

Algorithm for calculating and updating Moore-Penrose inverse.

Sergey Nikitin

Date: Sept. 25, 2025

Abstract

The paper presents an algorithm for calculating a Moore-Penrose inverse. The algorithm is similar in spirit to the well known Gram-Schmidt process. It first calculates the Moore-Penrose inverse for a matrix V having two columns then it gives an efficient recipe for the updating of the Moore-Penrose inverse in response to appending a new column to V . It is assumed that the columns of V are linearly independent.

Keywords: Moore-Penrose inverse, Gram-Schmidt process, linear space, algorithm.

1 Introduction

The Moore-Penrose inverse has a diverse range of important applications in neural networks [5], cryptography [6], control theory [8], image processing [7] to mention just a few. It is closely related to various matrix factorizations such as, for example, the QR -factorization and the Singular Value Decomposition. The technique developed in this publication is associated with a modified Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure (see [1] and [4]). The paper presents an algorithm for calculating the Moore-Penrose inverse

$$(V^T V)^{-1} V^T$$

The algorithm is not only rooted in a modified Gram-Schmidt type of orthogonalization but its organization is similar in spirit to that of the well known Gram-Schmidt process. It first calculates the Moore-Penrose inverse for a matrix V having two columns then it gives an efficient recipe for the updating of the Moore-Penrose inverse in response to appending a new column to V . The newly proposed update scheme requires the number of arithmetic operations that is proportional to the number of rows in V . From this point of view it is more efficient than methods using Givens, Householder transformations or other rotations (see [2]). The algorithm is designed with an intention to be used in applications where the size of the column is much greater than the number of columns in V .

2 Moore-Penrose inverse for a matrix with two columns

Consider a matrix

$$V = (V_1 \ V_2)$$

with two linearly independent columns V_1 and V_2 . The goal is to calculate the Moore-Penrose inverse

$$MP_2 = (V^T V)^{-1} V^T$$

where V^T denotes the transpose of V and $(V^T V)^{-1}$ is the inverse of $(V^T V)$.

For this purpose let us use an approach based on a modified version of Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization algorithm. This approach is well known, for details see [1], [2], [4] and for further references see chapter 7 in [3]. In accordance with the modified version of Gram-Schmidt algorithm (see [4])

$$V_1 = \eta_1 \tag{1}$$

$$V_2 = \eta_2 + \frac{\langle \eta_1, V_2 \rangle}{|\eta_1|^2} \eta_1$$

where $\langle \eta_1, V_1 \rangle$ denotes the dot product between η_1 and V_1 , while $|\eta_1|^2 = \langle \eta_1, \eta_1 \rangle$. One can see that

$$\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle = 0$$

and

$$|\eta_2|^2 = |V_2|^2 - \frac{\langle \eta_1, V_2 \rangle^2}{|\eta_1|^2}.$$

Let us write (1) in a matrix form,

$$(V_1 \ V_2) = (\eta_1 \ \eta_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\langle \eta_1, V_2 \rangle}{|\eta_1|^2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the Moore-Penrose inverse MP_2 can be calculated as follows.

$$(V^T V)^{-1} V^T = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\langle \eta_1, V_2 \rangle}{|\eta_1|^2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^T (\eta_1 \ \eta_2)^T (\eta_1 \ \eta_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\langle \eta_1, V_2 \rangle}{|\eta_1|^2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\langle \eta_1, V_2 \rangle}{|\eta_1|^2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^T (\eta_1 \ \eta_2)^T \tag{2}$$

It follows from (2) and

$$(\eta_1 \ \eta_2)^T (\eta_1 \ \eta_2) = \begin{pmatrix} |\eta_1|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & |\eta_2|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

that

$$MP_2 = (V^T V)^{-1} V^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\langle \eta_1, V_2 \rangle}{|\eta_1|^2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{|\eta_1|^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{|\eta_2|^2} \end{pmatrix} (\eta_1 \ \eta_2)^T$$

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\langle \eta_1, V_2 \rangle}{|\eta_1|^2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\langle \eta_1, V_2 \rangle}{|\eta_1|^2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

let us introduce

$$W_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{|\eta_1|^2} & -\frac{\langle \eta_1, V_2 \rangle}{|\eta_1|^2 |\eta_2|^2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{|\eta_2|^2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

Then

$$MP_2 = W_2(\eta_1 \ \eta_2)^T \quad (4)$$

and we obtain the following formula

$$MP_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{|\eta_1|^2} & -\frac{\langle \eta_1, V_2 \rangle}{|\eta_1|^2 |\eta_2|^2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{|\eta_2|^2} \end{pmatrix} (\eta_1 \ \eta_2)^T = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{|\eta_1|^2} \eta_1^T & -\frac{\langle \eta_1, V_2 \rangle}{|\eta_1|^2 |\eta_2|^2} \eta_2^T \\ \frac{1}{|\eta_2|^2} \eta_2^T & \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

3 Updating Moore-Penrose inverse

Given Mure-Penrose inverse MP_n for linearly independent vectors V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n the goal of this section is to calculate More-Penrose inverse MP_{n+1} after adding V_{n+1} column to the original set of vectors. It is assumed that the updated set of vectors $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n, V_{n+1}$ is linearly independent. Section 3.2 in [2] considers similar problem for QR decomposition but it describes the technique employing Givens rotations which is different from the machinery developed in this section.

Application of the modified Gram-Schmidt algorithm to V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n yields

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= V_1 \\ \eta_2 &= V_2 - \frac{\langle \eta_1, V_2 \rangle}{|\eta_1|^2} \eta_1 \\ &\vdots \\ \eta_n &= V_n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\langle \eta_j, V_n \rangle}{|\eta_j|^2} \eta_j \\ \eta_{n+1} &= V_{n+1} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\langle \eta_j, V_{n+1} \rangle}{|\eta_j|^2} \eta_j \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n+1} be linearly independent and MP_n be the Moore-Penrose inverse for (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n) . Then*

$$MP_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} MP_n - \frac{1}{|\eta_{n+1}|^2} (MP_n V_{n+1}) \eta_{n+1}^T \\ \frac{1}{|\eta_{n+1}|^2} \eta_{n+1}^T \end{pmatrix}$$

is the Moore-Penrose inverse for $(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n+1})$.

Proof. Modified Gram-Schmidt algorithm (6) yields that

$$\langle \eta_{n+1}, V_j \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n$$

Hence, for $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$MP_{n+1} V_j = \begin{pmatrix} MP_n V_j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = e_j$$

where e_j has all its entries equal to 0 but its j th entry is 1.

It remains to show that $MP_{n+1}V_{n+1} = e_{n+1}$. Indeed, it follows from

$$\langle \eta_{n+1}, V_{n+1} \rangle = |V_{n+1}|^2 - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\langle \eta_j, V_{n+1} \rangle^2}{|\eta_j|^2} = |\eta_{n+1}|^2$$

that

$$MP_{n+1}V_{n+1} = \left(MP_n V_{n+1} - \frac{1}{|\eta_{n+1}|^2} (MP_n V_{n+1}) \langle \eta_{n+1}, V_{n+1} \rangle \right) = e_{n+1}$$

Q.E.D. □

Example 3.1. Calculate Moore-Penrose inverse MP_3 for

$$V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad V_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad V_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The modified Gram-Schmidt process yields

$$\eta_1 = V_1, \quad \eta_2 = V_2 - \frac{\langle \eta_1, V_2 \rangle}{|\eta_1|^2} \eta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} \\ 1 \\ \frac{2}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It follows from (3), (4) that

$$W_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{8} \\ 0 & \frac{3}{8} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$MP_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3}(1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0) - \frac{1}{8}(-\frac{1}{3} & 1 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 1) \\ \frac{3}{8}(-\frac{1}{3} & 1 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{8} & -\frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{8} & -\frac{1}{8} \\ -\frac{1}{8} & \frac{3}{8} & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{8} & \frac{3}{8} \end{pmatrix}$$

It follows from (6) that

$$\eta_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} \\ 1 \\ \frac{2}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Making use of theorem 3.1 yields

$$MP_3 = \left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{8} & -\frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{8} & -\frac{1}{8} \\ -\frac{1}{8} & \frac{3}{8} & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{8} & \frac{3}{8} \\ & & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ & & & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Hence,

$$MP_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{8} & -\frac{3}{8} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{5}{8} & \frac{1}{8} \\ -\frac{3}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{5}{8} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

References

- [1] Åke Björck. Solving linear least squares problems by Gram–Schmidt orthogonalization, *BIT*, 106, 7:1–21, 1967.
- [2] Åke Björck. Numerical Methods for Least Squares Problems, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1994.
- [3] Adi Ben-Israel and Thomas N.E. Greville *Generalized inverses: Theory and applications. Second Edition*. CMS Books in Mathematics, Canadian Mathematical Society, Springer Science Business Media, New York 2003.
- [4] John R. Rice. Experiments on Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization. *Math. Comp.*, 20 (1966) 325-328.
- [5] Zhuang Huiping, Lin Zhiping, Toh Kar-Ann Blockwise Recursive Moore–Penrose Inverse for Network Learning. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics. Systems.*, Vol. 52 Issue 5 (2022) 3237-3250.
- [6] Süleyman Aydınüz and Mustafa Aşcı The Moore-Penrose Inverse of the Rectangular Fibonacci Matrix and Applications to the Cryptology. *Advances and Applications in Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 40, Number 2 (2023) 195-211.
- [7] Spiros Chountasis, Vasilios N. Katsikis and Dimitrios Pappas Digital Image Reconstruction in the Spectral Domain Utilizing the Moore-Penrose Inverse. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering Volume*, Vol. 2010 (2010) Article ID 750352, 14 pages. doi:10.1155/2010/750352.
- [8] Durham, W. C. Constrained Control Allocation *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 16, No. 4 (1994) 717-725.