

# MAT 228B Notes

Sam Fleischer

March 13, 2017

## 1 Avoiding Wiggles

- Suppose  $u_j^0 \geq u_{j+1}^0$  for all  $j$ . If  $u_j^n \geq u_{j+1}^n$  for all  $j$  and  $n$ , then the scheme is monotone-preserving.
- Godunov's Theorem - A linear monotonicity preserving scheme is at most first-order accurate.
- Idea: To get higher-order accuracy and not get wiggles, we need to use a non-linear scheme.
- For example:

$$F_{j+1/2} = F_{j+1/2}^{\text{up}} + \left( F_{j+1/2}^{\text{LW}} - F_{j+1/2}^{\text{up}} \right) \phi(u^n)$$

where  $\phi$  is a “flux limiter.” We have a nonlinear scheme, even for a linear PDE.

- Let  $u_t + (f(u))_x = 0$ . Godunov's Method (an REA (reconstruct, evolve, average) method) is

1. Reconstruct a function from cell averages.

- Given  $u_j^n$ , get  $\tilde{u}(x, t_n)$ .
- For example: piecewise-constant reconstruction.

2. Evolve.

- Solve the PDE exactly using the reconstruction as initial data.

3. Average on each cell to update the averages.

- Set  $u_J^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{x-1/2}^{x+1/2} \tilde{u}(x, t_{n+1}) dx$

- Example: Advection with  $a > 0$ ,  $\nu \leq 1$ .

- Remember

$$u_j^{n+1} = u_j^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left( F_{j+1/2}^n - F_{j-1/2}^n \right).$$

- We only need  $u(x_{j+1/2}, t)$  to compute the  $u_j^{n+1}$  using the above equation, and  $F_{j+1/2}^n = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} f(\tilde{u}(x_{j+1/2}, t)) dt$ .
- So  $\tilde{u}(x_{j+1/2}, t) = u_j^n$ .
- $F_{j+1/2}^n = au_j^n$  (this is upwinding)

- We can generalize to a nonlinear problem

$$u_t + (f(u))_x = 0$$

and

$$u(x, 0) = \begin{cases} u_\ell & x < 0 \\ u_r & x > 0 \end{cases}$$

This is a Riemann problem.

## 2 Higher-Order Accuracy

- We can do a more accurate reconstruction than piecewise constant. How about piecewise linear? But remember we need to preserve the averages.

$$\tilde{u}(x, t_n) = u_j^n + \underbrace{\sigma_j^n (x - x_j)}_{0 \text{ average}} \quad x_{j-1/2} \leq x \leq x_{j+1/2}$$

- So the average on the cell is independent from  $\sigma$  (independent of slope).
- What slope do we pick?
  - $\sigma_j^n = 0$  this is upwinding
  - ? force continuity?
  - $\sigma_j^n = \frac{u_{j+1}^n - u_{j-1}^n}{2\Delta x}$  (centered, Fromm's method)
  - $\sigma_j^n = \frac{u_{j+1}^n - u_j^n}{\Delta x}$ , downward slope (Lax-Wendroff)
  - $\sigma_j^n = \frac{u_j^n - u_{j-1}^n}{\Delta x}$  (upwind difference, beam-warming).
- Considering  $a > 0$ , using LW slope for

$$u_j^n = \begin{cases} 1 & j \leq J \\ 0 & j > J \end{cases}$$

This immediately introduces an overshoot behind the jump, killing monotonicity. So Lax-Wendroff gives wiggles behind, Fromm's method gives wiggles on both sides (but they're half as off), and Beam-Warming gives wiggles in front of the discontinuity.

- BUT! You can pick different slopes at each interval!
- No wiggles if we pick Lax-Wendroff to the right of the jump and beam-warming to the left. To avoid oscillations, use so-called "limited slope"
- "Minmod" slope

$$u_j^n = \text{minmod}\left(\frac{u_j - u_{j-1}}{\Delta x}, \frac{u_{j-1} - u_j}{\Delta x}\right)$$

where

$$\text{minmod}(a, b) = \min(|a|, |b|)$$

A different choice monotonized centered slope

$$\sigma_j^n = \text{minmod}\left(\frac{u_{j+1} - u_{j-1}}{2\Delta x}, 2\left(\frac{u_{j+1} - u_j}{\Delta x}\right), 2\left(\frac{u_j - u_{j-1}}{\Delta x}\right)\right)$$