

Theory of Programming and Types

Mathijs Baaijens
Nico Naus, 3472353

9 juni 2014

1 ABSTRACT

In this paper we will describe an extension to the type-correct, stack-safe, provably correct expression compiler described in the paper "A type-correct, stack-safe, provably correct expression compiler in Epigram". Our extension adds 'let' bindings to this compiler. We will describe the following components of our extension: * evaluation semantics * compiler * interpreter * correctness proof

2 INTRODUCTION

3 THE FIRST SEMANTICS : EVAL

- ** Types & values **

```
data TyExp : Set where
TyNat : TyExp
TyBool : TyExp
```

```
data Val : TyExp → Set where
nat : ℕ → Val TyNat
bool : Bool → Val TyBool
```

- ** Tuples **

```
data _x_ (A B : Set) : Set where
<_,_> : A → B → A x B
```

```
fst : A B : Set → A x B → A
fst < x , y > = x
```

```
snd : A B : Set → A x B → B
snd < x , y > = y
```

```

- ** Context **

Γ = List (Bool x TyExp)

- ** Stack **

data Stack : Γ → Set where
empty : Stack []
_▷_ : ∀ b t s → (v:Val t) → (xs : Stack s) → Stack (<b,t> :: s)

- ** References **

data Ref : Γ → TyExp → Set where
Top : ∀ G u → Ref (u :: G) (snd u)
Pop : ∀ G u v → Ref G u → Ref (v :: G) u

slookup : ∀ S t → Stack S → Ref S t → Val t
slookup (v ▷ xs) Top = v
slookup (v ▷ xs) (Pop b1) = slookup xs b1

- ** Ref **

data Ref : Γ → TyExp → Set where
Top : ∀ G u → Ref (u :: G) (snd u)
Pop : ∀ G u v → Ref G u → Ref (v :: G) u

- ** Exp **

data Exp : TyExp → Γ → Bool → Set where
var : ∀ ctx t b → Ref ctx t → Exp t ctx b
let1 : ∀ ctx t1 t2 b → Exp t1 ctx true → Exp t2 (<true,t1> ::ctx) b → Exp
t2 ctx b

- ** Eval **

eval : ∀ t1 ctx b → (e : Exp t1 ctx b) → Stack ctx → Val t1
eval (var x) env = slookup env x
eval (let1 e1 e2) env = eval e2 ((eval e1 env) ▷ env)

```

3.1 TYPE PRESERVATION IS THE TYPE OF THE INTERPRETER

4 THE SECOND SEMANTICS : COMPILE & EXEC

4.1 SPECIFYING INTERMEDIATE CODE

```

- ** Code **

data Code : Γ → Γ → Set where
LDS : ∀ S t b → (f : Ref S t) → Code S (<b , t > :: S)
POP : ∀ b S t1 t2 → Code (<b,t1> :: (<true,t2> :: S)) (<b,t1> :: S)

```

4.2 IMPLEMENTING AN INTERPRETER FOR INTERMEDIATE CODE

```
- ** Exec **
exec : S S' :  $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Code } S S' \rightarrow \text{Stack } S \rightarrow \text{Stack } S'$ 
exec (LDS f) s = (slookup s f)  $\triangleright$  s
exec POP (v  $\triangleright$  (v1  $\triangleright$  s)) = v  $\triangleright$  s
```

4.3 CONVERTING BETWEEN CONTEXTS

```
- ** Converting between contexts **
trimEnv :  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ 
trimEnv [] = []
trimEnv (< true , x1 > :: s) = < true , x1 > :: trimEnv s
trimEnv (< false , x1 > :: s) = trimEnv s

convertRef :  $\forall S t \rightarrow \text{Ref } (\text{trimEnv } S) t \rightarrow \text{Ref } S t$ 
convertRef [] ()
convertRef < true , x1 > :: S Top = Top
convertRef < true , x1 > :: S (Pop s) = Pop (convertRef s)
convertRef < false , x1 > :: S s = Pop (convertRef s)
```

4.4 IMPLEMENTING THE COMPILER TO INTERMEDIATE CODE

```
- ** Compile **
compile :  $\forall b S t \rightarrow (e : \text{Exp } t (\text{trimEnv } S) b) \rightarrow \text{Code } S (<b,t> :: S)$ 
compile (var x) = LDS (convertRef x)
compile (let1 e e1) = compile e ++1 (compile e1 ++1 POP)
```

5 COMPILER CORRECTNESS

```
trimStack :  $\forall S \rightarrow \text{Stack } S \rightarrow \text{Stack } (\text{trimEnv } S)$ 
trimStack [] x = empty
trimStack < true , x1 > :: S (v  $\triangleright$  x2) = v  $\triangleright$  (trimStack x2)
trimStack < false , x1 > :: S (v  $\triangleright$  x2) = trimStack x2

lemma :  $\forall S t \rightarrow (x : \text{Ref } (\text{trimEnv } S) t) \rightarrow (s : \text{Stack } S) \rightarrow (\text{slookup } (\text{trimStack } s) x) \equiv (\text{slookup } s (\text{convertRef } x))$ 
lemma [] () s
lemma < true , t > :: S Top (v  $\triangleright$  s) = refl
lemma < true , x1 > :: S (Pop e) (v  $\triangleright$  s) = lemma e s
lemma < false , x1 > :: S e (v  $\triangleright$  s) = lemma e s

correct :  $\forall b S t \rightarrow (e : \text{Exp } t (\text{trimEnv } S) b) \rightarrow (s : \text{Stack } S) \rightarrow ((\text{eval } e (\text{trimStack } s)) \triangleright s) \hat{=} \hat{=} (\text{exec } (\text{compile } e) s)$ 
correct (var x) s with lemma x s
... | p with slookup (trimStack s) x | slookup s (convertRef x)
correct (var x) s | refl | .l | l = refl
correct (let1 e e1) s with correct e s
... | p1 with exec (compile e) s | eval e (trimStack s)
correct (let1 e e1) s | refl | .(p3  $\triangleright$  s) | p3 with correct e1 ( $\_ \triangleright \_$  true p3 s)
```

```
... | p4 with exec (compile e1) (_▷_ true p3 s) | eval e1 (p3 ▷ trimStack
s)
correct (let1 e e1) s | refl | .(p3 ▷ s) | p3 | refl | .(p6 ▷ (p3 ▷ s)) |
p6 = refl
```

6 CONCLUSION

7 RELATED WORK

A Certified Type-Preserving Compiler from Lambda Calculus to Assembly Language [1]. Here the author presents a certified compiler for a language similar to ours, with a machine-checked correctness proof written in Coq.

REFERENTIES

- [1] Adam Chlipala, *A Certified Type-Preserving Compiler from Lambda Calculus to Assembly Language*. Proceedings PLDI '07, p54-65, New York, 2007.