# MAT 228A Notes

Sam Fleischer

October 13, 2016

### 1 Last Time

$$A = \frac{1}{h^2} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ & & & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (1)

Compute the inverse of A,  $A^{-1} = B$ :

$$B_{ij} = \begin{cases} h(x_j - 1)x_i & \text{for } i \le j\\ h(x_i - 1)x_j & \text{for } i > j \end{cases}$$
 (2)

# 2 Error analysis

$$A\vec{e} = -\vec{\tau} \tag{3}$$

$$\vec{e} = -A^{-1}\vec{\tau} = -B\vec{\tau} \tag{4}$$

How does error at a point influence overall error?

$$B\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vec{b}_1 & \vec{b}_2 & \dots & \vec{b}_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tau_n \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^n \vec{b}_j \tau_j$$
 (5)

How much does truncation at a point affect the error?

#### 2.1 Interior point

Consider an interior point. Graphing  $B_{ij}$  for fixed j gives a downward facing triangle (discrete Green's function). The tip,  $b_{jj}$  is the largest element. We know  $b_{jj} = \mathcal{O}(h)$ . We also know  $\tau_j = \mathcal{O}(h^2)$  and so each term in  $\sum_{j=1}^n \vec{b}_j \tau_j$  is  $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$ . But there are  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{h})$  terms in the sum.

#### 2.2 Points near a boundary

What about near a boundary? Let  $\vec{b}_1$  be the biggest element.

$$B_1 = h(x_1 - 1)x_1 = h(h - 1)h = h^2(h - 1) = \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$
(6)

So,

$$\vec{b}_1 \tau_1 = \mathcal{O}(h^4) \tag{7}$$

which is intuitive since there is no error on the boundary, so there is smaller error near the boundary.

# 3 Neumann Boundary Conditions

Two questions:

- 1. How to discretize
- 2. How to solve the linear system

### 3.1 Left Boundary (Right boundary is analogous)

 $x_0 = 0$ ,  $x_1 = h$ ,  $x_2 = 2h$ , and so on. Also pin down

$$u_x(0) = g (8)$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{h^2}(u_0 - 2u_1 + u_2) = f \tag{9}$$

We should discretize the boundary condition:

$$\frac{1}{h}(u_1 - u_0) = g \tag{10}$$

Load them up in a matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
-h & h & & & & \\
1 & -2 & 1 & & & \\
& 1 & -2 & 1 & & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix}$$
(11)

and

$$\vec{u} = \begin{pmatrix} g \\ f_1 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

We can solve the first equation  $u_0 = u_1 - hg$  and then plug it in to the equation at the first ineriour park. So,

$$\frac{1}{h^2}(u_1 - hg - u_1 = u_2) = f_1 \tag{13}$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{h^2}(u_2 - u_1) = f_1 + \frac{g}{h} \tag{14}$$

So,

$$A = \frac{1}{h^2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ & & & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (15)

$$\vec{u} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 + \frac{g}{h} \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \tag{16}$$

But this is only first order accurate. Another discretization:

$$\frac{u_1 - u_0}{h} = u_x(0) + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \tag{17}$$

and

$$\frac{u_1 - u_0}{h} = u_x \left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \tag{18}$$

Imagine we are extending the domain: ghost point  $x_{-1} = -h$ . Our equation at x = 0 is

$$u_{-1} - 2u_0 + u_1 h^2 = f_0 (19)$$

Discretize about  $x_0$ .

$$\frac{u_1 - u_{-1}}{2h} = g \tag{20}$$

which implies

$$u_{-1} = u_1 - 2hg (21)$$

this is a way to extrapolate from the interior. So we get

$$\frac{u_1 - 2hg - 2u_0 + u_1}{h^2} = f_0 (22)$$

$$\frac{-2u_0 + 2u_1}{h_2} = f_0 + \frac{2g}{h} \tag{23}$$

So the second order method is

$$\frac{1}{h^2} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \\ & & \ddots & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_0 + \frac{2g}{h} \\ f_1 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(24)$$

But this is not symmetric, so

$$\frac{1}{h^2} \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 1 & & \\
1 & -2 & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \\
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2}f_0 + \frac{g}{h} \\ f_1 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \tag{25}$$

In the homework we will get at a finite volume discretization. Very natural with Neumann boundary problems.

### 3.2 Solvability

$$u_{xx} = f$$
  $x \in (0,1)$   $u_x(0) = \alpha$   $u_x(1) = \beta$  (26)

Physically, there must be a constraint on f,  $\alpha$ , and  $\beta$ . They have to have some steady balance of some sort. We integrate this equation:

$$\int_0^1 u_{xx} dx = \int_0^1 f(x) d \implies \left| \beta - \alpha = \int_0^1 f(x) dx \right| \quad \text{by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus}$$
 (27)

This is a necessary condition for a solution to exist for the problem.

Supposing u is a solution to the problem. Then u + C for any constant is also a solution. This is because  $u_x$  and  $u_{xx}$  are the same as  $(u + C)_x$  and  $(u + C)_{xx}$ .

Let's discretize this:

$$\frac{1}{h^2} \begin{pmatrix}
-2 & 2 & & & \\
1 & -2 & 1 & & \\
& & \ddots & & \\
& & 1 & -2 & 1 \\
& & & 2 & -2
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \\ u_{n+1}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
f_0 + \frac{2\alpha}{n} \\
f_1 \\ \vdots \\
f_n \\
f_{n+1} - \frac{2\beta}{n}
\end{pmatrix}$$
(28)

It turns out this matrix is singular. Notice if  $\vec{u}$  is a solution. Then  $\vec{u} + \vec{c} = \vec{u} + c\vec{1}$  is also a solution. It turns out  $\vec{1}$  spans the null space, i.e.  $\vec{1}$  is the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue 0.  $A\vec{1} = \vec{0}$ .

$$A\vec{u} = \vec{b} \tag{29}$$

has a solution if  $\vec{b} \in \text{ran}(A)$ , i.e.  $\vec{b} \perp \text{ker}(A^*)$ . For matrices, if A is  $n \times n$ , and  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\vec{b} = \vec{b}_r + \vec{b}_0 \tag{30}$$

where  $\vec{b}_r \in \text{ran}(A)$  and  $\vec{b}_0 \in \text{ker}(A^*)$ . Furthermore,  $\vec{b}_r \cdot \vec{b}_0 = 0$ . To guarantee  $\vec{b} \in \text{ran}(A)$ , just show  $\vec{b} \perp \text{ker}(A^*)$ .