

## **More on First-Order Methods for Unconstrained Optimization**

Yinyu Ye

Department of Management Science and Engineering

Stanford University

Stanford, CA 94305, U.S.A.

<http://www.stanford.edu/~yyye>

## The Steepest Descent Method (SDM) with a Fixed Step Size

Here we consider the unconstrained **convex** optimization problem

$$\min \quad f(\mathbf{x})$$

where  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is convex and differentiable every where, admits a minimizer  $\mathbf{x}^*$ , and satisfies the (first-order)  $\beta$ -**Lipschitz** condition, that is, for any two points  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$

$$\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})\| \leq \beta \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$$

for a positive real number  $\beta$ .

Starting from any point  $\mathbf{x}^0$ , the SDM is an iteration rule:

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \mathbf{x}^k - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k). \quad (1)$$

Does the sequence converge? How fast if it converges?

## Convergence Analysis of the Method

**Theorem 1** *The SDM generates a sequence of points  $\mathbf{x}^k$ , from any given initial point  $\mathbf{x}^0$ , such that*

$$\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)\|^2 \leq \frac{\beta^2 \|\mathbf{x}^0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2}{k+1}, \quad \forall k \geq 1.$$

**Proof:** First, for any differentiable  $f$ , convex or nonconvex, we should have

$$f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2. \quad (2)$$

Now consider function  $g_x(\mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{y}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{y}$  for any given  $\mathbf{x}$ . Note that  $g_x$  is also convex and satisfies the  $\beta$ -Lipschitz condition. Moreover,  $\mathbf{x}$  is the minimizer of  $g_x(\mathbf{y})$  and  $\nabla g_x(\mathbf{y}) = \nabla f(\mathbf{y}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x})$ .

Applying (2) to  $g_x$  and noting the relations of  $g_x$  and  $f(\mathbf{x})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) &= g_x(\mathbf{x}) - g_x(\mathbf{y}) \\ &\leq g_x(\mathbf{y} - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla g_x(\mathbf{y})) - g_x(\mathbf{y}) \\ &\leq \nabla g_x(\mathbf{y})^T (-\frac{1}{\beta} \nabla g_x(\mathbf{y})) + \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \|\nabla g_x(\mathbf{y})\|^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\beta} \|\nabla g_x(\mathbf{y})\|^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\beta} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Similarly, we have

$$f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \leq -\frac{1}{2\beta} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})\|^2.$$

Adding the above two derived inequalities, we have another key inequality for any  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ :

$$(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^T (\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})) \geq \frac{1}{\beta} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})\|^2. \tag{4}$$

For simplification, in the following we let  $\mathbf{d}^k = \mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{x}^*$  and  $\mathbf{g}^k = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)$ . Let  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^k$  and  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^*$  in (4). Then, since  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ ,

$$(\mathbf{d}^k)^T \mathbf{g}^k \geq \frac{1}{\beta} \|\mathbf{g}^k\|^2;$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{d}^{k+1}\|^2 &= \|\mathbf{x}^k - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k) - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta^2} \|\mathbf{g}^k\|^2 - \frac{2}{\beta} (\mathbf{d}^k)^T \mathbf{g}^k + \|\mathbf{d}^k\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\beta^2} \|\mathbf{g}^k\|^2 - \frac{2}{\beta^2} \|\mathbf{g}^k\|^2 + \|\mathbf{d}^k\|^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{\beta^2} \|\mathbf{g}^k\|^2 + \|\mathbf{d}^k\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\|\mathbf{d}^{k+1}\|^2 + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \|\mathbf{g}^k\|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{d}^k\|^2. \quad (5)$$

Inequality (5) implies that  $\|\mathbf{d}^k\| = \|\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|$  is monotonically decreasing.

Now let  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^{k+1}$  and  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^k$  in (4). Then

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{\beta}(\mathbf{g}^k)^T(\mathbf{g}^{k+1} - \mathbf{g}^k) &= (\mathbf{x}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k)^T(\mathbf{g}^{k+1} - \mathbf{g}^k) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\beta}\|\mathbf{g}^{k+1} - \mathbf{g}^k\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{g}^{k+1}\|^2 &\leq (\mathbf{g}^{k+1})^T \mathbf{g}^k \leq \|\mathbf{g}^{k+1}\| \|\mathbf{g}^k\|, \text{ or} \\ \|\mathbf{g}^{k+1}\| &\leq \|\mathbf{g}^k\|. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Inequality (6) implies that  $\|\mathbf{g}^k\| = \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)\|$  is also monotonically decreasing.

Sum up (5) from 0 to  $k$ , we have

$$\|\mathbf{d}^{k+1}\|^2 + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \sum_{l=0}^k \|\mathbf{g}^l\|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{d}^0\|^2.$$

Then use (6), we have

$$\|\mathbf{d}^{k+1}\|^2 + \frac{k+1}{\beta^2} \|\mathbf{g}^k\|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{d}^0\|^2,$$

that is,

$$\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)\|^2 = \|\mathbf{g}^k\|^2 \leq \frac{\beta^2}{k+1} \|\mathbf{d}^0\|^2 = \frac{\beta^2}{k+1} \|\mathbf{x}^0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2,$$

which completes the proof.

## Improved Convergence Analysis of the Method

We now **improve** the bound and prove:

**Theorem 2** *The Steepest Descent Method of (1) generate a sequence of solutions such that*

$$\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)\|^2 = \frac{2\beta^2}{(k+1)(k+2)} \|\mathbf{x}^0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2.$$

Further for simplification, we let  $\delta^k = f(\mathbf{x}^k) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) (\geq 0)$  in the rest of analyses.

Applying inequality (2) for  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^{k+1}$  and  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^k$  and noting (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{k+1} - \delta^k &= f(\mathbf{x}^{k+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^k) \\ &\leq (\mathbf{g}^k)^T \left(-\frac{1}{\beta} \mathbf{g}^k\right) + \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \|\mathbf{g}^k\|^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\beta} \|\mathbf{g}^k\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$



This inequality implies that  $\delta^k$  is **monotonically** decreasing.

Applying inequality (3) for  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^k$  and  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^*$  and noting  $\mathbf{g}^* = \mathbf{0}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta^k &\leq (\mathbf{g}^k)^T \mathbf{d}^k - \frac{1}{2\beta} \|\mathbf{g}^k\|^2 \\
 &= -\beta(\mathbf{x}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k) \mathbf{d}^k - \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{x}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k\|^2 \\
 &= -\frac{\beta}{2} (\|\mathbf{x}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k\|^2 + 2(\mathbf{x}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k)^T \mathbf{d}^k) \\
 &= -\frac{\beta}{2} (\|\mathbf{d}^{k+1} - \mathbf{d}^k\|^2 + 2(\mathbf{d}^{k+1} - \mathbf{d}^k)^T \mathbf{d}^k) \\
 &= \frac{\beta}{2} (\|\mathbf{d}^k\|^2 - \|\mathbf{d}^{k+1}\|^2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Sum up (8) from 0 to  $k$ , we have

$$\sum_{l=0}^k \delta^l \leq \frac{\beta}{2} (\|\mathbf{d}^0\|^2 - \|\mathbf{d}^{k+1}\|^2) \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{d}^0\|^2. \tag{9}$$

Repeatedly applying inequality (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{l=0}^k \delta^l &\geq \delta^1 + \frac{1}{2\beta} \|\mathbf{g}^0\|^2 + \sum_{l=1}^k \delta^l \\
 &= 2\delta^1 + \frac{1}{2\beta} \|\mathbf{g}^0\|^2 + \sum_{l=2}^k \delta^l \\
 &\geq 2\delta^2 + \frac{2}{2\beta} \|\mathbf{g}^1\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\beta} \|\mathbf{g}^0\|^2 + \sum_{l=2}^k \delta^l \\
 &= 3\delta^2 + \frac{2}{2\beta} \|\mathbf{g}^1\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\beta} \|\mathbf{g}^0\|^2 + \sum_{l=3}^k \delta^l \\
 &\dots \\
 &\geq k\delta^k + \frac{k}{2\beta} \|\mathbf{g}^{k-1}\|^2 + \dots + \frac{2}{2\beta} \|\mathbf{g}^1\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\beta} \|\mathbf{g}^0\|^2 + \sum_{l=k}^k \delta^l \\
 &= (k+1)\delta^k + \frac{k}{2\beta} \|\mathbf{g}^{k-1}\|^2 + \dots + \frac{2}{2\beta} \|\mathbf{g}^1\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\beta} \|\mathbf{g}^0\|^2 \\
 &\geq (k+1)\delta^k + \left(\frac{k}{2\beta} + \dots + \frac{2}{2\beta} + \frac{1}{2\beta}\right) \|\mathbf{g}^{k-1}\|^2 \\
 &= (k+1)\delta^k + \frac{k(k+1)/2}{2\beta} \|\mathbf{g}^{k-1}\|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from (6), that is,  $\|\mathbf{g}^k\| = \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)\|$  is **monotonically** decreasing.

Using (9) we finally have

$$(k+1)\delta^k + \frac{k(k+1)/2}{2\beta} \|\mathbf{g}^{k-1}\|^2 \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{d}^0\|^2. \quad (10)$$

Inequality (10), since  $\delta^k \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbf{g}^k = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)$  and  $\mathbf{d}^0 = \mathbf{x}^0 - \mathbf{x}^*$ , proves the desired bound:

$$\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)\|^2 \leq \frac{2\beta^2}{(k+1)(k+2)} \|\mathbf{x}^0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2,$$

which improves the early bound. It also implies that

$$\delta^k \leq \frac{\beta}{2(k+1)} \|\mathbf{x}^0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2,$$

the standard convergence result of the SDM.

## The Accelerated Steepest Descent Method (ASDM)

There is an **accelerated** steepest descent method (Nesterov 83) that works as follows:

$$\lambda^0 = 0, \lambda^{k+1} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4(\lambda^k)^2}}{2}, \alpha^k = \frac{1 - \lambda^k}{\lambda^{k+1}}, \quad (11)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} = \mathbf{x}^k - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k), \mathbf{x}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k) \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} + \alpha^k \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^k. \quad (12)$$

Note that  $(\lambda^k)^2 = \lambda^{k+1}(\lambda^{k+1} - 1)$ ,  $\lambda^k > k/2$  and  $\alpha^k \leq 0$ .

One can prove:

$$f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq \frac{2\beta}{k^2} \|\mathbf{x}^0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2, \forall k \geq 1.$$

## Convergence Analysis of ASDM

Again for simplification, we let  $\mathbf{d}^k = \lambda^k \mathbf{x}^k - (\lambda^k - 1)\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^k - \mathbf{x}^*$ ,  $\mathbf{g}^k = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)$  and  $\delta^k = f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^k) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) (\geq 0)$  in the following.

Applying inequality (2) for  $\mathbf{x} = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1}$  and  $\mathbf{y} = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^k$ , convexity of  $f$  and (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta^{k+1} - \delta^k &= f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^k) + f(\mathbf{x}^k) - f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^k) \\
 &\leq -\frac{\beta}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k\|^2 + f(\mathbf{x}^k) - f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^k) \\
 &\leq -\frac{\beta}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k\|^2 + (\mathbf{g}^k)^T (\mathbf{x}^k - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^k) \\
 &= -\frac{\beta}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k\|^2 - \beta (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k)^T (\mathbf{x}^k - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^k).
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Applying inequality (2) for  $\mathbf{x} = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1}$  and  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^*$ , convexity of  $f$  and (12) we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta^{k+1} &= f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^k) + f(\mathbf{x}^k) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) \\
 &\leq -\frac{\beta}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k\|^2 + f(\mathbf{x}^k) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) \\
 &\leq -\frac{\beta}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k\|^2 + (\mathbf{g}^k)^T (\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{x}^*) \\
 &= -\frac{\beta}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k\|^2 - \beta (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k)^T (\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{x}^*).
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Multiplying (13) by  $\lambda^k(\lambda^k - 1)$  and (14) by  $\lambda^k$  respectively, and summing the two, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\lambda^k)^2 \delta^{k+1} - (\lambda^{k-1})^2 \delta^k \\
 &\leq -(\lambda^k)^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k\|^2 - \lambda^k \beta (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k)^T \mathbf{d}^k \\
 &= -\frac{\beta}{2} ((\lambda^k)^2 \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k\|^2 - 2\lambda^k (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k)^T \mathbf{d}^k) \\
 &= -\frac{\beta}{2} (\|\lambda^k \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - (\lambda^k - 1)\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2 - \|\mathbf{d}^k\|^2) \\
 &= \frac{\beta}{2} (\|\mathbf{d}^k\|^2 - \|\lambda^k \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - (\lambda^k - 1)\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (11) and (12) we can derive

$$\lambda^k \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - (\lambda^k - 1) \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^k = \lambda^{k+1} \mathbf{x}^{k+1} - (\lambda^{k+1} - 1) \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1}.$$

Thus,

$$(\lambda^k)^2 \delta^{k+1} - (\lambda^{k-1})^2 \delta^k \leq \frac{\beta}{2} (\|\mathbf{d}^k\|^2 - \|\mathbf{d}^{k+1}\|^2.) \quad (15)$$

Sum up (15) from 1 to  $k$  we have

$$\delta^{k+1} \leq \frac{\beta}{2(\lambda^k)^2} \|\mathbf{d}^1\|^2 \leq \frac{2\beta}{k^2} \|\mathbf{d}^0\|^2$$

since  $\lambda^k \geq k/2$  and  $\|\mathbf{d}^1\| \leq \|\mathbf{d}^0\|$ .

## The Barzilai and Borwein Method

Yet there is another **two-point** steepest descent method (Barzilai and Borwein 88) that works as follows:

$$\Delta_x^k = \mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{x}^{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_g^k = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{k-1}), \quad (16)$$

$$\alpha^k = \frac{(\Delta_x^k)^T \Delta_g^k}{(\Delta_g^k)^T \Delta_g^k} \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha^k = \frac{(\Delta_x^k)^T \Delta_x^k}{(\Delta_x^k)^T \Delta_g^k},$$

Then

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \mathbf{x}^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k). \quad (17)$$



## An explanation why the BB method works

For convex quadratic minimization, let the distinct nonzero eigenvalues of the Hessian  $Q$  be  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_K$ ; and let the step size in the SDM be  $\alpha^k = \frac{1}{\lambda_k}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, K$ . Then, the SDM terminates in  $K$  iterations.

In the BB method,  $\alpha^k$  minimizes

$$\|\Delta_x^k - \alpha \Delta_g^k\| = \|\Delta_x^k - \alpha Q \Delta_x^k\|.$$

If the error becomes 0 plus  $\|\Delta_x^k\| \neq 0$ ,  $\frac{1}{\alpha^k}$  will be a nonzero eigenvalue of  $Q$ .