

# PBG 200A Notes

Sam Fleischer

November 28, 2016

- Genome sequencing
  - physically break up the genome, clone them, order the clones, sequence each clone, made sense to everyone, but they only got 3% of the human genome after 10 years.
  - or shotgun sequencing, which is break up into random pieces.
- Sequence assembly
  - de novo assembly
    - \* requires longer reads, greater depth, low polymorphism
  - reference mapping
    - \* must assume you already have a genome sequence (reference genome)
    - \* use reference genome as a template
    - \* allows shorter reads, lower depth, tolerates polymorphisms
  - RNA to DNA mapping
    - \* quantification of gene expression
    - \* detection of splice junctions
    - \* alternative splicing
- Genome sequencing (shotgun) - basic ideas
  - high coverage (redundant)
  - if mean coverage is  $1\times$ , not going to happen since you need overlap. Even  $10\times$  is not enough.
  - the higher the  $\times$  coverage, the greater the chances that every read will overlap with at least two other reads. For assembly to be contiguous, you need very high coverage ( $30\times$ ,  $50\times$ , and higher).
  - The shorter the reads, the higher coverage you need. The shorter the reads, the shorter the overlap, the higher the coverage you need.
    - \* the fundamental tradeoff: high coverage, short reads vs. low coverage, long reads
  - Eukaryotic genomes have repeats.. this is a problem. If the longest repeat is longer than the longest read, no way to get contiguous assembly. There are some repeats which are 12 kilobases long.
- Second-generation technology
  - two steps
    - \* assemble unambiguous reads into contigs that end at repeat boundaries
    - \* combine contigs using bridging data
  - a scaffold is a collection of contigs linked by mate pairs. Gaps can represent repeats or true missing data.
  - You want long mate pairs
- de novo assembly
  - overlap consensus algorithms
    - \* compares reads, finds overlaps (first finds identical seeds) and extends if possible
  - de Bruijn graph algorithms (VELVET, ASySS, SOAPdenovo)
    - \* breaks up reads into shorter (every possible)  $k$ -mers.

- \* every read gives an enormous database of  $k$ -mers.
  - \* connects reads which contain identical  $k$ -mers
  - \* collapses graphs into contigs
- scaffolding
  - \* uses additional info from paired end and mate-pair libraries
  - \* this is where a lot of mis-assembly happens.
  - \* current tradeoff: contiguous vs. accurate.
- issues
  - \* highly computationally intensive (not tractable for small  $k$ )
  - \* sensitive to polymorphisms, errors, non-random fragmentation, non-uniform coverage, repeats
  - \* polymorphisms are the biggest problems
    - slightly overcome this through inbreeding
- how good is the assembly (measure the quality)
  - N50
    - \* 50% of the entire assembly is contained in contigs that are at least as long as N50. The higher the N50, the more contiguous the assembly.
  - it is possible that 80% of the genome is missing
  - it is also possible that the assembly yields a longer contig than the size of the genome!
  - how good do we need the sample to be?
    - \* the difficulty and cost of genome assembly increases exponentially as we approach perfection.
      - must decide what the goal is BEFORE you decide the technology for the job
- reference mapping
  - much much easier than de novo, but assumes we already have a reference assembly
  - used to study intra-specific polymorphisms
  - not used much now since it is expensive and not much better (if at all) than de novo. Still may be better in certain circumstances.
- combining data
  - hybrid strategy
    - \* gets lots of short and some long reads
- whole genome amplification
  - processivity of up to 100kb
  - low error rates
- how to deal with high error rates
  - hybrid assembly
    - \* use lots of short reads to correct long reads
    - \* but use long reads to assemble
  - self-correcting assembly
    - \* overlapping long reads correct each other
    - \* PacBio is (basically) random error, so consensus will smooth out errors
  - additional tricks
    - \* multiple pass reads of PacBio “barbells” (doesn’t work that great in reality due to longevity of polymerase)
    - \* 2D reads with Nanopore (double strand connected to itself via loop on one end)
- long read assembly approaches
  - hierarchical

- \* long read high error to long read low error by using short read low error
- scaffolding/gap filing
- read threading
  - \* graph structure resolves bubbles in scaffolding
- example - *Drosophila serrata*
  - Illumina
    - \* reads and coverage 200×
  - PacBio
    - \* reads and coverage 63× (expensive for PacBio)
- Irys BioNano physical mapping
  - Get a visual fingerprint (light-based) to match up the molecules
- (from DNA to RNA) Transcriptome sequencing
  - RNA isolation
    - \* what sample do you want and why?
  - mRNA purification and cDNA synthesis
  - normalization (if needed)
    - \* nowadays sequencing is so cheap that we don't really need this.. might as well just sequence the hell out of it.
  - non-stranded or strand-specific sequencing
    - \* determine which strand is expressed
    - \* avoid chimeric contigs from overlapping 3' UTRs.