

# PBG 200A Notes

Sam Fleischer

October 3, 2016

## 1 Review

### 1.1 Law of Large Numbers (LLN)

If  $X_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  are IID random variables with finite expectation ( $\mathbb{E}[X_1] < \infty$ ), then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N X_i}{N} = \mathbb{E}[X_1] \quad \text{with probability 1.}$$

### 1.2 From last time

$N_t := \prod_{i=1}^t R_i N_0$ . Take logs to get

$$\begin{aligned} \log N_t &= \sum_{i=1}^t \log R_i + \log N_0 \\ \implies \frac{1}{t} \log \frac{N_t}{N_0} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^t \log R_i}{t} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\log R_1] =: r \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $\log \frac{N_t}{N_0} \approx rt$ . In the example,

$$r = \frac{1}{2} \log 4 + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{5} = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{4}{5} < 0$$

This shows that the populations will tend to  $\infty$ .

### 1.3 Geometric Mean

$\mathbb{E}[\log R_1]$  is the log of the geometric mean of  $R_1$ , i.e.

$$\text{geometric mean } R_1 = \exp(\mathbb{E}[\log R_1])$$

Basic fact:

$$\mathbb{E}[R_1] \geq \exp(\mathbb{E}[\log R_1])$$

i.e. the arithmetic mean is always at least as large as the geometric mean

### 1.4 Small Variance Approximation

Let  $R_1 = \bar{R} + \sigma X_1$ , where  $X_1$  has mean 0 and variance 1. Reminder:

$$\text{var}[X] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\log R_1] &= \mathbb{E}[\log(\bar{R} + \sigma X_1)] \\ &= \log \bar{R} + \mathbb{E}\left[\log\left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\bar{R}} X_1\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

Recall again

$$\log(1+x) \approx x - \frac{1}{2}x^2$$

So, setting  $x = 1 + \frac{\sigma}{\bar{R}} X_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\log R_1] &\approx \log \bar{R} + \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\sigma}{\bar{R}} X_1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\bar{R}} X_1\right)^2\right] \\ &= \log \bar{R} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \underbrace{\left(\frac{\sigma}{\bar{R}}\right)^2}_{\text{coefficient of variation for } R_1}\end{aligned}$$

## 2 How Stochasticity Influences Life-History Evolution (Bet Hedging)

Bet hedging is evolution of reduced variance  $\sigma^2$  despite a reduction in mean  $\bar{R}$ .

### 2.1 Example: Annual Plants with Seed Banks

Simple model:  $N$  is the number of seeds underground.  $g$  is probability of germination, which produces yield  $Y_{t+1}$ .  $1 - g$  is the probability the seed does not germinate. Given the seed does not germinate,  $S$  is the probability of survival to the next year.

$$N_{t+1} = N_t \underbrace{[gY_{t+1} + (1 - g)S]}_{=R_{t+1}}$$

Is bet hedging possible? We look at the mean and variance:

$$\mathbb{E}[R_1] = \mathbb{E}[gY_1 + (1 - g)S] = g\mathbb{E}[Y_1] + (1 - g)S \quad (1)$$

So mean is increasing with  $g$  since  $S < 1$  and we assume  $\mathbb{E}[Y_1] \geq 1$ .

$$\text{var}[R_1] = g^2 \text{var}[Y_1] \quad (2)$$

So variance is also increasing with  $g$ .

This shows there is a tradeoff because mean and variance both increase with  $g$ .