UC DAVIS, ANALYSIS (MAT201B), WINTER 2016

Homework #7

Sam Fleischer

March 14, 2016

Hunter and Nachtergaele 9.1

Prove that $\rho(A^*) = \overline{\rho(A)}$, where $\overline{\rho(A)}$ is the set $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \overline{\lambda} \in \rho(A)\}$.

Proof. First note

$$(A^* - \lambda I) = (A^* - (\overline{\lambda} I)^*) = (A - \overline{\lambda} I)^*,$$

and since $(A - \overline{\lambda} I) \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$, then $(A - \overline{\lambda} I)$ is invertible if and only if $(A - \overline{\lambda} I)^*$ is invertible. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda \in \rho(A^*) &\iff (A^* - \lambda I) \text{ invertible} \\
&\iff (A - \overline{\lambda} I)^* \text{ invertible} \\
&\iff (A - \overline{\lambda} I) \text{ invertible} \\
&\iff \overline{\lambda} \in \rho(A) \\
&\iff \lambda \in \overline{\rho(A)}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\rho(A^*) = \overline{\rho(A)}$. □

Hunter and Nachtergaele 9.3

Suppose that A is a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space and $\lambda, \mu \in \rho(A)$. Prove that the resolvent R_λ of A satisfies the resolvent equation

$$R_\lambda - R_\mu = (\mu - \lambda) R_\lambda R_\mu.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\mu I - A\big) - (\lambda I - A) = (\mu - \lambda) I \\
\implies &(\lambda I - A)^{-1}\big[\big(\mu I - A\big) - (\lambda I - A)\big]\big(\mu I - A\big)^{-1} = (\lambda I - A)^{-1}\big[(\mu - \lambda) I\big]\big(\mu I - A\big)^{-1} \\
\implies &(\lambda I - A)^{-1} - \big(\mu I - A\big)^{-1} = \big(\mu - \lambda\big)(\lambda I - A)^{-1}\big(\mu I - A\big)^{-1} \\
\implies &R_\lambda - R_\mu = (\mu - \lambda) R_\lambda R_\mu
\end{aligned}$$

□

Hunter and Nachtergaele 9.4

Prove that the spectrum of an orthogonal projection P is either $\{0\}$, in which case $P = 0$, or $\{1\}$, in which case $P = I$, or else $\{0, 1\}$.

Proof. Let λ be an eigenvalue. Then $Px = \lambda x$ for some nonzero vector x. Clearly if $P \equiv 0$, then $0 = Px = \lambda x$ for some $x \neq 0$, which implies $\lambda = 0$. Also, if $P \equiv I$, then $x = Px = \lambda x \implies (1-\lambda)x = 0$ for some $x \neq 0$. Thus $\lambda = 1$. In general, suppose $P \not\equiv 0$ and $P \not\equiv 1$, then for $x \in \text{ran } P$, $x = Px = \lambda x \implies \lambda = 1$. For $x \not\in \text{ran } P$, then since P is an orthogonal projection, $x = y + z$ for some $y \in \text{ran } P$ (i.e. $Py = y$) and $z \in \ker P$ (i.e. $Pz = 0$). Thus $Py + Pz = y = \lambda x$. Since $x \not\in \text{ran } P$, $\lambda x \in \text{ran } P$ only if $\lambda = 0$. Thus the only eigenvalues of P are 0 and 1 (i.e. the point spectrum of P is contained in $\{0, 1\}$).
Since orthogonal projections are bounded and self adjoint, then the residual specturm of P is empty.
Let $a \in \text{ran } P$. Then $(1-\lambda)a \in \text{ran } (P-\lambda I)$ (since $(P-\lambda I)a = Pa - \lambda a = (1-\lambda)a$). If $\lambda \neq 1$ then $a \in \text{ran } (P-\lambda I)$ since $\text{ran } (P-\lambda I)$ is closed under scalar multiplication. Let $b \in \ker P$. Then $-\lambda b \in \text{ran } (P-\lambda I)$ (since $(P-\lambda I)b = Pb - \lambda b = -\lambda b$). If $\lambda \neq 0$, then $b \in \text{ran } (P-\lambda I)$ since $\text{ran } (P-\lambda I)$ is closed under scalar multiplication. Thus for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$,

$$\text{ran } P \cup \ker P \subset \text{ran } (P - \lambda I)$$

Since P is an orthogonal projection,

$$\mathscr{H} \subset \text{ran } (P - \lambda I) \subset \mathscr{H}$$

and thus $\text{ran } (P-\lambda I)$ is closed. Thus the continuous spectrum of P is empty.
Thus, $\sigma(P) = \{0, 1\}$. □

Hunter and Nachtergaele 9.5

Let A be a bounded, nonnegative operator on a complex Hilbert space. Prove that $\sigma(A) \subset [0, \infty)$.

Proof. Since A is nonnegative, then $\langle x, Ax \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathscr{H}$ and $A = A^*$. Since A is self-adjoint, its eigenvalues are real and $\sigma(A) \subset [-\|A\|, \|A\|]$. Let λ be an eigenvalue. Then for some $x \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \langle x, Ax \rangle = \langle x, \lambda x \rangle = \lambda \langle x, x \rangle = \lambda \|x\|^2 \\ \implies 0 \leq \lambda \end{aligned}$$

Thus all eigenvalues are positive (i.e. the point spectrum is contained in $[0, \infty)$). Let $\lambda < 0$. Then if $(A - \lambda I)x_1 = (A - \lambda I)x_2$, then $(A - \lambda I)(x_1 - x_2) = 0$. If $x_1 - x_2 \neq 0$, then λ is an eigenvalue, but this is not possible since $\lambda < 0$. Thus $x_1 - x_2 = 0$, or $x_1 = x_2$. This shows $(A - \lambda I)$ is one-to-one. show that $(A - \lambda I)$ is onto for $\lambda < 0$. □

Hunter and Nachtergaele 9.6

Let G be a multiplication operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$Gf(x) = g(x)f(x),$$

where g is continuous and bounded. Prove that G is a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and that its spectrum is given by

$$\sigma(G) = \overline{\{g(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}}.$$

Can an operator of this form have eigenvalues?

Proof. □

Hunter and Nachtergaele 9.7

Let $K : L^2([0,1]) \to L^2([0,1])$ *be the integral operator defined by*

$$Kf(x) = \int_0^x f(y)\mathrm{d}y.$$

a) Find the adjoint operator K^*.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f, Kg\rangle &= \int_0^1 \overline{f}(x)\int_0^x g(y)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}x \\
&= \int_0^1 \overline{f}(x)\int_0^1 g(y)\mathscr{X}_{0<y<x<1}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}x, \qquad \text{where } \mathscr{X} \text{ is the characteristic function} \\
&= \int_0^1\int_0^1 \overline{f}(x)g(y)\mathscr{X}_{0<y<x<1}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}x \\
&= \int_0^1 g(y)\int_0^1 \overline{f}(x)\mathscr{X}_{0<y<x<1}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int_0^1 \left[\int_y^1 \overline{f}(x)\mathrm{d}x\right] g(y)\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int_0^1 \overline{\left[\int_y^1 f(x)\mathrm{d}x\right]} g(y)\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \langle K^* f, g\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$K^* f(x) = \int_x^1 f(y)\mathrm{d}y$$

□

b) Show that $\|K\| = \frac{2}{\pi}$.

Proof. □

c) Show that the spectral radius of K *is equal to zero.*

Proof. □

d) Show that 0 *belongs to the continuous spectrum of* K.

Proof. K is not onto since K is the integral operator, and thus the range of K is equal to the set of differentiable functions. However, not all functions in L^2 are differentiable. Thus K is not onto. However, differentiable functions are dense in L^2, and thus 0 is in the continuous spectrum of K. □

Hunter and Nachtergaele 9.8

Define the right shift operator S on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$S(x)_k = x_{k-1} \qquad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $x = (x_k)_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Prove the following facts.

a) The point spectrum of S is empty.

Proof. Suppose λ is in the point specturm of S. The for $Sx = \lambda x$ for some nonzero $x \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. If $\lambda = 0$, the $x \equiv 0$, which is a contradiction. If $\lambda = 1$, then $x_k = e_j$ for all $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. x is constant. However constant bi-infinite sequences are not in ℓ^2 unless they are uniformly 0. This is a contradiction since eigenvectors are nonzero. If $|\lambda| > 1$ and $0 < |\lambda| < 1$, then for all $kin\mathbb{Z}$, x_k, $Sx_k = \lambda x_{k-1}$, and thus for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$x_k = \lambda^{k-n} x_n, \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus x_k can be made arbitrarily large, which is a contradiction since this is true for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus there are no eigenvalues of S (i.e. the point spectrum is empty). □

b) ran $(\lambda I - S) = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ *for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| > 1$.*

Proof. □

c) ran $(\lambda I - S) = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ *for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| < 1$.*

Proof. □

d) The spectrum of S consists of the unit circle $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$ and is purely continuous.

Proof. □

Hunter and Nachtergaele 9.18

Let $P_1, \ldots, P_N$ be orthogonal projections with orthogonal ranges. Let

$$A = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n P_n$$

be a finite linear combination of these projections. Let $\tilde{f} : \sigma(A) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function and define $f : \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H}) \to \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ by

$$f(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{f}(\lambda_n) P_n. \tag{9.23}$$

Suppose that A is a compact self-adjoint operator. Let $f \in C(\sigma(A))$ and consider $f(A)$ defined by (9.23). Prove that

$$\left\| f(A) \right\| = \sup\{|\tilde{f}(\lambda_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let $(\tilde{q}_N)$ be a sequence of polynomials of degree N, converging uniformly to $\tilde{f}$ on $\sigma(A)$. The existence of such a sequence is a consequence of the Weierstrass approximation theorem. Prove that $(q_N(A))$ converges in norm, and that its limit equals $f(A)$ as defined in (9.23).

Proof. $\square$