

# HW #2

Sam Fleischer

January 29, 2015

## Lieb and Loss Exercise 1.9

Verify the linearity of the integral as given in 1.5(7) by completing the steps outlined below. In what follows,  $f$  and  $g$  are nonnegative summable functions.

a)

Show that  $f + g$  is also summable. In fact, by a simple argument  $\int(f + g) \leq 2(\int f + \int g)$ .

To show  $\int(f + g) \leq 2(\int f + \int g)$ , first note that

$$S_{f+g}(t) = \{x : (f + g)(x) > t\} \subset \left\{x : f(x) > \frac{t}{2}\right\} \cup \left\{x : g(x) > \frac{t}{2}\right\} = S_f\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \cup S_g\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)$$

Since  $f(x) \leq \frac{t}{2}$  and  $g(x) \leq \frac{t}{2}$  implies  $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) \leq t$ . By properties of measures,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(S_{f+g}(t)) &\leq \mu\left(S_f\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \cup S_g\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right) \leq \mu\left(S_f\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right) + \mu\left(S_g\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right) \\ &\implies \int_0^\infty \mu(S_{f+g}(t))dt \leq \int_0^\infty \mu\left(S_f\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)dt + \int_0^\infty \mu\left(S_g\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)dt \end{aligned}$$

Note the integral on the right hand side can split linearly because it is a Riemann integral. By  $u$ -substitution with  $u = \frac{t}{2}$ , we get

$$\int_0^\infty \mu(S_{f+g}(t))dt \leq 2 \int_0^\infty S_f(t)dt + 2 \int_0^\infty S_g(t)dt$$

Note the constant 2 can be factored of each integral on the right hand side linearly because they are Riemann integrals. Thus, by definition,

$$\int(f + g) \leq 2\left(\int f + \int g\right)$$

and since  $f$  and  $g$  are summable,  $\int f$  and  $\int g$  are finite, which proves  $\int(f + g)$  is finite. Next we confirm  $S_{f+g}(t) \in \Sigma$ . Construct a function  $A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by

$$A(x) = (f(x), g(x))$$

and a function  $B : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$B(x, y) = x + y$$

Since  $A$  and  $B$  are measurable, then  $B \circ A$  is measurable (since the composition of measurable functions is measurable). Thus  $\{x : (f + g)(x) > t\} = \{x : B(A(x)) > t\}$  is measurable, and hence  $S_{f+g}(t) \in \Sigma$ . Thus  $f + g$  is summable.  $\square$

**b)**

For any integer  $N$  find two functions  $f_N$  and  $g_N$  that take only finitely many values, such that  $|\int f - \inf f_N| \leq \frac{C}{N}$ ,  $|\int g - \int g_N| \leq \frac{C}{N}$ ,  $|\int(f + g) - \int(f_N + g_N)| \leq \frac{C}{N}$  for some constant  $C$  independent of  $N$ .

**c)**

Show that for  $f_N$  and  $g_N$  as above  $\int(f_N + g_N) = \int f_N + \int g_N$ , thus proving the additivity of the integral for nonnegative functions.

Since  $f_N$  and  $g_N$  are simple functions they take on finitely many values, i.e.

$$f_N = \sum_{i=1}^M c_i \mu(E_i) \quad \text{and} \\ g_N = \sum_{j=1}^M d_j \mu(D_j)$$

Note both summations can be written with the same limit since we can always add finitely many terms where either  $c_i$  or  $d_j$  are zero.

$$\int_{\Omega} f_N d\mu = \int_0^{\infty} F_{f_N} dt = \sum_{i=1}^M c_i \mu(E_i) \quad \text{and} \\ \int_{\Omega} g_N d\mu = \int_0^{\infty} F_{g_N} dt = \sum_{j=1}^M d_j \mu(D_j)$$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega} (f_N + g_N) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^M (c_i \mu(E_i) + d_i \mu(D_i))$$

**d)**

In a similar fashion, show that for  $f, g \geq 0$ ,  $\int(f - g) = \int f - \int g$ .

**e)**

Now use c) and d) to prove the linearity of the integral.

## Lieb and Loss Exercise 1.10

Prove that when we add and subtract the subsets of sets of zero measure to the sets of a sigma-algebra then the result is again a sigma-algebra and the extended measure is again a measure.

## Lieb and Loss Exercise 1.12

Find a simple condition for  $f_n(x)$  so that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} f_n(x) \mu(dx) = \int_{\Omega} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x) \right] \mu(dx)$$

Let  $f_{n_n}$  be a sequence of positive functions. Then let

$$g_n = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i$$

be the  $n$ th partial sum of  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n$ . Then  $g_n$  is an increasing sequence of functions that converges pointwise to  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n$  in  $\Omega$ . Then by the monotone convergence theorem,

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} g_n d\mu$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \right] d\mu &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^n f_i \right] d\mu \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n \int_{\Omega} f_i d\mu \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} f_n d\mu \end{aligned}$$

which proves the result.

## Lieb and Loss Exercise 1.13

Let  $f$  be the function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defined by  $f(x) = |x|^{-p} \chi_{\{|x| < 1\}}(x)$ . Compute  $\int f d\mathcal{L}^n$  in two ways: (i) Use polar coordinates and compute the integral by the standard calculus method. (ii) Compute  $\mathcal{L}^n(\{x : f(x) > a\})$  and then use Lebesgue's definition.

(i) First note that

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{-p} & \text{if } |x| < 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Then note that polar coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are  $(r, \phi, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-2})$  where  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$ , and  $\theta_i \in [0, \pi)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$ . When transforming rectangular coordinates to polar coordinates in  $n$  dimensions, we multiply by the determinant of the Jacobian matrix, and so

$$\int f d\mathcal{L}^n = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \dots \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty r^{-p} \left[ \frac{1}{r^{1-n}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-2} \sin^{n-k-1}(\theta_k) \right] dr d\phi d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{n-3} d\theta_{n-2}$$

The integrand is separable, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int f d\mathcal{L}^n &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r^{p-n+1}} dr \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{n-2} \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-k-1}(\theta_k) d\theta_k \right] \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \\ &= 2\pi \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{n-2} \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-k-1}(\theta_k) d\theta_k \right] \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r^{p-n+1}} dr \end{aligned}$$

But

$$|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| = 2\pi \prod_{k=1}^{n-2} \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-k-1}(\theta_k) d\theta_k$$

where  $|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|$  is the surface area of an  $n$ -dimensional sphere of radius 1. Thus,

$$\int f d\mathcal{L}^n = |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r^{p-n+1}} dr = \begin{cases} |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \frac{1}{n-p} & , n > p \\ +\infty & , n \leq p \end{cases}$$

## Lieb and Loss Exercise 1.17

*Show that the infimum of a family of continuous functions is upper semi-continuous.*

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_i \in [\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}] : f_i \text{ is continuous, and } i \in I\}$  where  $I$  is some index set. Then define  $f \in [\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}]$  by

$$f(x) = \inf_{i \in I} f_i(x)$$

Assume  $f$  is not upper semi-continuous. Then there is at least one  $x$  such that for any sequence  $\{x_n\}_n \rightarrow x$ ,

$$\overline{\lim}_{x_n \rightarrow x} f(x_n) > f(x)$$

By the definition of the infimum, there is a sequence of functions in  $\mathcal{F}$  whose values at  $x$  approach  $f(x)$ , i.e.  $\{f_j(x)\}_j \rightarrow f(x)$ . Consider a sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}_n = 2^{-n}$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon_n$ ,  $\exists J_n$  such that for all  $j \geq J_n$ ,  $|f_j(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon_n$ . So let  $j \geq J$ , and by the continuity of  $f_j$ , choose  $\delta_n$  such that  $|x - x_0| < \delta_n \implies |f_j(x) - f_j(x_0)| < \varepsilon_n$ . Also make sure  $\delta_{n+1} < \delta_n$ . Then let  $y_n \in B_{\delta_n}(x) \setminus \{x\}$ . Thus  $\{y_n\}_n$  is a sequence approaching  $x$  where

$$\overline{\lim}_{y_n \rightarrow x} f(y_n) = \overline{\lim}_{y_n \rightarrow x} \inf_{i \in I} f_i(y_n) = f(x)$$

which is a contradiction. Thus  $f$  is upper semi-continuous.

# Lieb and Loss Exercise 1.18

*Simple facts about measure:*

a)

Show that the condition  $\{x : f(x) > a\}$  is measurable for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  holds if and only if it holds for all rational  $a$ .

Suppose  $\{x : f(x) > a\} \in \Sigma$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then for  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ , let  $\{a_i\}_i$  be an increasing sequence in  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\{a_i\} \rightarrow a$ . Then

$$\{x : f(x) > a\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x : f(x) > a_n\} \in \Sigma$$

because  $\Sigma$  is closed under countable intersections.

b)

For rational  $a$ , show that

$$\{x : f(x) + g(x) > a\} = \bigcup_{b \in \mathbb{Q}} (\{x : f(x) > b\} \cap \{x : g(x) > a - b\})$$

For ease, define  $A = \{x : f(x) + g(x) > a\}$  and  $B = \bigcup_{b \in \mathbb{Q}} (\{x : f(x) > b\} \cap \{x : g(x) > a - b\})$ .

Suppose  $x \in A$ . Then  $f(x) + g(x) > a$ . Then  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  such that  $f(x) + g(x) = a + \varepsilon$ . Now choose  $b \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (f(x) - \varepsilon, f(x))$ . Then  $f(x) - \varepsilon < b < f(x)$ , i.e.  $f(x) < b + \varepsilon < f(x) + \varepsilon$ . If  $g(x) \leq a - b$ , then  $f(x) + g(x) \leq f(x) + a - b < b + \varepsilon + a - b = a + \varepsilon$ , which is a contradiction since  $f(x) + g(x) = a + \varepsilon$ . Thus  $x \in B$ , showing  $A \subset B$ .

Suppose  $x \in B$ . Then  $\exists b \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $f(x) > b$  and  $g(x) > a - b$ . Then  $f(x) + g(x) > b + a - b = a$ , and thus  $x \in A$ , showing  $B \subset A$ .

Thus,

$$\{x : f(x) + g(x) > a\} = \bigcup_{b \in \mathbb{Q}} (\{x : f(x) > b\} \cap \{x : g(x) > a - b\})$$

c)

In a similar way, prove that  $fg$  is measurable if  $f$  and  $g$  are measurable.

We want to show if  $f^{-1}(t, \infty) \in \Sigma$  and  $g^{-1}(t, \infty) \in \Sigma$ , then  $(fg)^{-1}(t, \infty) \in \Sigma$ . We will show this for  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ , but by part **b**), this is equivalent to showing it for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

To show  $(fg)^{-1}(t, \infty) \in \Sigma$ , we will show

$$(fg)^{-1}(t, \infty) = \bigcup_{b \in \mathbb{Q}} \left( f^{-1}(b, \infty) \cap g^{-1}\left(\frac{a}{b}, \infty\right) \right)$$

For ease, define  $A = (fg)^{-1}(t, \infty)$  and  $B = \bigcup_{b \in \mathbb{Q}} (f^{-1}(b, \infty) \cap g^{-1}(\frac{a}{b}, \infty))$ .

Suppose  $x \in A$ . Then  $f(x) + g(x) > a$ . Then  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  such that  $fg(x) = a(1 + \varepsilon)$ . Now choose  $b \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \left(\frac{f(x)}{1 + \varepsilon}, f(x)\right)$ . Then  $\frac{f(x)}{1 + \varepsilon} < b < f(x)$ , i.e.  $f(x) < b(1 + \varepsilon) < f(x)(1 + \varepsilon)$ . If  $g(x) \leq \frac{a}{b}$ ,

then  $(fg)(x) \leq \frac{af(x)}{b} < \frac{ab(1+\varepsilon)}{b} = a(1+\varepsilon)$ , which is a contradiction since  $(fg)(x) = a(1+\varepsilon)$ . Thus  $x \in B$ , showing  $A \subset B$ .

Suppose  $x \in B$ . Then  $\exists b \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $f(x) > b$  and  $g(x) > \frac{a}{b}$ . Then  $(fg)(x) > \frac{ba}{b} = a$ , and thus  $x \in A$ , showing  $B \subset A$ .

Thus, for  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,

$$(fg)^{-1}(t, \infty) = \bigcup_{b \in \mathbb{Q}} \left( f^{-1}(b, \infty) \cap g^{-1}\left(\frac{a}{b}, \infty\right) \right)$$

Then since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable and  $(fg)^{-1}(t, \infty)$  is a countable union and intersection of elements in  $\Sigma$ , then  $(fg)^{-1}(t, \infty) \in \Sigma$ . By part **a)**, this shows the above holds for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and thus  $f, g$  measurable imply  $fg$  is measurable.

## Hunter and Nachtergaele Exercise 6.1

*Prove that a closed, convex subset of a Hilbert space has a unique point of minimum norm.*

Let  $A$  be a closed and convex subset of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $d$  be the distance of  $\vec{0}$  from  $A$ ,

$$d = \inf_{x \in A} \{\|x\|\}$$

First we prove that there is a closest point  $z \in A$  at which this infimum is attained. From the definition of  $d$ , there is a sequence of elements  $z_n \in A$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n\| = d$$

Thus  $\forall \varepsilon, \exists N_\varepsilon$  such that

$$\|z_n\| \leq d + \varepsilon \quad \text{when } n \geq N_\varepsilon$$

Next we will show  $\{z_n\}_n$  is Cauchy. Let  $n, m \geq N_\varepsilon$ . The parallelogram law implies

$$\|z_m - z_n\|^2 + \|z_m + z_n\|^2 = 2\|z_n\|^2 + 2\|z_m\|^2$$

Since  $A$  is convex,  $\frac{z_m + z_n}{2} \in A$ , and thus

$$\left\| \frac{z_m + z_n}{2} \right\| \leq d$$

by the definition of  $d$ . Thus,

$$\|z_m + z_n\|^2 \leq 4d^2$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_m - z_n\|^2 + 4d^2 &\leq 2\|z_m\|^2 + 2\|z_n\|^2 \\ \implies \|z_m - z_n\|^2 &\leq 2(d + \varepsilon)^2 + 2(d + \varepsilon)^2 - 4d^2 \\ &= 4\varepsilon(2d + \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

which is arbitrarily small as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $\{z_n\}_n$  is Cauchy. The completeness of Hilbert spaces implies  $\{z_n\}_n$  converges to a limit, but since  $A$  is closed,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z \in A$ . By the continuity of  $\|\cdot\|$ ,

$$\|z\| = \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n \right\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n\| = d$$

Thus there is a point at which  $A$  achieves minimum norm. Next, we prove uniqueness. Suppose  $\|z_1\| = \|z_2\| = 0$ . Then by the parallelogram law,

$$2\|z_1\|^2 + 2\|z_2\|^2 = \|z_1 + z_2\|^2 + \|z_1 - z_2\|^2$$

Again, the convexity of  $A$  implies  $\frac{z_1 + z_2}{2} \in A$ , and thus

$$\|z_1 - z_2\|^2 = 4d^2 - 4\left\| \frac{z_1 + z_2}{2} \right\|^2 \leq 4d^2 - 4d^2 = 0$$

But norm is non-negative, i.e.  $\|z_1 - z_2\| = 0$ . Thus  $z_1 = z_2$ . Thus the point of minimum norm is unique.

## Hunter and Nachtergaele Exercise 6.3

If  $A$  is a subset of a Hilbert space, prove that

$$A^\perp = \overline{A}^\perp,$$

where  $\overline{A}$  is the closure of  $A$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a linear subspace of a Hilbert space, prove that

$$\mathcal{M}^{\perp\perp} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Let  $x \in A^\perp$  and choose any  $y \in \overline{A}$ . Then  $\exists \{y_n\}_n \in A$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow y$ . But since  $y_n \in A$ ,  $x \perp y_n$  for all  $n$ . Thus, by the continuity of inner products,

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x, y_n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0$$

and thus  $x \perp y$ , which shows  $x \in \overline{A}^\perp$ , and hence  $A^\perp \subset \overline{A}^\perp$ .

Now let  $x \in \overline{A}^\perp$ . Then  $x \perp y \forall y \in \overline{A}$ . But  $A \subset \overline{A}$ , and thus trivially,  $x \perp y \forall y \in A$ , i.e.  $x \in A^\perp$ . Hence  $\overline{A}^\perp \subset A^\perp$ .

Thus,  $A^\perp = \overline{A}^\perp$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a linear subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Assume  $x \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ . Then there is a sequence  $x_n \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Then  $\langle x_n, y \rangle = 0 \forall y \in \mathcal{M}^\perp$ . Then by continuity of inner products,

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n, y \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n, y \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{M}^\perp$$

Then  $x \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp\perp}$ , which shows  $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathcal{M}^{\perp\perp}$ .

Now assume  $x \notin \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ . Since  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  is closed, the by the Projection Theorem,  $\exists y \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$  such that  $(x - y) \perp \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ . Since  $y \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ ,  $\langle x - y, y \rangle = 0$ . Since  $x \neq y$  ( $x \notin \overline{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $y \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ ), then  $\langle x - y, x - y \rangle \neq 0$ . However,  $\langle x - y, x - y \rangle = \langle x - y, x \rangle - \langle x - y, y \rangle = \langle x - y, x \rangle$ . Since  $x - y \perp \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ , then  $x - y \perp \mathcal{M}$ , i.e.  $x - y \in \mathcal{M}^\perp$ . Then since  $\langle x - y, x \rangle \neq 0$ , then  $x \notin \mathcal{M}^{\perp\perp} = \mathcal{M}^{\perp\perp}$ , which shows  $\mathcal{M}^{\perp\perp} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ .

Thus  $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{M}^{\perp\perp}$ .

## Hunter and Nachtergaele Exercise 6.5

Suppose that  $\{\mathcal{H}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a set of orthogonal closed subspaces of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . We define the infinite direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \mid x_n \in \mathcal{H}_n \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\|^2 < +\infty \right\}.$$

Prove that  $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$  is a closed linear subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

First we show  $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$  is linear. Consider  $x, y \in \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$  where

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \quad \text{and} \quad y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$$

Then since each  $\mathcal{H}_n$  is linear, then  $c_n = ax_n + by_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$  for each  $n$ . Thus

$$ax + by = a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n + b \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (ax_n + by_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n$$

Now we need to show  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|c_n\|^2 < \infty$ . Consider  $x^{(N)}$  and  $y^{(N)}$  where

$$x^{(N)} = \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \quad \text{and} \quad y^{(N)} = \sum_{n=1}^N y_n$$

Then

$$\|ax^{(N)} + by^{(N)}\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N (ax_n + by_n) \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \right\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^N \|c_n\|^2$$

by the pythagorean theorem. However, since the norm is continuous,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|ax^{(N)} + by^{(N)}\|^2 = \|ax + by\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|c_n\|^2$$

Since  $ax + by \in \mathcal{H}$ , then  $\|ax + by\| \in \mathbb{R}$  by the definition of norm. Thus  $\|ax + by\|^2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and hence  $< \infty$ . Thus  $ax + by \in \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$ , which shows  $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$  is a linear subspace.

## Hunter and Nachtergaele Exercise 6.8

Let  $\mathcal{X} = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be an orthonormal set in a Hilbert space. Show that the sum  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{n}$  converges unconditionally but not absolutely.

Let  $y_n = \frac{x_n}{n}$  and let  $\mathcal{Y} = \{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Since each  $y_n$  is a scalar multiple of  $x_n$  for all  $n$ , and since  $\mathcal{X}$  is an orthonormal set, then  $\mathcal{Y}$  is an orthogonal set. Thus by the Pythagorean Theorem,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$  converges unconditionally if and only if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|y_n\|^2$  converges. But

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|y_n\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|x_n\|^2}{n^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty$$



by the  $p$ -series test. Thus  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{n}$  converges unconditionally. However,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\| \frac{x_n}{n} \right\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|x_n\|}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow \infty$$

And so  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{n}$  does not converge absolutely.

## Hunter and Nachtergaele Exercise 6.12

Define the Legendre polynomials  $P_n$  by

$$P_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (x^2 - 1)^n.$$

- (a) Compute the first few Legendre polynomials, and compare with what you get by Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization of the monomials  $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$  in  $L^2([-1, 1])$ .

$$P_0(x) = \frac{1}{2^0 0!} (x^2 - 1)^0 = 1$$

$$P_1(x) = \frac{1}{2^1 1!} \frac{d}{dx} (x^2 - 1)^1 = \frac{1}{2} 2x = x$$

$$P_2(x) = \frac{1}{2^2 2!} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} (x^2 - 1)^2 = \frac{1}{8} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} (x^4 - 2x^2 + 1) = \frac{1}{8} (12x^2 - 4) = \frac{3}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}$$

$$P_3(x) = \frac{1}{2^3 3!} \frac{d^3}{dx^3} (x^2 - 1)^3 = \frac{1}{48} \frac{d^3}{dx^3} (x^6 - 3x^4 + 3x^2 - 1) = \frac{1}{48} (120x^3 - 72x) = \frac{5}{2}x^3 - \frac{3}{2}x$$

These polynomials are scalar multiples of the results of the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization of the monomials  $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$  in  $L^2([-1, 1])$ .

- (b) Show that the Legendre polynomials are orthogonal in  $L^2([-1, 1])$ , and that they are obtained by Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization of the monomials

Fix  $n$  and pick  $m < n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^m, P_n \rangle &= \int_{-1}^1 x^m P_n dx \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 x^m \frac{1}{2^n n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (x^2 - 1)^n dx \\ \implies 2^n n! \langle x^m, P_n \rangle &= \int_{-1}^1 x^m \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (x^2 - 1)^n dx \\ &= (-1)^m m! \int_{-1}^1 \frac{d^{n-m}}{dx^{n-m}} (x^2 - 1) dx \quad \text{through integration by parts } m \text{ times} \\ &= (-1)^m m! \left[ \frac{d^{n-m-1}}{dx^{n-m-1}} (x^2 - 1)^n \right]_{-1}^1 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

because  $x^2 - 1$  is a factor of  $\frac{d^{n-m-1}}{dx^{n-m-1}} (x^2 - 1)^n$ . Thus  $x^m \perp P_n$  for all  $m < n$ . However  $P_m$  is a linear combination of elements from  $\{1, x, \dots, x^m\}$ , and thus  $P_m \perp P_n$ . Thus the Legendre polynomials are orthogonal in  $L^2([-1, 1])$ .

(c) Show that

$$\int_{-1}^1 P_n(x)^2 dx = \frac{2}{2n+1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 P_n(x)^2 dx &= \int_{-1}^1 \left( \frac{1}{2^n n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (x^2 - 1)^n \right)^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{2n} (n!)^2} \int_{-1}^1 \left( \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (x^2 - 1)^n \right)^2 dx \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{2n} (n!)^2} \int_{-1}^1 (x^2 - 1)^n \frac{d^{2n}}{dx^{2n}} (x^2 - 1)^n dx && \text{through integration by parts } n \text{ times} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n (2n)!}{2^{2n} (n!)^2} \int_{-1}^1 (x^2 - 1)^n dx && \text{through integration by parts } 2n \text{ times} \end{aligned}$$

Now just consider the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 (x^2 - 1)^n dx &= \int_{-1}^1 (x - 1)^n (x + 1)^n dx \\ &= \frac{(n!)^2 (-1)^n}{(2n)!} \int_{-1}^1 (x + 1)^{2n} dx && \text{through integration by parts } n \text{ times} \\ &= \frac{(n!)^2 (-1)^n}{(2n)!} \left[ \frac{(x + 1)^{2n+1}}{2n + 1} \right]_{-1}^1 \\ &= \frac{(n!)^2 (-1)^n 2^{2n+1}}{(2n)! (2n + 1)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 P_n(x)^2 dx &= \frac{(-1)^n (2n)!}{2^{2n} (n!)^2} \int_{-1}^1 (x^2 - 1)^n \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n (2n)!}{2^{2n} (n!)^2} \cdot \frac{(n!)^2 (-1)^n 2^{2n+1}}{(2n)! (2n + 1)} \\ &= \frac{2}{2n + 1} \end{aligned}$$

(d) Prove that the Legendre polynomials form an orthogonal basis of  $L^2([-1, 1])$ . Suppose that  $f \in L^2([-1, 1])$  is given by

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n P_n(x).$$

Compute  $c_n$  and say explicitly in what sense the series converges.

Since  $\{P_n\}_n$  can be obtained using the Gram-Schmidt from an orthogonal basis (namely the monomials  $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$ ), the  $\{P_n\}_n$  is an orthogonal basis of  $L^2([-1, 1])$ .

Bessel's inequality says that since  $\{P_n\}_n$  is an orthogonal basis, then

$$c_n = \left\langle \frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}, f \right\rangle$$

(e) Prove that the Legendre polynomial  $P_n$  is an eigenfunction of the differential operator

$$L = -\frac{d}{dx}(1-x^2)\frac{d}{dx}$$

with eigenvalue  $\lambda_n = n(n+1)$ , meaning that

$$LP_n = \lambda_n P_n.$$

Let  $u(x) = (x^2 - 1)^n$  and let  $D$  be the differential operator. Then note that

$$(x^2 - 1)Du = (x^2 - 1)n(x^2 - 1)^{n-1} \cdot 2x = 2nxu$$

Apply  $D^{n+1}$  to both sides and use Liebnitz's Rule for  $(fg)^{(n)}$  to achieve

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(n+1)n}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot D^{n-1}Du + (n+1)2xD^nDu + (x^2 - 1)D^{n+1}Du &= 2n(n+1)D^n u + 2nx D^{n+1}u \\ \implies 2x D^{n+1}u + (x^2 - 1)D^{n+2}u &= n(n+1)D^n u \\ \implies LD^n u &= n(n+1)D^n u \end{aligned}$$

which shows  $D^n$  is an eigenfunction of  $L$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_n = n(n+1)$ . Since  $2^n n! P_n = D^n u$  (i.e.  $P_n$  is linearly dependent on  $D^n$ ), then  $P_n$  is an eigenfunction of  $L$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_n = n(n+1)$ .

## Extra Problem: Convolution is Continuous

Prove that the convolution of two continuous functions on the unit circle is continuous.

Choose  $x \in [0, 2\pi]$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The continuity of  $g$  implies  $\exists \delta$  such that

$$|x - x_0| < \delta \implies |g(x) - g(x_0)| < \varepsilon$$

Then let  $|x - x_0| < \delta$  (which also means  $|(x - y) - (x_0 - y)| < \delta$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * g)(x) - (f * g)(x_0)| &= \left| \int_0^{2\pi} f(y)g(x - y) - f(y)g(x_0 - y)dy \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^{2\pi} f(y)[g(x - y) - g(x_0 - y)]dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f(y)| |g(x - y) - g(x_0 - y)|dy \\ &< \int_0^{2\pi} |f(y)| \varepsilon dx \end{aligned}$$

But by the continuity of  $f$ ,  $f$  is bounded on  $[0, 2\pi]$  since  $[0, 2\pi]$  is compact. Thus  $|f(y)| \leq C$  for some  $C \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * g)(x) - (f * g)(x_0)| &< C\varepsilon \int_0^{2\pi} dx \\ &= 2\pi C\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary, this shows that  $f * g$  is continuous.