

---

# Homework #6

---

Sam Fleischer

March 4, 2016

<b>Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.1 . . . . .</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.10 . . . . .</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.13 . . . . .</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.14 . . . . .</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.15 . . . . .</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.16 . . . . .</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.17 . . . . .</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.18 . . . . .</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.19 . . . . .</b>	<b>6</b>

---

## Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.1

---

If  $M$  is a linear subspace of a linear space  $X$ , then the quotient space  $X/M$  is the set  $\{x + M \mid x \in X\}$  of affine spaces

$$x + M = \{x + y \mid y \in M\}$$

parallel to  $M$ .

(a) Show that  $X/M$  is a linear space with respect to the operations

$$\lambda(x + M) = \lambda x + M, \quad (x + M) + (y + M) = (x + y) + M.$$

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is a linear space, then  $\alpha x + \beta y \in X$  for every  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then

$$\alpha(x + M) + \beta(y + M) = (\alpha x + \beta y) + M \in X/M$$

Define the “zero” vector in  $X/M$  by  $0 + M$  where  $0$  is the “zero” vector in  $X$ . Then

$$(0 + M) + (x + M) = (0 + x) + M = x + M = (x + 0) + M = (x + M) + (0 + M)$$

Also, the “one” in  $\mathbb{F}$  (1) is the “one” in  $X/M$  since

$$1(x + M) = 1x + M = x + M$$

Thus  $X/M$  is a vector space. □

(b) Suppose that  $X = M \oplus N$ . Show that  $N$  is linearly isomorphic to  $X/M$ .

*Proof.* Define  $T : N \rightarrow X/M$  by

$$Tn = n + M$$

For any  $x, y \in N$ , then if  $Tx = Ty$ , then  $x + M = y + M \implies (x - y) + M = 0 + M \implies x - y \in M$ . But since  $N$  is a vector space, then  $x - y \in N$ . Since  $X = M \oplus N$ , then  $M \cap N = \{0\}$ , which means  $x = y$ . Thus  $T$  is injective. Now choose  $x + M \in X/M$ . Then note  $P_N x \in N$  and

$$T(P_N x) = P_N x + M = (P_N x + M) + (P_M x + M) = (P_N x + P_M x) + M = x + M$$

Thus  $T$  is surjective. Thus  $T$  is a bijection. Also,  $T$  is a linear map since

$$T(\alpha x + \beta y) = (\alpha x + \beta y) + M = \alpha(x + M) + \beta(y + M) = \alpha Tx + \beta Ty$$

Thus  $N$  is linearly isomorphic to  $X/M$ . □

(c) The codimension of  $M$  in  $X$  is the dimension of  $X/M$ . Is a subspace of a Banach space with finite codimension necessarily closed?

*Proof.* □

---

## Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.10

---

Let  $\{u_\alpha\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Prove that  $\{\phi_{u_\alpha}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}^*$ .

*Proof.* First note  $\{\phi_{u_\alpha}\}$  is an orthonormal set since

$$\langle \phi_{u_1}, \phi_{u_2} \rangle = \langle u_2, u_1 \rangle = \delta_{u_2, u_1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u_1 = u_2 \\ 0 & \text{if } u_1 \neq u_2 \end{cases}$$

Next let  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . By the Riesz Representation Theorem,  $\exists u \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\phi(x) = \langle x, u \rangle$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then since  $\{u_\alpha\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ , then  $\exists \{c_\alpha\}$  such that  $\sum_\alpha |c_\alpha|^2 < \infty$  and  $u = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha u_\alpha$ . Then

$$\phi(x) = \langle x, u \rangle = \left\langle x, \sum_\alpha c_\alpha u_\alpha \right\rangle = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha \langle x, u_\alpha \rangle = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha \phi_{u_\alpha}(x)$$

where  $\phi_{u_\alpha}$  is the functional in  $\mathcal{H}^*$  such that  $\phi_{u_\alpha}(x) = \langle x, u_\alpha \rangle$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . Thus  $\{\phi_{u_\alpha}\}$  spans  $\mathcal{H}^*$ , and hence  $\{\phi_{u_\alpha}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}^*$ .  $\square$

---

## Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.13

---

Prove that an orthonormal set of vectors  $\{u_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is an orthonormal basis if and only if

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} u_\alpha \otimes u_\alpha = I.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{u_\alpha\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $x = \sum_\alpha \langle u_\alpha, x \rangle u_\alpha$ . However, the projection  $P_{u_\alpha}$  is defined as

$$P_{u_\alpha} x = \langle u_\alpha, x \rangle u_\alpha$$

and hence, for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $Ix = x = \sum_\alpha \langle u_\alpha, x \rangle u_\alpha = \sum_\alpha P_{u_\alpha} x = \sum_\alpha (u_\alpha \otimes u_\alpha)x$ . In other words,  $I = \sum_\alpha u_\alpha \otimes u_\alpha$ . Now let  $\sum_\alpha u_\alpha \otimes u_\alpha = I$ . Then  $x = \sum_\alpha P_{u_\alpha} x = \sum_\alpha \langle u_\alpha, x \rangle u_\alpha$ . Thus  $\{u_\alpha\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ .  $\square$

---

## Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.14

---

Suppose that  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  satisfy

$$\langle x, Ay \rangle = \langle x, By \rangle \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Prove that  $A = B$ . Use a polarization-type identity to prove that if  $\mathcal{H}$  is a complex Hilbert space and

$$\langle x, Ax \rangle = \langle x, Bx \rangle \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H},$$

then  $A = B$ . What can you say about  $A$  and  $B$  for real Hilbert spaces?

*Proof.* If  $\langle x, Ay \rangle = \langle x, By \rangle$ , then  $\langle x, (A - B)y \rangle = 0$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $A - B = 0$ , i.e.  $A = B$ .  
Let  $\langle x, Ax \rangle = \langle x, Bx \rangle$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then

$$0 = \langle x, (A - B)x \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \|x + (A - B)x\|^2 - \|x - (A - B)x\|^2 - i\|x + i(A - B)x\|^2 + i\|x - i(A - B)x\|^2 \right]$$

Since norms are always positive, this implies the real and imaginary parts of the right hand side must each equal zero. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + (A - B)x\|^2 &= \|x - (A - B)x\|^2 \\ \implies \langle x + (A - B)x, x + (A - B)x \rangle &= \langle x - (A - B)x, x - (A - B)x \rangle \\ \implies \|x\|^2 + \langle x, (A - B)x \rangle + \langle (A - B)x, x \rangle + \|(A - B)x\|^2 &= \|x\|^2 - \langle x, (A - B)x \rangle - \langle (A - B)x, x \rangle + \|(A - B)x\|^2 \\ \implies \langle x, (A - B)x \rangle + \langle (A - B)x, x \rangle &= 0 \\ \implies \langle x, (A - B)x \rangle + \overline{\langle x, (A - B)x \rangle} &= 0 \\ \implies \operatorname{Re}[\langle x, (A - B)x \rangle] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - i(A - B)x\|^2 &= \|x + i(A - B)x\|^2 \\ \implies \|x\|^2 - i\langle x, (A - B)x \rangle + i\langle (A - B)x, x \rangle - \|(A - B)x\|^2 &= \|x\|^2 + i\langle x, (A - B)x \rangle - i\langle (A - B)x, x \rangle - \|(A - B)x\|^2 \\ \implies i \left[ \langle x, (A - B)x \rangle - \overline{\langle x, (A - B)x \rangle} \right] &= 0 \\ \implies \langle x, (A - B)x \rangle - \overline{\langle x, (A - B)x \rangle} &= 0 \\ \implies \operatorname{Im}[\langle x, (A - B)x \rangle] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\langle x, (A - B)x \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . Thus  $A = B$ . □

---

## Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.15

---

Prove that for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have (a)  $A^{**} = A$ ; (b)  $(AB)^* = B^*A^*$ ; (c)  $(\lambda A)^* = \bar{\lambda}A^*$ ; (d)  $(A + B)^* = A^* + B^*$ ; (e)  $\|A^*\| = \|A\|$ .

*Proof.* (a) For all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\langle x, Ay \rangle = \langle A^*x, y \rangle = \langle x, (A^*)^*y \rangle$ . Thus  $\langle x, (A - A^{**})y \rangle = 0$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ . Thus  $A = A^{**}$ .

(b) For all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\langle x, (AB)^*y \rangle = \langle ABx, y \rangle = \langle Bx, A^*y \rangle = \langle x, B^*A^*y \rangle \implies \langle x, ((AB)^* - B^*A^*)y \rangle = 0$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ . Thus  $(AB)^* = B^*A^*$ .

(c) For all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\langle x, (\lambda A)^*y \rangle = \langle \lambda Ax, y \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle Ax, y \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle x, A^*y \rangle \implies \langle x, ((\lambda A)^* - \bar{\lambda}A^*)y \rangle = 0$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ . Thus  $(\lambda A)^* = \bar{\lambda}A^*$ .

(d) For all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\langle x, (A+B)^* y \rangle = \langle (A+B)x, y \rangle = \langle Ax, y \rangle + \langle Bx, y \rangle = \langle x, A^* y \rangle + \langle x, B^* y \rangle = \langle x, (A^* + B^*) y \rangle \implies \langle x, ((A+B)^* - (A^* + B^*)) y \rangle = 0$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ . Thus  $(A+B)^* = A^* + B^*$ .

(e) First define  $M \in \mathcal{H}^*$  by  $Mx = \langle y, Ax \rangle$ . Then  $M$  is a bounded linear functional since

$$M(ax_1 + bx_2) = \langle y, A(ax_1 + bx_2) \rangle = \langle y, aAx_1 + bAx_2 \rangle = a\langle y, Ax_1 \rangle + b\langle y, Ax_2 \rangle = aMx_1 + bMx_2$$

and

$$\|M\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \langle y, Ax \rangle \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|y\| \|Ax\| \leq \|y\| \|A\|$$

and since  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $\|M\| < \infty$ . Then since  $M \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , The Riesz Representation Theorem guarantees a unique vector  $v \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$Mx = \langle v, x \rangle = \langle y, Ax \rangle = \langle A^* y, x \rangle$$

Thus  $v = A^* y$ . Finally,

$$\|A^* y\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle v, x \rangle| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle y, Ax \rangle| \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|y\| \|Ax\| \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|y\| \|A\| \|x\| = \|y\| \|A\|$$

Thus  $\|A^*\| \leq \|A\|$ . This also implies  $\|A\| = \|A^{**}\| = \|(A^*)^*\| \leq \|A^*\|$ . Thus,  $\|A\| = \|A^*\|$ . □

## Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.16

Let  $U : L^2(\Omega, P) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, P)$  by

$$Uf = f \circ T \tag{8.16}$$

where  $T : (\Omega, P) \rightarrow (\Omega, P)$  is measure preserving, i.e.  $P(A) = P(T^{-1}A) \forall$  measurable  $A \subset \Omega$ . Prove that the operator  $U$  defined in (8.16) is unitary.

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is measure-preserving, then  $T$  is bijective (by definition) and for any  $f \in L^2(\Omega, P)$ , we have  $\mathcal{X}f = \mathcal{X}f \circ T$  (where  $\mathcal{X}$  is the characteristic function), or

$$\int_{\Omega} f dP = \int_{\Omega} f \circ T dP$$

Then since  $\overline{f}g \in L^2(\Omega, P)$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} \overline{f}g dP = \int_{\Omega} (\overline{f}g) \circ T dP$$

Thus,

$$\langle Uf, Ug \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \overline{f(T(\omega))} g(T(\omega)) dP(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \left( (\overline{f}g) \circ T \right)(\omega) dP(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} (\overline{f}g)(\omega) dP(\omega) = \langle f, g \rangle$$

Also, since  $T$  is bijective,  $T^{-1}$  exists and  $U^{-1}f$  can be defined as

$$U^{-1}f = f \circ T^{-1}$$

Clearly

$$U^{-1}(Uf) = U^{-1}(f \circ T) = (f \circ T) \circ T^{-1} = f \circ (T \circ T^{-1}) = f \circ \mathbb{I} = f$$

and

$$U(U^{-1}f) = U(f \circ T^{-1}) = (f \circ T^{-1}) \circ T = f \circ (T^{-1} \circ T) = f \circ \mathbb{I} = f$$

□

---

## Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.17

---

*Prove that strong convergence implies weak convergence. Also prove that strong and weak convergence are equivalent in a finite-dimensional Hilbert space.*

*Proof.* Let  $x_n \rightarrow x$  strongly, i.e.  $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ . Then

$$\langle x_n, y \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle = \langle x_n - x, y \rangle \leq \|x_n - x\| \|y\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{H}$$

Then  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly. Suppose  $\dim \mathcal{H} = n < \infty$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly. Let  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i e_i$  where  $c_i = \langle e_i, x \rangle$ . Next, define the  $\ell^1$  norm by

$$\|x\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |c_i|$$

Since  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly, then  $\langle x_n, y \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{H}$ . This implies  $\langle e_i, x_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle e_i, x \rangle$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Also,  $x_n - x = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_i, x_n - x \rangle e_i$ , and thus

$$\|x_n - x\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle e_i, x_n - x \rangle| = \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle e_i, x_n \rangle - \langle e_i, x \rangle| \rightarrow 0$$

However,  $\|\cdot\|_1 \equiv \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$  since all norms are equivalent in finite-dimensional spaces, and thus  $x_n \rightarrow x$  strongly. □

---

## Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.18

---

*Let  $(u_n)$  be a sequence of orthonormal vectors in a Hilbert space. Prove that  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  weakly.*

*Proof.*

□

---

## Hunter and Nachtergaele 8.19

---

*Prove that a strongly lower-semicontinuous convex function  $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is weakly lower-semicontinuous.*

*Proof.*

□