Distribution - values a variable takes and how often

Stat 145, Mon 8-Feb-2021 -- Mon 8-Feb-2021 Biostatistics Spring 2021

Monday, February 8th 2021

Wk 2, Mo

Topic:: Associations between variables

Read:: Lock5 1.3

Associations between variables

- context: bivariate data

from ssurv.csv

sex and handedness both are categorical: take gorder/sex as explanatory tally (~ selfhandedness | gender, data = 55) contingency (two-way) table of bar (~ selfhandedness | gender, data = 55) side-by-side bar graphs

Say two variables are associated

if knowing the value of one

for a case makes you somewhat

better-informed about the value of

the other for that case

speeding tickets vs. off-campus oncompus is categorical, take it as explanatory tally (~ speedtickets | oncompus, data = Ssurv)

gf_histogram (~ speedtickets | oncompus, data = Ssurv) side-by-side histograms

speeding tickets vs. number of cds both variables are quantitative of plot (speedtickets ~ cds, data = ssurv)

creates a scatterplot uses cds as explanatory variable (horizontal axis)

- explanatory and response
- meaning: an association between 2 vars is what you have if knowledge of the value of a case's explanatory var. makes you better informed (even ever so slightly) about that case's response yearings value
- examples: Could pose as a research question whether an association exists between 1. sex and pulse rate
- 2. attend church at last twice a month and political affiliation

- 3. father's dominate hand and own dominate hand
- 4. number of bars in a community and number of churches

Activity: Etherpad, name s145-08feb2021-gX identify an explanatory variable and a response indicate a summary/plot/table/means for investigating

- don't confuse with causation

may be a lurking/confounding variable (common cause?)

types of studies: observational vs. experiment

experiment involves manipulating the explanatory variable

gold standard: controlled randomized experiment

Features of experiments, and what they are aimed at doing

- reduce bias
 - 1. control group

group "identical" in all aspects to treatment group
"patients" seek treatment when feeling bad, improvement inevitable(?)

2. randomization

how we make the groups "identical" assignment in some nonrandom manner can be intended to reveal effect

3. blinding

placebo effect

single vs. double

unblinded studies typically find larger effects than blinded ones

- reduce sampling error
 - 1. replication

larger sample size---can pinpoint effect more precisely

2. balance

increases precision by more carefully pinpointing effect for each group

3. blocking

matched pairs: the blocks are the pairs

agricultural experiments

illustration: see final page of document at

Read Lock 1.3

See also

http://www.zoology.ubc.ca/~whitlock/bio300/overheads/overheads14.pdf