

## **LP Research Topics**

Yinyu Ye

Department of Management Science and Engineering

Stanford University

Stanford, CA 94305, U.S.A.

<http://www.stanford.edu/~yyye>

## Online LP: An Example

	Bid 1( $t = 1$ )	Bid 2( $t = 2$ )	.....	Inventory( <b>b</b> )
Price( $\pi_t$ )	\$100	\$30	...	
Decision	$x_1$	$x_2$	...	
Pants	1	0	...	100
Shoes	1	0	...	50
T-shirts	0	1	...	500
Jackets	0	0	...	200
Hats	1	1	...	1000

## Online Linear Programming Model

The classical **offline** version of the above program can be formulated as a linear (integer) program as all information data would have arrived: compute  $x_t$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, n$  and

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{maximize}_{\mathbf{x}} && \sum_{t=1}^n \pi_t x_t \\ &\text{subject to} && \sum_{t=1}^n a_{it} x_t \leq b_i, && \forall i = 1, \dots, m \\ &&& x_t \in \{0, 1\} \text{ } (0 \leq \mathbf{x}_t \leq 1), && \forall t = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the **online or streamline** and **data-driven** version of this problem:

- We only know  $\mathbf{b}$  and  $n$  at the start
- the bidder information is revealed sequentially along with the corresponding objective coefficient.
- an **irrevocable decision** must be made as soon as an order arrives.

## Model Assumptions

### Main Assumptions

- $0 \leq a_{it} \leq 1$ , for all  $(i, t)$ ;
- $\pi_t \geq 0$  for all  $t$
- The data  $(\mathbf{a}_t, \pi_t)$  arrive in a **random order**.

Denote the offline LP **maximal value** by  $OPT(A, \pi)$ . We call an online algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  to be  **$c$ -competitive** if and only if

$$E_{\sigma} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^n \pi_t x_t(\sigma, \mathcal{A}) \right] \geq c \cdot OPT(A, \pi) \quad \forall (A, \pi),$$

where  $\sigma$  is the **permutation** of arriving orders.

In what follows, we let

$$B = \min_i \{b_i\} (> 0).$$

## Main Results: Necessary and Sufficient Conditions

**Theorem 1** For any fixed  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , there is no online algorithm for solving the linear program with competitive ratio  $1 - \epsilon$  if

$$B < \frac{\log(m)}{\epsilon^2}.$$

**Theorem 2** For any fixed  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , there is a  $1 - \epsilon$  competitive online algorithm for solving the linear program if

$$B \geq \Omega \left( \frac{m \log(n/\epsilon)}{\epsilon^2} \right).$$

Agrawal, Wang and Y [Operations Research 2014]

## Key Ideas: A Worst-Case Distribution Example

The proof of the negative result is based on a **distribution** of instances (the number of each types of columns is chosen according to certain distribution) with  $m = 2^k$ , and then show that no allocation rule can achieve  $(1 - \epsilon)$ -optimality in expectation under randomized permutation.

## Key Ideas: A Learning Algorithm is Needed

The proof of the positive result is **constructive** and based on a learning policy.

- There is no distribution known so that any type of **stochastic optimization** models is not applicable.
- Unlike dynamic programming, the decision maker does not have full information/data so that a **backward recursion** can not be carried out to find an optimal sequential decision policy.
- Thus, the online algorithm needs to be **learning-based**, in particular, **learning-while-doing**.

## Price Observation of Online Learning I

The problem would be easy if there is an **"ideal price"** vector:

	Bid 1( $t = 1$ )	Bid 2( $t = 2$ )	.....	Inventory( <b>b</b> )	<b>p</b> *
Bid( $\pi_t$ )	\$100	\$30	...		
Decision	$x_1$	$x_2$	...		
Pants	1	0	...	100	\$45
Shoes	1	0	...	50	\$45
T-shirts	0	1	...	500	\$10
Jackets	0	0	...	200	\$55
Hats	1	1	...	1000	\$15



## Price Observation of Online Learning II

- **Pricing the bid:** The optimal dual price vector  $\mathbf{p}^*$  of the **offline** LP problem can play such a role, that is  $x_t^* = 1$  if  $\pi_t > \mathbf{a}_t^T \mathbf{p}^*$  and  $x_t^* = 0$  otherwise, yields a near-optimal solution.
- Based on this observation, our online algorithm works by **learning** a threshold price vector  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$  and using  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$  to price the bids.
- **One-time learning algorithm:** learn the price vector once using the initial  $\epsilon n$  input.
- **Dynamic learning algorithm:** dynamically update the price vector at a carefully chosen pace.

## One-Time Learning Algorithm

We illustrate a simple One-Time Learning Algorithm:

- Set  $x_t = 0$  for all  $1 \leq t \leq \epsilon n$ ;
- Solve the  $\epsilon$  portion of the problem

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{maximize}_{\mathbf{x}} & \sum_{t=1}^{\epsilon n} \pi_t x_t \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{t=1}^{\epsilon n} a_{it} x_t \leq (1 - \epsilon) \epsilon b_i \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & 0 \leq x_t \leq 1 \quad t = 1, \dots, \epsilon n\end{array}$$

and get the optimal **dual solution**  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ ;

- While inventory remains, determine  $x_t$  as:

$$x_t = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \pi_t \leq \hat{\mathbf{p}}^T \mathbf{a}_t \\ 1 & \text{if } \pi_t > \hat{\mathbf{p}}^T \mathbf{a}_t \end{cases}$$

## One-Time Learning Algorithm Result

**Theorem 3** For any fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ , the one-time learning algorithm is  $(1 - \epsilon)$  competitive for solving the linear program when

$$B \geq \Omega \left( \frac{m \log(n/\epsilon)}{\epsilon^3} \right)$$

## Outline of the Proof

- With high probability, we clear the market;
- With high probability, the revenue is near-optimal if we include the initial  $\epsilon$  portion revenue;
- With high probability, the first  $\epsilon$  portion revenue, a learning cost, doesn't contribute too much.

Then, we prove that the one-time learning algorithm is  $(1 - \epsilon)$  competitive under condition  $B \geq \frac{6m \log(n/\epsilon)}{\epsilon^3}$ .

But this is one  $\epsilon$  factor higher than the **lower bound**...

## Dynamic Learning Algorithm

In the dynamic price learning algorithm, we update the price at time  $\epsilon n$ ,  $2\epsilon n$ ,  $4\epsilon n$ , ..., till  $2^k \epsilon \geq 1$ .

At time  $\ell \in \{\epsilon n, 2\epsilon n, \dots\}$ , the price vector is the optimal **dual solution** to the following linear program:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize}_{\mathbf{x}} & \sum_{t=1}^{\ell} \pi_t x_t \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{t=1}^{\ell} a_{it} x_t \leq (1 - h_{\ell}) \frac{\ell}{n} b_i \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & 0 \leq x_t \leq 1 \quad t = 1, \dots, \ell \end{array}$$

where

$$h_{\ell} = \epsilon \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ell}};$$

and this price vector is used to determine the allocation for the next **immediate** period.

## Comments on Dynamic Learning Algorithm

- In the dynamic algorithm, we **update** the prices  $\log_2 (1/\epsilon)$  times during the entire time horizon.
- The numbers  $h_\ell$  play an important role in improving the condition on  $B$  in the main theorem. It basically **balances** the probability that the inventory ever gets violated and the lost of revenue due to the factor  $1 - h_\ell$ .
- Choosing large  $h_\ell$  (more conservative) at the beginning periods and smaller  $h_\ell$  (more aggressive) at the later periods, one can now control the loss of revenue by an  $\epsilon$  order while the required size of  $B$  can be **weakened** by an  $\epsilon$  factor.

## Related Work on Random-Permutation

	Sufficient Condition	Learning
Kleinberg [2005]	$B \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$ , for $m = 1$	Dynamic
Devanur et al [2009]	$OPT \geq \frac{m^2 \log(n)}{\epsilon^3}$	One-time
Feldman et al [2010]	$B \geq \frac{m \log n}{\epsilon^3}$ <b>and</b> $OPT \geq \frac{m \log n}{\epsilon}$	One-time
Agrawal et al [2010]	$B \geq \frac{m \log n}{\epsilon^2}$ <b>or</b> $OPT \geq \frac{m^2 \log n}{\epsilon^2}$	Dynamic
Molinaro and Ravi [2013]	$B \geq \frac{m^2 \log m}{\epsilon^2}$	Dynamic
<b>Kesselheim et al [2014]</b>	$B \geq \frac{\log m}{\epsilon^2}$	Dynamic*
<b>Gupta and Molinaro [2014]</b>	$B \geq \frac{\log m}{\epsilon^2}$	Dynamic*
<b>Agrawal and Devanur [2014]</b>	$B \geq \frac{\log m}{\epsilon^2}$	Dynamic*

Table 1: Comparison of several existing results

## Open Questions on Online LP

- Is a **Price Mechanism** similar to Prediction Market to achieve optimal learning?
- **Buy-and-sell** or double market?
- **Price-posting** market?



## The ADMM for LP Primal I

$$\begin{aligned} (LP) \quad & \text{minimize} \quad \mathbf{c} \bullet \mathbf{x} \\ & \text{subject to} \quad A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

We consider an equivalent problem:

$$\begin{aligned} (LP) \quad & \text{minimize} \quad \mathbf{c} \bullet \mathbf{x}_1 \\ & \text{subject to} \quad A\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x}_2 \geq \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

## The ADMM for LP Primal II

Consider its Augmented Lagrangian for the primal

$$L^p(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{y}^T (A\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{b}) - \mathbf{s}^T (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) + \frac{\beta}{2} \|A\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{b}\|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2\|^2.$$

Then, for any given  $(\mathbf{x}_1^k, \mathbf{x}_2^k \in K, \mathbf{y}^k, \mathbf{s}^k)$ , we compute a new iterate pair

$$\mathbf{x}_1^{k+1} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}_1} L^p(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2^k, \mathbf{y}^k, \mathbf{s}^k), \quad \mathbf{x}_2^{k+1} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}_2 \geq \mathbf{0}} L^p(\mathbf{x}_1^{k+1}, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{y}^k, \mathbf{s}^k)$$

and

$$\mathbf{y}^{k+1} = \mathbf{y}^k - \beta(A\mathbf{x}_1^{k+1} - \mathbf{b}), \quad \mathbf{s}^{k+1} = \mathbf{s}^k - \beta(\mathbf{x}_1^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_2^{k+1}).$$

The minimization over  $\mathbf{x}_1$  is a unconstrained optimization, and the minimization over  $\mathbf{x}_2$  is easy!

## The ADMM for LP Dual

Consider the dual problem and its Augmented Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} (LD) \quad & \text{maximize} \quad \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} \\ & \text{subject to} \quad A^T \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s} \geq \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

$$L^d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}^T (A^T \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{c}) + \frac{\beta}{2} \|A^T \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{c}\|^2.$$

Then, starting from  $\mathbf{y}^0, \mathbf{s}^0 \geq \mathbf{0}$ , and multiplier  $\mathbf{x}^0$ , do the iterative update:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}^{k+1} &= \arg \min_{\mathbf{y}} L^d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}^k, \mathbf{x}^k), \quad \mathbf{s}^{k+1} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{s} \geq \mathbf{0}} L^d(\mathbf{y}^{k+1}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}^k), \\ \mathbf{x}^{k+1} &= \mathbf{x}^k - \beta (A^T \mathbf{y}^{k+1} + \mathbf{s}^{k+1} - \mathbf{c}). \end{aligned}$$

The minimization over  $\mathbf{y}$  is a least-squares problem with constant matrix, and the update of  $\mathbf{s}$  has a simple close form. ( $\mathbf{x}$  would be non-positive since we changed maximization to minimization of the dual.)

## The Interior-Point ADMM for CLP Dual I

Now consider solving CLP with the logarithmic barrier function

$B(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_j \ln(s_j)$  and fixed positive constant  $\mu$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (BLD) \quad & \text{maximize} \quad \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} + \mu B(\mathbf{s}) \\ & \text{subject to} \quad A^T \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s} > \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

and its Augmented Lagrangian

$$L_\mu^d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} - \mu B(\mathbf{s}) - \mathbf{x}^T (A^T \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{c}) + \frac{\beta}{2} \|A^T \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{c}\|^2.$$

When applying ADMM to (BCLD), again minimization over  $\mathbf{y}$  is a least-squares problem with constant matrix, and the update of  $\mathbf{s} > \mathbf{0}$  has a simple close form.

## The Interior-Point ADMM for CLP Dual II

Now, we gradually reduced  $\mu$  as an outer iteration. That is, we start some  $\mu = \mu^0$  and apply the ADMM to compute an approximate optimizer, with its multiplier, for barrier-dual (BCLD). Now set  $\mu = \mu^1 = \gamma\mu^0$  where  $0 < \gamma < 1$ . Then we use the approximate optimizer and multiplier as the initial point to start ADMM barrier-dual (BCLD) with the newly reduced  $\mu$ .

Does it work?