

Algorithm Analysis of Computing an KKT Point

Yinyu Ye

Department of Management Science and Engineering

Stanford University

Stanford, CA 94305, U.S.A.

<http://www.stanford.edu/~yyye>

Linearly Constrained Optimization Problem Again

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(LCOP)} & \begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \text{subject to} & A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \\ & \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{array} \end{array} \quad .$$

We assume that A has full rank and f is a differentiable but may not convex function. The KKT conditions:

$$\begin{array}{ll} X\mathbf{s} & = \mathbf{0} \\ A\mathbf{x} & = \mathbf{b} \\ -A^T\mathbf{y} + \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T - \mathbf{s} & = \mathbf{0} \\ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) & \geq \mathbf{0}. \end{array}$$

An ϵ KKT solution: if $|x_j s_j| \leq \epsilon$ for all j .

First-Order Affine Scaling Algorithm

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \nabla f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{d}_x \\ \text{subject to} & A\mathbf{d}_x = \mathbf{0} \\ & \|(\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{d}_x\| \leq \alpha < 1. \end{array}$$

The subproblem is an **convex problem** with a **close form** optimal solution.

Then let $\mathbf{x}^+ = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}_x$. The new solution will be in the interior of the feasible region and the objective function value will be strictly reduced for some small α .

This is true when $f(\mathbf{x})$ is either convex or non-convex.

Analysis: Linear Programming Case

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{(BOP)} \quad \text{minimize} \quad \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{d}_x \\
 & \quad \text{subject to} \quad A\mathbf{d}_x = \mathbf{0} \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \| (X)^{-1} \mathbf{d}_x \| \leq \alpha < 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}) = (I - XA^T(A X^2 A^T)^{-1}AX)X\mathbf{c} = X(\mathbf{c} - A^T\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})),$$

where

$$\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) = (AX^2A^T)^{-1}AX^2\mathbf{c}.$$

Then, the minimizer

$$\mathbf{d}_x^* = -\alpha \frac{X\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x})\|}.$$

Objective function reduction

Let new solution $\mathbf{x}^+ = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}_x^* > \mathbf{0}$. Then, $A\mathbf{x}^+ = \mathbf{b}$ and

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}^+ - \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{d}_x^* = -\alpha \cdot \frac{\mathbf{c}^T X \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x})\|} = -\alpha \cdot \|\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x})\|.$$

Thus, unless $\|\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x})\| = 0$, the objective function is strictly reduced.

Does $\|\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x})\| \leq \epsilon$ imply \mathbf{x} is an ϵ KKT point? Recall

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}) = (I - XA^T(A X^2 A^T)^{-1}AX)X\mathbf{c} = X(\mathbf{c} - A^T \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})).$$

Not necessarily, unless certain non-degeneracy conditions are satisfied.

Second-Order Affine Scaling Algorithm

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{d}_x^T \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{d}_x + \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{d}_x \\ \text{subject to} & A \mathbf{d}_x = \mathbf{0} \\ & \| (X)^{-1} \mathbf{d}_x \| \leq \alpha < 1. \end{array}$$

(BOP)

The subproblem is an **SDP problem**, and can be (approximately) solved in polynomial time.

Then let $\mathbf{x}^+ = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}_x$. The new solution will be in the interior of the feasible region and the objective function value will be strictly reduced for some small α . This is true when $f(\mathbf{x})$ is either convex or non-convex.

What happens if $f(\mathbf{x})$ is quadratic?

Why it is an SDP Problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 (BOP) \quad z^* := \quad & \text{minimize} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y}^T Q \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{y} \\
 & \text{subject to} \quad A \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} \\
 & \quad \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 \leq 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

The problem can be relaxed to

$$\begin{aligned}
 (BOP-SDP) \quad (z^* \geq) z^{sdp} := \quad & \text{minimize} \quad \frac{1}{2} Q \bullet Y + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{y} \\
 & \text{subject to} \quad A^T A \bullet Y = 0, \\
 & \quad I \bullet Y \leq 1, \\
 & \quad Y \succeq \mathbf{y} \mathbf{y}^T (\succeq \mathbf{0}).
 \end{aligned}$$

If (BQP-SDP) has a solution where the rank of Y exactly equals 1, or $Y^* = \mathbf{y}^* (\mathbf{y}^*)^T$, then \mathbf{y}^* is an optimal solution to (BQP).

Theorem 1 (BQP-SDP) always possesses a rank-one solution for any Q, \mathbf{c}, A , that is, the relaxation is exact $z^* = z^{sdp}$.

Nonconvex Objective Function: Potential Function Reduction Algorithm

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = (n + \rho) \log(f(\mathbf{x})) - \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j,$$

where $f(\mathbf{x})$ is a non-negative valued function in the feasible region. Consider

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^p$$

for some constant $0 < p < 1$. Then,

$$\phi(x) = (n + \rho) \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^p \right) - \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j.$$

If we start from the **analytic center** \mathbf{x}^0 of the feasible region and can reduce the potential function value by a constant, then $f(\mathbf{x}^k) \rightarrow 0$.

Affine-Scaling for Potential reduction

Let \mathbf{d}_x , $A\mathbf{d}_x = \mathbf{0}$, be a vector such that $\mathbf{x}^+ = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}_x > \mathbf{0}$. Then, from the concavity of $\log(f(\mathbf{x}))$, we have

$$\log(f(\mathbf{x}^+)) - \log(f(\mathbf{x})) \leq \frac{1}{f(\mathbf{x})} \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{d}_x.$$

On the other hand, if $\|X^{-1}\mathbf{d}_x\| \leq \alpha < 1$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \log(x_j^+) - \sum_{j=1}^n \log(x_j) \leq -\mathbf{e}^T X^{-1} \mathbf{d}_x + \frac{\alpha^2}{2(1-\alpha)}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_x &:= \text{Minimize} && \left(\frac{\rho}{f(\mathbf{x})} \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T - X^{-1} \mathbf{e}^T \right) \mathbf{d}_x \\ &\text{Subject to} && A\mathbf{d}_x = \mathbf{0} \\ &&& \|X^{-1}\mathbf{d}_x\| \leq \alpha < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Potential reduction Analysis

The minimal value $z_x = -\alpha \cdot \|\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x})\|$ where

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}) = -(I - XA^T(A X^2 A^T)^{-1}AX)(\frac{\rho}{f(\mathbf{x})}X\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{e})$$

$$\mathbf{e} - \frac{\rho}{f(\mathbf{x})}X(\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - A^T\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})),$$

where

$$\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) = (AX^2A^T)^{-1}AX(\frac{\rho}{f(\mathbf{x})}X\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{e}).$$

If case $\|\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x})\| \geq 1$, then the minimal objective value of the subproblem is less than $-\alpha$ so that

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}^+) - \phi(\mathbf{x}) < -\alpha + \frac{\alpha^2}{2(1 - \alpha)}.$$

Thus, the potential value is reduced by 0.25 after setting $\alpha = 1/2$.

Time bound

After k iterations in this case, we have

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}^k) - \phi(\mathbf{x}^0) \leq -\frac{k}{4}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j^k < \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j^0,$$

we have

$$(n + \rho) \log(f(\mathbf{x}^k)) - (n + \rho) \log(f(\mathbf{x}^0)) < -\frac{k}{4}.$$

Thus, after $O\left((n + \rho) \log \frac{f(\mathbf{x}^0)}{\epsilon}\right)$ iterations, we would have $f(\mathbf{x}^k) \leq \epsilon$.

The Process May Halt

What happens if $\|\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x})\| < 1$?

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{e} - \frac{\rho}{f(\mathbf{x})} X(\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - A^T \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})),$$

we must have

$$X(\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - A^T \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})) \geq \mathbf{0}; \quad X(\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - A^T \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})) \leq \frac{2f(\mathbf{x})}{\rho} \mathbf{e}.$$

The first condition indicates that $\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})$ is a valid Lagrange multiplier vector. From the second inequality, by choosing $\rho \geq \frac{2f(\mathbf{x}^0)}{\epsilon}$, we have

$$|x_j(\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - A^T \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}))_j| \leq \epsilon,$$

which implies that \mathbf{x} is an ϵ KKT solution.

FPTAS Time Complexity

Concluding the analysis above, we have the following result.

Theorem 2 *The interior point algorithm returns an ϵ KKT solution or global minimizer in no more than $O\left(\frac{f(\mathbf{x}^0)}{\epsilon} \log \frac{f(\mathbf{x}^0)}{\epsilon}\right)$ iterations by setting $\rho = \frac{2f(x^0)}{\epsilon}$.*

This type of algorithm is called fully polynomial time approximation scheme.

The second order affine-scaling will make the KKT solution satisfy the second order optimality condition in the same time bound.

More questions

- Could the time bound be further improved?
- Could we guarantee that the final KKT solution is a local minimizer?
- Could you prove the sparsity of the final KKT solution when this is a L_p norm minimization?