

# MAT 228A Notes

Sam Fleischer

October 6, 2016

## 1 1-Dimensional Poisson Equation

### 1.1 Recall

$$u_{xx} = f \quad u(0) = \alpha \quad u(1) = \beta \quad (1)$$

Uniform spacing between (and including) 0 and 1 with  $N + 2$  points and  $h = \frac{1}{N+1}$ . This yielded the finite-difference approximation

$$A\underline{u}^h = \underline{b} \quad (2)$$

How big is the error?

$$\underline{e}^h = \underline{u}^h - \underline{u}_{\text{sol}}, \quad \text{where} \quad (u_{\text{sol}})_j = u(x_j) \quad (3)$$

We hope that  $\|\underline{e}^h\| = \mathcal{O}(h^2)$ . So the general question is “How do errors in our operators relate to errors in the discrete solution?”

### 1.2 How is the size of this error related to discretization error?

In general,  $\underline{u}_{\text{sol}}$  is not a solution to  $A\underline{u}^h = \underline{b}$ . We define the local truncation error  $\underline{\tau}^h$

$$\underline{\tau}^h = A\underline{u}_{\text{sol}} - \underline{b} \quad (4)$$

So for  $j = 2, \dots, N - 1$ ,

$$\tau_j^h = \frac{1}{h^2} [u(x_{j-1}) - 2u(x_j) + u(x_{j+1}))] - f(x_j) \quad (5)$$

$$= \frac{1}{h^2} [u(x_j - h) - 2u(x_j) + u(x_j + h)] - f(x_j) \quad (6)$$

$$= \underline{u_{xx}}(x_j) + \frac{h^2}{12} u^{(4)}(x_j) + \text{higher order terms} - \underline{f(x_j)} \quad (\text{using Taylor expansions}) \quad (7)$$

The underlined terms balance, so

$$\tau_j^h = \frac{h^2}{12} u^{(4)}(x_j) + \mathcal{O}(h^4) \quad (8)$$

Now write

$$A\underline{u}_{\text{sol}} = \underline{b} + \underline{\tau}^h \quad (9)$$

$$A\underline{u}_h = \underline{b} \quad (10)$$

Subtracting these equations, and using the fact that  $\underline{e}^h = \underline{u}^h - \underline{u}_{\text{sol}}$ , gives

$$A(\underline{u}^h - \underline{u}_{\text{sol}}) = -\underline{\tau}^h \quad (11)$$

$$A\underline{e}^h = -\underline{\tau}^h \quad (12)$$

$$\underline{e}^h = -A^{-1}\underline{\tau}^h \quad (13)$$

### 1.3 Consistency vs. Convergence

A numerical scheme is “consistent” if the truncation error goes to 0, i.e. if  $\tau^h \rightarrow 0$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . A numerical scheme is “convergence” if  $e^h \rightarrow 0$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . **A scheme can be consistent and not convergent if  $\|A^{-1}\| = \infty$ .**

For linear schemes applied to linear PDEs we have the Lax-Equivalence Theorem:

- If a scheme is consistent and stable, then it is convergent.

### 1.4 Stability

“Stable” in our case means that

$$\|(A^h)^{-1}\| \leq C \quad \text{for all } h \leq h_0 \text{ where } C \text{ is independent of } h \quad (14)$$

$$\|e^h\| = \|A^{-1}\tau^h\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|\tau^h\| \leq C \|\tau^h\| b \quad (15)$$

#### 1.4.1 Stability in the 2-norm

Remember  $A$  is symmetric, and that  $\|A\|_2 = \rho(A) = \max |\lambda_i|$ .  $\sqrt{\rho(AA^*)} = \sqrt{\rho(A^2)} = \rho(\sqrt{A^2}) = \rho(A)$ . Then

$$\|A^{-1}\|_2 = \rho(A^{-1}) = \max \left| \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right| = \frac{1}{\min |\lambda_j|} \quad (16)$$

#### 1.4.2 Explicitly Calculating the Eigenvalues of $A$

Recall  $u^k(x) = \sin(k\pi x)$  is an eigenfunction of  $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$  on functions zero at 0 and 1. It turns out the eigenvectors of  $A$  are these eigenfunctions evaluated on the grid points. So we claim

$$u_j^k = \sin(k\pi x_i), \quad 1, \dots, N \quad (17)$$

are eigenvectors of  $A$ . Let's explicitly show this.

$$\frac{1}{h^2} [\sin(k\pi x_{j-1}) - 2\sin(k\pi x_j) + \sin(k\pi x_{j+1})] = \frac{1}{h^2} [\sin(k\pi(x_j - h)) - 2\sin(k\pi x_j) + \sin(k\pi(x_j + h))] \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{1}{h^2} [\sin(k\pi x_j) \cos(k\pi h) - \sin(k\pi h) \cos(k\pi x_j)] \quad (19)$$

$$- \frac{1}{h^2} [-2\sin(k\pi x_j)] \quad (20)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{h} [\sin(k\pi x_j) \cos(k\pi h) + \sin(k\pi h) \cos(k\pi x_j)] \quad (21)$$

$$= \frac{1}{h^2} (2\cos(k\pi h) - 2)\sin(k\pi x_j) \quad (22)$$

Thus we have eigenvalues

$$\lambda_k = \frac{2}{h^2} (\cos(k\pi h) - 1), \quad k = 1, \dots, N \quad (23)$$

$$= -\frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{k\pi h}{2}\right) \quad (24)$$

As  $k$  goes from 1 to  $N$ ,  $k\pi h$  goes from  $\pi h$  to  $N\pi h = \frac{N\pi}{N+1}$ . So, the smallest magnitude eigenvalue is

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{2}{h^2} (\cos(\pi h) - 1) \quad (25)$$

$$= \frac{2}{h^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 h^2 + \mathcal{O}(h^4) - 1\right) \quad (26)$$

$$= -\pi^2 + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \quad (27)$$

The following is in red since I was falling asleep and cannot vouch for accuracy...

So we have control of this inverse since

$$\|A^{-1}\|_2 = \frac{1}{\pi^2} + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \quad (28)$$

and thus

$$\underline{e}^h = -\|A^{-1}\|_2 \|\underline{L}^h\|_2 \quad (29)$$

$$= \left( \frac{1}{\pi^2} + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \right) (\mathcal{O}(h@2)) \quad (30)$$

## 1.5 Eigenvalues of the Continuous space operator are

$$\lambda_k^c = -k^2 \pi^2 \quad (31)$$