

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327832699>

# Euler–Fermat algorithm

Preprint · September 2018

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.34554.75201/1

---

CITATIONS

0

READS

372

1 author:



S. Nikitin

Arizona State University

63 PUBLICATIONS 188 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

# Euler-Fermat algorithm \*

Sergey Nikitin

September 28, 2018

## Abstract

The paper introduces Euler-Carmichael function  $s(r,b)$  and proves that for any two natural numbers  $r > 1$  and  $b$  there exist nonnegative integers  $s = s(r,b) > 0$ ,  $\ell$  and  $n$  such that  $r^\ell \cdot (r^{s(r,b)} - 1) = b \cdot n$  where  $s(r,b)|m$  for any  $m$  such that  $r^k \cdot (r^m - 1) = 0 \pmod{b}$  where  $k$  is a nonnegative integer. The paper also develops a new numerical procedure for computing  $s(r,b)$ ,  $\ell$  and  $n$ . The procedure is named as Euler-Fermat algorithm. It has upper complexity estimate  $O(\lambda(b) \cdot \log(b))$  as  $b \rightarrow \infty$  where  $b$  and  $r$  are coprime and  $\lambda(b)$  is Carmichael function.

## 1 Introduction

Fermat's "little theorem" was formulated in 17th century [1] without a proof,

$$r^{p-1} = 1 \pmod{p} \quad (1)$$

for any prime number  $p$  and any natural number  $r$  not divisible by  $p$ . L. Euler proved this statement in the middle of 18th century [2],[3] and also eliminated primality requirement for  $p$ . Euler theorem:

$$r^{\varphi(b)} = 1 \pmod{b} \quad (2)$$

for any two coprime integers  $r$  and  $b$ . The notation similar to  $\varphi(b)$  was first used by H.F. Gauss in the middle of 19th century [4]. J.J. Sylvester introduced the term Euler totient function for  $\varphi(b)$  in 19th century [7]. While there are numerous proofs of Fermat's little theorem (1) exploiting primality of  $p$  (see [3],[9], [10], [11], [12]), there are very few for Euler theorem (2) (see e.g. [5], [8]). Euler theorem can be strengthened [13], and Euler function  $\varphi(b)$  in (2) can be replaced with Carmichael function  $\lambda(b)$ . This publication goes even further along this path and improves (2) as follows.

Let us introduce a new arithmetic function  $s(r,b)$  such that for any two natural numbers  $r > 1$  and  $b$  there exist nonnegative integers  $s(r,b) > 0$ ,  $\ell$ ,  $n$  and

$$r^\ell \cdot (r^{s(r,b)} - 1) = b \cdot n, \quad (3)$$

---

\*School of Mathematical & Statistical Sciences, Arizona State University, P.O. Box 871804, Tempe, AZ 85287-1804; nikitin@asu.edu

Moreover  $s(r,b)|\hat{s}$  for any triple  $\hat{s}, \hat{\ell}, \hat{n}$  of nonnegative integers satisfying

$$r^{\hat{\ell}} \cdot (r^{\hat{s}} - 1) = \hat{n} \cdot b.$$

In particular  $s(r,b)|\varphi(b)$  and  $s(r,b)|\lambda(b)$  for any natural number  $r > 1$ , where  $\varphi(b)$ ,  $\lambda(b)$  are Euler and Carmichael functions respectively. We call  $s(r,b)$  Euler-Carmichael function. Asymptotic properties of the average values for  $s(r,b)$  as  $b \rightarrow \infty$  were studied in [6],[14]. This publication presents a new proof of (3) and a new numerical procedure for computing  $s(r,b)$ ,  $\ell$  and  $n$ . This procedure is called Euler-Fermat algorithm. If  $b$  and  $r$  are coprime then its upper complexity estimate is  $O(\lambda(b) \cdot \log(b))$  as  $b \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 2 Euler-Fermat algorithm

We begin this section with Euler-Fermat statement.

$$m^{\varphi(b)} - 1 = 0 \pmod{b} \quad \text{for } (m,b) = 1$$

where  $(m,b)$  denotes the greatest common divisor for  $m$  and  $b$ .  $\varphi(b)$  is Euler totient function. In other words, if  $(m,b) = 1$  then one can find an integer  $q$  such that

$$b \cdot q = m^{\varphi(b)} - 1.$$

In fact the following stronger statement is true. For any two coprime positive integers  $m$  and  $b$  there exist natural numbers  $q$  and  $s(m,b)$  such that

$$b \cdot q = m^{s(m,b)} - 1$$

and  $s(m,b)|k$  for any  $k$  with  $m^k \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$ . The function  $s(m,b)$  is called Euler-Carmichael function. This paper presents an algorithm that calculates  $q$  and  $s(m,b)$  for any two natural numbers  $b$  and  $m > 1$ .

In order to illustrate the algorithm let us take  $m = 2$  and

$$b = 2^3 + 2^2 + 0 \cdot 2 + 1$$

in the binary form

$$\begin{array}{r} 1101 \end{array}$$

The algorithm that delivers the value of Euler-Carmichael function  $s(2, 13)$  and  $q$  in

$$13 \cdot q = 2^{s(2,13)} - 1$$

is illustrated in (4).

### Example of Euler-Fermat algorithm in numeral system base 2

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & 1 \\
 & & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & x \\
 & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 & & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & x^3 \\
 & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 & & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & x^4 & (4) \\
 & & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 & & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & x^5 \\
 & & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 & & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & x^8 \\
 & & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\
 & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
 \end{array}$$

If  $x = 2$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x^3 + x^2 + 1) \cdot (x^8 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1) &= 4095 \\
 2^{12} - 1 &= 4095
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 s(2, 13) &= 12 \\
 n &= x^3 + x^2 + 1 = 13 \\
 q &= x^8 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1 = 315 \\
 &13 \cdot 315 = 4095.
 \end{aligned}$$

Calculations (5) show an application of Euler-Fermat algorithm in base 10 numeral system. It delivers the value  $s(10, 17)$  for Euler-Carmichael function.

### Example of Euler-Fermat algorithm in numeral system base 10

We need to find  $m$  and  $s(10, 17)$  such that

$$17 \cdot m = 10^{s(10, 17)} - 1$$

Since

$$3 \cdot 7 = 1 \pmod{10}$$

we take  $m = 3 \cdot n$ . Then we need to find  $n$  such that

$$51 \cdot n = 10^{s(10, 17)} - 1.$$

$9 \cdot 51$		$9 \cdot 1$		
$9 \cdot 51$		$=$	$459$	
$4 \cdot 51$		$4 \cdot 10$		
$459$	$+$	$4 \cdot 10 \cdot 51$	$=$	$2499$
$5 \cdot 51$		$5 \cdot 10^2$		
$2499$	$+$	$5 \cdot 10^2 \cdot 51$	$=$	$27999$
$2 \cdot 51$		$2 \cdot 10^3$		
$27999$	$+$	$2 \cdot 10^3 \cdot 51$	$=$	$129999$
$7 \cdot 51$		$7 \cdot 10^4$		
$129999$	$+$	$7 \cdot 10^4 \cdot 51$	$=$	$3699999$
$3 \cdot 51$		$3 \cdot 10^5$		
$3699999$	$+$	$3 \cdot 10^5 \cdot 51$	$=$	$18999999$
$1 \cdot 51$		$1 \cdot 10^6$		
$18999999$	$+$	$10^6 \cdot 51$	$=$	$69999999$
$3 \cdot 51$		$3 \cdot 10^7$		
$69999999$	$+$	$3 \cdot 10^7 \cdot 51$	$=$	$1599999999$
$4 \cdot 51$		$4 \cdot 10^8$		
$1599999999$	$+$	$4 \cdot 10^8 \cdot 51$	$=$	$21999999999$
$8 \cdot 51$		$8 \cdot 10^9$		
$2199999999$	$+$	$8 \cdot 10^9 \cdot 51$	$=$	$429999999999$
$7 \cdot 51$		$7 \cdot 10^{10}$		
$429999999999$	$+$	$7 \cdot 10^{10} \cdot 51$	$=$	$39999999999999$
$6 \cdot 51$		$6 \cdot 10^{12}$		
$3999999999999$	$+$	$6 \cdot 10^{12} \cdot 51$	$=$	$309999999999999$
$9 \cdot 51$		$9 \cdot 10^{13}$		
$309999999999999$	$+$	$9 \cdot 10^{13} \cdot 51$	$=$	$489999999999999$
$1 \cdot 51$		$1 \cdot 10^{14}$		
$489999999999999$	$+$	$1 \cdot 10^{14} \cdot 51$	$=$	$999999999999999$

If  $x = 10$  then

$$17 \cdot 3 \cdot (9 + 4 \cdot x + 5 \cdot x^2 + 2 \cdot x^3 + 7 \cdot x^4 + 3 \cdot x^5 + x^6 + 3 \cdot x^7 + 4 \cdot x^8 + 8 \cdot x^9 + 7 \cdot x^{10} + 6 \cdot x^{12} + 9 \cdot x^{13} + x^{14}) = 10^{16} - 1$$

For 17 we calculated  $m = 588235294117647$  and  $s(10, 17) = 16$  such that  $17 \cdot m = 10^{s(10, 17)} - 1$ .

For a natural number  $r > 1$  we introduce  $r$ -polynomials of the form

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m p_j \cdot x^j$$

with  $p_j$  taking values 0, 1, ...,  $r-1$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$ . The sequence

$$p_m \ p_{m-1} \ \dots \ p_1 \ p_0 \tag{6}$$

is the representation of the number  $p(r)$  in base  $r$  numeral system.  $Z_r$  denotes the ring of integers modulo  $r$ . An integer  $z$  is a zero divisor in  $Z_r$  if there exists a non-zero

$u \in \mathbb{Z}_r$  and  $z \cdot u = 0 \pmod r$ . Given natural numbers  $b$  and  $r > 1$  Euler-Fermat algorithm calculates natural numbers  $k$ ,  $n$ , and  $s$  such that

$$b \cdot n = r^k \cdot (r^s - 1). \quad (7)$$

Moreover, Euler-Fermat algorithm computes the smallest  $s$  for which (7) takes place.  $s$  is set to be the value of Euler-Carmichael function  $s(r, b)$ .

### Euler-Fermat Algorithm

1. Set  $k = 0$ ,  $n = 1$ ,  $s = 1$ . While  $(r, b) > 1$  and  $b > 1$  do the following.

Set  $b$  equal to  $\frac{b}{(r, b)}$ .

Set  $n$  to  $n \cdot \frac{r}{(r, b)}$ .

Increment  $k$  by one.

If  $b = 1$  then terminate the algorithm. If  $(r, b) = 1$  then taking  $b_0 = b \pmod r$  yields  $(r, b_0) = 1$  and there exists  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_r$  such that  $a \cdot b_0 = 1 \pmod r$ . Let  $[a \cdot (r-1)]$  denote  $a \cdot (r-1) \pmod r$ . Introduce an integer  $q$  and an  $r$ -polynomial  $h(x)$ . Initialize them as  $q = [a \cdot (r-1)]$  and  $h(x)$  is the  $r$ -polynomial for  $[a \cdot (r-1)] \cdot b$ .

2. Let

$$h_{\bar{m}} h_{\bar{m}-1} \dots h_1 h_0$$

be the digital representation of  $h(r)$  in base  $r$  numeral system. If  $h_j = r-1$  for all  $j$  then set  $s = \bar{m}+1$ ,  $n = n \cdot q$  and terminate the algorithm. Otherwise, let  $j$  be the first integer such that  $h_j < r-1$ . Set  $h(x)$  to be the  $r$ -polynomial for

$$h(r) + [(r-1-h_j) \cdot a] \cdot b \cdot r^j \quad (8)$$

and set

$$q = q + [(r-1-h_j) \cdot a] \cdot r^j$$

where

$$[(r-1-h_j) \cdot a] = (r-1-h_j) \cdot a \pmod r.$$

Repeat step 2.

Discussion of Euler-Fermat algorithm culminates with the following statement.

**Theorem 1** For any two natural numbers  $b$  and  $r > 1$  Euler-Fermat algorithm calculates in a finite number of steps the nonnegative integers  $n$ ,  $k$  and  $s(r, b)$  such that

$$b \cdot n = r^k \cdot (r^{s(r,b)} - 1)$$

and  $s(r, b)|w$  if  $r^\ell \cdot (r^w - 1) = 0 \pmod b$  for some integer  $\ell$ . Moreover,  $k = 0$  if  $b$  is neither zero nor a divisor of zero in  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ .

**Proof.**

We start with  $b$  such that  $(b, r) = 1$ . Let  $p(x)$  be the  $r$ -polynomial that corresponds to  $b$ ,  $b = p(r)$ . Consider an iteration from step 2 of Euler-Fermat algorithm (see (4), (5)). Omitting the largest segment with all digits equal to  $r - 1$  on the right we observe that the algorithm changes only the segment on the left. The length of this segment is less than or equal to  $\deg(p) + 1$ , the number of digital places in the representation of  $b$  in base  $r$  numeral system. Algorithm does not create strings of zeroes. Therefore there are  $r^{\deg(p)+1} - 1$  such segments and among them one that terminates our algorithm. If the algorithm does not loop then after a finite number of steps it reaches the segment with all digital places occupied by  $r - 1$ .

Suppose  $d(x)$  is an  $r$ -polynomial that corresponds to the segment that triggers the loop. Then there exist  $r$ -polynomials  $q_0(x)$ ,  $q_1(x)$  and

$$p(x) \cdot q_0(x) = d(x) \cdot x^k + x^k - 1 \quad (9)$$

$$p(x) \cdot q_1(x) + d(x) = d(x) \cdot x^s + x^s - 1 \quad (10)$$

where  $k, s$  are natural numbers and  $x = r$ . Hence, (9) yields that  $p(r)$  and  $d(r) + 1$  are coprime,

$$(p(r), d(r) + 1) = 1.$$

It follows from (10) that

$$p(x) \cdot q_1(x) = (d(x) + 1) \cdot (x^s - 1)$$

and  $d(r) + 1 | q_1(r)$ . There exists an  $r$ -polynomial  $h(x)$  such that

$$q_1(r) = h(r) \cdot (d(r) + 1).$$

Thus the algorithm terminates after a finite number of steps,

$$p(r) \cdot h(r) = r^s - 1.$$

By construction, the natural number  $s$  is the smallest positive integer such that

$$r^s = 1 \pmod{p(r)} \quad (11)$$

$s$  is the value of Euler-Carmichael function  $s(r, p(r))$ . If

$$r^w = 1 \pmod{p(r)}$$

and  $w > s$  then  $w = q \cdot s + u$  where  $0 \leq u < s$ . It follows from (11) that

$$r^w = r^{q \cdot s + u} = r^u = 1 \pmod{p(r)}$$

Therefore the only possible value for  $u$  is 0 and  $s | w$ .

If  $b$  is a zero or a divisor of zero in  $Z_r$  then there exist natural numbers  $m$ ,  $\ell$  and  $j$  such that  $j$  is neither zero nor a divisor of zero in  $Z_r$  and

$$b \cdot m = r^\ell \cdot j \quad (12)$$

Since  $j$  is not a divisor of zero in  $Z_r$  then we already established that there exist  $s$  and  $n$  such that

$$j \cdot n = r^s - 1$$

Multiplying (12) with  $n$  yields

$$b \cdot m \cdot n = r^\ell \cdot j \cdot n = r^\ell \cdot (r^s - 1).$$

**Q.E.D.**

Complexity of Euler-Fermat algorithm is related to the value  $s(r, b)$  and the complexity of calculating the greatest common divisor (gcd).

**Theorem 2** *The complexity of Euler-Fermat algorithm for a fixed value of  $r > 1$  is*

$$O((s(r, b) + M(\log(b)) \cdot \log(\log(b))) \log(b)) \text{ as } b \rightarrow \infty$$

where  $M(\log(b))$  is the complexity of the chosen multiplication algorithm. Moreover, if  $(b, r) = 1$  then the complexity is

$$O(s(r, b) \log(b)) \text{ as } b \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Proof.**

The complexity of addition is  $O(\log(b))$  as  $b \rightarrow \infty$  (see [15], [16], [17]). Euler-Fermat algorithm terminates when we reach a number with  $s(r, b)$  numeral places occupied by  $r - 1$ . At each iteration of step 2 in Euler-Fermat algorithm one performs (8) that has complexity  $O(\log(b))$  as  $b \rightarrow \infty$ . One needs less than  $s(r, b)$  iterations of (8) in order to complete the algorithm and the complexity estimate

$$O(s(r, b) \log(b)) \text{ as } b \rightarrow \infty.$$

follows for  $(b, r) = 1$ .

One needs not more than  $O(\log(b))$  applications of gcd at step 1. It is well-known that the complexity of Knut-Schönhage fast gcd is

$$O(M(\log(b)) \log(\log(b))).$$

**Q.E.D.**

By theorem 1  $s(r, b) | \lambda(b)$  where  $\lambda(b)$  is the value of Carmichael function at  $b$ . The more rough upper estimate of the complexity is given by

$$O((\lambda(b) + M(\log(b)) \log(\log(b))) \log(b)) \text{ as } b \rightarrow \infty$$

and for  $(b, r) = 1$  we have

$$O(\lambda(b) \log(b)) \text{ as } b \rightarrow \infty$$

### 3 Conclusion

The algorithm presented in this publication was implemented and tested as a part of  
<http://github.com/mathhobbit/EditCalculateAndChart/releases>  
see functions EF and FE in EditCalculateAndChart application.

### References

- [1] P. Fermat, Oeuvres de Fermat, supp. T. I-IV par M. C. de Waard, éd. P. Tannery et C. Henry, Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1922.
- [2] L.Euler, Commentationes arithmeticæ collectae, T. 1, 2. St. Petersburg, 1849.
- [3] L.Euler, Theoremata circa residua ex divisione potestatum relicta, Novi Commentarii academiae scientiarum Petropolitanae, 1761.
- [4] C. F. Gauss, tr. Arthur A. Clarke: Disquisitiones Arithmeticae, Yale University Press, 1965
- [5] V.I. Arnold, Ergodic and Arithmetical Properties of Geometrical Progression's Dynamics and of its Orbits, Moscow Mathematical Journal, Volume 5, Number 1, January-March, 2005, pages 5-22.
- [6] V. Arnold. Number-theoretical turbulence in Fermat-Euler arithmetics and large Young diagrams geometry statistics. J. Math. Fluid Mech., 7(suppl. 1):S4 S50, 2005
- [7] J. J. Sylvester, On certain ternary cubic-form equations, American Journal of Mathematics, 2 , 1879, pages 357393
- [8] B. Fine, G. Rosenberger, Number Theory, An Introduction via the Distribution of Primes, Birkhäuser,Boston, Basel, Berlin, 2007.
- [9] S.W. Golomb, Combinatorial Proof of Fermat's "Little" Theorem, The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 63, No. 10, (Dec., 1956), pp. 718
- [10] K. Iga, A Dynamical Systems Proof of Fermat's Little Theorem, Mathematics Magazine, 76 (1),(Feb.,2003) pp. 4851
- [11] G. Vacca, Intorno alla prima dimostrazione di un teorema di Fermat, Bibliotheca Mathematica, 2nd series,1894, 8(2), pp.46-48
- [12] G. Alkauskas, A Curious Proof of Fermat's Little Theorem, American Mathematical Monthly,2009, 116 (4), pp.362364
- [13] R.D. Carmichael, The Theory of Numbers, Mathematical Monographs ed. M. Merriman and R.S.Woodward, 13, New York, John Wiley and Sons, 1914.
- [14] P.Kurlberg, C. Pomerance, On a Problem of Arnold: the Average Multiplicative Order of a Given Integer,arXiv:1108.5209 [math.NT], 2011, pp.18

- [15] D. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming*, Volume 2,Volume 3, Third Edition, Addison-Wesley 1997.
- [16] G. Gathen, J.Gerhard, *Modern computer algebra* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, UK ; New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press,2003.
- [17] C. Yap, *Fundamental problems of algorithmic algebra*. New York ; Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000.