

# CS240: Homework 5

Sahit Mandala

October 23, 2015

## Problem 1

0th: [5, 8, 2, 6, 1, 4, 7, 3],

1st: [5, 2, 6, 1, 4, 7, 3, 8]

2st: [2, 5, 1, 4, 6, 3, 7, 8]

3st: [2, 1, 4, 5, 3, 6, 7, 8]

4st: [1, 2, 4, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8]

5st: [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]

6st: [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]

## Problem 2

Here, we define  $P(x) : =$  on the  $m$ th iteration of the inner loop,  $(i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v)$  of the inner loop invariants all hold.

Say we are given  $A, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will use induction to show  $P(x)$  holds on every iteration of the loop:

### Base case $P(0)$ :

On the 0th iteration, the loop has not executed once, so we would like to check that  $m, i, k, A$  satisfy the loop invariant prior to loop execution. First, on line 1,  $m = n$ ; furthermore, on line (2), we see that  $m > 1$  (otherwise, the loop on line (2) would never be executed, but was assumed that we are executing line (5)) Notice that on line 3 and 4 respectively,  $k = 0$  and  $i = 0$ . Clearly,  $0 \leq i \leq 0 \leq m - 1$  and  $0 \leq k \leq i$ . Furthermore, the statement (iii) is also true since  $A[0, \dots, 0] = A[0]$  and  $A[0, \dots, (k - 1) = -1] = []$ ; trivially, every element in  $A[0]$  is  $\geq$  every element in the empty array  $[]$ . Also, the singleton array  $A[0]$  is sorted, so  $(iv)$  holds. Finally, since  $A$  is currently unchanged, it is clearly a permutation of the original array input (namely, the identity permutation).

**Inductive Step:** Assume that  $P(x)$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then:

$0 \leq i_x \leq m_x - 1; 0 \leq k \leq i_x$ ; All elements from  $A[k_x, \dots, i_x]$  are  $\geq$  than any element from  $A_x[0, \dots, (k_x - 1)]$ ; All elements from  $A_x[k_x, \dots, i_x]$  are sorted;  $A_x$  is a permutation of the original input array. We use the subscript  $x$  to indicate these are values after the  $x$ th iteration of the loop.

We want to show  $P(x + 1)$  holds, specifically that (i)-(v) holds for  $i_{x+1}, m_{x+1}, k_{x+1}, A_{x+1}$ . If the  $x + 1$ th does not occur, then we are done, so assume this iteration occurs.

At the beginning of the loop,  $i_{x+1} = i_x, m_{x+1} = m_x, k_{x+1} = k_x, A_{x+1} = A_x$ , since those were the results after the last iteration. Since we assume the loop is being executed, the conditional  $i_{x+1} = i_x < m_{x+1} - 1$  on line (5) holds. Consider 2 cases (noting that, at line (6),  $i_{x+1} = i_x$ ):

**Case 1:** If  $A_{x+1}[i_x] > A_{x+1}[i_x + 1]$ , then we swap these entries. So  $A_{x+1}[i_x] = A_x[i_x + 1]$  and  $A_{x+1}[i_x + 1] = A_x[i_x]$  after (7) and then  $k_{x+1} = i_{x+1} + 1 = i_x + 1$  after (8). At (9), we increment  $i$ , so  $i_{x+1} = i_x + 1$ , completing the iteration.

Since we know  $0 \leq i_x$   $i_{x+1} = i_x < m_{x+1} - 1$  on line 5, we see that  $0 \leq i_{x+1} = i_x + 1 \leq m - 1$  after the iteration. Furthermore, since  $k_{x+1} = i_x + 1 = i_{x+1}$  after the iteration (note  $i_x \geq 0$ ), then  $0 \leq k_{x+1} \leq i_{x+1}$ .

We now want to show (iii). We know that all elements  $A_x[k_x, \dots, i_x]$  are  $\geq$  than elements in  $A_x[0, \dots, (k_x - 1)]$  by the inductive hypothesis. Consider  $A_{x+1}[k_{x+1}, \dots, i_{x+1}] = A_{x+1}[i_x + 1, \dots, i_x + 1] = A_{x+1}[i_x + 1]$  and  $A_{x+1}[0, \dots, (k_{x+1} - 1)] = A_{x+1}[0, \dots, i_x]$ . We know that  $A_x[i_x + 1] = A_{x+1}[i_x] < A_x[i_x] = A_{x+1}[i_x + 1]$  from the assumption and swap step. Since  $A_{x+1}[i_x + 1] = A_x[i_x]$  is  $\geq$  all elements in  $A_x[0, \dots, (k_x - 1)] = A_{x+1}[0, \dots, i_x - 1]$  (since these  $k_x - 1$  entries were unchanged in this iteration), it follows that  $A_{x+1}[i_x + 1] = A_{x+1}[k_{x+1}, \dots, i_{x+1}]$  is  $\geq$  all elements in  $A_{x+1}[0, \dots, i_x - 1, i_x] = A_{x+1}[0, \dots, k_{x+1} - 1]$ , implying (iii).

To show (iv), note that  $A_{x+1}[k_{x+1}, \dots, i_{x+1}] = A_{x+1}[i_x + 1, \dots, i_x + 1] = A_{x+1}[i_x + 1]$ , so we see that this singleton list is trivially sorted.

To show (v), recall that  $A_x$  was a permutation of the original input array. Also note that  $A_{x+1}$  has the same entries at every index as  $A_x$  except at  $i_x, i_{x+1}$ . At these indices, we swapped the values of  $A_x$  at  $i_{x+1} = i_x + 1, i_x + 1$ . That is, we permuted these 2 entries from  $A_x$  to  $A_{x+1}$ . So  $A_{x+1}$  is a permutation of  $A_x$ , and because the composition of permutations are permutations,  $A_{x+1}$  must be a permutation of the original input array, as expected.

**Case 2:** If  $A_{x+1}[i_x] \leq A_{x+1}[i_x + 1]$ , then the if statement is entirely skipped, so there are no changes to  $A, k$  between lines (6)-(8). At (9), we increment  $i$ , so  $i_{x+1} = i_x + 1$ , completing the iteration.

Since we know  $0 \leq i_x$   $i_{x+1} = i_x < m_{x+1} - 1$  on line 5, we see that  $0 \leq i_{x+1} = i_x + 1 \leq m - 1$  after the iteration. Since  $k_{x+1} = k_x \leq i_x$ , then  $k_{x+1} = k_x \leq i_x + 1 = i_{x+1}$ .

Since  $A_{x+1}[i_x] \leq A_{x+1}[i_x + 1]$

We know that all elements  $A_x[k_x, \dots, i_x]$  are  $\geq$  than elements in  $A_x[0, \dots, (k_x - 1)]$  by the inductive hypothesis. Since  $A_{x+1} = A_x$ , note that  $A_{x+1}[i_{x+1}] = A_x[i_x + 1]$  is  $\geq$  all elements in  $A_x[0, \dots, (k_x - 1)] = A_{x+1}[0, \dots, (k_{x+1} - 1)]$ . So all elements in  $A_{x+1}[k_{x+1}, \dots, i_{x+1}]$  are  $\geq$  then all elements in  $A_{x+1}[0, \dots, (k_{x+1} - 1)]$

For (iv), we also know that  $A_x[k_x, \dots, i_x] = A_{x+1}[k_{x+1}, \dots, i_{x+1} - 1]$  is sorted by the inductive hypothesis. We also know that  $A_{x+1}[i_x] = A_{x+1}[i_{x+1} - 1] \leq A_{x+1}[i_x + 1] = A_{x+1}[i_{x+1}]$ . So clearly, the array  $A_{x+1}[k_{x+1}, \dots, i_{x+1}]$  is sorted since  $A_{x+1}[k_{x+1}, \dots, i_{x+1} - 1]$  is sorted and  $A_{x+1}[i_{x+1}]$  is the larger than or equal to the largest element of  $A_{x+1}[k_{x+1}, \dots, i_{x+1} - 1]$ , making the whole subarray sorted.

Finally, since  $A_x = A_{x+1}$  and (v) holds for  $A_x$ , we know that (v) holds for  $A_{x+1}$ .

Overall, we have shown the inner loop invariant conditions hold.

## Problem 3

Let some  $A, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$P(x) :=$  After the  $x$ th iteration of the loop at (2), (i),(ii),(iii) of the outer loop invariants hold.

**Base Case P(0):**

On this 0th iteration, we see that at line 1,  $m_0 = n$  is set. Since this is the only line executed, we readily see that  $0 \leq m_0 \leq n$ . Further more, the subarray  $A[m_0, \dots, n - 1] = A[n, n - 1]$  is the empty array. The empty array satisfies both (ii) and (iii) since there are no elements in the array to consider. Hence, we have shown  $P(0)$ .

**Inductive Step:** Assume that  $P(x)$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then:

$0 \leq m_x \leq n$

All elements from  $A_x[m_x, \dots, n - 1]$  are in sorted order

All elements from  $A_x[m_x, \dots, n - 1]$  are  $\geq$  elements in  $A_x[0, \dots, m_x - 1]$

We want to show  $P(x + 1)$  holds, specifically that (i)-(v) hold for  $m_{x+1}, A_{x+1}$ . If the  $x + 1$ th does not occur, then we are done, so assume this iteration occurs and completes. Note that  $m_{x+1} = m_x, A_{x+1} = A_x$  at the beginning of the loop.

During this iteration,  $i_{x+1} = 0, k_{x+1} = 0$  are set at lines 3,4. Then the while loop at (5) iterates. We assumed the whole program and thus this inner while loop should complete, say in  $y$  iterations. By the inner loop invariant,

after the while loop completes,  $0 \leq i_{x+1} \leq m_{x+1} - 1 = m_x - 1$  (noting that  $m_{x+1}$  is unchanged in the inner loop),  $0 \leq k_{x+1} \leq i_{x+1}$ , all elements from  $A_{x+1}[k_{x+1}, \dots, i_{x+1}]$  are  $\geq$  than any element from  $A_{x+1}[0, \dots, (k_{x+1} - 1)]$ , and all elements from  $A_{x+1}[k_{x+1}, \dots, i_{x+1}]$  are sorted. Since we assumed the inner while loop terminated, we know the conditional at (5) must have been false, so  $i_{x+1} \geq m_{x+1} - 1 = m_x - 1$ . I would also note that the subarrays  $A_x[m_x, \dots, (n-1)] = A_{x+1}[m_{x+1}, \dots, (n-1)]$  since  $m_x = m_{x+1}$  and  $i_{x+1} < m_{x+1} - 1$  on the inner loop, so the entries  $A_{x+1}[m_{x+1}, \dots, (n-1)]$  are never accessed and thus never changed. Finally, at (10),  $m_{x+1} \leftarrow k_{x+1}$  is set.

To show (i), first of all, notice that  $i_{x+1} \leq m_x - 1$  and  $i_{x+1} \geq m_x - 1$ , which implies  $i_{x+1} = m_x - 1$ . We will also note that  $m_x \leq n$  by the inductive hypothesis, so  $i_{x+1} = m_x - 1 < n$ , and furthermore,  $0 \leq k_{x+1} \leq i_{x+1} < n$ . Since  $m_{x+1} = k_{x+1}$ , we see that  $0 \leq m_{x+1} \leq n$ .

To show (iii), first recall  $A_x[m_x, \dots, (n-1)] = A_{x+1}[m_x, \dots, (n-1)]$  and all elements of  $A_x[m_x, \dots, (n-1)]$  are  $\geq$  elements of  $A_x[0, \dots, m_x - 1]$ . The elements of  $A_{x+1}$  and  $A_x$  are a permutation of the original A, and since  $A_x[m_x, \dots, (n-1)] = A_{x+1}[m_x, \dots, (n-1)]$ , we can infer that all the elements in  $A_x[0, \dots, m_x - 1]$  are also in  $A_{x+1}[0, \dots, m_x - 1] = A_{x+1}[0, \dots, m_x - 1]$  (though potentially permuted). This means that all elements of  $A_{x+1}[m_x, \dots, (n-1)] = A_x[m_x, \dots, (n-1)]$  are  $\geq$  elements of  $A_{x+1}[0, \dots, m_x - 1]$ . Now consider the subarray  $A_{x+1}[k_{x+1}, \dots, i_{x+1}]$ , which we know is  $\geq$  the elements of  $A_{x+1}[0, \dots, k_{x+1}]$  by the inner loop invariant. We also noted that  $m_{x+1} = k_{x+1}$  and  $i_{x+1} = m_x - 1$ , so  $A_{x+1}[k_{x+1}, \dots, i_{x+1}] = A_{x+1}[m_{x+1}, \dots, m_x - 1]$ . Clearly, all elements of  $A_{x+1}[m_{x+1}, \dots, (n-1)]$  are  $\geq$  elements of  $A_{x+1}[0, \dots, k_{x+1}] = A_{x+1}[0, \dots, m_{x+1}] \subseteq A_{x+1}[0, \dots, m_{x+1} - 1]$ , and we just showed that this is true for  $A_{x+1}[m_{x+1}, \dots, m_x - 1]$  as well. So the elements of  $A_{x+1}[m_{x+1}, \dots, (n-1)]$  must be  $\geq$  the elements of  $A_{x+1}[0, \dots, m_{x+1}]$ , thus proving (iii).

To show (ii), first note that  $A_x[m_x, \dots, (n-1)] = A_{x+1}[m_x, \dots, (n-1)]$  and  $A_x[m_x, \dots, (n-1)]$  is sorted by the inductive hypothesis,  $A_{x+1}[m_x, \dots, (n-1)]$  is also sorted. We also know that  $A_{x+1}[k_{x+1}, \dots, i_{x+1}] = A_{x+1}[m_{x+1}, \dots, m_x - 1]$  is sorted. Furthermore, from (iii) which we just proved, we know that all elements of  $A_{x+1}[m_x, \dots, (n-1)]$  are greater than all the elements of  $A_{x+1}[m_{x+1}, \dots, m_x - 1]$ , so  $A_{x+1}[m_x - 1] \leq A_{x+1}[m_x]$  and thus all the elements in the array  $A_{x+1}[m_{x+1}, \dots, (n-1)]$  are in sorted order, thus proving (ii).

Overall, we have shown the outer loop invariant conditions hold.

## Problem 4

### Partial correctness:

Let some  $A, n \in \mathbb{N}$  be given. Since  $n$  is the length of the array,  $A[0, \dots, n-1]$  is the entire array. Assume that the program terminates on this input. This implies that the while loop at (2) must have exited, which means that  $m \leq 1$ . Say that the outer loop terminated on the  $k$ th iteration, for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by the outer loop invariant,  $0 \leq m$ . So  $0 \leq m \leq 1$ . Since  $m$  is an integer, there are only 2 possible cases:

**Case 1:** Suppose  $m = 0$ . Then by the outer loop invariant, all the elements from  $A[m, \dots, n-1] = A[0, \dots, n-1]$  are in sorted order. But this is the entire array, which implies that the entire array A is now sorted.

**Case 2:** Suppose  $m = 1$ . Then by the outer loop invariant, all the elements from  $A[m, \dots, n-1] = A[1, \dots, n-1]$  are in sorted order (if  $n=0$  or  $n=1$ , then  $A[1, \dots, n-1]$  is just empty). Note that by the outer loop invariant, all the elements in  $A[1, \dots, n-1]$  are  $\geq$  the elements in  $A[m, \dots, m-1] = A[0]$ . But this implies that  $A[0] \leq A[1]$ , which means that the array  $A[0, n-1]$  is also sorted.

Overall, in either case, the outer loop terminates with a sorted list, and thus the program does terminate on the correct output.

### Termination:

Suppose we are given a valid input, say some  $A, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . To show termination, we need to show that both inner loops terminate across all inputs. First, consider the inner loop. On iteration 0,  $i_0 = 0$ , which is set on line (4). On every iteration of the loop at (5),  $i$  is incremented by 1, so on the  $k$ th iteration  $i_k = 0 + 1 + 1 \dots + 1 = k$ . Also note that, throughout the loop,  $m$  is unchanged and by the inner loop invariant, that  $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ . So on the  $m - 1^{th}$

iteration,  $i_{m-1} = m - 1$ . The loop conditional  $i < m - 1$  would be false, causing the inner loop to terminate. Now we consider the outer loop at (2). Notice that at the 0th iteration of this loop,  $m_0 = n$ . If  $n = 0$  or  $n = 1$ , the loop conditional fails, so the loop would terminate and we are done. Otherwise, on some  $x^{th}$  iteration of the outer loop, we know that from the inner loop invariant,  $0 \leq k_x \leq i_x \leq m_x - 1 = m_{x-1} - 1$  after the inner loop executes, noting that  $m_x = m_{x-1}$  from the previous iteration at this point. Then  $m_x$  is set to  $k_x$ . so  $0 \leq m_x \leq m_{x-1} - 1$ , so the value of  $m_{x-1}$  is decremented by atleast 1 after every iteration. Because the outer loop terminates when  $m \leq 1$ , after a finite number of iteration (at most  $n - 1$  iterations since  $m$  starts at  $m_0 = n$ ),  $m$  will eventually reach the lower bound of 1. Thus, the outer loop will also terminate and thus the entire program terminates on any input  $A, n$ .