

HW #2

Sam Fleischer

January 22, 2015

Exercise 1.9

Verify the linearity of the integral as given in 1.5(7) by completing the steps outlined below. In what follows, f and g are nonnegative summable functions.

Definition: Simple Function Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space. A *simple function* is a function $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i \chi_{E_i}$$

where $c_i \geq 0$, $E_i \in \Sigma$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$, and $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. The integral of a simple function is given by

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i \mu(E_i)$$

Define \mathcal{S}_{Ω} as the set of all simple functions on Ω , i.e.

$$\mathcal{S}_{\Omega} = \{ \phi \in [\Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)] : \phi \text{ is a simple function} \}$$

Definition 1: Lebesgue Integral Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a positive, measurable real-valued function on a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) . Then

$$\int_{\Omega} f d\mu = \int_0^{\infty} F_f(t) dt$$

where $F_f(t) = \mu(f^{-1}(t, \infty))$.

Definition 2: Lebesgue Integral Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a positive, measurable real-valued function on a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) . Then

$$\int_{\Omega} f d\mu = \sup_{\substack{0 \leq \phi \leq f \\ \phi \in \mathcal{S}_{\Omega}}} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \phi d\mu \right\}$$

Lemma 1 (Equivalence of Definitions for Simple Functions). *Let Φ be a simple function on a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) . Then*

$$\int_0^\infty F_\Phi(t) dt = \sup_{\substack{0 \leq \phi \leq \Phi \\ \phi \in \mathcal{S}_\Omega}} \left\{ \int_\Omega \phi d\mu \right\}$$

where $F_\Phi(t) = \mu(\Phi^{-1}(t, \infty))$. In other words, the two definitions for Lebesgue integral are equivalent for simple functions.

Proof. Since Φ is a simple function, then

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \leq \phi \leq \Phi \\ \phi \in \mathcal{S}_\Omega}} \left\{ \int_\Omega \phi d\mu \right\} = \int_\Omega \Phi d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i \mu(E_i)$$

where c_i and E_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$ are defined for Φ . Since $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, then the maximum of Φ is the maximum of the coefficients $\{c_i\}$, denoted c_Φ .

$$c_\Phi = \max_{x \in \Omega} \Phi(x) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \{c_i\}$$

This shows that $\Phi^{-1}(t, \infty) = \emptyset$ for $t \geq c_\Phi$, and thus

$$\int_0^\infty F_\Phi(t) dt = \int_0^{c_\Phi} F_\Phi(t) dt$$

We can construct a set $\{d_i\}$ such that $\{d_i\} = \{c_i\}$ but $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_N = c_\Phi$. In other words, the set $\{d_i\}$ is simply the set $\{c_i\}$ ordered from least to greatest. Then

$$\int_0^{c_\Phi} F_\Phi(t) dt = \int_0^{d_1} F_\Phi(t) dt + \int_{d_1}^{d_2} F_\Phi(t) dt + \dots + \int_{d_{N-1}}^{d_N} F_\Phi(t) dt = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{d_{k-1}}^{d_k} F_\Phi(t) dt$$

where, for ease, we define $d_0 = 0$. We can easily form the set $\{D_i\}$ such that D_i corresponds to d_i . In other words, we can write $\int_\Omega \Phi d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^N d_i \mu(D_i)$. Note that for $t \in (0, d_1)$ we have

$$F_\Phi(t) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N D_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mu(D_i)$$

In general, for $t \in (d_{k-1}, d_k)$ we have

$$F_\Phi(t) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=k}^N D_i\right) = \sum_{i=k}^N \mu(D_i)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{c_\Phi} F_\Phi(t) dt &= \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{d_{k-1}}^{d_k} F_\Phi(t) dt \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{d_{k-1}}^{d_k} \sum_{i=k}^N \mu(D_i) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{i=k}^N \left[\mu(D_i) \int_{d_{k-1}}^{d_k} dt \right] \quad \text{by linearity of Riemann integrals} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{i=k}^N [\mu(D_i)(d_k - d_{k-1})] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^N (d_k - d_{k-1}) \sum_{i=k}^N \mu(D_i) \\
&= (d_1 - 0)[\mu(D_1) + \cdots + \mu(D_N)] + (d_2 - d_1)[\mu(D_2) + \cdots + \mu(D_N)] + \cdots \\
&\quad \cdots + (d_{N-1} - d_{N-2})[\mu(D_{N-1}) + \mu(D_N)] + (d_N - d_{N-1})\mu(D_N) \\
&= d_1\mu(D_1) + d_2\mu(D_2) + \cdots + d_N\mu(D_N) \quad \text{by combining like-terms} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N c_i \mu(E_i)
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2 (Equivalence of Definitions for Arbitrary Functions). *Let f be a measurable function on a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) . Then*

$$\int_0^\infty F_f(t) dt = \sup_{\substack{0 \leq \phi \leq f \\ \phi \in \mathcal{S}_\Omega}} \left\{ \int_\Omega \phi d\mu \right\}$$

where $F_f(t) = \mu(f^{-1}(t, \infty))$. In other words, the two definitions for Lebesgue integral are equivalent.

Proof. Consider the set $E_{n,k} = \{x : f(x) > \frac{k}{2^n}\}$ for $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 4^n\}$. Define $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ by

$$\phi_n = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{4^n} \chi_{E_{n,k}}$$

Note that $E_{n,a} \subset E_{n,b}$ if $a > b$. Then note that for any x , either $x \in E_{n,4^n}$ or $\exists \ell$ such that $x \in E_{n,\ell}$ but $x \notin E_{n,\ell+1}$.

If $x \in E_{n,4^n}$, then by its definition, $f(x) > \frac{4^n}{2^n} = 2^n$ and $\phi_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{4^n} 1 = 2^n$. If $x \in E_{n,\ell}$ but $x \notin E_{n,\ell+1}$, then by its definition $\frac{\ell+1}{2^n} > f(x) > \frac{\ell}{2^n}$, but $\phi_n(x) = \frac{\ell}{2^n}$. In either case, $\phi_n \leq f$ for each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Next we show $\phi_{n+1} \geq \phi_n$. Suppose $f(x) < 2^n$. Then $\exists \ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, 4^n\}$ such that $\frac{\ell}{2^n} \leq f(x) < \frac{\ell+1}{2^n}$. Then either $\frac{2\ell}{2^{n+1}} < \frac{2\ell+1}{2^{n+1}} \leq f(x) < \frac{2\ell+2}{2^{n+1}}$ (in which case $\phi_{n+1}(x) = \frac{2\ell+1}{2^{n+1}} > \phi_n$) or $\frac{2\ell}{2^{n+1}} \leq f(x) \leq \frac{2\ell+1}{2^{n+1}} < \frac{2\ell+2}{2^{n+1}}$ (in which case $\phi_{n+1}(x) = \frac{2\ell}{2^{n+1}} = \phi_n(x)$).

Suppose $f(x) = 2^n$. Then $\phi_{n+1}(x) = 2^n = \phi_n(x) = f(x)$.

Suppose $f(x) > 2^n = \phi_n(x)$. Then either $f(x) \geq 2^n + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ or $f(x) < 2^n + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$. If $f(x) \geq 2^n + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$, the $\phi_{n+1}(x) \geq 2^n + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} > \phi_n(x)$. If $f(x) < 2^n + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$, then $\phi_{n+1}(x) = 2^n = \phi_n(x)$.

In all cases, $\phi_{n+1}(x) \geq \phi_n(x)$ for all x and n . Thus, we that (i) f is the pointwise limit of ϕ_n , and (ii) $\{\phi_n\}_n$ is a non-decreasing sequence of functions. These are the two hypotheses of the monotone convergence theorem, and so

$$\int_\Omega f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \phi_n d\mu$$

where the above integrals are defined using the second definition of Lebesgue integrals.

Now note that

$$f^{-1}(t, \infty) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\phi_n^{-1}(t, \infty)\}$$

since $f > \phi_n$ for all n and $\phi_n \rightarrow f$, and

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\phi_n^{-1}(t, \infty)\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n^{-1}(t, \infty)$$

since $\{\phi_n\}_n$ is an increasing function. Thus,

$$F_f(t) = \mu(f^{-1}(t, \infty)) = \mu(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n^{-1}(t, \infty)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{\phi_n}(t, \infty)$$

However, $\{F_{\phi_n}(t, \infty)\}_n$ is monotone increasing to $F_f(t, \infty) \in \mathbb{R}$, and thus again by the monotone convergence theorem,

$$\int_0^{\infty} F_f(t) dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\infty} F_{\phi_n}(t) dt$$

By Lemma 1, the two definitions of Lebesgue integration are equivalent for simple functions, and thus

$$\int_0^{\infty} F_{\phi_n}(t) dt = \int_{\Omega} \phi_n(t)$$

for all n . Then by the result above,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_0^{\infty} F_{\phi_n}(t) dt \right] &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{\Omega} \phi_n(t) \right] \\ \implies \int_0^{\infty} F_f(t) dt &= \sup_{\substack{0 \leq \phi \leq f \\ \phi \in S_{\Omega}}} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \phi d\mu \right\} \end{aligned}$$

□

a)

Show that $f + g$ is also summable. In fact, by a simple argument $\int(f + g) \leq 2(\int f + \int g)$.

Suppose f and g are summable. Thus

$$\int f < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int g < \infty$$

By the simple function definition of Lebesgue integrals,

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \leq \phi \leq f \\ \phi \in S_{\Omega}}} \left\{ \int \phi \right\} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\substack{0 \leq \psi \leq g \\ \psi \in S_{\Omega}}} \left\{ \int \psi \right\} < \infty$$

Thus construct two sequences of simple functions $\{\phi_n\}_n$ and $\{\psi_n\}_n$ such that $\int \phi_n \rightarrow \int f$ and $\int \psi_n \rightarrow \int g$. Then choose any arbitrary ε . Then

$$\exists N_\phi, N_\psi \text{ such that if } n \geq \max\{N_\phi, N_\psi\}, \text{ then } \int f - \int \phi_n < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ and } \int g - \int \psi_n < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

By the definition of the integration of simple functions, there are disjoint sets $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{N_E}$ and $\{F_j\}_{j=1}^{N_F}$ such that

$$\int \phi_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N_E} c_i \chi_{E_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \int \psi_n = \sum_{j=1}^{N_F} d_j \chi_{F_j}$$

However we can construct the set $\{G_{i,j}\} = \{E_i \cap F_j : 1 \leq i \leq N_E, 1 \leq j \leq N_F\}$, and thus

$$\int \phi_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N_E} \sum_{j=1}^{N_F} c_i \chi_{G_{i,j}} \quad \text{and} \quad \int \psi_n = \sum_{j=1}^{N_F} \sum_{i=1}^{N_E} d_j \chi_{G_{i,j}}$$

By linearity of finite sums, **omg finish up**

b)

For any integer N find two functions f_N and g_N that take only finitely many values, such that $|\int f - \int f_N| \leq \frac{C}{N}$, $|\int g - \int g_N| \leq \frac{C}{N}$, $|\int (f+g) - \int (f_N+g_N)| \leq \frac{C}{N}$ for some constant C independent of N .

c)

Show that for f_N and g_N as above $\int (f_N + g_N) = \int f_N + \int g_N$, thus proving the additivity of the integral for nonnegative functions.

d)

In a similar fashion, show that for $f, g \geq 0$, $\int (f - g) = \int f - \int g$.

e)

Now use c) and d) to prove the linearity of the integral.

Exercise 1.12

Find a simple condition for $f_n(x)$ so that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} f_n(x) \mu(dx) = \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x) \right] \mu(dx)$$

Exercise 1.13

Let f be the function on \mathbb{R}^n defined by $f(x) = |x|^{-p} \chi_{\{|x| < 1\}}(x)$. Compute $\int f d\mathcal{L}^n$ in two ways: (i) Use polar coordinates and compute the integral by the standard calculus method. (ii) Compute $\mathcal{L}^n(\{x : f(x) > a\})$ and then use Lebesgue's definition.

(i) First note that

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{-p} & \text{if } |x| < 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Then note that polar coordinates on \mathbb{R}^n are $(r, \phi, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-2})$ where $r \in [0, \infty)$, $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$, and $\theta_i \in [0, \pi)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$.

$$\int f d\mathcal{L}^n = \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \dots \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty r^{-p} dr d\phi d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{n-3} d\theta_{n-2}$$

We can use Fubini's theorem since each of these integrals are Riemann integrals. Thus,

$$\int f d\mathcal{L}^n = 2\pi^{n-1} \int_0^\infty r^{-p} dr = 2\pi^{n-1} \int_0^1 r^{-p} dr$$

since we know $f(x) = 0$ whenever $r = |x| \geq 1$. This integral is dependent on p in the following way:

$$\int f d\mathcal{L}^n = \begin{cases} 2\pi^{n-1} \frac{1}{1-p} & \text{if } p < 1 \\ +\infty & \text{if } p \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $0 < p < 1$, f is a decreasing function of modulus and $f \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. If $p < 0$, f is an increasing function of modulus and $f \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow 1$. Thus it should be intuitive that $f^{-1}(a, \infty)$ is either a smaller n -sphere if $0 < p < 1$ or a shell of an n -sphere if $p < 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^n(\{x : f(x) > a\}) &= \mathcal{L}^n(\{x \in B_1(0) : |x|^{-p} > a\}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}^n(\{x \in B_1(0) : |x| < a^{-\frac{1}{p}}\}) & \text{if } 0 < p < 1 \\ \mathcal{L}^n(\{x \in B_1(0) : |x| > a^{-\frac{1}{p}}\}) & \text{if } p < 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}^n(B_{a^{-\frac{1}{p}}}(0)) & \text{if } 0 < p < 1 \\ \mathcal{L}^n(B_1(0)) - \mathcal{L}^n(B_{a^{-\frac{1}{p}}}(0)) & \text{if } p < 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

But the Lebesgue measures of balls are relatively simple to compute:

$$\mathcal{L}^n(B_r(x)) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} r^n}{n\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{L}^n(\{x : f(x) > a\}) = \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} a^{-\frac{n}{p}}}{n\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} & \text{if } 0 < p < 1 \\ \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{n\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} - \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} a^{-\frac{n}{p}}}{n\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} & \text{if } p < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} a^{-\frac{n}{p}}}{n\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} & \text{if } 0 < p < 1 \\ \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{n\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \left(1 - a^{-\frac{n}{p}}\right) & \text{if } p < 0 \end{cases}$$