sqrt{2} + 3$. Moreover,

$$\|(3, 2)\| = \sqrt{3^2 + 2^2} = \sqrt{13}, \quad \|(2, -3)\| = \sqrt{2^2 + (-3)^2} = \sqrt{13}.$$

Then

$$v = \frac{3\sqrt{2} - 2}{13}(3, 2) + \frac{2\sqrt{2} + 3}{13}(-2, 3).$$

1.3. Orthonormal basis and Gram-Schmidt process.

Definition 1.33. Let V be an inner product space. A subset S of V is said to be an *orthonormal basis* for V if S is an ordered basis and is also orthonormal.

Example 1.34. The standard ordered basis $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^n .

Example 1.35. The set

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}(1, 3), \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}(-3, 1) \right\}$$

is another orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^2 .

We have the following result to find an orthonormal basis for an inner product space.

Theorem 1.36. Let V be an inner product space and let $S = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ be a basis for V . Let $v_1 = w_1$ and, for $2 \leq j \leq n$, let

$$v_i = w_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\langle w_i, v_j \rangle}{\|v_j\|^2} v_j.$$

Then, $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is a set of orthogonal vectors which forms a basis for V .

A consequence is the following existence result for an orthonormal basis:

Corollary 1.37. *Let V be an inner product space. There always exists an orthonormal basis for V .*

Proof. There always exists a basis for V . Then, by Theorem 1.36, one has a basis which is orthogonal to V . Now one normalizes each vector in the basis, which gives an orthonormal basis. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.36. Let $S' = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. We first show that S' forms a basis for V .

- Spanning condition: We can rewrite

$$w_i = v_i + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\langle w_i, v_j \rangle}{\|v_j\|^2} v_j$$

i.e. written as a linear combinations of v_1, \dots, v_n . Thus, $w_i \in \text{span}(S')$. Hence, $V = \text{span}(\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}) \subset \text{span}(S')$. The inclusion $\text{span}(S') \subset V$ is clear. Thus $\text{span}(S') = V$.

- Linearly independence: We consider the equation:

$$b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_n v_n = 0$$

If we rewrite each v_i into w_i in the above equation, we have:

$$b'_1 w_1 + \dots + b'_{n-1} w_{n-1} + b_n w_n = 0$$

for some b'_1, \dots, b'_{n-1} in \mathbb{R} . This implies that $b_n = 0$ by using the linear independence of w_1, \dots, w_n . This reduces the equation to the form:

$$b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_{n-1} v_{n-1} = 0.$$

Inductively, we can show $b_{n-1} = \dots = b_1 = 0$.

- Orthonormal: We assume $l < k$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_k, v_l \rangle &= \langle w_k - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\langle w_k, v_j \rangle}{\|v_j\|^2} v_j, v_l \rangle \\ &= \langle w_k, v_l \rangle - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\langle w_k, v_j \rangle}{\|v_j\|^2} \langle v_j, v_l \rangle \\ &= \langle w_k, v_l \rangle - \frac{\langle w_k, v_l \rangle}{\|v_l\|^2} \langle v_l, v_l \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows the property of the inner product, the third equality follows from an induction that $\langle v_j, v_l \rangle = 0$ for $j \neq l$, and the last equality follows from $\langle v_l, v_l \rangle = \|v_l\|^2$.

Definition 1.38. The orthonormal basis obtained in Theorem 1.36 is called an *Gram-Schmidt orthonormal basis* and the process to get that is called the *Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process*.

Remark 1.39. For any vector subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , let

$$T : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

be an injective linear transformation given by $T(v) = v$. Let \langle , \rangle be the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^n . Then, by Exercise 1.9, \langle , \rangle also defines an inner product for V .

For any subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , we shall use this standard inner product unless specified.

Example 1.40. Find an orthonormal basis for the vector subspace

$$\text{span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}\right\}.$$

Sol: Let $w_1 = (1, 0, -1)^T$ and $w_2 = (2, 1, -1)^T$. We carry out the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process: Set $v_1 = w_1$. Then we find:

$$\begin{aligned} v_2 &= w_2 - \frac{\langle v_1, w_2 \rangle}{\|v_1\|^2} v_1 \\ &= (2, 1, -1)^T - \frac{3}{2}(1, 0, -1)^T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

One then normalizes to get the orthonormal set:

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Exercise 1.41. Draw vectors w_1, w_2, v_1, v_2 of Example 1.40 in \mathbb{R}^3 to visualize the orthogonalization process.

Example 1.42. Let $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the vector space of polynomials of degree at most 2. Find an orthonormal basis for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ using the inner product in Example 1.8 with $a = 0$ and $b = 1$.

Sol: Let $f_1(x) = 1$, $f_2(x) = x$ and $f_3(x) = x^2$. Then, by Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(x) &= f_1(x) \\ w_2(x) &= f_2(x) - \frac{\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle}{\|f_1\|^2} f_1(x) = x - \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$w_3(x) = f_3(x) - \frac{\langle w_1, f_3 \rangle}{\|w_1\|^2} w_1(x) - \frac{\langle w_2, f_3 \rangle}{\|w_2\|^2} w_2(x) = x^2 - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4}x$$

We then normalize to obtain an orthonormal basis:

$$w_1(x), 12w_2(x), \frac{w_3(x)}{\|w_3\|}.$$

1.4. Orthogonal complements.

Definition 1.43. Let S be a non-empty subset of an inner product space V . Define

$$S^\perp = \{x \in V : \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } y \in S\}.$$

Literally, S^\perp is the subset of V containing all the vectors orthogonal to all vectors in S . We call it the **orthogonal complement** of S .

Example 1.44. Let $S = \{0\}$. Then $S^\perp = V$ by Proposition 1.2(c).

Example 1.45. Let $S = V$. Then $S^\perp = \{0\}$ since if $v \neq 0$, then $\langle v, v \rangle \neq 0$.

Example 1.46. Let $S = \{\mathbf{e}_2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Then $S^\perp = \{(x, 0, z) : x, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the xz -plane.

Exercise 1.47. Let S be a subset for V . Show that $S^\perp = \text{span}(S)^\perp$.

Sol: We first show $\text{span}(S)^\perp \subset S^\perp$. Let $x \in \text{span}(S)^\perp$. Then $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ for all $y \in \text{span}(S)$. In particular, $\langle x, y' \rangle = 0$ for all $y' \in S$ since $S \subset \text{span}(S)$. This shows that $\text{span}(S)^\perp \subset S^\perp$.

We next show that $S^\perp \subset \text{span}(S)^\perp$. Let $v \in S^\perp$. Write $S = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$. For any $y \in \text{span}(S)$,

$$y = a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_n w_n$$

for some a_1, \dots, a_n . Then

$$\langle v, y \rangle = \langle v, a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_n w_n \rangle = a_1 \langle v, w_1 \rangle + \dots + a_n \langle v, w_n \rangle = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from $\langle v, w_i \rangle = 0$ for all i since $v \in S^\perp$. This shows $S^\perp \subset \text{span}(S)^\perp$.

Theorem 1.48. Let S be a non-empty subset of V . Then S^\perp is a vector subspace of V .

Proof.

- Check addition: Let $x, y \in S^\perp$. For any $z \in S$,

$$\langle x + y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle = 0.$$

- Check scalar multiplication: Let $x \in S^\perp$ and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $z \in S$,

$$\langle cx, z \rangle = c \langle x, z \rangle = 0.$$

Hence, $cx \in S^\perp$. By the definition, we have that S^\perp is a vector subspace of V . \square

Another property is on the dimension:

Theorem 1.49. *Let V be an inner product space. Let W be a vector subspace of V . Then*

$$\dim(W) + \dim(W^\perp) = \dim(V).$$

Proof. We briefly sketch the proof. Let $n = \dim(V)$. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ be an orthonormal basis for W . We find vectors w_{r+1}, \dots, w_n such that $\{v_1, \dots, v_r, w_{r+1}, \dots, w_n\}$ forms a basis for V . Then by carrying out the Gram-Schmidt process, we obtain an orthonormal basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_r, v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n\}$. Then one checks that v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n are in W^\perp and form a basis for W^\perp . \square

Exercise 1.50. Investigate the proof of Theorem 1.49 and describe a way to find an orthonormal basis for W^\perp if we know an orthonormal basis for W .

Sol: We start with an orthonormal basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ for W .

- Then we complete to a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_r, w_{r+1}, \dots, w_n\}$ for V .
- We carry out the Gram-Schmidt process to obtain an orthonormal basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_r, v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ for V .
- Finally, $\{v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ forms a basis for W^\perp .

Example 1.51. Let $W = \text{span}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$. Find $\dim(W^\perp)$ and find an orthonormal basis for W^\perp .

Sol: By the dimension formula (Theorem 1.49), $\dim(W^\perp) = 3 - 1 = 2$.

We now find an orthonormal basis for W^\perp . First we find a basis

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

We now use the Gram-Schmidt process to find the vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= (1, 1, 1)^T, \\ v_2 &= (0, 1, 0)^T - \frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 1)^T = \left(-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}\right)^T. \\ v_3 &= (0, 0, 1)^T - \frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 1)^T - \frac{-1}{6}(-1, 2, -1)^T = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)^T. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\left\{ \left(-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}\right)^T, \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)^T \right\}$ forms an orthonormal basis for W^\perp .

1.5. Orthogonal projections. One importance of the orthogonal complement is the following result:

Theorem 1.52. *Let V be an inner product space. Let W be a vector subspace of V . Then, for any $v \in V$, there exists unique $x \in W$ and $y \in W^\perp$ such that $v = x + y$. The vector x is called the **orthogonal projection** of v on W .*

Before going into the proof of Theorem 1.52, we try to understand the theorem in some examples:

Example 1.53. Let $W = \text{span}(\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3\})$. Then $W^\perp = \text{span}(\{\mathbf{e}_2\})$. For $v = (2, 3, 4)$, we have:

$$v = (2, 0, 4) + (0, 3, 0)$$

for $(2, 0, 4) \in W$ and $(0, 3, 0) \in W^\perp$. Draw a picture to visualize that.

Example 1.54. Let $W = \text{span}(\{(1, -2)\})$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . Then $W^\perp = \text{span}(\{(2, 1)\})$. For example,

$$\langle(3, 4), (1, -2)\rangle = -5$$

and so the orthogonal projection of $(3, 4)$ on W is

$$\frac{-5}{5}(1, -2) = (-1, 2)$$

(One may also use the formula in Corollary 1.55 below.) One can write $(3, 4) = (-1, 2) + (4, 2)$ with $(4, 2) \in W^\perp$.

Proof of Theorem 1.52. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ be an orthonormal basis for W . Define

$$x = \langle v, v_1 \rangle v_1 + \dots + \langle v, v_r \rangle v_r.$$

By definitions, $x \in W$.

Now let $y = v - x$. For any $1 \leq i \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y, v_i \rangle &= \langle v - x, v_i \rangle \\ &= \langle v, v_i \rangle - \langle x, v_i \rangle \\ &= \langle v, v_i \rangle - \langle v, v_1 \rangle \langle v_1, v_i \rangle - \dots - \langle v_r, v_i \rangle \langle v_r, v_i \rangle \\ &= \langle v, v_i \rangle - \langle v, v_i \rangle \langle v_i, v_i \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $y \in W^\perp$.

The uniqueness part is left as an exercise. \square

Corollary 1.55. *We use the notations in Corollary 1.52. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ be an orthonormal basis for W . Then such x is given by*

$$x = \langle v, v_1 \rangle v_1 + \dots + \langle v, v_r \rangle v_r.$$

Corollary 1.56. (Minimizing the length via orthogonal projection) *Let W be a subspace of V . For $v \in V$, write $v = x + y$ as in Theorem 1.52. Then x is the unique vector in W such that*

$$\|v - x'\| \geq \|v - x\|$$

for any $x' \in W$. In other words, x is the unique vector in W that minimizes the length $\|v - x\|$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\|v - x'\|^2 &= \|y + x - x'\|^2 \\ &= \|y\|^2 + \|x - x'\|^2 \\ &\geq \|y\|^2 \\ &= \|v - x\|^2\end{aligned}$$

For the uniqueness, we need the above inequality to become an equality and so $\|x' - x\| = 0$. Hence, x' must be x to achieve the minimum. \square

Example 1.57. Let $W = \text{span}(\{\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\})$ be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^4 . Let $v = (1, 2, 3, 4)^T$. Find a vector $x \in W$ such that the length $\|v - x\|$ is minimized.

Sol: The orthogonal projection x' of v is

$$x' = \langle \mathbf{e}_2, v \rangle \mathbf{e}_2 + \langle \mathbf{e}_3, v \rangle \mathbf{e}_3 = (0, 2, 3, 0)^T,$$

which minimizes the length $\|x' - v\|$ by Corollary 1.56.

Example 1.58. Let $W = \{(x, y, z) : x + y - z = 0\}$, which is a 2-dimensional subspace in \mathbb{R}^3 . Find the point in W which has the shortest distance with the point $(1, 2, 1)$.

Sol: We first find an orthonormal basis for W . Note that $\{(1, 0, 1), (1, -1, 0)\}$ forms a basis for W . Let $v_1 = (1, 0, 1)$ and let

$$v_2 = (1, -1, 0) - \frac{\langle (1, 0, 1), (1, -1, 0) \rangle}{\|(1, 0, 1)\|^2} (1, 0, 1) = (1, -1, 0) - \frac{1}{2}(1, 0, 1) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, -1, -\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Since those vectors are obtained by the Gram-Schmidt process, $\{v_1, v_2\}$ is orthogonal.

We now normalize the vectors to obtain an orthonormal basis formed by two vectors

$$v'_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 0, 1), \quad v'_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(1, -2, -1)$$

The the point is given by:

$$\langle (1, 2, 1), v'_1 \rangle v'_1 + \langle (1, 2, 1), v'_2 \rangle v'_2 = (1, 0, 1) - \frac{2}{3}(1, -2, -1) = \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{5}{3}\right)$$

in W .

1.6. Least square approximation. We shall now describe a practical question. Suppose we have some data points

$$(t_1, y_1), \dots, (t_k, y_k).$$

We want to find a line of the equation $y = ct + d$ to approximate those data, that is,

$$y_i \approx ct_i + d.$$

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 1 \\ t_2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ t_k & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can rewrite into a matrix form:

$$Ax \approx y.$$

To make sense of the approximation, one may find $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that the length $\|Ax_0 - y\|$ is the minimum. The resulting line is called a **least squares line**.

Theorem 1.59. *There exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\|Ax_0 - y\|$ is the smallest and $A^T A x_0 = A^T y$.*

Proof. Let $W = \text{col}(A)$. Then Theorem 1.52 implies that we can find $x' \in W$ so that $\|x' - y\|$ is the smallest. Write $x' = Ax_0$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Now we have $\|Ax_0 - y\|$.

It remains to show another equality. We also have that $Ax_0 - y \in W^\perp$ and so

$$\langle Ax_0 - y, Ax \rangle = 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$. We use Exercise 1.4 to get:

$$\langle A^T(Ax_0 - y), x \rangle = 0$$

for all x . Hence, $A^T(Ax_0 - y) = 0$ and so $A^T A x_0 = A^T y$. \square

Example 1.60. Find the least square line for the points/data $(2, 3), (1, 5), (3, 7), (4, 2)$.

Sol: Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $A^T A = \begin{pmatrix} 30 & 10 \\ 10 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A^T y = \begin{pmatrix} 40 \\ 17 \end{pmatrix}$. Then, we can find x_0 by solving

$$(A^T A)x_0 = A^T y.$$

Hence,

$$x_0 = (A^T A)^{-1} (A^T y) = \frac{1}{-70} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -10 \\ -10 & 30 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 40 \\ 17 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{-7} \begin{pmatrix} -13 \\ 11 \end{pmatrix}$$