

1. (b) and (c) only
2. (a) IV (b) III (c) V (d) I
3. (a) H_0 : Variables river and group are independent
 H_a : The variables have an association
- (b) B - Nile is smallest: $\frac{(168)(104)}{577} = 30.28$
- (c) A - Amazon has expected count $\frac{(205)(159)}{577} = 56.49$ $\frac{(47 - 56.49)^2}{56.49} = 1.594$
- (d) $1 - \text{pchisq}(9.153, 6)$
- (e) It is valid to use $\text{pchisq}()$, since all expected counts are ≥ 5 .
- (f) We fail to reject H_0 (that the variables are independent).
4. (a) $\text{gf_point}(y \sim x, \text{data} = \text{xyPairs}) | > \text{gf_lm}()$
- (c) The appearance of the residuals -vs.-fitted-values plot is that of a random (unpatterned) scatter of points about the zero line with no tendency to expand/contract in distance from the zero line as x changes. This confirms the independence of residuals, as well as the one uniform σ applying at all x , assumed in the SLM.
 The appearance of the normal quantile plot of residuals is that of a straight line, as it should be if residuals follow a normal distribution.
- (d) The coefficient of determination (R^2) tells what fraction of variability in (observed) y -values is explained by the linear model in x .
- (e) $r = -\sqrt{0.818} = -0.9044$
- (f) $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ vs. $H_a: \beta_1 \neq 0$ (ρ can appear instead of β_1)
- (g) $t = (-0.904) \sqrt{\frac{82}{1 - (0.904)^2}} = -16.55$
 P-value: $2 * \text{pt}(-16.55, 61)$

- (h) The "prediction" one is to locate the likely range of a single y-value at $x=27$.
 The "confidence" one is to locate the likely range of the mean y-value at $x=27$.
 The "confidence" one is narrower.

5. (a)

Source	df	SS	MS	F
Group	2	59.146	29.573	5.994
Error	81	399.662	4.934	

(b) $H_0: \mu_A = \mu_B = \mu_C$

H_a : At least two means are different

(c) We are told the samples are independent. ✓

The populations (each) are normal \Rightarrow each $\bar{x}_A, \bar{x}_B, \bar{x}_C$ are normal ✓

$s_{\max}/s_{\min} = 2.366/2.026 < 2$ ✓ Yes, it is valid.

(d) $1 - pf(5.994, 2, 81)$

(e) $\mu_A \neq \mu_C$, significant at the 5% level (even at the 1% level) ✓

$\mu_B \neq \mu_C$, significant at the 5% level